


# Two-photon exchange in elastic $e$ scattering

*Wally Melnitchouk*

*Jefferson Lab*


+ *J. Tjon (Maryland/JLab), P. Blunden, S. Kondratyuk (Manitoba)*



# Outline

- Two-photon exchange and nucleon structure
- Extraction of proton  $G_E/G_M$  ratio
  - Rosenbluth separation and polarization transfer
- Excited state contributions
  - $\Delta$ ,  $N^*(1/2^+)$ ,  $N^*(1/2^-)$  contributions
- Effect on *neutron* form factors
- Summary

# Proton $G_E/G_M$ Ratio



Rosenbluth (Longitudinal-Transverse)  
Separation

Polarization Transfer

LT

$$\sigma_R = G_M^2(Q^2) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} G_E^2(Q^2)$$

$$\tau = Q^2/4M^2$$

$$\varepsilon = [1 + 2(1 + \tau) \tan^2 \theta/2]^{-1}$$

PT

$$\frac{G_E}{G_M} = -\sqrt{\frac{\tau(1 + \varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}} \frac{P_T}{P_L}$$

$P_{T,L}$  polarization of recoil proton

$G_E/G_M$  from slope in  $\varepsilon$  plot

# Two-photon exchange & nucleon structure



# QED Radiative Corrections

cross section modified by  $1\gamma$  loop effects


$$d\sigma = d\sigma_0 (1 + \delta)$$



$\delta$  contains additional  $\epsilon$  dependence





mostly from box (and crossed box) diagram



→ can modify  $\epsilon$  dependence in  $d\sigma_0$

# Box diagram



elastic contribution

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\gamma} = e^4 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{N(k)}{D(k)}$$

where

$$N(k) = \bar{u}(p_3) \gamma_\mu (\not{p}_1 - \not{k} + m_e) \gamma_\nu u(p_1) \\ \times \bar{u}(p_4) \Gamma^\mu(q - k) (\not{p}_2 + \not{k} + M) \Gamma^\nu(k) u(p_2)$$

and

$$D(k) = (k^2 - \lambda^2) ((k - q)^2 - \lambda^2) \\ \times ((p_1 - k)^2 - m^2) ((p_2 + k)^2 - M^2)$$


with  $\lambda$  an IR regulator, and e.m. current is

$$\Gamma^\mu(q) = \gamma^\mu F_1(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2M} F_2(q^2)$$

## Various approximations to $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma\gamma}$ used

- Mo-Tsai: soft  $\gamma$  approximation
  - integrand most singular when  $k = 0$  and  $k = q$
  - replace  $\gamma$  propagator which is not at pole by  $1/q^2$
  - approximate numerator  $N(k) \approx N(0)$
  - neglect all structure effects
- Maximon-Tjon: improved loop calculation
  - exact treatment of propagators
  - still evaluate  $N(k)$  at  $k = 0$
  - first study of form factor effects
  - additional  $\varepsilon$  dependence
- Blunden-WM-Tjon: exact loop calculation
  - no approximation in  $N(k)$  or  $D(k)$
  - include form factors

# Two-photon correction



$\delta_{\text{full}}^{(2\gamma)} - \delta_{\text{Mo-Tsai}}^{(2\gamma)}$

$\delta^{(2\gamma)} \rightarrow$

$$\frac{2\text{Re}\{\mathcal{M}_0^\dagger \mathcal{M}_{\gamma\gamma}\}}{|\mathcal{M}_0|^2}$$

*Blunden, WM, Tjon  
PRL 91 (2003) 142304;  
PRC72 (2005) 034612*


➡ few % magnitude

➡ positive slope

➡ non-linearity in  $\epsilon$




# Two-photon correction



*Blunden, WM, Tjon*  
*PRL 91 (2003) 142304;*  
*PRC72 (2005) 034612*

# Two-photon correction





*Blunden, WM, Tjon*  
*PRL 91 (2003) 142304;*  
*PRC72 (2005) 034612*

\* different  
form factors

{ *Mergell, Meissner, Drechsel (1996)*  
*Brash et al. (2002)*  
*Arrington LT  $G_E^p$  fit (2004)*  
*Arrington PT  $G_E^p$  fit (2004)*

# Effect on cross section




- ..... Born cross section with PT form factors
- including TPE effects

\* Super-Rosenbluth  
*Qattan et al.,  
PRL 94, 142301 (2005)*

# $e^+ / e^-$ comparison

- $1\gamma$  exchange changes sign under  $e^+ \leftrightarrow e^-$
- $2\gamma$  exchange invariant under  $e^+ \leftrightarrow e^-$
- ratio of  $e^+p / e^-p$  elastic cross sections sensitive to  $\Delta(\varepsilon, Q^2)$



$$R^{e^+e^-} = \frac{d\sigma^{e^+}}{d\sigma^{e^-}}$$

$$\approx 1 - 2\Delta$$

.....  $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

- - -  $Q^2 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$

—  $Q^2 = 6 \text{ GeV}^2$

➔ simultaneous  $e^-p/e^+p$  measurement  
planned in Hall B (to  $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ )

# Generalized form factors

## ■ Generalized electromagnetic current

$$\Gamma^\mu = \tilde{F}_1 \gamma^\mu + \tilde{F}_2 \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2M} + \tilde{F}_3 \frac{\gamma \cdot K P^\mu}{M^2} *$$

$$K = (p_1 + p_3)/2, \quad P = (p_2 + p_4)/2$$

*Goldberger et al. (1957)*

*Guichon, Vanderhaeghen (2003)*

*Chen et al. (2004)*

## ■ $\tilde{F}_i$ are complex functions of $Q^2$ and $\varepsilon$

## ■ In $1\gamma$ exchange limit $\tilde{F}_{1,2}(Q^2, \varepsilon) \rightarrow F_{1,2}(Q^2)$

$$\tilde{F}_3(Q^2, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

\* Note: decomposition not unique


# Generalized form factors

## ■ Generalized (complex) Sachs form factors

$$\tilde{G}_E = G_E + \delta G_E, \quad \tilde{G}_M = G_M + \delta G_M, \quad Y_{2\gamma} = \tilde{\nu} \frac{\tilde{F}_3}{G_M}$$

$K \cdot P / M^2 = \sqrt{\tau(1+\tau)(1+\varepsilon)/(1-\varepsilon)}$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_R = G_M^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} G_E^2 + 2G_M^2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta G_M}{G_M} + Y_{2\gamma} \right\} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\tau} G_E^2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta G_E}{G_E} + \frac{G_M}{G_E} Y_{2\gamma} \right\}$$



⇒ cannot assume all TPE effects reside in  $Y_{2\gamma}$

Extraction of  
proton  $G_E/G_M$  ratio

# $G_E^p / G_M^p$ ratio

- estimate effect of TPE on  $\varepsilon$  dependence
- approximate correction by linear function of  $\varepsilon$

$$1 + \Delta \approx a + b\varepsilon$$

→ reduced cross section is then

$$\sigma_R \approx a G_M^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu^2 \tau} (R^2(1 + \varepsilon b/a) + \mu^2 \tau b/a) \right]$$

where “true” ratio is


$$R^2 = \frac{\tilde{R}^2 - \mu^2 \tau b/a}{1 + \bar{\varepsilon} b/a}$$

“effective” ratio  
contaminated by TPE

average value of  $\varepsilon$   
over range fitted



# $G_E^p / G_M^p$ ratio



Blunden, WM, Tjon  
*Phys. Rev. C*72 (2005) 034612

➡ resolves much of the form factor discrepancy

- how does TPE affect polarization transfer ratio?

$$\rightarrow \tilde{R} = R \left( \frac{1 + \Delta_T}{1 + \Delta_L} \right)$$


where  $\Delta_{L,T} = \delta_{L,T}^{\text{full}} - \delta_{\text{IR}}^{\text{Mo-Tsai}}$  is finite part of  $2\gamma$  contribution relative to IR part of Mo-Tsai

- experimentally measure ratio of polarized to unpolarized cross sections


$$\rightarrow \frac{P_{L,T}^{1\gamma+2\gamma}}{P_{L,T}^{1\gamma}} = \frac{1 + \Delta_{L,T}}{1 + \Delta}$$

# Longitudinal & transverse polarizations

\* Note scales!




→ small effect  
on  $P_L$



→ large effect  
on  $P_T$


# $G_E^p / G_M^p$ ratio




➔ large  $Q^2$  data typically at large  $\varepsilon$

➔  $< 3\%$  suppression at large  $Q^2$

# Normal polarization



# Normal polarization



Excited intermediate states

■ Lowest mass excitation is  $P_{33}$   $\Delta$  resonance

→ relativistic  $\gamma^* N \Delta$  vertex

$$\Gamma_{\gamma \Delta \rightarrow N}^{\nu\alpha}(p, q) \equiv iV_{\Delta in}^{\nu\alpha}(p, q) = i \frac{eF_{\Delta}(q^2)}{2M_{\Delta}^2} \left\{ g_1 [g^{\nu\alpha} \not{p} \not{q} - p^{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} \not{q} - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} p \cdot q + \gamma^{\nu} \not{p} q^{\alpha}] \right. \\ \left. + g_2 [p^{\nu} q^{\alpha} - g^{\nu\alpha} p \cdot q] + (g_3/M_{\Delta}) [q^2 (p^{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} - g^{\nu\alpha} \not{p}) + q^{\nu} (q^{\alpha} \not{p} - \gamma^{\alpha} p \cdot q)] \right\} \gamma_5 T_3$$

form factor  $\frac{\Lambda_{\Delta}^4}{(\Lambda_{\Delta}^2 - q^2)^2}$

→ coupling constants


$g_1$  magnetic → 7

$g_2 - g_1$  electric → 9

$g_3$  Coulomb → -2 ... 0



## ■ Two-photon exchange amplitude with $\Delta$ intermediate state



$$\mathcal{M}_{\Delta}^{\gamma\gamma} = -e^4 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{N_{box}^{\Delta}(k)}{D_{box}^{\Delta}(k)} - e^4 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{N_{x-box}^{\Delta}(k)}{D_{x-box}^{\Delta}(k)}$$


### numerators

$$N_{box}^{\Delta}(k) = \bar{U}(p_4) V_{\Delta in}^{\mu\alpha}(p_2 + k, q - k) [\not{p}_2 + \not{k} + M_{\Delta}] \mathcal{P}_{\alpha\beta}^{3/2}(p_2 + k) V_{\Delta out}^{\beta\nu}(p_2 + k, k) U(p_2) \\ \times \bar{u}(p_3) \gamma_{\mu} [\not{p}_1 - \not{k} + m_e] \gamma_{\nu} u(p_1)$$

$$N_{x-box}^{\Delta}(k) = \bar{U}(p_4) V_{\Delta in}^{\mu\alpha}(p_2 + k, q - k) [\not{p}_2 + \not{k} + M_{\Delta}] \mathcal{P}_{\alpha\beta}^{3/2}(p_2 + k) V_{\Delta out}^{\beta\nu}(p_2 + k, k) U(p_2) \\ \times \bar{u}(p_3) \gamma_{\nu} [\not{p}_3 + \not{k} + m_e] \gamma_{\mu} u(p_1)$$

spin-3/2 projection operator


$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha\beta}^{3/2}(p) = g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta} - \frac{1}{3p^2} (\not{p} \gamma_{\alpha} p_{\beta} + p_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta} \not{p})$$



*Kondratyuk, Blunden, WM, Tjon  
 nucl-th/0506026 (PRL 2005)*

→  $\Delta$  has opposite slope to  $N$


→ cancels some of TPE correction from  $N$



*Kondratyuk, Blunden, WM, Tjon  
nucl-th/0506026 (PRL 2005)*

- ➔ weaker  $\epsilon$  dependence than with  $N$  alone
- ➔ better fit to JLab data!

$$J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{1}{2}^- \quad \text{excited } N^* \text{ states}$$




*Tjon, WM, et al. (2005)*

- ➔ higher mass resonance contributions small
- ➔ enhance nucleon elastic contribution

# Effect on neutron form factors

# Neutron correction




Blunden, WM, Tjon  
Phys. Rev. C72 (2005) 034612

→ since  $G_E^n$  is small, effect may be relatively large

→ sign opposite to proton (since  $\kappa_n < 0$ )

# Effect on neutron LT form factors




*Blunden, WM, Tjon*  
*Phys. Rev. C72 (2005) 034612*

➔ large effect at high  $Q^2$  for LT-separation method

➔ LT method unreliable for neutron

# Effect on neutron PT form factors



*Blunden, WM, Tjon*  
*Phys. Rev. C72 (2005) 034612*

- small correction for PT
- 4% (3%) suppression at  $\epsilon = 0.3$  (0.8) for  $Q^2 = 3$  GeV<sup>2</sup>
- 10% (5%) suppression at  $\epsilon = 0.3$  (0.8) for  $Q^2 = 6$  GeV<sup>2</sup>



# Summary

- First explicit calculation of TPE taking into account nucleon structure
- Nucleon elastic intermediate states resolves most of LT/PT  $G_E^p/G_M^p$  discrepancy
- $\Delta$  excited state opposite sign cf. nucleon, but smaller  $P_{11}(1440)$  and  $S_{11}(1535)$  contributions small
- Effect on neutron form factors large for LT method, small for PT method

The End