# **Dynamical coupled-channel analysis of** $\pi N \rightarrow \pi \pi N$ reaction

#### Hiroyuki Kamano Excited Baryon Analysis Center (EBAC), Jefferson Lab

#### In collaboration with B. Julia-Diaz, T.-S.H. Lee, A. Matsuyama, T. Sato





## **Outline of the talk**

- ✓ Brief introduction of activities in EBAC @ JLab
- ✓ Motivation for the analysis of  $\pi$  N →  $\pi$   $\pi$  N reaction
- Dynamical coupled-channel model
- Results (very preliminary!)

## Summary





# Introduction : activities in EBAC (1)

#### Excited Baryon Analysis Center (EBAC) @ Jefferson Lab

http://ebac-theory.jlab.org/

**Explore nature of nucleon resonances** from analyzing world data of meson production reactions on the nucleon :  $\pi N, \gamma N, \gamma^* N \rightarrow \pi N, \pi \pi N, \eta N, \omega N, \phi N, KY, ...$ 

- ✓ Transition form factors of N\*states
- ✓ Pole position of N\* states on the complex energy plane
- ✓ Search for new N\* states

Related to the quark-gluon substructure of N\* states





- - -



# **Introduction : activities in EBAC (2)**







# Introduction : activities in EBAC (3)

#### First stage of model construction has been completed.

Julia-Diaz, Lee, Matsuyama, Sato, PRC76 065201 (2007)

Fix all parameters by fitting to the empirical  $\pi N$  partial wave amp. from SAID analysis







#### Describe well up to W ~ 2 GeV!





# Introduction : activities in EBAC (3)



## Jefferson Lab



## **Motivation : why pi pi N reaction?**

Above W = 1.5 GeV, cross sections of  $\pi$  N elastic and  $\pi \pi$  N reactions can be comparable with each other :

$$\sigma(\pi N \to \pi N) \sim \sigma(\pi N \to \pi \pi N)$$



Expect that

✓ large coupled-channel effect can occur between the  $\pi$  N and  $\pi \pi$  N channels.

 additional constraints (which may be even severe) on N\* parameters could be obtained.

 $N^* \to \pi N, \ \pi \Delta, \ \sigma N, \ \rho N$ 

**Particularly for** 





#### **Dynamical coupled-channel model** for meson production reactions (1)

Matsuyama, Sato, Lee Phys. Rep. 439 (2007) 193



#### **Dynamical coupled-channel model** for meson production reactions (2)

$$T_{\pi N \to \pi \pi N}(E) = T^{\pi N}(E) + T^{\pi \Delta}(E) + T^{\sigma N}(E) + T^{\rho N}(E) + T^{\text{direct}}(E)$$



Attach appropriate Green functions ( ) and vertex functions ( ) to  $\pi N$  (on-shell)  $\rightarrow MB$  (off-shell) amplitude





#### **Dynamical coupled-channel model** for meson production reactions (3)







## **Treatment of resonance states**

All 4-star and most 3-star resonances below 2 GeV are included **assuming** them as **CDD poles** (genuine 3-quark states).

	I	1 = 1/2	_	I = 3/2				
	# of CDD poles	Resonances listed in PDG			# of CDD poles	Resonances listed in PDG		
S11	2	N(1535) N(1650)		S31	1	∆ <mark>(1620)</mark>		
P11	2	N(1440) N(1710)		P31	1	<b>∆(1910)</b>		
P13	1	N(1720)		P33	2	$\Delta(1232) \Delta(1600)$		
D13	1	N(1520)		D33	1	Δ <b>(1700)</b>		
D15	1	N(1675)		D35	0			
F15	1	N(1680)		F35	1	∆ <b>(1905)</b>		
F17	0			F37	1	Δ <b>(1950)</b>		

(N,  $\Delta$  = \*\*\*\* -resonance, N,  $\Delta$  = \*\*\* -resonance)





## **Procedures**



✓ Varying only parameters of the bare vertex functions associated with  $N^* \rightarrow \pi N, \pi \Delta, \sigma N, \rho N$  decays

Bare vertex for  $N^* \rightarrow MB$  with orbital angular momentum *L* and total spin *S* 

$$\Gamma_{N^*,(MB)_{LS}}(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_N}} C_{N^*,(MB)_{LS}} \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{N^*,(MB)_{LS}}^2 \\ \Lambda_{N^*,(MB)_{LS}}^2 + k^2 \end{bmatrix}^{2+L} \left(\frac{k}{m_\pi}\right)^L$$
Coupling
Coupling
Constant
Cutoff
parameters





#### **Predicted** $\pi N \rightarrow \pi \pi N$ **total cross sections**







## **First trial of fit**







## **Current results (preliminary)**







# **Change in parameters (preliminary)**

#### **Resonance parameters in P33 wave**

$C_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\pi N)_{L=1,S=1/2}}$	$1.31 \rightarrow$	1.28	$C_{P_{33}2nd,(\pi N)_{L=1,S=1/2}}$	1.32	$\rightarrow$	1.44
$C_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\pi\Delta)_{L=0,S=3/2}}$	$1.08 \rightarrow$	1.25	$C_{P_{33}2nd,(\pi\Delta)_{L=0,S=3/2}}$	2.04	$\rightarrow$	4.76
$C_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\pi\Delta)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	1.52 →	2.316	$C_{P_{33}2nd,(\pi\Delta)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	9.54	$\rightarrow$	10.16
$C_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\rho N)_{L=1,S=1/2}}$	$2.01 \rightarrow$	2.14	$C_{P_{33}2nd,(\rho N)_{L=1,S=1/2}}$	-0.32	$\rightarrow$	-0.13
$C_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\rho N)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	$-1.25 \rightarrow$	-1.14	$C_{P_{33}2nd,(\rho N)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	1.0358	$\rightarrow$	1.0551
$C_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\rho N)_{L=3,S=3/2}}$	0.38 →	0.49	$C_{P_{33}2nd,(\rho N)_{L=3,S=3/2}}$	0.77	$\rightarrow$	1.96
$P_{33}$ 1st, $(\pi N)_{L=1,S=1/2}$	746.20 →	817.80	$P_{33}$ 2nd, $(\pi N)_{L=1,S=1/2}$	880.72	$\rightarrow$	885.82
$\Lambda_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\pi\Delta)_{L=0,S=3/2}}$	846.38 →	758.57	$\Lambda_{P_{33}2\mathrm{nd},(\pi\Delta)_{L=0,S=3/2}}$	507.29	$\rightarrow$	519.04
$\Lambda_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\pi\Delta)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	780.96 →	684.58	$\Lambda_{P_{33}2\mathrm{nd},(\pi\Delta)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	501.74	$\rightarrow$	524.9
$\Lambda_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\rho N)_{L=1,S=1/2}}$	584.98 →	559.30	$\Lambda_{P_{33}2nd,(\rho N)_{L=1,S=1/2}}$	606.79	$\rightarrow$	613.48
$\Lambda_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\rho N)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	500.24 →	558.49	$\Lambda_{P_{33}2nd,(\rho N)_{L=1,S=3/2}}$	1043.40	$\rightarrow$	1046.90
$\Lambda_{P_{33}1\text{st},(\rho N)_{L=3,S=3/2}}$	1369.13 →	1074.10	$\Lambda_{P_{33}2nd,(\rho N)_{L=3,S=3/2}}$	528.27	$\rightarrow$	538.18
			1			

→ : 20-100 % change

 $\rightarrow$  : > 100 % change





# **Current results (very preliminary)**







### **Summary**

- ✓ Have performed simultaneous fit of the model to the  $\pi$  N and  $\pi \pi$  N channels.
- ✓ Allowing 20-50% variation of parameters results in a little improvement of  $\pi$  N →  $\pi \pi$  N total cross sections.
- ✓ Inclusion of  $\pi \pi N$  channel to fitting could cause significant rearrangements of the N\* parameters
- ✓ Simultaneous consideration of  $\pi$  N and  $\pi \pi$  N channel seems inevitable to construct any reliable hadron reaction model below 2 GeV



