

Huey-Wen Lin





11th International Baryons Conference Seoul, Korea



- Nucleon Properties
 - Axial coupling charge
 - Structure functions



- Charge radius and Goldberger-Treiman relation $(N_f = 2)$
- Summary/Outlook: I
- From Hyperon Analysis



- Proton strangeness magnetic moment
- Σ and Ξ axial coupling prediction
- Summary/Outlook: II

Background: Lattice 101

Lattice Gauge Theory

Physical observables are calculated from the path integral $\langle \Omega | O | \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int [dU] [d\overline{\psi}] [d\psi] O(U, \overline{\psi}, \psi) e^{i \int d^4 x [S_F(U, \overline{\psi}, \psi) + S_G(U)]}$

Lattice QCD is a discrete version of continuum QCD theory





• Use Monte Carlo integration combined with the "importance sampling" technique to calculate the path integral.



Take $a \to 0$ and $V \to \infty$ for the "continuum limit"

Lattice Gauge Theory

Physical observables are calculated from the path integral $\langle \Omega | O | \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int [dU] [d\overline{\psi}] [d\psi] O(U, \overline{\psi}, \psi) e^{i \int d^4 x [S_F(U, \overline{\psi}, \psi) + S_G(U)]}$

Lattice QCD is a discrete version of continuum QCD theory





• Use Monte Carlo integration combined with the "importance sampling" technique to calculate the path integral.

• Chiral extrapolation $m_{\pi} \rightarrow (m_{\pi})_{\text{phys}}$

Lattice Fermion Actions

Chiral fermions (e.g., Domain-Wall/Overlap):

- Automatically O(a) improved, suitable for spin physics and weak matrix elements
- Expensive

$$D_{x,s;x',s'} = \delta_{x,x'} D_{s,s'}^{\perp} + \delta_{s,s'} D_{x,x'}^{\parallel}$$

$$D_{s,s'}^{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} [(1 - \gamma_5)\delta_{s+1,s'} + (1 + \gamma_5)\delta_{s-1,s'} - 2\delta_{s,s'}] - \frac{m_f}{2} [(1 - \gamma_5)\delta_{s,L_s-1}\delta_{0,s'} + (1 + \gamma_5)\delta_{s,0}\delta_{L_s-1}]$$



(Improved) Staggered fermions (asqtad):

- Relatively cheap for dynamical fermions (good)
- Mixing among parities and flavours or "tastes"
- Baryonic operators a nightmare not suitable

Mixed actions:

- Staggered sea (cheap) with Domain-Wall valence (chiral)
- Match the sea Goldstone pion mass to the DWF pion



Green Functions

Interpolating field for a baryon $J_{\alpha}\left(\vec{p},t\right) = \sum_{\vec{x},a,b,c} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \epsilon^{abc} \left[u_{a}^{T}(y_{1},t)C\gamma_{5}d_{b}(y_{2},t) \right] u_{c,\alpha}(y_{3},t)\phi(y_{1}-x)\phi(y_{2}-x)\phi(y_{3}-x)$

Two-point function with projection $C_{2\text{pt}}\left(\vec{p},t\right) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\frac{1+\gamma_4}{2}\right)_{\alpha\beta} \langle J_{\beta}\left(\vec{p},t\right) \overline{J}_{\alpha}\left(\vec{p},0\right) \rangle$

Three-point function with connected piece only

$$C_{\mathsf{3pt}}^{\Gamma,\mathcal{O}}\left(\overrightarrow{p},t,\tau\right) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \Gamma^{\alpha,\beta} \langle J_{\beta}\left(\overrightarrow{p},t\right) \mathcal{O}(\tau) \overline{J}_{\alpha}\left(\overrightarrow{p},0\right) \rangle$$



Ratio Construction

Two-point function

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{NN}_{AB}(t_i, t_f, \overrightarrow{p} \; ; \; T) &\to \\ a^6 Z^N_B(p) Z^N_A(p) \sum_s T_{\alpha\beta} \overline{u}_\alpha(\overrightarrow{p}, s) u_\beta(\overrightarrow{p}, s) \\ &\times \frac{m_N}{E_N(\overrightarrow{p})} e^{-(t_f - t_i)E_N(\overrightarrow{p})} \\ &= \left(\frac{E_N(\overrightarrow{p}) + m_N}{2E_N(\overrightarrow{p})}\right) e^{-(t_f - t_i)E_N(\overrightarrow{p})} \end{split}$$

Three—point function

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{\mu,AB}^{NN}(t_i,t,t_f,\overrightarrow{p}_i,\overrightarrow{p}_f;\,T) \rightarrow \\ &= \frac{m_N^2}{E_N(\overrightarrow{p}_f)E_N(\overrightarrow{p}_i)} e^{-(t_f-t)E_N(\overrightarrow{p}_f)} e^{-(t-t_i)E_N(\overrightarrow{p}_i)} \\ &\sum_{s,s'} T_{\alpha\beta}Z_B(p_f)u_\beta(\overrightarrow{p}_f,s') \\ &\left\langle N(\overrightarrow{p}_f,s') \left| j_\mu(0) \right| N(\overrightarrow{p}_i,s) \right\rangle \bar{u}_\alpha(\overrightarrow{p}_i,s) Z_A(p_i) \end{split}$$

Ratio cancels out t and Z dependence

$$R_{j\mu} = \frac{Z_V \Gamma_{\mu,GG}^{(3),P}(t_{\rm src}, t, t_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm src}, \vec{p}_{\rm snk})}{\Gamma_{GG}^{(2),P_+}(t_{\rm src}, t_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm snk})} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{LG}^{(2),P_+}(t_{\rm src}, t_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm snk})}{\Gamma_{LG}^{(2),P_+}(t_{\rm src}, t_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm snk})}} \\ \times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{LG}^{(2),P_+}(t_{\rm src}, t_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm snk})}{\Gamma_{LG}^{(2),P_+}(t_{\rm src}, t_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm snc})}} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{GG}^{(2),P_+}(t_{\rm src}, t, \vec{p}_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm snk})}{\Gamma_{GG}^{(2),P_+}(t_{\rm src}, t_{\rm snk}, \vec{p}_{\rm src})}}$$

Nucleon Structure

(work in progress)

in collaboration with

Tom Blum, Shigemi Ohta, Kostas Orginos, Shoichi Sasaki and Takeshi Yamazaki



2+1-flavor RBC/UKQCD Ensembles

Generated jointly by RBC and UKQCD

- ♦ Iwasaki gauge action ($c_1 = -0.331$)
- Pion mass range: 300–625 MeV

• $V_{\text{lat}} = 24^3 \times 64, a \approx 0.125 \text{ fm}, L_s = 16, M_5 = 1.8$

TABLE I: Gaussian-smeared source parameters

$m_{\rm sea}$	0.01	0.02	0.03
$t_{\rm snk} - t_{\rm src}$	12	12	12
$t_{ m src}$	0, 16, 32, 48	0, 16, 32, 48	0, 16, 32, 48
# of conf.	119	49	54
$m_{\pi} (\text{GeV})$	0.399(3)	0.535(3)	0.625(3)
$m_N \; (\text{GeV})$	1.169(19)	1.204(13)	1.474(18)

C. Allton, et al., RBC/UKQCD collaborations, coming soon





Axial Charge Coupling

Isovector vector and axial-vector current

$$\langle p|V_{\mu}^{\dagger}(0)|n\rangle = \overline{u}_{p}[\gamma_{\mu}g_{V}(q^{2}) - q_{\mu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}g_{T}(q^{2})]u_{n}$$
$$\langle p|A_{\mu}^{\dagger}(0)|n\rangle = \overline{u}_{p}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}g_{A}(q^{2}) - iq_{\mu}\gamma_{5}g_{P}(q^{2})]u_{n}$$

• Chiral symmetry gives $Z_A = Z_V = 1/g_V$

\rightarrow Continuum χ PT extrapolation (SSE scheme)

 $\begin{aligned} & \textit{T. R. Hemmert et al., J. Phys. G24, 1831 (1998)} \\ & \textit{T. R. Hemmert et al., Phys. Rev. D68, 075009 (2003)} \\ g_A^{\rm SSE}(m_\pi^2) \; = \; g_A^0 + \left[4C_{\rm SSE}(\lambda) - \frac{(g_A^0)^3}{16\pi^2 f_\pi^2} - \frac{25c_A^2 g_1}{324\pi^2 f_\pi^2} + \frac{19c_A^2 g_A^0}{108\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \right] m_\pi^2 \\ & - \frac{m_\pi^2}{4\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left[(g_A^0)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \, g_A^0 \right] \ln \frac{m_\pi}{\lambda} + \frac{4c_A^2 g_A^0}{27\pi\Delta_0 f_\pi^2} \, m_\pi^3 \\ & + \left[25c_A^2 g_1 \Delta_0^2 - 57c_A^2 g_A^0 \Delta_0^2 - 24c_A^2 g_A^0 m_\pi^2 \right] \frac{\sqrt{m_\pi^2 - \Delta_0^2}}{81\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \Delta_0} \arccos \frac{\Delta_0}{m_\pi} \\ & + \frac{25c_A^2 g_1 \left(2\Delta_0^2 - m_\pi^2 \right)}{162\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \ln \frac{2\Delta_0}{m_\pi} + \frac{c_A^2 g_A^0 \left(3m_\pi^2 - 38\Delta_0^2 \right)}{54\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \ln \frac{2\Delta_0}{m_\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \end{aligned}$



Axial Charge Coupling

Isovector vector and axial-vector current

$$\langle p|V_{\mu}^{\dagger}(0)|n\rangle = \overline{u}_{p}[\gamma_{\mu}g_{V}(q^{2}) - q_{\mu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}g_{T}(q^{2})]u_{n}$$
$$\langle p|A_{\mu}^{\dagger}(0)|n\rangle = \overline{u}_{p}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}g_{A}(q^{2}) - iq_{\mu}\gamma_{5}g_{P}(q^{2})]u_{n}$$

• Chiral symmetry gives $Z_A = Z_V = 1/g_V$

• Continuum χ PT extrapolation (SSE scheme)

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}\left(\Gamma_{NN}\right) &\equiv \delta g_{A} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{3\pi^{2}f^{2}} \left[g_{A}^{3}\mathbf{F_{1}} \right] \\ &+ \left(g_{\Delta N}^{2}g_{A} + \frac{25}{81}g_{\Delta N}^{2}g_{\Delta \Delta} \right) \mathbf{F_{2}} \\ &+ \left(g_{\Delta N}^{2}g_{A} + \frac{25}{81}g_{\Delta N}^{2}g_{\Delta \Delta} \right) \mathbf{F_{2}} \\ &+ g_{A}\mathbf{F_{3}} + g_{\Delta N}^{2}g_{A}\mathbf{F_{4}} \right] \\ &+ g_{A}\mathbf{F_{3}} + g_{\Delta N}^{2}g_{A}\mathbf{F_{4}} \right] \\ \mathbf{F_{2}}(m, \Delta, L) &= -\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \left[\frac{K_{1}(mL|\mathbf{n}|)}{mL|\mathbf{n}|} \\ &+ \frac{\Delta^{2} - m^{2}}{m^{2}}K_{0}(mL|\mathbf{n}|) - \frac{\Delta}{m^{2}}\int_{m}^{\infty} d\beta \\ &\times \frac{2\beta K_{0}(\beta L|\mathbf{n}|) + (\Delta^{2} - m^{2})L|\mathbf{n}| K_{1}(\beta L|\mathbf{n}|)}{\sqrt{\beta^{2} + \Delta^{2} - m^{2}}} \right] \\ \end{split}$$

$$S. R. Beane et al., Phys. Rev. D70, 074029 (2004) \\ \mathbf{F_{1}}(m, L) &= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \left[K_{0}(mL|\mathbf{n}|) - \frac{K_{1}(mL|\mathbf{n}|)}{mL|\mathbf{n}|} \right] \\ \mathbf{F_{1}}(m, L) &= \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \left[K_{0}(mL|\mathbf{n}|) - \frac{K_{1}(mL|\mathbf{n}|)}{mL|\mathbf{n}|} \right] \\ \mathbf{F_{3}}(m, L) &= -\frac{3}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{K_{1}(mL|\mathbf{n}|)}{mL|\mathbf{n}|} - \frac{\pi e^{-mL|\mathbf{n}|}}{2\Delta L|\mathbf{n}|} \\ \mathbf{F_{4}}(m, \Delta, L) &= \frac{8}{9} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}} \left[\frac{K_{1}(mL|\mathbf{n}|)}{mL|\mathbf{n}|} - \frac{\pi e^{-mL|\mathbf{n}|}}{2\Delta L|\mathbf{n}|} \right] \\ - \frac{\Delta^{2} - m^{2}}{m^{2}\Delta} \int_{m}^{\infty} d\beta \frac{\beta K_{0}(\beta L|\mathbf{n}|)}{\sqrt{\beta^{2} + \Delta^{2} - m^{2}}} \right],$$



Axial Charge Coupling

Isovector vector and axial-vector current

$$\langle p|V_{\mu}^{\dagger}(0)|n\rangle = \overline{u}_{p}[\gamma_{\mu}g_{V}(q^{2}) - q_{\mu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}g_{T}(q^{2})]u_{n}$$

 $\langle p|A^{\dagger}_{\mu}(0)|n\rangle = \overline{u}_{p}[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}g_{A}(q^{2}) - iq_{\mu}\gamma_{5}g_{P}(q^{2})]u_{n}$

- Chiral symmetry gives $Z_A = Z_V = 1/g_V$
- Continuum χPT extrapolation (SSE scheme)





Axial Charge Coupling: Global View

Comparison among various lattice results (Lattice 2006)
 Add in the lightest pion mass point (310 MeV)



K. Orginos et al., Phys.Rev.D73:094507, 2005; H.-W. Lin et al., coming soon M. Guertler et al., PoS(LAT2006)107; D. Pleiter et al., PoS(LAT2006)120 D. Renner et al., PoS(LAT2006)121



List of Operators: lowest moments only





Chiral extrapolation formulae for each quantity

Chen et al., Nucl. Phys. A707, 452 (2002); Phys. Lett. B523, 107 (2001)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle_{u-d} &= C \left[1 - \frac{3g_A^2 + 1}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} m_\pi^2 \ln\left(\frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right] \\ &+ e(\mu^2) \frac{m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \\ \end{aligned} \\ \begin{aligned} &+ \tilde{e}(\mu^2) \frac{m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \\ \end{aligned} \\ \end{aligned} \\ \begin{aligned} &+ \tilde{e}(\mu^2) \frac{m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Linear ansatz

Linear ansatz

RI/MOM-scheme nonperturbative renormalization (except for d₁)



Chiral extrapolations: lowest moments only 0.25 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.15 $< x > \Delta_{t1} - \Delta_{d1}$ $x >_{u-d}$ 0.1 0.1 0.05 0 0 -0.05-0.10.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 m_{π}^2 (GeV²) m_{π}^2 (GeV²) 0.1 1.8 0.08 1.6 1.4 0.06 ⊼້ 1.2 ⊽ 1 -5 0.04 1 0.02 0.8 0 0.6 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.1 0.5 0.3 0.4 0.1 0.2 0.5 m_{π}^2 (GeV²) $m_{\pi}^{2}(\text{GeV}^{2})$



Comparison among calculations of the first moment of the momentum fraction



K. Orginos et al., Phys.Rev.D73:094507, 2005; H.-W. Lin et al., coming soon M. Guertler et al., PoS(LAT2006)107; D. Pleiter et al., PoS(LAT2006)120 D. Renner et al., PoS(LAT2006)121



Comparison among calculations of the first moment of the helicity distribution



K. Orginos et al., Phys.Rev.D73:094507, 2005; H.-W. Lin et al., coming soon D. Renner et al., PoS(LAT2006)121



Nucleon Isovector Form Factor (F_1^{u-d})

2+1-flavor analysis not yet confirmed

2-flavor DWF data with pion mass: 500–700 MeV





Goldberger-Treiman Relation

The Goldberger-Treiman relation states: $q^{2} \frac{G_{P}(q^{2})}{2m_{N}} - 2m_{N}G_{A}(q^{2}) = -\frac{g_{\pi NN}F_{\pi}m_{\pi}^{2}}{q^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2}}$ A measure of the discrepancy
$$\begin{split} \Delta_{GT}(q^2) &= 1 - \frac{q^2 + m_{\pi,\text{lat}}^2}{2m_{N,\text{lat}}} \frac{q^2 G_{P,\text{lat}}(q^2) - 4m_{N,\text{lat}}^2 G_{A,\text{lat}}(q^2)}{g_{\pi NN,\text{lat}} F_{\pi,\text{lat}} m_{\pi,\text{lat}}^2} \\ \text{with} \ g_{\pi NN}^{\text{lat}} &= \frac{2m_N^{\text{lat}} g_A^{\text{lat}}}{F_{\pi}^{\text{lat}}} \end{split}$$
14 $m_{sea} = 0.02$ $m_{sea} = 0.03$ $m_{sea} = 0.04$ 12 0 ¥ 10 ⊉ ∳ $(b) = \frac{1}{\nabla} (b) = \frac{1}{\nabla}$ gann 8 6 4 -32 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.40.5 0 0.5 1.5 2 m_{π}^2 (GeV²) $q^2(GeV^2)$



Summary/Outlook: I

Nucleon structure functions and form factors

- Work in progress: Full-QCD calculation with pion masses 400–600 MeV
- Preliminary study shows good agreement with experiment, even for notoriously difficult quantities, such as momentum fraction and helicity distribution

In the near future

- 300 MeV pion analysis is on the way (Lattice 2007)
- Taking more statistics at each pion mass
- Finite-volume studied (combined UKQCD 16³×32 data)
- Lattice discretization effects will be examined
 - $(32^3 \times 64, a \sim 0.09 \text{ fm lattices are on the way})$

Within a few years or so

<200 MeV full-QCD gauge generation proposal</p>



Hyperon Channel (preliminary) in collaboration with

Kostas Orginos



Parameters

This calculation:

- Mixed action (staggered sea with DWF valence), 2+1-flavor
- Pion mass range: 360–700 MeV
- Strange-strange Goldstone fixed at 763(2) MeV
- Volume fixed at 2.6 fm
- → $a \approx 0.125$ fm, $L_s = 16$, $M_5 = 1.7$
- HYP-smeared gauge, box size of $20^3 \times 32$

Label	m_{π} (MeV)	$m_K \; ({\rm MeV})$
m010	358(2)	605(2)
m020	503(2)	653(2)
m030	599(1)	688(2)
m040	689(2)	730(2)

- Disconnected diagrams are challenging
- Much effort has been put into resolving this difficulty
- Alternative approach:

D. B. Leinweber, Phys. Rev. D 53, 5115 (1996).

Assume charge symmetry:

$$p = e_u u^p + e_d d^p + O_N; \qquad n = e_d u^p + e_u d^p + O_N,$$

$$\Sigma^+ = e_u u^{\Sigma} + e_s s^{\Sigma} + O_{\Sigma}; \qquad \Sigma^- = e_d u^{\Sigma} + e_s s^{\Sigma} + O_{\Sigma},$$

$$\Xi^0 = e_s s^{\Xi} + e_u u^{\Xi} + O_{\Xi}; \qquad \Xi^- = e_s s^{\Xi} + e_d u^{\Xi} + O_{\Xi}.$$

• The disconnected piece for the proton is $O_N = \frac{2}{3}{}^l G_M^u - \frac{1}{3}{}^l G_M^d - \frac{1}{3}{}^l G_M^s$

The strangeness contribution is

$$G_M^s = \left(\frac{{}^l R_d^s}{1 - {}^l R_d^s}\right) \left[2p + n - \frac{u^p}{u^{\Sigma}}(\Sigma^+ - \Sigma^-)\right]$$

$$G_M^s = \left(\frac{{}^l R_d^s}{1 - {}^l R_d^s}\right) \left[p + 2n - \frac{u^n}{u^{\Xi}} (\Xi^0 - \Xi^-)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad {}^l R_d^s \equiv {}^l G_M^s / {}^l G_M^d$$

- Disconnected diagrams are challenging
- Much effort has been put into resolving this difficulty
- Alternative approach:

D. B. Leinweber, Phys. Rev. D 53, 5115 (1996).

Assume charge symmetry:

$$p = e_u u^p + e_d d^p + O_N; \qquad n = e_d u^p + e_u d^p + O_N,$$

$$\Sigma^+ = e_u u^{\Sigma} + e_s s^{\Sigma} + O_{\Sigma}; \qquad \Sigma^- = e_d u^{\Sigma} + e_s s^{\Sigma} + O_{\Sigma},$$

$$\Xi^0 = e_s s^{\Xi} + e_u u^{\Xi} + O_{\Xi}; \qquad \Xi^- = e_s s^{\Xi} + e_d u^{\Xi} + O_{\Xi}.$$

The disconnected piece for the proton is $O_N = \frac{2}{3}{}^l G_M^u - \frac{1}{3}{}^l G_M^d - \frac{1}{3}{}^l G_M^s$

The strangeness contribution is

 $G_M^s = \left(\frac{{}^l R_d^s}{1 - {}^l R_d^s}\right) \left[3.673 - \frac{u^p}{u^{\Sigma}}(3.618)\right] \mu_N$

Need better statistics

 $G_M^s = \left(\frac{{}^l R_d^s}{1 - {}^l R_d^s}\right) \left[-1.033 - \frac{u^n}{u^{\Xi}}(-0.599)\right] \mu_N \quad \text{with} \quad {}^l R_d^s \equiv {}^l G_M^s / {}^l G_M^d$

- Tipole-form extrapolation to $q^2 = 0$
- Magnetic-moment ratios (linear extrapolation, for now)



- Dipole-form extrapolation to $q^2 = 0$
- Magnetic-moment ratios (linear extrapolation, for now)



- Tipole-form extrapolation to $q^2 = 0$
- Magnetic-moment ratios (linear extrapolation, for now)



Axial Coupling Constants: $g_{\Xi\Xi}$ and $g_{\Sigma\Sigma}$

- Has applications such as hyperon scattering, non-leptonic decays, etc.
- Cannot be determined by experiment
- Existing predictions from χPT and large-N_c calculations

M. J. Savage et al., Phys. Rev. D55, 5376 (1997); B. Flores-Mendieta et al., Phys. D58, 094028(1998); $0.18 < -g_{\Xi\Xi} < 0.36$ $0.30 < g_{\Sigma\Sigma} < 0.55$

We find consistent numbers with much smaller errors



Summary/Outlook: II

From hyperon analysis

- Preliminary estimate of the proton strange magnetic moment directly from full QCD: -0.07(3)
- ♦ Predictions for $g_{\Sigma\Sigma} = 0.441(14)$ and $g_{\Xi\Xi} = -0.277(11)$
- DWF are too expensive to get small errors with light pion masses, especially as the < 300 MeV era approaches</p>

In the future

- Anisotropic 2+1-flavor clover lattices will be started soon
- Proposed light pion mass < 200 MeV in a few years</p>
- Obvious cost benefit right away; share propagators
- Anisotropic clover is good for separating excited contributions; thus cleaner ground-state signal for precision calculation