

Neutrino Factories, Super Beams and Beta Beams College of William & Mary / Jefferson Lab July 23, 2012

e and ν scattering synergies

Wally Melnitchouk



Introduction

Many areas of overlap for nucleon (& nuclear) structure studies with neutrino & electron beams, including:

- Parton distributions
 - \rightarrow flavor separation; implications for LHC
 - \rightarrow higher twists, resonances & duality
 - \rightarrow generalized parton distributions
- (Quasi) elastic scattering
 - \rightarrow strange content of the nucleon
 - \rightarrow axial form factor
 - \rightarrow two-boson exchange
- Nuclear targets
 - \rightarrow nuclear effects on structure functions, form factors

Parton distribution functions

Vital to have precise $\nu \& \bar{\nu}$ structure function input into global PDF fits for flavor separation

 \rightarrow e.g. at leading order in α_s

$$F_{2}^{ep} = \frac{x}{9} \left[4(u+\bar{u}) + (d+\bar{d}) + (s+\bar{s}) + 4(c+\bar{c}) \right]$$

$$F_{2}^{\nu p} = 2x \left[d+\bar{u} + s + \bar{c} \right] \longleftarrow \boxed{d/u, s/\bar{s}}$$

$$xF_{3}^{\nu p} = 2x \left[d-\bar{u} + s - \bar{c} \right] \longleftarrow \boxed{q/\bar{q}}$$

Most useful if measured on hydrogen (or deuterium) targets to avoid nuclear correction uncertainties

Parton distribution functions

Suggestions of larger than expected strange quark PDF from $W, Z \rightarrow l \nu$ at LHC (ATLAS)



$$r_s = (s + \bar{s})/2\bar{d}$$
$$= 1.00^{+0.25}_{-0.28}$$

ATLAS, PRL 109, 012001 (2012)

Strange-antistrange asymmetry

→ small but important indicator of nonperturbative physics

Signal, Thomas, PLB 191, 205 (1987)



Alekhin et al., PLB 675, 433 (2009)

Parton distribution functions

At large x (> 0.5-0.6) d quark distribution in proton (or d/u ratio) is poorly determined



- \rightarrow CJ fit attempts to better constrain d PDF to $x \sim 0.8$
- → limited by *nuclear corrections* in deuteron

Nuclear effects in deuterium

In impulse approximation, deuteron structure function computed as convolution:

$$F_2^d(x) = \int dy \, f_{N/d}(y,\gamma) \, F_2^N(x/y) + \delta^{(\text{off})} F_2^d(x)$$

N momentum distribution in D ("smearing function")

N off-shell correction



light-cone momentum fraction $y = p_N^+/P_D^+$

finite- $Q^2 \, {\rm correction}$ $\gamma^2 = 1 + 4 M^2 x^2/Q^2 \,$

Kahn, WM, Kulagin, PRC 79, 035205 (2009)

Nuclear effects in deuterium



Implications for new particle searches

e.g. heavy boson production

Implications for new particle searches

- Some extensions of Standard Model predict heavy versions of W, Z bosons
 - → Sequential Standard Model (SSM) (assume same couplings as SM W, Z bosons)
 - → Grand Unified Theories e.g. E₆ London, Rosner (1986) E₆ → SO(10) × U(1)_{χ} → SU(5) × U(1)_{ψ} × U(1)_{χ}
 - \rightarrow more exotic scenarios, *e.g.*
 - scalar excitations in *R*-parity violating supersymmetric models *Hewett, Rizzo (1998)*
 - spin-1 Kaluza-Klein excitations of SM
 bosons in presence of extra dimensions Antoniadis (1990)
 - spin-2 excitations of the graviton

Randall, Sundrum (1999)

Implications for new particle searches

- Observation of new physics signals requires accurate determination of QCD backgrounds depend on PDFs! (since $x_{1,2} \sim M_{Z',W'}$, large-x uncertainties scale with mass!)
 - for W'^- production

 \rightarrow dominated by $d * \overline{u} \longrightarrow$ dominated by d * u + u * d

> 100% uncertainties at large y !

Future determinations of d/u

- Several planned experiments at JLab with 12 GeV will measure d/u to $x \sim 0.85$ with minimal nuclear corrections
 - \rightarrow SIDIS from D with slow backward proton ("BONUS"); inclusive ${}^{3}\text{He} / {}^{3}\text{H}$ ratio; and PVDIS from proton
- Extract n/p ratio from A = 3 mirror nuclei

Afnan et al., PRC 68, 035201 (2003)

Future determinations of d/u

- Several planned experiments at JLab with 12 GeV will measure d/u to $x \sim 0.85$ with minimal nuclear corrections
 - → SIDIS from D with slow backward proton ("BONUS"); inclusive ³He / ³H ratio; and PVDIS from proton

Accardi et al., PRD 84, 014008 (2011)

Future determinations of d/u

- Several planned experiments at JLab with 12 GeV will measure d/u to $x \sim 0.85$ with minimal nuclear corrections
 - → SIDIS from D with slow backward proton ("BONUS"); inclusive ³He / ³H ratio; and PVDIS from proton
- Cleanest and most direct method is to use neutrino and antineutrino DIS on hydrogen
 - \rightarrow selects d and u quark PDFs at large x

$$\frac{F_2^{\nu p}}{F_2^{\bar{\nu}p}} \to \frac{d}{u}$$

→ need reach up to $x \sim 0.85$, with large Q^2 range to control for higher twists

Resonances and duality

Accuracy of *quark-hadron duality* being established in high-precision measurements of electromagnetic structure functions in *resonance* region

Malace et al., PRL 104, 102001 (2010)

→ higher-twist (duality-violating) corrections appear to be ~10-20% for (low) structure function moments

Resonances and duality

Neutrino DIS would allow test of universality of duality, and determine size of higher twist matrix elements in *P*-odd *vs*. *P*-even structure functions

Lalakulich, Paschos, WM, PRC 75, 015202 (2007)

→ currently resonance form factors poorly constrained (old ANL/BNL neutrino resonance-production data)

Resonances and duality

Neutrino DIS would allow test of universality of duality, and determine size of higher twist matrix elements in *P*-odd *vs*. *P*-even structure functions

 currently resonance form factors poorly constrained (old ANL/BNL neutrino resonance-production data)

Twist-four matrix elements

At twist four, have 3 unique operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu}^{V} = (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi) (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\nu}\psi)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu}^{A} = (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi) (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\psi)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu}^{g} = \bar{\psi} \{iD_{\mu}, \tilde{F}_{\nu\alpha}\}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}\psi$$

Shuryak, Vainshtein NPB **199**, 451 (1982)

$$M_i^{\rm HT} = c_i^V \langle \mathcal{O}^V \rangle + c_i^A \langle \mathcal{O}^A \rangle + c_i^g \langle \mathcal{O}^g \rangle$$

→ can solve for matrix elements with precise data on moments in $Q^2 \sim 1-5$ GeV² region

Freid, WM, Steffens (2012)

Generalized parton distributions

- Comprehensive program of hard exclusive reactions (e.g. DVCS) at JLab to extract GPDs
 - \rightarrow determine orbital angular momentum of quarks
 - \rightarrow map out 3D structure of nucleon

- Neutrino DVCS uniquely sensitive to <u>C-odd</u> combinations of GPDs, not accessible with e scattering
 - → flavor decomposition, non-diagonal transitions
 - → can extract *spin-dependent* valence & sea distributions with an unpolarized target!

Psaker, WM, Radyushkin PRD **75**, 054001 (2007)

Strange content of the nucleon

Strange vector form factors measured to high precision in parity-violating e scattering at JLab, MAMI, ...

$$G_E^s =
ho_s Q^2 +
ho_s' Q^4$$

 $G_M^s = \mu_s + \mu_s' Q^2$
 $ho_s = -0.03 \pm 0.63 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$
 $\mu_s = 0.37 \pm 0.79$

Young et al., PRL 99, 122003 (2007)

- → strange quark contribution to proton magnetic moment less than 10%
- \rightarrow strange electric form factor consistent with zero
- → consistent with theory (lattice + phenomenology)

Leinweber et al., PRL 94, 212001 (2005)

Strange content of the nucleon

Strange axial vector form factors not as well determined

 $\tilde{G}_{A}^{N} = \tilde{g}_{A}^{N}(1 + Q^{2}/M_{A}^{2})^{-2}$ $M_{A} = 1.026 \,\text{GeV}$ $\tilde{g}_{A}^{p} = -0.80 \pm 1.68$ $\tilde{g}_{A}^{n} = 1.65 \pm 2.62$

Young et al., PRL 99, 122003 (2007)

see also Liu, McKeown, Ramsey-Musolf PRC 76, 025202 (2007)

- → includes "anapole" contribution (weak interaction within target)
- complementary measurement in neutrino-nucleon elastic scattering

Strange content of the nucleon

Sum rule relates spin-dependent structure function to strange *axial form factor* at $Q^2 = 0$

$$\int_0^1 dx \, g_1^p(x, Q^2) = \left(\frac{1}{12}g_A^{(3)} + \frac{1}{36}g_A^{(8)}\right) C_{NS}(Q^2) + \frac{1}{9}g_A^{(0)}|_{\text{inv}}C_S(Q^2)$$

From elastic neutrino-proton scattering (NC) measure

$$2g_A^{(Z)} = \left(\Delta u - \Delta d - \Delta s\right)_{inv} + \mathscr{P}g_A^{(0)}\Big|_{inv} + O(m_{t,b,c}^{-1})$$

→ using
$$(\Delta u - \Delta d - \Delta s)_{inv} = g_A^{(3)} + \frac{1}{3}g_A^{(8)} - \frac{1}{3}g_A^{(0)}|_{inv}$$

extract $g_A^{(0)}|_{inv} = (\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s)_{inv}$ to obtain independent determination of Δs

Bass et al., PRD 66, 031901 (2002)

Axial form factor in medium

- Axial form factor expected to be quenched in nuclei
 - $\rightarrow \sim 10\%$ reduction in quark-meson coupling (QMC) model

• Left-right polarization asymmetry in $\vec{e} \ p \rightarrow e \ p$ scattering

$$A_{\rm PV} = \frac{\sigma_L - \sigma_R}{\sigma_L + \sigma_R} \longrightarrow \frac{G_F \ Q_W^p}{4\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} t \qquad t = (k_e - k'_e)^2 \rightarrow 0$$

-> measures interference between e.m. and weak currents

→ in forward limit, gives proton weak charge

$$Q_W^p = 1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W$$
 (tree level)

Including higher-order radiative corrections

$$Q_W^p = (1 + \Delta \rho + \Delta_e)(1 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W(0) + \Delta'_e) + \Box_{WW} + \Box_{ZZ} + \Box_{\gamma Z}$$

"box diagrams"

$$e \longrightarrow e \longrightarrow p \qquad e \longrightarrow p \qquad e \longrightarrow p \qquad e \longrightarrow p$$

$$Erler \ et \ al., \ PRD \ 72, \ 073003 \ (2005)$$

 $\rightarrow \gamma Z$ box diagram sensitive to long-distance physics

$$\Box_{\gamma Z} = \Box_{\gamma Z}^{A} + \Box_{\gamma Z}^{V}$$

$$\bigwedge$$
vector e - axial h axial e - vector h
(finite at E =0) (vanishes at E =0)

At low energy, dominant $V_e \times A_h$ correction evaluated using forward dispersion relations

$$\Re e \square_{\gamma Z}^{A}(E) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dE' \frac{E'}{E'^2 - E^2} \Im m \square_{\gamma Z}^{A}(E')$$

 \rightarrow imaginary part given by $F_3^{\gamma Z}$ structure function

$$\Im m \Box_{\gamma Z}^{A}(E) = \frac{1}{(2ME)^2} \int_{M^2}^{s} dW^2 \int_{0}^{Q_{\max}^2} dQ^2 \frac{v_e(Q^2) \alpha(Q^2)}{1 + Q^2/M_Z^2} \times \left(\frac{2ME}{W^2 - M^2 + Q^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) F_3^{\gamma Z}$$

with
$$v_e(Q^2) = 1 - 4\kappa(Q^2) \sin^2 \theta_W(Q^2)$$

Gorchtein, Horowitz, PRL 102 (2009) 091806

 $\bigstar \quad \underline{\text{elastic}} \text{ part } F_3^{\gamma Z(\text{el})} = -Q^2 \, G_M^p(Q^2) \, G_A^Z(Q^2) \, \delta(W^2 - M^2)$

\bigstar resonance part from parametrization of ν scattering data

Lalakulich, Paschos PRD 74, 014009 (2006)

★ <u>DIS</u> part dominated by leading twist PDFs

$$F_3^{\gamma Z(\text{DIS})} = \sum_q 2e_q \, g_A^q \left(q(x, Q^2) - \bar{q}(x, Q^2) \right)$$

 $\rightarrow \Box_{\gamma Z}$ given by moments in $1/Q^2$ expansion

$$\mathcal{R}e \ \Box_{\gamma Z}^{A(\text{DIS})}(E) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_{Q_0^2}^{\infty} dQ^2 \, \frac{v_e(Q^2) \, \alpha(Q^2)}{1 + Q^2/M_Z^2} \\ \times \left[M_3^{\gamma Z(1)} - \frac{2M^2}{9Q^4} (5E^2 - 3Q^2) M_3^{\gamma Z(3)} \right]$$

→ first moment

$$M_3^{\gamma Z(1)}(Q^2) = \frac{5}{3} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{\pi} \right)$$

is γZ analog of Gross-Llewellyn Smith sum rule

- ★ "DIS" region at $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ does not afford PDF description
 - \rightarrow in absence of data, consider models with general constraints
 - ★ $F_3^{\gamma Z}(x_{\max}, Q^2)$ should not diverge in limit $Q^2 \to 0$
 - ★ $F_3^{\gamma Z}(x,Q^2)$ should match PDF description at $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

Model 1
$$F_3^{\gamma Z}(x, Q^2) = \left(\frac{1 + \Lambda^2/Q_0^2}{1 + \Lambda^2/Q^2}\right) F_3^{\gamma Z}(x, Q_0^2)$$

 $F_3^{\gamma Z} \sim (Q^2)^{0.3} \text{ as } Q^2 \to 0$

<u>Model 2</u> $F_3^{\gamma Z}$ frozen at $Q^2 = 1$ value for all W^2 $F_3^{\gamma Z}$ finite as $Q^2 \to 0$

→ dominated by n = 1 DIS moment: 32.8×10^{-4} (weak *E* dependence)

• correction at $\underline{E} = 0$

correction at E = 1.165 GeV (Qweak)

 $\Re e \square_{\gamma Z}^{A} = 0.00005 + 0.00011 + 0.00352 = 0.0037(4)$

cf. MS^{*} value: <u>0.0052(5)</u> (~1% shift in Q_W^p)

* Marciano, Sirlin, PRD **29**, 75 (1984)

• shifts Q_W^p from $\underline{0.0713(8)} \rightarrow \underline{0.0705(8)}$

Combined vector and axial h correction

Effect on weak mixing angle

- \rightarrow Qweak: large shift in central value *cf*. MS
- \rightarrow APV(Cs): shift in central value *cf*. MS by ~ 1/3 of error bar

Constraints from PVDIS asymmetries

$$A_{\rm PV} \propto \frac{xy^2 F_1^{\gamma Z} + (1-y) F_2^{\gamma Z} + \frac{g_V^e}{g_A^e} (y-y^2/2) F_3^{\gamma Z}}{xy^2 F_1^{\gamma \gamma} + (1-y) F_2^{\gamma \gamma}}$$

Carlson, Rislow, PRD 85, 073002 (2012)

■ Constraints from PVDIS asymmetries (E08-011 on deuterium)

$$A_{\rm PV} \propto \frac{xy^2 F_1^{\gamma Z} + (1-y) F_2^{\gamma Z} + \frac{g_V^e}{g_A^e} (y-y^2/2) F_3^{\gamma Z}}{xy^2 F_1^{\gamma \gamma} + (1-y) F_2^{\gamma \gamma}}$$

Hall, Blunden, WM et al. (2012)

■ Constraints from PVDIS asymmetries (E08-011 on deuterium)

$$A_{\rm PV} \propto \frac{xy^2 F_1^{\gamma Z} + (1-y) F_2^{\gamma Z} + \frac{g_V^e}{g_A^e} (y-y^2/2) F_3^{\gamma Z}}{xy^2 F_1^{\gamma \gamma} + (1-y) F_2^{\gamma \gamma}}$$

Hall, Blunden, WM et al. (2012)

Expected inelastic asymmetry data from Qweak

 $\rightarrow \text{ constrain input } F_i^{\gamma Z} \text{ structure functions for } \mathcal{R}e \square_{\gamma Z}$ (updated analysis in progress) Hall, Blunden, WM et al. (2012)

TBE in neutrino scattering

May expect similar two-boson exchange (TBE) effects in neutrino scattering (QE, DIS)

Relevant for *n* beta decay, extraction of CKM matrix element V_{ud}

$$F(Q^{2}) \xrightarrow{\text{high } Q^{2}} \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right)$$

as in Bjorken & GLS sum rules
$$\overrightarrow{\text{low } Q^{2}} \sum_{V=\rho,A,\rho'} \frac{a_{V}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}$$

vector meson dominance

Marciano, Sirlin, PRL 96, 032002 (2006)

Structure functions at low Q^2

- Conservation of vector current
 - \rightarrow e.m. structure functions vanish in $Q^2 = 0$ limit

 $F_2^{\gamma} \sim Q^2, \qquad F_L^{\gamma} \sim Q^4$

finite photoproduction cross section

- Axial current not conserved
 - → weak structure functions non-zero

 $F_2^W \sim (V \times V) + (A \times A) \sim 0.2$ for ν -⁵⁶Fe

Fleming et al., PRL 86, 5430 (2001)

Behavior of $V \times A$ interference structure functions $F_3^{W, \gamma Z}$ in $Q^2 = 0$ limit not known

 \rightarrow directly affects TBE correction to $\sin^2 \theta_W$ in PVES

Structure functions at low Q^2

Kulagin, Petti PRD **76**, 094023 (2007)

→ dramatically different behavior predicted for electromagnetic and weak $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T$ ratios

Structure functions at low x

- Nuclear shadowing at small x
 - $\rightarrow q, \bar{q} \sim \text{Pomeron}(\mathbf{P}) + \text{Reggeon}(\mathbf{R})$

 $F_2 \sim \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{R}$

 $xF_3~\sim~{\bf P}$ – ${\bf R}$ ${ \longleftarrow}$ divide by smaller number in EMC ratio

 \rightarrow twice as large effect predicted for F_3 than for F_2

Summary

- Many areas of complementarity between physics of e and ν beams
 - → cannot have full understanding of nucleon & nuclear structure without input from both
- Need for precision neutrino measurements → especially in "nonperturbative" region at low Q^2 , W^2
- Nuclear dependence
 - \rightarrow range of nuclei, including deuterium & hydrogen

(theorists' dream!)

The End