mit lower rates for individual employers who meet specified requirements.10 These adjustments include lower minimum rates in Arkansas, Montana, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Wyoming. Some of the new schedules provide, for employers with relatively poorer experience, a smaller reduction in rates than they previously enjoyed; in Maryland, new schedules were added to increase the rates for all employers eligible for reduced rates when the fund drops to specified levels. New Mexico repealed its penalty rate for employers with unfavorable experience, and Tennessee added a penalty rate.

Arkansas, Connecticut, and Nebraska added a provision preserving the experience of employers who enter the Armed Forces. Nebraska, Ohio, South Dakota, and West Virginia amended their laws to permit allocation to employers' accounts of interest earned on the State's account in the trust fund, and Arizona and North Dakota adopted provisions permitting voluntary contributions under their programs.

Other amendments include the extension in Georgia and the repeal in Minnesota of the "war-risk" contribution provisions; Georgia also provided a special computation date for new employers that allows them to obtain a reduced rate sooner than the regular computation date, provided they have had the required experience. Florida amended its law to require that, when a business changes hands, a successor employer must pay 2.7 percent on wages in excess of 500 per-

cent of either the predecessor's payroll in the year preceding the transfer or his average annual payroll in the 3 years preceding the transfer, whichever is greater. Fourteen States adopted less significant amendments modifying the charging of benefits and omission of charges to individual employers' accounts and changing provisions on the transfer of employer experience when required because a business changes hands.

Temporary Disability Insurance

During 1953, there were several changes in the four State temporary disability laws. In 12 States ¹¹ one or more bills to establish a temporary disability insurance program were considered, but none was enacted. The Minnesota Legislature called for a complete study by the employment security agency, with the advice of a special advisory council, of existing systems of temporary disability insurance and asked for a report to be ready for its next meeting.

The only amendment adopted in New York was a technical provision relating to the enforcement of payments by employers in default. In New Jersey the three amendments enacted were also technical in nature; one relates to computation of the average weekly wage where several employers were involved, another changes the procedures for obtaining judicial review, and a third restricts the private-plan exclusions from coverage.

The Rhode Island changes were

more substantive. The most significant revision was the new qualifying requirement for benefit years beginning after June 30, 1953. The new requirement, like that for unemployment insurance benefits, calls for earnings 30 times the weekly benefit amount. The State also added, in both programs, a 1-year disqualification of individuals convicted in a State court of fraudulent misrepresentation to receive benefits. Other added restrictions relate to payment for part-weeks of disability and for weeks in which workmen's compensation is also paid.

Several changes were made in the California law. The benefit-year concept is eliminated; instead, for each new spell of disability a disability benefit period is established, which continues only during the time an individual is disabled. A 4-quarter base period is established with respect to each period of disability, and the determination of benefit rights, including duration, applies to that disability period. An individual can thus have more than one determination of benefit amount and duration for disability during a 12-month period, and a given quarter's wages can be used in more than one determination. The schedule of weekly rates is changed so that, for any amount of high-quarter wages, the weekly benefit amount is higher for temporary disability insurance than for unemployment insurance. The temporary disability insurance maximum was increased from \$30 to \$35. Hospitalization benefits were raised from \$8 to \$10 a day. The private-plan restrictions against selection of risks adverse to the State fund were made inoperative for 1954 and 1955.

Notes and Brief Reports

Workmen's Compensation Payments, 1952

Wage loss and medical benefits under workmen's compensation programs amounted to \$787 million in 1952, almost 11 percent more than the 1951 total of \$710 million. This increase was at a less rapid rate than the record rise of the immediately

preceding year (15 percent) and was somewhat less in terms of dollar amounts (\$77 million, in comparison with an increase of \$93 million from 1950 to 1951).

Associated with the slackened rate of increase in payments was a slight drop in the total number of work injuries—compensable and noncompensable. The Bureau of Labor Statistics

estimates that all disabling work injuries totaled 2.0 million in 1952—less than the 2.1 million total in 1951 but higher than the number in 1949 and 1950. The continued increase in payments reflects the higher wages on which cash benefits are now based, in combination with rising costs of hospitalization and medical services.

The Nation-wide increase of slightly more than one-tenth resulted from different rates of increase among the States. Under nine programs, pay-

¹⁰ Arkansas, Colorado, Maryland, Montana, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, Ohio, and Pennsylvania.

ments in 1952 were about one-fifth higher than in 1951. For most of these nine, this was a continuation of a higher-than-average rate of gain initiated in 1951 or earlier. Although there were no large decreases, payments under half a dozen programs had not shared in the increase but were at more or less the same level as 1951 payments.

Estimates of workmen's compensation payments, by State and type of insurance, 1951 and 1952 1

[In thousands]

	1952				1951				Per-
State	Total	Insur- ance losses paid by private insur- ance carriers ²	State fund dis- burse- ments ³	Self- insur- ance pay- ments ⁴	Total	Insur- ance losses paid by private insur- ance carriers 2	State fund dis- burse- ments 3	Self- insur- ance pay- ments 4	centage change in total pay- ments, 1952 from 1951
Total	\$787,410	\$490, 793	\$192, 483	\$104, 134	\$710, 339	\$414, 416	\$169, 963	\$95, 960	+10.8
Alabama.	3, 568	2,854		714	3, 264	2, 611		653	+9.3
Arizona	5, 890	92	5, 673	125	5,094	82	4, 902	110	+15.6
Arkansas California	4, 551 73, 431	3, 761 50, 126	17, 115	790 6, 190	4,308 66,377	3, 543 44, 955	15, 789	765 5, 633	$+5.6 \\ +10.6$
Colorado	4, 982	1,805	2,827	350	4, 112	1, 473	2, 339	300	+21.2
Connecticut.	12, 941	11,647	2,021	1, 294	11, 182	10,064	2, 550	1,118	+15.7
Delaware	1,078	863		215	888	713		175	+21.4
Delaware Dist. of Col	2,642	2,402		240	2, 635	2, 395		240	+.3
		10, 511		758	9,340	8,705		635	+20.7
Georgia.	6, 290	5, 115		1,175	5, 560	4, 520		1,040	+13. 1
Idaho	2, 701	1,751	620	330	2,508	1,600	578	330	+7.7
Illinois	38, 120	30,720		7,400	35,090	28, 353		6, 737	+8.6
Indiana Iowa	12,646	10,772		1,874	11,270	9,548		1,722	+12.2
lowa	6,099	4,879		1, 220	5, 510	4,407		1, 103	+10.7
Kansas	6, 240 8, 645	4, 910 5, 655		1.330 $2,990$	4, 917 7, 884	3,782 4,994		1,135 2,890	$+26.9 \\ +9.7$
Kentucky Louisiana	14, 942	12,662		2, 280	13,070	11,078		1, 992	+14.3
Maine	2, 280			300	2,040	1, 761		279	+11.8
Maryland	9,071	6,911	910	1, 250	8,348	6, 363	788	1, 197	+8.7
towa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts	30, 239	27, 999		2, 240	27, 123	25, 093		2,030	+11.5
Michigan	24, 898	16, 330	1, 568	7,000	23, 919	15, 576	1, 493	6,850	+4.1
Minnesota	12, 427	10,305	1,000	2,122	11, 200	9, 333	1, 100	1,867	+11.0
Mississippi	3, 589	3, 257		332	3, 254 12, 306	2, 939	1	315	+10.3
Missouri Montana	14,709	11,959		2,750	12, 306	9,956		2,350	+19.5
Montana	3, 124	1,114	1, 292	718 127	3, 215 2, 758	1,260	1, 207	748	-2.8
Nebraska Nevada		2, 963	2,308	115	2,738	2,647	1, 945	111 100	+12.0 +19.0
New Hampshire	2, 077	2,037	2,506	40	1,855	1,819	1, 940	36	+12.0
New Jersey	38, 573	33, 543		5,030	35, 979	31, 289			+7.2
New Mexico	3,578	3,308		270	2,640	2,400		240	+35.5
New York	144,040	88,051	32, 469	23, 520	134 590	82, 210	30, 404	21,976	+7.0
North Carolina	8, 160	6, 935		1, 225	134, 590 7, 266 1, 256	6, 166	00, 101	1,100	+12.3
North Dakota	1, 231	3	1, 228		1, 256	2	1, 254		-2.0
Ohio	52, 538	98	45,600	6,840	44, 478	88	38,600	5, 790	+18.1
Oklahoma	10,680	8,854	780	1,046	9,890	8, 249	641	1,000	+8.1
Oregon. Pennsylvania	12, 982 36, 206	2, 190 21, 889	10,792 2,817	11,500	11, 248 34, 026	1,880 20,492	9, 368 2, 734	10,800	+15.4 +6.4
Rhode Island	5, 417	5, 167	2,011	250	4, 520	4, 315	2, 134	205	-19. 8
South Carolina		3, 633		1,000	4, 128	3, 243	1	885	+13.0
South Dakota	950	805		145	963	815		148	-1.3
Tennessee	6,692	5, 307		1,385	6, 331	5, 057		1, 274	+5.7
Texas	44, 465	44, 465			38, 979	38, 979			+14.1
Utah	2, 297	1,000	917	380	2,097	899	848	350	+9.5
Vermont	1,153	1,048			1,115	1,013			+3.4
Virginia Washington	6,815	5, 415 426	17, 900	1,400 450	6, 155	4, 885 537	16 001	1, 270	+10.
West Virginia	10, 909	1 28	10, 359	522	10,096	13	16,021 9,618	450 465	+10. +8.
Wisconsin	15, 990	13, 193	10,000	2,797	15,049	12, 295	0,013	2, 754	+6.
Wyoming		14	1,074	j	1,025	12, 233	1,007	., ., 104	+6.
	i .	1	1	Į.	1	1	1	1	1

¹ Data for 1952 preliminary. Calendar-year figures except for Montana and West Virginia, for Federal employees, and for State fund disbursements in Idaho, Maryland, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, and Utah, for which data for fiscal years ended in 1951 and 1952 were used. Includes benefit payments under the Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act and the Defense Bases Compensation Act for the States in which such payments are made.

² Net cash and medical benefits paid by private insurance carriers under standard workmen's compensation policies. Data primarily from the Specta-

tor: Insurance by States of Fire, Marine, Casualty, Surety and Miscellaneous Lines, 80th and 81st annual issues.

3 Net cash and medical benefits paid by State funds; compiled from State reports (published and unpublished) and from the Spectator; estimated for some States.

4 Cash and medical benefits paid by self-insurers, plus the value of medical benefits paid by employers carrying workmen's compensation policies that do not include the standard medical coverage, Estimated from available State data. State fund disbursements, including payments to Federal Government employees, increased at a somewhat faster rate than private carrier payments—13 percent and 10 percent, respectively. The difference in rate of increase was not sufficiently great, however, to change the proportions of the total paid by each type of insurer in the 2 years. Of the totals for each year, private carrier payments accounted for about 62 percent, State fund disbursements for 24 percent, and self-insured payments for just over 13 percent.

Of the \$787 million paid in 1952, it is estimated that \$260 million, or about one-third, was in the form of medical and hospitalization benefits. Of the \$527 million in nonmedical payments, seven-eighths was in the form of cash compensation for nonfatal injuries and the remaining eighth was paid in death cases. The preliminary estimates for each year are shown below.

[In millions]

Type of payment	1952	1951
Total	\$787	\$710
Medical and hospitalization Compensation, total	527	233 477
Disability Survivor	462	417 60
	i 1	

Economic Status of Aged Persons and Dependent Survivors, June 1953

The most significant development affecting the economic status of aged persons in the first half of 1953 was the emergence of old-age and survivors insurance as the leading source of money income for persons aged 65 and over in the continental United States. At the end of June, 32 in every 100 aged persons were in receipt of old-age and survivors insurance benefits, while 30 in every 100, including some of these beneficiaries, were receiving income from employment, either as earners or the wives of earners (table 1). Until the beginning of the present year, more aged persons had money income from employment than from any other single source. Old-age and survivors insurance was the second most frequent