# New Retirees and the Stability of the Retirement Decision

by SUSAN GRAD\*

Examination of the benefit awards data under the social security program may furnish at least partial answers to two questions. What is the estimated number retiring each year? How long do they stay retired? The calculation presented here of the number retiring in 1971 takes into account the fact that the date of the award is not necessarily the actual date of retirement The difference has been accentuated since Medicare began and individuals have been filing for benefits solely to obtain hospital insurance protection, continuing to earn at a level that precludes receipt of benefits under the earnings test. This study of the data on payable benefits indicates that only a small proportion of retirees lose benefits because of their return to work within 3 years after award

THE SOCIAL SECURITY Administration has compiled program data on monthly samples of retired-worker beneficiaries newly entitled under the old-age, survivors, disability, and health insurance (OASDHI) program in 1970–72 and followed their payment status for 1- and 3-year periods after the awards 1 One reason for collecting such information is to determine the number of persons who retire under the social security program each year Retirement is a major area of concern for the Social Security Administration and the number of retired workers collecting benefits for the first time is a baseline social indicator of how many Americans are entering this stage of life

Before the introduction of the health insurance program for the aged (Medicare), the only reason for coming on the social security rolls was to begin collecting a cash benefit. Thus, the number of new retired-worker awards was used as a representation of the number of persons retiring under the social security program. At the inception of Medicare in 1965, however, persons aged 65 and over who were still working were urged to file for

\* Division of Retirement and Survivors Studies, Office

their retired-worker benefits in order to receive health insurance protection. They were awarded a cash benefit that was postponed if they were earning substantially more than the retirement-test limit. Although such individuals are on the social security rolls, they are not considered to be retired because they are working at a substantial level and are not collecting benefits. The point of retirement for these persons is the point at which they begin collecting a benefit. Thus, for a given year, the number of new retirees is the total of those persons awarded a currently payable benefit and those awarded a postponed benefit that first becomes payable in that year.

Two other options under the social security program have implications for calculating the humber of persons retiring in a particular year Insured workers do not necessarily become entitled to benefits as of the month in which they file. The effective date of entitlement is the date for which benefits could first become payable, not necessarily the date on which a claim is filed A worker may request that benefit entitlement be effective as many as 12 months before the month of filing (retroactive entitlement) or as many as 3 months afterward (advance filing) "Advance filers" applying at the end of a year may actually begin collecting benefits in the next year Those with retroactive entitlement may collect benefits for some months in the preceding year

This article estimates the number of persons who retired under the social security program in 1971. The calculation begins with the number of payable awards made in 1971, to which are added a number of awards made in 1971 or earlier that were postponed at the time, 1971–72 awards with retroactive entitlement, and 1970 awards made in advance of entitlement—all of them first payable in 1971. A number is calculated for each of four groups—men and women under age 65 and men and women aged 65 and over at entitlement—because differences are expected according to sex and the age at which benefits are first re-

of Research and Statistics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the technical note, pages 11-12 for more details on the sample

Table 1 —Estimated number retiring under the social security program in 1971, by sex and age at entitlement

Status of retired-worker swards		Men aged			Women aged—		
	Total	62-64	65 and older	62-64	65 and older		
Total number (in thousands)	1,132	437	210	870	115		
Payable, 1970-72 (first paid in 1971) Payable at award in 1971 Net awards filed in advance Net awards with retroactive entitlement	875 895 11 9	400 412 -5 -7	63 64 1	351 359 -4 -4	61 60 -1		
Postponed, 1969-72 (first paid in 1971) With retroactive entitlement _ Without retroactive entitle- ment	257 86 171	37 28 9	147 28 119	19 15 4	54 15 39		

ceived Although some persons without social security coverage retire under private pension plans or government programs other than OASDHI, the vast majority of retirees collect social security benefits These numbers therefore provide good estimates of the total population newly retired in the US each year <sup>2</sup>

Once estimates of the number of persons entering retirement are obtained, several questions then arise Do people who retire stay retired? How many periodically work at levels that cause them to have their benefits suspended? Is there a large flow into and out of retirement or is the decision to retire generally made once and for all? Here interest centers on payment-status information obtained after the award for persons whose benefit is immediately payable at award and on data obtained after the benefit becomes payable for those persons whose benefit is post-poned at award

## ESTIMATING THE NUMBER OF PERSONS ENTERING RETIREMENT

#### **Payable Awards**

The estimation procedure begins with the number of persons awarded payable benefits in 1971 (table 1) Adjustments must then be made for advance filing and retroactive entitlement

Adjustment for advance filing—The adjustment for advance filing involves adding the pay-

Table 2—Number of retired-worker awards, by payment status at award and type of entitlement, and by sex, age at entitlement, and year

	М	en	Women		
Year	62-64	65 and older	62-64	65 and older	
	Pay	able awards i	iled in advar	ıce	
1970	175,000 196,000	28 000 32,000	181,000 199,000	22,000 25,000	
	Payable a	wards with r	etroactive en	titlement	
1971 1972 1	174 000 163,000	28 000 28,000	132,000 126,000	31,000 84 000	
	Postponed	awards with	retroactive e	ntitlemen <b>t</b>	
1971	27,000 28,000	28,000 28,000	15 000 15 000	17 000 14 000	
	Postpo	ned awards w entitle		ctive	
1969 <sup>1</sup> 1970 1971	12,000 12 000 12 000	191,000 195,000 189,000	4 000 4,000 4,000	62,000 58,000 62,000	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data not available for 1969, represents average of 1970-72 data

able awards filed in advance in the last 3 months of 1970 and subtracting those filed in advance in the last 3 months of 1971. It is assumed that an equal number of persons files in each month, since the available data are yearly rather than monthly, and that all the awards filed in advance in the last 3 months of the year first become payable at the beginning of the next year. In essence, the advance filing procedure adjusts for increasing numbers of advance filers in subsequent years (table 2). The distribution of the number of months of advance filing (from 1 to 3) and for any seasonality of coming on the rolls in advance is taken to be about the same from year to year.

Adjustment for retroactive entitlement—The adjustment for retroactive entitlement uses information from the Survey of Newly Entitled Beneficiaries (SNEB) <sup>8</sup> on the distribution of the number of months between entitlement and the benefit award (months of retroactivity) The study found that the number of months of retroactivity depends on what time of the year the beneficiaries come on the rolls Information on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Susan Grad, Income of the Population Aged 60 and Older, 1971 (staff paper in process), Office of Research and Statistics, table 18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Study findings appear in Reaching Retirement Age Findings from a Survey of Newly Entitled Workers, 1968-70 (Research Report No 47), Office of Research and Statistics, 1976

payable awards over an entire year (January-December 1969) therefore is used here to obtain the distribution of the number of months of retroactivity (table 3) 4

The approach followed here is to use information on the likelihood of having a certain number of months of retroactivity to obtain (1) the number of persons with awards in 1971 who had benefit entitlement for some months in 1970 and (2) the number of those with 1972 awards who had some entitlement in 1971. The likelihood of being entitled to a benefit the year before is applied to persons awarded benefits in each month of a given year The likelihood that persons with retroactive entitlement who were awarded a benefit in January 1971 were also entitled in 1970 is 100 percent, or the sum of the probabilities of having anywhere from 1-12 months of retroactivity, which can be expressed as  $\Sigma x_i$  (i = 1, where  $x_i$  is the probability of having i months of retroactivity Thus, the likelihood for February awards is  $\Sigma x_i$  (i = 2, , 12)—the sum of the probabilities of having 2-12 months of retroactivity If awards were distributed equally over a year—1/12 of them each month—the proportion each year of persons having awards with retroactive entitlement who were actually entitled in the preceding year would be

$$\frac{1}{12} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_i + \sum_{i=2}^{12} x_i + \dots + \sum_{i=12}^{12} x_i \right]$$

The proportions were 63 percent for men and women aged 62-64 at entitlement and 76 percent for men and women aged 65 and over The figures are assumed to be stable and therefore apply to 1970, 1971, etc. The adjustment for retroactivity of payable awards involves subtracting the above proportion of awards with retroactive entitlement in 1971 and adding the proportion with such entitlement in 1972 to the number of payable awards made in 1971 (table 2). This calculation yields the number of persons with payable awards who first received a benefit in 1971.

TABLE 3 —Percentage distribution of retired workers with retroactive entitlement, by months of retroactivity, payment status at award, sex, and age at entitlement

	Payment status at award							
	Payable !				Postp	oned r	_	
Months of retroactivity	Men aged 62-64	Wo men aged 62-64	Men and wo- men aged 65 and older	62-64	65 and older	62-64	65 and older	
Total number	160,000	125,000	67,000	16 000	10 000	17,000	9,000	
Total percent	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
1-3	33 34 32	28 37 35	18 24 58	32 37 31	22 59 19	- 33 38	23 30 46	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> January-December 1969 awards derived from *Reaching Retirement Age* (Research Report No 47), Social Security Administration, Office of Research and Statistics 1976, table 16.1 Data for men and women aged 65 and older combined because source totals were rounded to thousands, <sup>2</sup> Represents January-June 1970 awards

#### **Postponed Awards**

The next step is to determine how many persons with postponed awards retired in 1971 Some persons with postponed awards are entitled to benefits retroactively. For them the year of retirement is either the year of award or the preceding year All other persons with postponed awards first become entitled to a benefit in the year of award or a future year Consequently, persons with and without retroactive entitlement are treated separately

With retroactivity—Calculating the adjustment for retroactivity for persons with postponed awards involves a procedure similar to that used for persons with payable awards The SNEB did not include a distribution of months of retroactivity for the January-December 1969 postponed awards Therefore, available data on the first 6 months of the year 1970 are used (tables 2 and 3) Since SNEB findings indicate that persons awarded a benefit with retroactive entitlement in the last 6 months of the year tend to have more months of retroactivity than those receiving awards in the first 6 months, the adjustment is low 5 The proportions of postponed awards with retroactive entitlement that are attributed to the preceding year are 60 percent for men and women aged 62-64 at entitlement, 64 percent for men aged 65 and over, and 70 percent for women aged 65 and over These percentages are then

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Months of retroactivity are aggregated If 30 percent of beneficiaries had 1-3 months of retroactivity, then the probabilities for those with 1, 2, or 3 months are assumed to be 10 percent each

<sup>\*</sup> See Reaching Retirement Age, op cit, page 237

applied to the number of postponed awards for 1972 and 1971 and the respective figures thus obtained are added to and subtracted from the number of such awards in 1971 (table 2) The result is the number of postponed awards with retroactive entitlement that became payable in 1971

Without retroactivity — The final step is to estimate when persons awarded postponed benefits without retroactive entitlement first receive payments and attribute some number of them to 1971 This estimate is based on data for the elapsed months between the initial award and the first move to payment status for a sample of 1970-71 postponed awards without retroactive entitlement The payment status of the persons in the sample has been followed for 3 years after award The likelihood of awards made in 1971, 1970, and 1969 leading to payment status in 1971 can be determined from the distribution of months elapsing between the award and the change Generally, this is the likelihood that the benefits of persons with postponed awards in a particular year and the 2 preceding years will move to payment status in that year

For persons awarded postponed benefits in December 1971, the likelihood that their awards would become payable in 1971 is the probability of having 0 elapsed month. In other words, the change would have occurred in December, which can be expressed as  $\Sigma x_i$  (i = 0). The likelihood that persons awarded postponed benefits in November 1971 will begin receiving payments in that year is the likelihood of having 0 or 1 elapsed month, or  $\Sigma x_i$  (i = 0, 1), and so on Thus, the likelihood that persons awarded postponed benefits in 1971 will begin receiving benefit payments in 1971 is

$$\frac{1}{12} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{6} x_i + \sum_{i=0}^{1} x_i + + \sum_{i=0}^{11} x_i \right]$$

with an equal number of awards each month assumed The likelihood that December 1970 awards will become payable in 1971 is  $\sum x_i$  (i = 1, 12) and for November it is  $\sum x_i$  (i = 2, 13) The likelihood that 1970 awards will become payable in 1971 therefore is

$$\frac{1}{12} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{12} x_i + \sum_{i=2}^{18} x_i + + \sum_{i=12}^{23} x_i \right].$$

For persons with postponed awards in 1969, the likelihood of retiring in 1971 is

$$\frac{1}{12} \left[ \sum_{i=13}^{24} x_i + \sum_{i=14}^{25} x_i + + \sum_{i=24}^{35} x_i \right].$$

The estimates for the proportions of those who retired in 1971 with postponed awards in 1971, 1970, and 1969 are shown in the tabulation below

Among those without retroactive entitlement, the proportions of postponed awards that had become payable 3 years after award were 75 percent for men aged 62-64 at entitlement, 66 percent for men aged 65 and over, 90 percent for women aged 62-64, and 69 percent for women aged 65 and over Information is therefore missing on the elapsed months for the remainder of each age-and-sex group—those whose awards had not moved to payment status within 3 years of the time they were made

#### **Estimates of New Retirees**

65 and older

Although this procedure results in an undercount, the estimated number of new retirees in 1971 is shown in table 1 to be 11 million. To demonstrate the magnitude of the undercount, a maximum figure can be calculated by adding all the remaining persons with postponed awards 3 years after award to the number retiring in

SOCIAL SECURITY

1971 ° The best estimate of 1971 retirees is within these limits—from 11 million to 12 million

Almost all the retirees aged 62-64 came on the rolls with payable awards Postponed benefits are awarded chiefly to persons aged 65 and over who file in order to become eligible for hospital benefits under Medicare, although a small number of those in the 62-64 age group do have their awards postponed Among men aged 65 and older at entitlement, postponed awards outnumber payable awards by more than 2 to 1 Among women aged 65 and over, the majority had payable awards, although postponed awards were also common

The estimated number of retirees is most accurate for women aged 62-64 For these women, 90 percent of postponed awards without retroactive entitlement became payable within 3 years of award, and the adjustment for these persons made only a slight contribution to the number of retirees in 1971 The estimate is least accurate for men aged 65 and over Only 66 percent of postponed awards without retroactive entitlement moved to payment status within 3 years of award, and the adjustment contributes a major portion to the number of retirees in 1971. This exercise indicates how necessary it is to calculate the number of postponed awards moving to payable status in order to have any idea of the number of persons retiring at age 65 and over

An abbreviated estimate of the number of persons retiring in 1972 appears below It does not

Number in thousands!

i	Men s	Men aged— Wome		aged—
Year	<b>2</b> 6-64	65 and older	62-64	65 and older
1971 1972	449 465	211 209	378 389	114 118

adjust for advance filing or retroactive entitlement of payable awards

The estimates presented here determine the point of retirement for persons whose date of entitlement does not coincide with the date of award

—a situation that has become more common in recent years Monthly data and payment-status information of more than 3 years' duration would improve the calculations

#### STABILITY OF THE RETIREMENT DECISION

#### Suspensions of Payable Benefits

Of those who were awarded a payable benefit in 1970-72, only 6 percent had their benefits suspended because of work within a year of award (table 4) This low proportion indicates a very high degree of stability in the decision to stop working or work at a level that permits the payment of benefits Subgroups varied only slightly in this regard. Men were a little more likely than women to have their benefits suspended. Advance filers were a little less likely to have their benefits suspended than those entitled in the month of filing or those entitled retroactively.

A retired worker's primary insurance amount (PIA) is the amount payable to the individual at age 65 An actuarial reduction is applied to the benefit if it is claimed before age 65 Since a worker's PIA is based on average monthly taxable earnings, it is a reasonably good indicator of the level and regularity of his earnings experience Persons in the two lowest PIA groups shown in table 4 were less likely to lose benefits than those in the three highest groups. The differences in the proportions moving to suspended status, by age at entitlement, are Ushaped with less change at the extremes The general tendency for labor-force participation to diminish with increasing age could account for the smaller proportion of persons who have their benefits suspended at later ages 7 On the other hand, those in their late fifties or early sixties experience considerable disability that does not meet the tests for disability benefits but is serious enough for them to withdraw from the labor force or retire early 8 One study found that 80 percent of the men and 50 percent of the women

<sup>\*</sup>Applying the proportions of persons who had not begun collecting a benefit 3 years after award to the respective average numbers of postponed awards without retroactive entitlement from 1970-72 yields an additional 3,000 men aged 62-64, 65,000 men aged 65 and over, and 19,000 women aged 65 and over

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Bureau of the Census, 1970 Census, Subject Reports Employment Status and Work Experience (PC(2)-6A), 1970, table 2

<sup>\*</sup>Karen Schwab, "Early Labor-Force Withdrawal of Men Participants and Nonparticipants Aged 58-63," Social Security Bulletin, August 1974

Table 4 - Number and percent of retired workers with benefits moving from payment to suspended status within 1 year and within 3 years of award, by selected characteristics

	Payable awards						
	1970	)-72	1970-71 1				
Characteristic	Total number (in thou- sands)	Changed within I year	Total number (in thou sands)	Changed within 3 years			
Total	2,625	6	1,687	8			
Sex Men	1,386 1,225 2,362 263	7 5 6 5	892 788 1,522 165	9 6 8			
\$84 50 84 60-150 90 151 00-197 90 198 00-244 90 245 00 or more	476 787 521 650 191	1 5 8 8 8	297 493 323 440 134	2 7 10 10 10			
Type of filing In mouth of entitlement Advanced Retroactive Age at entitlement	224 1 343 1,059	8 5 8	144 843 701	9 6 9			
62	1,552 379 322 252 107	5 8 11 8 4	993 247 208 162 70	10 13 13			

At the time the data were obtained, 3 years had not elapsed for person

swarded benefits in 1972

1 For persons awarded benefits in 1972 includes September 1972 benefit increase Amounts for 1970 and 1971 differ from those for 1972 by size of benefit increase in those years

receiving early retirement benefits were disabled \* This situation depresses the rate of labor-force participation (and hence the rate of suspensions of benefits) for early retirees—especially those entitled at age 62, the earliest age for receiving retirement benefits

Most retirees remain retired Three years after award, the proportion of those awarded payable benefits who lost them because their earnings exceeded the retirement test was only slightly higher than in a 1-year period—up from 6 percent to 8 percent, with only small variations by selected characteristics

#### Payment Status Changes Within a Year

Overall, 35 percent of those who came on the rolls with payable benefits and experienced change during the year had just one changethat is, their benefit was suspended (table 5) Fifty-six percent changed twice—from payment to suspended status and back again—and 9 percent changed three or more times In other words, most persons who left payment status also returned to it within the year. The few persons for whom benefit status changed several times may either have had an unstable job situation that resulted in the collection of benefits between periods of unemployment or they were able to control the flow of earnings in such a way as to receive benefits for several months during the year Those whose benefits left payment status and did not return to it may have found a steady job after a period of illness or unemployment

The number of changes into and out of payment status within 1 year of the award varied with age at entitlement, type of filing, and PIA

TABLE 5 - Percentage distribution of retired workers with benefits moving from payment to suspended status within 1 year of award, by number of changes in year and by selected characteristics

	Pay	able awai	rds, 1970	-72	
Total num ber	Perce	ntage dist of change	tribution s within	, by nu 1 year	mber
(in thou- sands)	Total	1	2	3	4 or more
158	100	35	56	5	4
98 59	100 100	85 36	56 56	5 4	3 4
145 13	100 100	36 31	56 62	5 3	4 3
6 41 43 53 15	100 100 100 100 100	32 32 32 38 44	60 57 57 55 51	5 6 6 4	4 5 5 3
13 64 81	100 100 100	11 9 29	64 61 61	7 5 5	18 25 5
67 30 36 20 4	100 100 100 100 100	44 28 25 37 31	48 62 68 56 62	5 5 4 4	4 5 3 3 2
33 5	100 100	50 32	44 64	4 3	2 2 1
	100	40	54	4	2
23 22 6	100 100 100	27 21 30	62 69 60	5 6 5	5 6 4 5 2
	num ber (in thousands)  158  98  59  145  13  6  41  43  63  15  13  64  41  43  63  63  64  41  43  65  91  26  23  22  22	Total num ber (in thousands)  158	Total num ber (in thousands)  158 100 35  98 100 35  98 100 36  145 100 36  145 100 36  145 100 32  41 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  43 100 32  31 100 31  32 100 29  67 100 44  30 100 28  36 100 29  67 100 31  33 100 50  5 100 37  4 100 31  26 100 37  22 100 27  22 100 21  6 100 30	Total num of changes within ber (in thousands)  158 100 35 56  98 100 35 56  98 100 36 56  145 100 36 56  145 100 32 60  41 100 32 57  43 100 32 57  43 100 32 57  53 100 38 55  15 100 44 51  13 100 11 64  64 100 9 61  81 100 29 61  67 100 44 48  30 100 29 61  67 100 44 48  30 100 29 61  67 100 44 48  30 100 29 61  67 100 44 48  30 100 28 62  36 100 27 62  26 100 37 53  23 100 27 62  22 100 21 69  6 100 21 69  6 100 27 62  26 100 27 62  22 100 21 69  6 100 21 69	Num   ber (in thou-sands)   Total   1   2   3

<sup>1</sup> See table 4, footnote 2

<sup>\*</sup>Lawrence D Haber, The Effect of Age and Disability on Access to Public Income-Maintenance Programs, Report No 3, 1966 Social Security Survey of the Disabled, Office of Research and Statistics, July 1968, table, page 10

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes persons filing in month of entitlement because data by age at entitlement too small to examine

\* Less than 50 sample cases

Table 6 —Percentage distribution of retired workers with benefits moving from payment to suspended status within 3 years of award, by year of change and by selected charac-

		-71				
Characteristic	Total num ber		ntage dis of change			nber
	(in thou- sands)	Total	1	2	3	4 or more
Total	116	100	11	61	8	23
Sex Men	73 43	100 100	12 8	61 61	5 4	21 27
White Other Primary insurance amount <sup>1</sup>	106 10	100 100	11 1	61 67	5 5	24 16
\$70 40 70 50-126 90 127 00-164 90 165 00-203 90 204 00 or more	6 30 30 38 12	100 100 100 100 100	20 11 8 11	59 61 60 62 62	5 4 5 6 3	16 23 26 22 24
Type of filing In month of entitle ment Advanced	13 64	100 100	11 9	64 61	7 5 5	18 25 22
RetroactiveAge at entitlement 626364	39 52 23 25	100 100 100 100	13   14   8   7	57 64 67	6	24 24 24 22
65 66 and older	13	100 100	13 8	61 71	4 5 1	21 20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For persons awarded benefits in 1971 Amounts for 1970 differ from those for 1971 by size of benefit increase in 1971

but not with sex or race Differences between PIA groups in the proportion of persons whose benefits had only one change in payment status were small but the tendency was for those in the higher groups to experience fewer changes than those in the lower ones Persons with lower PIA's were less likely to have private pensions-the most common second pension—and occasionally might have been more in need of earnings to supplement benefits than those with larger amounts of pension income to live on 10

Those aged 62 at entitlement had the fewest changes in the payment status of their benefits, followed by those aged 65, and then those aged 63 and 64 Those aged 66 and over at entitlement fell between the latter two groups and were not significantly different from either. In other words, those entitled at the statutory ages—62 for early retirement and 65 for full benefits-had fewer changes in the payment status of their benefits than those entitled at other ages And those who draw benefits as early as possible experienced the least change 'Overall, those entitled retroactively had more changes in benefit status than those filing in advance or in the month of entitlement mainly among those aged 62 at entitlement

#### Payment Status Changes Within 3 Years

Three years after award, two was still the most common number of changes, followed by four or more (table 6). With the longer period involved, it is not surprising that more persons had several changes in benefit status Twentythree percent moved four or more times in the 3 years, compared with 4 percent in the 1-year period Almost no differences were evident within the various subgroups in the number of changes in payment status in the 3-year period

#### Timing of the First Change

The data in table 7 on the timing of the first change indicate that more than 80 percent of the awards moved to payment status in the first year

Table 7 —Percentage distribution of retired workers with benefits moving from payment to suspended status within 3 years of award, by year of change and by selected characteristics

	Payable awards, 1970-71						
Characteristic	Total number	P	ercentage o	listribution of change	ι,		
	(in thou- sands)	Total	First	Second	Third		
Total 1	127	100	83	11	6		
Sex Men Women	80 46	100 100	82 86	12	6 5		
Race White Other Primary insurance	116 11	100 100	84 78	11 11	5 11		
amount <sup>2</sup> \$70 40 70 50-126 90 127 00-164 90 165 00-203 90 204 00 or more	6 32 32 43	100 100 100 100 100	66 82 83 85	17 12 12 10 6	17 5 5 5		
Type of filing In month of entitlement Advanced Retroactive	13 48 65	100 100 100	84 84 83	9 11 11	7 5 6		
Age at entitlement	58 24 26 15	100 100 100 100	79 85 88 85	13 11 7 11	7 4 4 4 6		
66 and older	3	100	86	8	6		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Totals slightly higher than those in table 6 because of differing exclusions Table 6 excludes persons whose benefit was terminated anytime within the 3-year period, table 7 excludes persons whose benefit was terminated before a change in payment status occurred

<sup>2</sup> See table 6, footnote 1

<sup>10</sup> See Lenore E Bixby et al, Demographic and Economic Characteristics of the Aged 1968 Social Security Survey (Research Report No 45), Office of Research and Statistics, 1975, tables 4.2 and 47

Table 8 —Percentage distribution of retired workers with postponed awards who claimed a cash benefit within 1 year of award, by number of changes in year and by selected characteristics

		Postponed awards, 1970-72					
Characteristic	Total num- ber	Perce	ntage dis of chang	tribution es within	, by nu 1 year	mber	
	(in thou- sands)	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	
Total.	538	100	89	7	3	(1)	
Sex Men Women Race White Other Primary insurance amount \$ \$84 50 \$4 60-150 90 \$151 00-197 90 \$198 00-244 90 \$245 00 or more Type of filing In month of entitle-	376 160 501 36 16 72 109 212 128	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	91 86 89 90 86 85 87 91 91	6 10 7 6 8 10 8 5 6	34 34 54 43 3	(i) (i) (i) (i) (i)	
Ment	25 355 157	100 100 100	87 93 80	9 4 14	4 2 6	(1) (2)	
62-64 65 66 and older	127 391 17	100 100 100	79 93 87	14 4 8	6 3 4	(¹) (¹)	

Less than 0 5 percent
 See table 4, footnote 2

after award (over half of them did so in the first 3 months) The magnitude was about the same for separate groups of retirees One notable exception is the proportion for persons with the minimum PIA For 17 percent, or three times the overall rate, of those with the minimum PIA whose benefits became suspended, the first change was made in the third year after award Generally, a suspension of benefits occurs fairly soon after retiring Some persons, however, spend 3 years or more in retirement before going back to work or increasing their earnings to the point of losing benefits

#### Changes in Payment Status of Postponed Awards

As persons with postponed awards begin collecting benefits, how likely are they to remain retired? For almost 90 percent of postponed awards that moved to payment status, only one change occurred during the year after award (table 8) A change to payment status may reflect two types of behavior At the time of award, an individual could have had a job paying enough for him to lose all benefits for the year and at a

later date he could have stopped working Or a person could have had a job that paid more than the earnings-test limit but not enough for him to lose benefits for the entire year In the latter case, a person loses benefits for some months at the beginning of the year and is paid for some at the end In this situation, if the earnings of such a beneficiary remained the same, his benefits would move into and out of payment status once each year without any change occurring in his work status

Small differences by sex and PIA but no differences by race were observed in the number of changes in payment status for persons awarded a postponed benefit. Women and those in the lower PIA groups had more changes in payment status Retired workers aged 62–64 at entitlement and those persons entitled retroactively were most likely to make more than one change

Within 3 years of the initial award, more than 80 percent of those whose benefits moved from postponed status at award to payment status experienced only one change (table 9) As was true of those whose benefits were payable at award, more persons with initial benefit postponements made several changes within 3 years of the award (12 percent with three or more changes) than did so within 1 year of the award (3 percent

Table 9—Percentage distribution of retired workers with postponed awards who claimed a cash benefit within 3 years of award, by number of changes in year

	Postponed awards, 1970-71						
Characteristic	Total num ber	Perce	entage di of chang	stributio ges withi	n, by nu n 3 years	mber	
	(in thou sands)	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	
Total	463	100	84	3	8	4	
Bex Men	326 135 433 30 12 50 92	100 100 100 100 100 100 100	86 81 85 83 81 76 81	33 33 34 44	7 10 8 10 10 13 9	4 7 4 4 6 7 6 4	
165 00-203 90. 204 00 or more Type of filing	183 126	100	86 88	3 2	7 6	4	
In month of entitlement Advanced Retroactive Age at entitlement 62-64 65 66 and older	24 127 311 92 354 16	100 100 100 100 100 100	81 72 90 68 89 81	3 4 3 4 3 4	10 14 5 16 6	6 9 2 11 3 5	

<sup>1</sup> See table 6, footnote 1

Table 10 -Percentage distribution of retired workers with benefits moving from postponed to payment status within 3 years of award, by year of change and by selected characteristics

		Payabl	e awards,	1970-71	_
Characteristic	Total number (in thou-	Pe	by year o	distribution of change	., .,
	sands)	Total	First	Second	Third
Total 1	498	100	69	19	12
Sex Men	356 141 466 32 13 54 97 198 136	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	68 72 69 72 71 71 67 72	20 18 20 16 16 18 20 20 18	12 11 11 12 12 11 12 12 10
MentAdvanced Retroactive	26 338 135	100 100 100	63 68 74	23 20 16	14 15 10
Age at entitlement 62-64 65 66 and older	97 383 17	100 100 100	83 66 67	12 21 18	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Totals slightly higher than those in table 9 because of differing exclusions Table 9 excludes persons whose benefit was terminated anytime within the 3-year period, table 10 excludes persons whose benefit was terminated before a change in payment status occurred

\* See table 6, footnote 1

with three or more changes) Over a longer period, the tendency is still for benefits to move to payment status and remain there

Sixty-nine percent of the postponed awards that moved to payment status did so in the first year after award (table 10) Nineteen percent did so in the second year and 12 percent in the third year Thirty-nine percent of those with such moves had their benefits become payable within the first 3 months following the award, a corroboration of the temporary nature of postponed awards Persons aged 62-64 at entitlement and retroactive filers experienced their first change to payment status sooner than did others

#### SUMMARY

The estimates of the number of retirees by sex and age at entitlement to a benefit take into account the fact that the date of the benefit award often is not the actual date of retirement Adjustments for retroactivity and filing in advance are small for all groups Adjustments of postponed awards are small among those aged 62-64 at entitlement Among persons aged 65 and over at entitlement, a majority of retirees were awarded a postponed benefit that later became payable Thus, to count only payable awards would result in an undercount of retirees who begin receiving cash benefits after age 65

The stability of the retirement decision was also examined From data on 1 year and 3 years of experience after award, it can be concluded that only a small group of retirees goes back to work or increases earnings to the point of losing benefits Even those who forgo benefits at some time after award are more likely to have their benefits move back into payment status than to remain in suspended status Loss of benefits is likely to occur soon after retirement

For many, postponed benefit status lasts from a few months to a year or so Three years after award, only 30 percent of those persons awarded a postponed benefit had not yet begun collecting benefits If their benefits do become payable, the change is likely to happen soon after the award and the benefits are likely to remain in payment status rather than move into and out of it

#### Technical Note

#### The Sample

The universe for this data compilation consists of all persons initially awarded retired-worker benefits during each month from January 1970 through December 1972 To receive a retiredworker benefit award an individual must have sufficient covered work experience to be insured,11 must be at least aged 62, and must have filed a claim for benefits Noninsured individuals who are awarded old-age benefits as dependents (wives, husbands, widows, widowers, or parents of insured workers) are excluded Also excluded are disability beneficiaries whose benefits are automatically converted to retired-worker benefits at age 65 Transitionally insured workers aged 72 and over are included, but persons receiving special age-72 awards are not

The sample was selected by means of a two-

<sup>&</sup>quot;To be insured at the time of the survey, an individual must have had 1 calendar quarter of covered work experience for each year elapsed after 1950 and before the year in which he reached age 65 (age 62 for women)

stage design. The first stage involved the selection of a single primary sampling unit (PSU) for each of 100 strata by appropriate probability procedures The selection of the PSU's was made by the Bureau of the Census as one of several combinations of the basic 357-PSU design of the Current Population Survey 12 Each PSU comprises a single county or group of counties (town or group of towns in New England) Twenty-one of the PSU's used in the first stage consist of counties comprising the largest metropolitan areas Each of these "self-representing" PSU's is identical with its stratum. The remaining metropolitan areas were grouped into 33 strata, and one PSU (a single metropolitan area) was selected for each stratum The remaining counties not in metropolitan areas were grouped into 46 strata, and one PSU was selected to represent each stratum

The second stage of the sampling process involved the monthly selection of newly entitled workers within the designated PSU's The size of the sample was set at about 3,200 cases a month, or 1 out of 27 persons awarded retiredworker benefits each month The tabulation below

	Nun	Ratio of sample	
Payment status at award, 1970-72	Sample estimate (in thousands)	Actual (in thousands)	estimate to actual number
Men Payable Postponed	1,413	1,533	0 92
	780	818	96
Women Payable Postponed	1,240	1,266	98
	290	303	96

compares actual award data with the estimates based on the sample

#### Sampling Variability

Because estimates are based on a sample, they may differ from the figures that would have been obtained if every person initially awarded retiredworker benefits during the 3-year period had been

Table I — Approximate standard errors of estimated percentages

Base of	Estimated percentage									
percentage	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	20 or 80	30 or 70	40 or 60	50			
2,500	1 7 1 2 1 0 9 5 4 3 3 2 1	2 6 1 9 1 5 1 3 6 5 4 3 2	3 6 6 2 1 8 1 2 8 7 6 4 3 2 1	4 9 3 5 2 3 2 5 6 1 1 9 8 5 4 3 2	5 6 4 0 3 2 2 8 1 3 1 1 9 6 4	6 0 4 2 3 5 3 0 1 9 1 4 1 1 1 0 6 5	6 4 3 3 2 1 1 1			

included The standard error is a measure of this sampling variability—that is, the variation that occurs by chance because a sample of the population rather than the entire population is surveyed <sup>13</sup> The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimate from the sample will differ by less than one standard error from the results based on the same procedures of the entire population. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the differences will be less than twice the standard error

### **Estimated Percentages**

A measure of precision for an estimated percentage is provided by a confidence interval. The values that lie two standard errors above and below the estimated percentage, for example, form a 95-percent confidence interval. The population value of interest can be said, with 95-percent confidence, to lie within this interval.

The standard error of an estimated percentage depends on the size of the percentage, the size of its base, and the sampling fraction used Table I presents approximations of standard errors of estimated percentages Table 4, for example, shows that an estimated 5 percent of the benefits of 1,552,000 persons who were aged 62 at entitlement and were awarded a payable benefit in 1970–72 moved to suspended status within a year of the award By interpolation from table I, the standard error is estimated to be about

(Continued on page 38)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> For details on the Current Population Survey sampling procedures and a description of PSU's, stratification, and selection of first-stage units, see Bureau of the Census, The Current Population Survey—A Report on Methodology (Technical Paper No 7), Department of Commerce, 1963

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Standard errors have been computed by the random group-collapsed stratum method for 56 selected characteristics. The results have been generalized by means of a regression program to be usable for all characteristics.

Table M-3 —Selected social insurance and related programs Beneficiaries of cash payments, 1940-76 [In thousands For explanatory footnotes on programs, see table M-1]

At end of selected month .	Retirement and disability					Survivor					Unemployment		
	OASDHI			Federal				Federal		Railroad tempo- rary dis-	State		Federal "black lung"
	Retire- ment	Dis- ability	Railroad <sup>1</sup>	civil service	Veterans	OASDHI	Railroad	civil service	Veterans <sup>3</sup>	ability 4	laws !	Railroad 4	lung •
December 1940 1945 1955 1960	148 691 2,326 5 788 10,599	687	146 173 256 427 553	65 92 161 234 379	610 1 534 2 366 2 707 3,064	74 597 1,152 2,172 3,558	3 4 142 206 256	(7) 25 74 154	323 698 1,010 1,156 1,393	32 36 84	667 1,743 838 912 2,165	74 13 85 48 102	
1961	11,655 12 675 13,262 13 697 14 175 15,437 15 907 16,264 16 595 17,066 18,176 19,151 19,688 20,364	1 027 1 275 1,452 1,663 1,739 1,970 2,141 2 335 2,488 2,665 2 930 3 250 3,561 8,912 4,352	567 585 594 600 620 630 641 647 651 653 660 661 660 667	408 438 465 494 522 564 588 613 636 697 747 829 924 981 1,029	3 137 3,177 3 195 3,204 3,216 3 194 3,175 3,171 3,179 3,210 3,288 3,260 3,244	3 812 4,103 4 321 4,539 4,953 5,659 5,659 6,468 6,700 6,919 7,160 7,254 7,368	262 270 278 286 291 299 309 318 321 326 330 334 335 336	167 182 197 214 227 240 258 274 288 308 308 343 358 376 391	1,847 1,653 1,750 1,848 1,994 1,995 2,077 2,161 2,208 2,301 2,365 2,393 2,393 2,393 2,282 2,282 2,259	31 30 31 29 25 23 21 25 23 22 20 16 14 15	1,993 1,585 1,609 1,351 1,036 936 989 941 1,084 2,045 1,784 1,458 1,458 1,458 2,716 2,845	75 59 49 41 30 18 39 19 16 21 38 17 8	299 461 487 482
1975 November December	20,287 20,364	4,314 4 352	694 694	1,027 1,029	3,239 3,244	7,336 7,368	<b>33</b> 7 <b>33</b> 7	390 391	2,259	17 19	2 498 2,845	29 87	483 482
January February March April May June July August September October November	20, 464 20 491 20, 487 20, 458 20, 458 20, 532 20, 532 20, 597 20, 642 20, 798 20, 884	4,396 4,427 4,463 4,502 4,534 4,533 4,529 4 543 4,560 4 581 4,603	695 694 692 690 689 688 686 689 690 691	1,029 1,033 1,036 1,041 1,044 1,054 1,060 1 065 1 070 1,073	3,220 3,219 3,223 3,229 3 231 3 235 3,241 3 245 3 251 3 252 3 256	7,407 7,415 7,438 7,451 7,456 7,411 7,344 7 365 7,387 7,412 7,442	337 337 337 337 338 338 337 337 337 337	393 394 395 395 398 400 401 403 404 406 407	2,228 2,221 2,192	19 19 22 20 17 21 18 21 20 19	3,376 3,370 3,168 2,812 2,407 2,382 2,375 2,340 2 148 (*)	43 42 41 31 24 25 18 22 22 22 20 24	482 482 480 480 479 477 476 474 473 472 471

ance, the Federal employees' unemployment compensation program, and

Source Based on reports of administrative agencies

#### NEW RETIREES

(Continued from page 12)

01 percent The chances are 68 out of 100 that the proportion is 49-51 percent, and the chances are 95 out of 100 that it is 48-52 percent

In comparing two independent percentages to determine whether they differ by a statistically significant amount, the standard error of the difference can be approximated as the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard error

of each percentage Table 4, for example, also shows that an estimated 8 percent of the 379,000 persons who were aged 63 at entitlement and were awarded a payable benefit in 1970-72 had their benefits suspended within a year of the award The standard error for the group aged 62 has been estimated at about 0.1 percent, and the standard error for the age-63 group at about 03 percent The sum of the squares of the two standard errors is 01 and the square root—the standard error of the differences—is 032 percent

Includes dependents
Beginning Oct 1966, includes special benefits authorized by 1966 legislation for persons aged 72 and over and not insured under the regular or transitional provisions of the Social Security Act
Monthly number at end of quarter
Average number during 14-day registration period
Average weekly number Includes regular State unemployment insur

the ex-servicemen's compensation program
fincludes dependents and survivors

Less than 500 Data not available