Vincent M. Sugent 7768 Pleasant Lane Ypsilanti, MI 48197 February 9, 2012

Karen Gorman Deputy Chief, Disclosure Unit U.S. Office of Special Counsel 1730 M Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 20036-4505

Dear Karen,

This serves as an amendment to my DI-11-1675 & 1677 response.

Offered as support to my charge filed March 4, 2011, I sent a video/audio playback, included, that was very similar to mine that took place approximately 16 months after my incident and just over a month from the original filing.

After a request, I received the playback from management, so they had knowledge of the incident and the information was sent to the OIG as well.

The April 2011 departure was turned toward the arrival runway. The arrival executed a missed approach and was unable to turn. The departure was then told to fly runway heading after he became airborne.

In my operational error report the Agency stated that the controller did not ensure the departure course diverged from the missed approach course immediately by at least 30 degrees. If this is true, it is true for both instances. The April 2011 incident was not mentioned in the OIG report, nor was the incident documented as an operational error.

The only reasonable explanation that I can surmise for the April 4, 2011 incident *not being* an operation error, and mine *being* an operational error is that the Agency is harassing me for my protected activities.

Respectfully and Sincerely,

Vincent M. Sugent