

## **Engineering Brief # 31**

Date: April 22, 1983

Subject: INFORMATION: Engineering Brief No. 31, Test of  
Turbojet Powered Airport Ice Removal Equipment

From: Manager, Design and Operations Criteria Division, AAS-100  
To: All Regions

Attn: Chief, Airports Division

Vipond: x63061

The purpose of this type of engineering brief is to keep FAA field offices informed of snow and ice control techniques which have been evaluated, but which are not necessarily known to field offices or ADOs. The information contained in this brief is not necessarily to be construed as general approval by the Office of Airport Standards.

Any comments you care to make concerning this brief will be appreciated.

Enclosure

Vipond/AAS-120/63061/4/11/83/mrg  
cc: AAS-100  
AAS-120  
ARP-11b  
No Control  
CPT (Vipond Disk)

ENGINEERING BRIEF NO. 31

TEST OF TURBOJET POWERED  
AIRPORT ICE REMOVAL EQUIPMENT  
AT MID-STATE AIRPORT, PHILLIPSBURG, PA.

On March 15-16, 1983, a test was held at Mid-State Airport to determine the potential of turbojet powered equipment to remove ice from airport pavements.

Summary of Results:

Under the test conditions, the equipment demonstrated its ability to remove clear, bonded ice from an asphalt pavement and leave a dry, ice-free surface. The ice-free swath varied from 8 to 10 feet with vehicle speed of approximately 2 mph.

Discussion:

Two different models of turbojet powered equipment supplied by the Coverick Corporation of Transfer, Pennsylvania were inspected at the Mid-State Airport. The two different models were model

T52, powered by a rebuilt surplus J52 jet engine and model T57, powered by a rebuilt surplus J57 jet engine. More complete specifications are contained in Attachments 1 and 2. The J52 powered model was inspected at Dulles Airport in August of 1982. Various improvements had been made to the equipment since that inspection, including the addition of remote electric throttle controls that eliminate fuel lines in the operators cab, a simplified starting system, and improved operational malfunction warning systems. After an equipment engineering and construction inspection, an area of asphalt pavement 300 feet x 500 feet was flooded with a hose on the evening of March 15. At approximately 5:30 a.m. on March 16, with weather at the Mid-State Flight Service Station (FSS) of: clear sky, 22 degrees F air temperature, and wind calm the flooded area was completely covered with 1/8" to 1/4" of clear ice. The ice was tested with a sharp instrument at 10 to 15 locations and found to be securely bonded to the pavement. The ice could not be separated from the pavement surface by chopping or scraping, i.e. after chopping/scraping, some ice would still remain on the pavement surface.

A total of three tests were run:

Test (1) - The J52 vehicle was run across the ice patch at approximately 2 mph. Within a swath of approximately 10 feet, about 50 percent of the ice was removed, with the rest remaining bonded to the pavement. The ice that was removed was blown several hundred feet in front of the equipment and did not fall back into the partially cleared swath. When the test was completed, it was observed that the nozzle had not been fully depressed towards the pavement. Neither the operator or the observers had discovered this until the test was over. It was decided that the test would be re-run with a fully deflected nozzle.

Test (2) - The larger J57 vehicle was run next across another portion of the ice patch at approximately 1 mph. This vehicle removed approximately 60 percent of all ice within a swath width of about 10 feet. As before, all loose ice was blown far from the machine and did not accumulate on or near the swath. During the test the nozzle seemed to be too distant from the pavement to be completely effective. However, on this model the nozzle could not be depressed closer to the pavement because of design constraints.

Test (3) - The J52 vehicle was run again over an undisturbed portion of the ice patch. This time the nozzle was dropped to its lower stop limit by the operator. During this test, the vehicle removed 100 percent of all ice in the approximately 10 foot swath width, and left the pavement dry and clear. The improvement in ice cleaning action was apparently due to the lower nozzle position in this test and to the operator heating and melting the ice to form a small pool of water prior to starting the run. The speed of this run was approximately 2 mph.

No damage to the asphalt, crack repair material or painted lines

was observed.

Attached are several photographs (Figures 1 through 4) of both vehicles tested, showing the general layout and characteristics of each.

Conclusions:

The equipment, Model T52, as demonstrated under the test conditions proved capable of completely removing tightly bonded clear ice from airport asphalt pavement within a swath width of approximately 8-10 feet. The surface was left clean and dry and no pavement damage was detectable.

Author's Comments:

I recommend that this equipment incorporate a jet exhaust chute position indicator visible from within the operators cab. The equipment should also have a swath width control and possibly a directional chute for cleaning runway lights.

Based on the recent tests, video films, and discussions with observers from other airports, the equipment has the potential (in addition to removing ice) to:

- (1) Clean snow from around runway edge lights.
- (2) Clean snow and ice from runway in-pavement lights.
- (3) Clean ice and sand from pavement grooves.
- (4) Clean snow from under parked aircraft and equipment.
- (5) Clean debris and FOD from pavements.

Figure 1. Left Side of Model T57

Figure 2. Right Side Model T57

Figure 3. Left Side of Model T52

Figure 4. Right Side of Model T52

ATTACHMENT 1

MODEL T 52

ICE AND SNOW BLOWER

TRUCK CHASSIS MODEL: Ford C 7000  
GVW: 26,500 lbs.

Wheel Base: 153 inches  
 Axle Front: 9,000 lbs.  
 Full Power Steering  
 Axle Rear: 17,500 lbs.  
 Springs:  
     Front: 4,500 lbs.  
     Rear: 11,100 lbs.  
 Brakes: Full Air  
     Front 15 X 3 1/2 inches  
     Rear 16 1/2 X 7 inches  
     Maxi II Spring Set Parking Brake  
 Engine: Caterpillar 3208  
     175 horse power  
 Transmission: Allison Four Speed Automatic  
 Tires: 10:00 X 20 12 ply rating Tube Type  
 Wheels: Cast Spoke  
 Frame: D 18.0 50,000 w/inverted "L" reinforcing  
 Cab: Custom Built - Insulated and Heat Shielded  
 Fuel Tank: 50 gallon Frame Mounted

#### TURBINE AND COMPONENTS

Turbine: Pratt & Whitney J 52  
 Thrust: 7,500 lbs.  
 PTO: Dana Remote Control  
 Hydraulics:  
     Pump: Commercial 3,000 psi 50 gpm  
     Starting Motor: Commercial 3,000 psi 30 gpm  
     Hosing: Synflex Thermoplastic  
         2,500 psi Working Pressure  
         10,000 psi Burst  
     Pressure Relief Valve: Commercial Adjustable Set @  
         2,500 psi  
     Controls: All High Pressure Controls - Remote  
     Oil Supply Tank: 45 gallons  
 Fuel:  
     Control: Bypass System Remote Control 250 - 300 psi  
 working  
     Filters: Canflo  
         Inlet Side: 60 gpm 2 spin on replaceable cartridges  
         Pressure Side: 30 gpm 1 spin on replaceable  
 cartridges  
     Tank: 1,050 gallon capacity Baffled and Vented  
 Over Heat Shut Down: Automatic Set @ 950 - 1,000 degrees F  
 Low Lube Oil Shut Down: Automatic Set @ 3 - 5 psi  
 Positive Ignition System  
 Expanding Exhaust Blast Nozzle w/position Indicator  
 Exhaust Ducting: All 300 Series Stainless Steel

#### ATTACHMENT 2

MODEL TJ 57

#### ICE AND SNOW BLOWER

TRUCK CHASSIS MODEL: Ford C 8000  
 GVW: 34,000 lbs.

Wheel Base: 175 inches  
Axle Front: 12,000 lbs.  
Full Power Steering  
Axle Rear: 23,000 lbs.  
Brakes: Full Air  
Front 15 X 3 1/2 inches  
Rear 16 1/2 X 7 inches  
Maxi II Spring Set Parking Brake  
Engine: Caterpillar 3208  
210 horse power  
Transmission: Allison Four Speed Automatic  
Tires: 10:00 X 20 12 ply rating Tube Type  
Wheels: Cast Spoke  
Frame: Double Channel Riveted Assembly  
Cab: Custom Built - Insulated and Heat Shielded  
Fuel Tank: 50 gallon Frame Mounted

#### TURBINE AND COMPONENTS

Turbine: Pratt & Whitney J 57  
Thrust: 10,000 lbs.  
PTO: Dana Remote Control  
Hydraulics:  
Pump: Commercial 3,000 psi 50 gpm  
Starting Motor: Commercial 3,000 psi 30 gpm  
Hosing: Synflex Thermoplastic  
2,500 psi Working Pressure  
10,000 psi Burst  
Pressure Relief Valve: Commercial Adjustable Set @  
2,500 psi  
Controls: All High Pressure Controls - Remote  
Oil Supply Tank: 45 gallons  
Fuel:  
Control: Bypass System Remote Control 250 - 350 psi  
working  
Filters: Canflo  
Inlet Side: 60 gpm 2 spin on replaceable cartridges  
cartridges  
Pressure Side: 30 gpm 1 spin on replaceable  
cartridges  
Tank: 1,250 gallon capacity Baffled and Vented  
Over Heat Shut Down: Automatic Set @ 950 - 1,000 degrees F  
Low Lube Oil Shut Down: Automatic Set @ 3 - 5 psi  
Positive Ignition System  
Expanding Exhaust Blast Nozzle w/position Indicator  
Exhaust Ducting: All 300 Series Stainless Steel