JLab Users Group Town Meeting March 16, 2012



# Quark spin distributions in the proton at large x

Wally Melnitchouk



- Most direct connection between quark distributions and models of nucleon structure is via valence quarks
  - $\rightarrow$  most cleanly revealed at x > 0.4

$$F_2^p \approx \frac{4}{9}xu_v + \frac{1}{9}xd_v$$
$$F_2^n \approx \frac{1}{9}xu_v + \frac{4}{9}xu_v$$

Needed to understand backgrounds in searches for physics beyond the Standard Model in high-energy colliders e.g. the LHC

→  $Q^2$  evolution feeds *high* x, *low*  $Q^2$  to *low* x, *high*  $Q^2$  where *e.g.* Higgs, SUSY most likely to produce signals

#### Large-*x* PDFs

■ Nonperturbative & perturbative QCD predictions for d/u ratio in  $x \rightarrow 1$  limit:

- $d/u \rightarrow 1/2$  SU(6) symmetry
- $d/u \rightarrow 0$  S = 0 qq dominance
- $d/u \rightarrow 1/5$

 $S_z = 0 \ qq$  dominance

• 
$$d/u \to \frac{4\,\mu_n^2/\mu_p^2 - 1}{4 - \mu_n^2/\mu_p^2}$$

local quark-hadron duality\* ( $\mu_{p,n}$  magnetic moments)

see e.g. WM, Ent, Keppel Phys. Rep. **406**, 127 (2005) \* structure function at  $x \rightarrow 1$  given by elastic form factor at  $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ 

#### EMC effect in deuteron

 $\blacksquare$  No free neutron targets  $\rightarrow$  use d as effective n target



 uncertainties in nuclear corrections at large x (short-range NN interaction, N off-shell deformation)
 leads to large uncertainties in d quark at x > 0.5

### CJ (CTEQ-JLab) global PDF analysis



 $\rightarrow$  nuclear correction uncertainties sizable at x > 0.5

 $\rightarrow$  x  $\rightarrow$  1 limiting value depends critically on deuteron model

## CJ (CTEQ-JLab) global PDF analysis

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

- very little effect on u quark PDF
  (tightly constrained by DIS & DY proton data)
- → gluon PDF <u>anti-correlated</u> with d quark (g compensates for smaller d quark contribution in jet data)
- $\rightarrow$  uncertainty in d feeds into larger uncertainty in g at high x

Heavy Z', W' boson production

- Observation of new physics signals requires accurate determination of QCD backgrounds depend on PDFs! (since  $x_{1,2} \sim M_{Z',W'}$ , large-x uncertainties scale with mass!)
  - for  $W'^-$  production

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  dominated by  $d * \bar{u}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  dominated by d \* u + u \* d

> 100% uncertainties at large y !

Brady, Accardi, WM, Owens arXiv:1110:5398

#### 12 GeV *d/u* experiments

- Nuclear correction uncertainties expected to be resolved with new experiments at JLab-12 GeV uniquely sensitive to *d* quarks (up to  $x \sim 0.85$ )

  - → DIS from<sup>3</sup>He-tritium mirror nuclei  $e^{3}$ He(<sup>3</sup>H) →  $e^{3}X$  ("MARATHON") E12-10-103
  - $\rightarrow \text{PVDIS from protons} \\ \vec{e}_L(\vec{e}_R) \ p \rightarrow e \ X \quad (\text{``SoLID''})$  E12-10-007

#### 12 GeV *d/u* experiments

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

• will determine d/u free of nuclear uncertainties to  $x \sim 0.8$ 

Spin-dependent PDFs at large x

- Nonperturbative & perturbative QCD predictions for  $\Delta q/q$  ratio in  $x \rightarrow 1$  limit:
  - $\Delta u/u \rightarrow 2/3$  $\Delta d/d \rightarrow -1/3$  } SU(6) symmetry

• 
$$\Delta u/u \to 1$$
  
 $\Delta d/d \to -1/3$  }  $S = 0$  qq dominance

• 
$$\Delta u/u \to 1$$
   
  $\Delta d/d \to 1$  }  $S_z = 0 \ qq \ dominance$   
  $\underline{\Delta d/d} \to 1$  }  $\underline{Or} \ local \ duality$ 

 $\rightarrow$  sign of d quark polarization uncertain at large x !

Spin-dependent PDFs at large x

Inclusive DIS measurements from polarized <sup>3</sup>He at 12 GeV will constrain  $A_1^n$  and  $\Delta d/d$  up to  $x \sim 0.75$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

• planned measurement up to x = 0.77 (0.89) in DIS (resonance)

Nuclear effects in spin structure functions

- At  $x \ge 0.7$ , nuclear correction uncertainties also expected to be large in polarized structure functions & asymmetries
  - $\rightarrow$  will contaminate extraction on free  $\vec{n}$  structure

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

Nuclear effects in spin structure functions

- At  $x \ge 0.7$ , nuclear correction uncertainties also expected to be large in polarized structure functions & asymmetries
  - → difficult to observe  $\log^2(1-x)$  enhancement of  $q^{\downarrow}$ predicted from  $L_{7}=1$  component of wave function

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

Avakian, Brodsky, Deur, Yuan PRL **99**, 082001 (2007)

Nuclear effects in spin structure functions

- At  $x \ge 0.7$ , nuclear correction uncertainties also expected to be large in polarized structure functions & asymmetries
  - $\rightarrow$  more significant effects expected in resonance region at even smaller x

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Kulagin, WM PRC **78**, 065203 (2008)

- Are experiments which minimize or eliminate nuclear uncertainties at large x feasible?
  - → DIS from *polarized* <sup>3</sup>He-tritium mirror nuclei  $\vec{e}^{3} \vec{\mathrm{He}} (^{3} \vec{\mathrm{H}}) \rightarrow e X$  ("Polarized MARATHON")

**Polarized EMC ratios for** A=3 mirror nuclei

$$R^{^{3}\text{He}} = \frac{g_{1}^{^{3}\text{He}}}{2g_{1}^{^{p}} + g_{1}^{^{n}}} \qquad \qquad R^{^{3}\text{H}} = \frac{g_{1}^{^{3}\text{H}}}{g_{1}^{^{p}} + 2g_{1}^{^{n}}}$$

 $\rightarrow$  extract *n/p* ratio from measured <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>3</sup>H ratio

$$\frac{g_1^n}{g_1^p} = \frac{g_1^{^{3}\text{He}}/g_1^{^{3}\text{H}} - 2\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R} - 2g_1^{^{3}\text{He}}/g_1^{^{3}\text{H}}}$$

→ model dependence of  $\mathcal{R} = R^{^{3}\text{He}}/R^{^{3}\text{H}}$  expected to be small

**Test Bjorken sum rule for** A=3 **nuclei** 

$$\int_0^1 dx \, (g_1^{^3\mathrm{H}} - g_1^{^3\mathrm{He}}) = g_A^*$$

$$\rightarrow ~ 4\%$$
 smaller than  $g_A$  for free nucleon

 $\rightarrow \Delta$  degrees of freedom in A=3 nuclei Bissey, G

*Bissey, Guzey, Strikman, Thomas PRC* **65**, 064317 (2002)

 $\rightarrow$  polarized tritium target?

- Are experiments which minimize or eliminate nuclear uncertainties at large x feasible?
  - → DIS from *polarized* <sup>3</sup>He-tritium mirror nuclei  $\vec{e}^{3} \vec{\mathrm{He}}(^{3} \vec{\mathrm{H}}) \rightarrow e X$  ("Polarized MARATHON")

Unpolarized electron – polarized proton asymmetry

 $\rightarrow g_1^{\gamma \omega} = \sum_q e_q g_V^q \Delta q$ independent combination of  $\Delta u$  and  $\Delta d$ 

 $\rightarrow$  extract  $\Delta d$  free of nuclear corrections!

Unpolarized electron – polarized proton asymmetry

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  sensitivity to  $\Delta d$  at large x, free of nuclear corrections

- Are experiments which minimize or eliminate nuclear uncertainties at large x feasible?
  - → DIS from *polarized* <sup>3</sup>He-tritium mirror nuclei  $\vec{e}^{3} \vec{\mathrm{He}} (^{3} \vec{\mathrm{H}}) \rightarrow e X$  ("Polarized MARATHON")
  - $\rightarrow \text{PVDIS from polarized protons} \\ e \overrightarrow{p} \rightarrow e X \qquad \text{("Polarized SoLID")}$

- Are experiments which minimize or eliminate nuclear uncertainties at large x feasible?
  - → DIS from *polarized* <sup>3</sup>He-tritium mirror nuclei  $\vec{e}^{3} \vec{\mathrm{He}} (^{3} \vec{\mathrm{H}}) \rightarrow e X$  ("Polarized MARATHON")
  - $\rightarrow \text{PVDIS from polarized protons} \\ e \overrightarrow{p} \rightarrow e X \qquad \text{("Polarized SoLID")}$

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

→ other methods? (polarized <sup>3</sup>He in BoNuS?)