U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

+ + + + +

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

MARINE FISHERIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2011

+ + + + +

The Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee met at the Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill, 400 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, Heather McCarty, Chair, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

HEATHER D. McCARTY, Chair
TERRY ALEXANDER
RANDY CATES
ANTHONY CHATWIN
PAUL CLAMPITT
BILL DEWEY
PHILLIP J. DYSKOW
EDWIN A. EBISUI

KEN FRANKE

STEVE JONER

JULIE MORRIS

MARTIN FISHER

GEORGE C. NARDI

TOM RAFTICAN

KEITH RIZZARDI

VA'AMUA HENRY SESEPASARA

DAVID H. WALLACE

CONSULTANTS TO MAFAC:

RANDY FISHER

JOHN V. O'SHEA

STAFF PRESENT:

MARK HOLLIDAY, Designated Federal Official

HEATHER SAGAR

JOSHUA STOLL

ALSO PRESENT:

PEG BRADY

LAUREL BRYANT

BRUCE BUCKSON

JUDY GAN

ROGER GRIFFIS

HEATHER MCMILLAN

BRIAN PAWLAK

GARY REISNER

A G E N D A

Introductions and Agenda Review4
Opening Remarks/Q and A20
MAFAC Business61
Communications Office98
2012 NMFS Science Situation and
Outlook122
Office of Law Enforcement Update165
Budget Briefing186
NMFS Habitat Blueprint211
Blue Carbon Initiatives and Climate
Adaptation Update265

1
ㅗ
_

2

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PROCEEDINGS

8:45 a.m.

3 CHAIR McCARTY: Hello everybody.

4 You don't have to stop talking altogether.

We're waiting for Monica Medina, who is going to be giving the opening remarks in Eric's

place. So I just wanted to let you all know

where we are at. We are waiting for her to come, so talk amongst yourselves. Thanks for

10 coming.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 8:46 a.m., and resumed at 8:53 a.m.)

Introduction and Agenda Item Review

CHAIR McCARTY: Again, thanks for coming. We're going to start, but we're not going to do anything official yet. Mark was going to pass out some papers that we have to fill in, just a housekeeping thing, so that we can have something out of the way before Monica comes.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So we're going to

do a few housekeeping things. We passed around a note about tonight's dinner at Carmine's Restaurant. It's a family style Italian, you sit at big tables and order plates and share them. It's kind of a fun, raucous, family style restaurant. We have a sign-up sheet. It's about a ten minute walk, six blocks away. It's on the other side of town.

If it's raining, we'll figure out how to get there in the rain, but it's a decent walk, it's a safe walk. But we have the address. We have a slide to show with directions there if you need to as well.

So you show up there at 6:30 at the bar, and the reservations are 6:45. So I encourage you to attend. It's kind of a fun place to get together and break the ice after the meeting.

In terms of getting out of here, emergency exits and bathrooms and things, the restrooms are out the door and across the

hallway. Basically follow the signage so you can get out. The emergency exit's on the backside of this room as well for your information.

What Josh is handing out are each year we are, as a FACA Committee, required to update our statements of financial interest, to ensure that we're not, as individual members, having any conflicts of interests.

So, what we've done is like we've done in the past for existing members. But for new members, this is your first time going through it.

We're giving you last year's material. You can do pen and ink changes and then sign it. You can take it home with you if it's more complicated than that. But we basically have to update every year our statements of financial interest, so that our ethics lawyers can make sure that we're conducting business according to law.

So if you have the opportunity to

take a look at and nothing's changed since 1 2 last year, you can just sign the cover page. If you need to take it home and add things, 3 just pen and ink is fine, and mail it back to 4 5 Also in that file, I believe there's the us. annual statement that you're not representing 6 7 a foreign government; another statement of non-disclosure of conflict of interest that we 8 9 need to fill out.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So you can sign those and just hand it back to us here at the meeting. The reason we're doing it now is these all have to be in place and in effect by the 1st of January. So normally we do it at the fall meeting and then we harass you for the next several months to give them back.

So cooperation in getting these things back helps us keep on the straight and narrow with respect to the ethics attorneys and the proper functioning of the group.

Another housekeeping item, in advance of this meeting, we sent an email to a

Google poll, an online poll, to get, to build some consensus towards meeting dates for 2012. If you haven't filled out the poll, the URL link, and we'll post that up on the screen again. But we're going to talk about setting the meeting dates and hopefully the locations for next year's meeting at this meeting on Thursday.

So we checked for conflicts, known conflicts with the regional council meetings, Commission meetings, other events, to try to eliminate potential conflicts that members might have, and select it to get about a half dozen dates, for a spring meeting and a fall meeting.

So if you haven't had a chance to go and just check whether your availability would be, yes or no for those different dates, if we do that tonight and tomorrow, some time before Thursday's session, we will have a better feel on whether or not we are getting close or we have to look for alternative dates

1 as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

One of the dates that's on there, and I don't know, for those who have seen the poll, notice that there was a date in October of 2012 that was an attempt to just schedule for our Managing Our Nation's Fisheries III Symposium. This was a national symposium that's been held twice, organized by NOAA and the Regional Fishery Management Council, to talk about biq picture policy issues. There's an effort underway to do planning. It's still in a very preliminary stage, and we talked a little bit about this at our May meeting, about MAFAC's role in that.

To make a long story short, we included the date, the tentative date for that meeting on our Google poll, because we may want to schedule, in order for all the members who attend that Managing Nation's Fisheries 3.

We could use the money to get you to that meeting and have a MAFAC meeting, maybe a one-day meeting before the symposium,

or one day, you know, somehow to make sure that we could enable MAFAC members to attend that.

So that was an option; check your availability at this time. It's in the very preliminary stages. The meeting may actually -- the symposium may not happen. We're planning towards it, planning towards October 2012.

So all this is doing at this point is not committing you to attend it; it's just asking "Are you available that week in October?", and that's a potential opportunity for MAFAC to participate in that larger symposium. Any questions on the Doodle poll? And again, we'll post the URL if you don't have access to your email.

MR. O'SHEA: Mark, on the Managing
Our Nation's Fisheries, we're trying to plan
the Commission annual meeting right around
that time frame. I was curious who's going to
be the final decision-maker as to whether that

goes? Is that a Council deal, or is that going to be NOAA, or is that going to be together?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Yes. It's a group effort. So that right now there's a planning committee made up of NOAA and the Council's executive director. So there's three or four members of each, and they're consulting with the commissions and other people with respect to conflicts or opportunities for planning, sponsorship, participation, et cetera.

So Dominic Isaac has taken the lead on trying to find the venue and the time and place from the Pacific Council. We met by teleconference about ten days ago. We're still looking to hold it in Washington, D.C. That was the preference expressed by NOAA and the Council chairs at their last meeting.

But beyond that, that window of opportunity in October, there's a Council meeting on either side of those weeks, and so it's a very tight window. I think there's

actually a potential conflict with Larry and the Gulf States commission meeting, which is usually that third week in October as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

So as I said, it's preliminary, but that's the information we have. So for planning purposes, we're looking to see your availability either for the MAFAC meeting at that week, or a coincidental meeting with the symposium.

MR. O'SHEA: So you're trying to meet right now at a hotel, I suppose.

DR. HOLLIDAY: That's why we can't find a hotel. You've got them all booked up.

MR. O'SHEA: No, it's in Philadelphia.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thanks, Mark. Do you still want to wait for Monica?

DR. HOLLIDAY: I think it's 9:00.

We can go ahead and start the meeting.

20 CHAIR McCARTY: Go ahead?

Alright. So when Monica does come, we will

break and have her give her remarks. But in

the meantime, we'll call the meeting to order,

and thank again everyone for coming. I think

we've only got one member who's not here, and

then one advisor, Larry, and Cathy Foy, who

couldn't be with us, who's going to be greatly

missed.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I would like to have the folks up here introduce themselves, the folks you may not have met before, and if we could start right here. I would ask that you introduce yourself. Richard.

DR. MERRICK: I'm Richard Merrick.

I'm the new chief scientific advisor for NOAA

Fisheries.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you, and down here, Judy.

MS. GAN: I'm Judy Gan. I'm the new Director of the Office of Communications for NOAA Fisheries.

MR. SESEPASARA: I'm Henry Sesepasara from American Samoa.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, and Bruce.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, all right.

grateful. That's my introduction today, and

thanks very much, Heather.

20

21

22

1 Thanks for coming. Thank all of you for

2 coming. Those folks are all going to be on

3 the agenda later. So I guess we might as well

4 introduce ourselves to those folks who may not

5 know you all. You want to start over here,

6 Ken.

12

7 MR. FRANKE: Ken Franke,

8 | Sportfishing Association of California.

9 MR. NARDI: George Nardi, Great

10 Bay Aquaculture in Portsmouth, New Hampshire.

11 MR. CHATWIN: Tony Chatwin,

National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.

MR. DYSKOW: Phil Dyskow.

14 MR. RAFTICAN: Tom Raftican,

15 | Sportfishing Conservancy.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Martin Fisher,

17 Florida.

18 MR. EBISUI: Good morning. Edwin

19 Ebisui, Hawaii.

MR. DEWEY: Good morning. I'm

21 Bill Dewey with Taylor Shellfish Farms in

22 Washington State.

1 MR. JONER: Steve Joner,

2 Washington State.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you, and that's Tony over there. He's our sound guy.

Alright. I think that's everybody. So we should go to the agenda first, and as I said, when Monica gets here, we'll interrupt ourselves and let her give her opening remarks in place of Eric.

As you know, Eric isn't going to be with us this morning or until Thursday.

He's not able to be here until Thursday, but apparently he's going to come then. So wherever you see Eric on the agenda, there's going to be someone else making those presentations, and Monica will be giving his opening remarks when she gets here.

The agenda review. Mark's going to run us through the action item table. I don't know whether you all recall; maybe a year ago, we started doing that. But we did it toward the end of the meeting, and we're

going to do it at the beginning of the meeting now, because that way, we get a sense of where we are with the tasks and the issues that we are dealing with. So that we're going to do right up front.

Then Judy is going to speak about the Office of Communications. Then where Eric was going to speak about regulatory programs, Richard is going to speak. He then will follow that up with the science situation and outlook. We're going to do those together. Bruce has graciously agreed to do that, and then Bruce is going to follow Richard.

Then by that time, Gary Reisner et al should be here to talk about the budget, and we will have a discussion on the budget at that time. Then we're going to break for lunch. I'm assuming, Mark, that we're going somewhere else for lunch? They're not bringing lunch in.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Lunch on your own.

CHAIR McCARTY: Lunch on your own,

okay. Before that, we'll talk about some of the places around here that might be a good place to have lunch, if you would Mark, when we get there. Eric was going to be here for the Habitat Blueprint, but I understand that Brian Pawlak, is that how you pronounce that?

MR. PAWLAK: Pawlak.

CHAIR McCARTY: Is going to be here, and well, that's a new spelling of Pawlak. I haven't seen it spelled like that before. Then Roger Griffis is coming to talk about Blue Carbon Initiatives and Climate Adaptation. Interrupt if you have any questions on any of these agenda items.

Then we're going to break out into subcommittees in the afternoon. As you can see, Tom Raftican's committee, my committee, Steve Joner's committee on Aquaculture and Commerce, and then we'll adjourn and then we'll have our group dinner, and I hope you all will come. Carmine's is a great place, and here's Monica. We have a seat for you

right here, Monica. There you go. Watch out for the table.

Opening Remarks

MS. MEDINA: All right. I am so sorry to be late. Oh gosh. I don't know what was going on with traffic, but anyway, I was trying to get towards the Capitol from my house, and I just couldn't move.

CHAIR McCARTY: Monica, we've just started going through the agenda. As we said, we would interrupt and have you give your opening remarks.

MS. MEDINA: Okay. Well, I am really glad to be here and I am actually, I guess, trying to fill in for Eric as well. So I was supposed to be here to just kick off the meeting and say thank you all for everything that you're doing, and give you sort of the perspective of NOAA leadership, which is that we are working very hard on fisheries issues, which you can probably tell just from the fact that we're so scattered.

Eric is up Т in Portland mean today doing, Portland, Maine doing a meeting on our, evaluating our catch shares system up there, and how we can improve it. Dr. Lubchenco has been all over the country recently, working on fisheries issues. Most recently, she was in Florida last weekend, and addressing the Society of Environmental Journalists.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

She was on a panel called "Fish Fight," which I think tells you a lot about where we are, which is, I think, working very, very hard to put into place really important, but difficult catch limits all over the country, and that's, I think, a challenge.

Ι mean the Magnuson-Stevenson amendments from 2006, everyone knew they'd be daunting. Everyone knew it would be a difficult job to live up to the and I know that all the requirements, Fisheries Service has been working very, very hard to put all the rules in place, and still

do everything else that we need to do, things like our aquaculture policy and all the work that we do with recreational fishing groups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we've been really working very,
very hard this past year, and the whole
leadership team is paying attention to what's
happening in fisheries. So you know, we
welcome you all being here and your input,
your thoughts, your recommendations for us.

We really do need all the eyes and ears that we possibly can have out there in all the various parts of the country that you're from, all the fisheries that you here are helping us learn more about and to know what's happening.

To put it in a bigger context, we are faced with the reality of budget cuts, which I know you read about and hear about, because they are much on the so mind of policymakers here Washington, from the in President through the entire administration to Congress. Everyone's looking for how to

squeeze more out of the money that they're given, and how do as much with a lot less.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm afraid that the same will be true for the Fisheries Service, that NOAA's budget is being very much taxed by the fact that we also provide weather services that require us to have satellites that are very expensive to buy. As a result, you know, we've had to put a new set of satellites into space.

We're starting actually at the end of this week, Friday. We're launching our next generation of satellites, and that should improve weather forecasts, which is, I think, a good thing for everybody. But the cost of these satellites has been extremely high, and to the extent that we needed extra budget money in the last couple of years, we've had to sort of scrimp and save, and luckily we've been able funding from other to get some agencies. But all of that makes the pressure on our budgets even greater.

All that said, I think are optimistic about all the work that we're doing, both the weather satellites on observation side, and on the "wet" side of NOAA. We are continuing to do some work with states and regions on planning for adapting to climate change. We're continuing to work with various different regional partners on implementing the National Ocean Policy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Dr. Lubchenco actually is testifying tomorrow on Capitol Hill about that, and I think we would be grateful for your thoughts about how that is playing out in the world, because I think we are trying very hard to emphasize the mapping and the thinking ahead, the planning part of it of what we're doing.

We've had to scale back that program a lot. But I think the work will go on because of all of -- it's sort of ingrained in what NOAA does, in terms of mapping and

charting. So we hope that we'll be able to continue to help shape policy out in the oceans by looking at all the competing uses, and trying to figure out how to best align them.

We continue to work with the Interior Department as they're siting new wind facilities and doing offshore exploration. They're planning for offshore oil and gas development in the next five years. They have a five year plan, 2012 to 2017. We continue to work with them, so that they're aware of fisheries issues as they plan.

so we're, I think, actively engaged, and despite all the challenges, we remain energized and optimistic about the prospects of -- we're seeing the benefits now of all the hard work from Magnuson-Stevens, and our satellites are coming to fruition, and hopefully all of that will be helpful to communities and will help stimulate job growth.

I mean, I don't think that we're intending for any of this to have long-term negative effects. If anything, we hope that the controls that we put in place in the fisheries that were being overfished, will lead to greater productivity and more jobs, and the data so far has backed that up, in the places where those controls have been put in place.

So I think, you know, we welcome your thoughts, your input. We are working very hard, as I said, and you know, it will be, I think, a pretty daunting year with a lot of work from Congress, to help them understand how to cut the budgets better, and then a lot of work to try and figure out how to do as much as we possibly can with the money that we will receive in the appropriations process.

That's really all I have, but I would love to have a conversation. I don't know that there's more that I can tell you, but I'd be happy to answer any questions you

have and I would be grateful for any feedback you could give us, the leadership team, because as I said, we are working very hard, and we want to know how we can do a better job, and we want to get the benefit of all of your experiences and what you are, you know, you're hearing and what you can tell us about how we can do a better job in your part of the country, in your fishery.

I know Eric wishes he could be here, but we really are stretched, trying to do as much as we can, particularly in New England where what we've done has been so controversial.

So I would welcome questions or comments, thoughts. Anything that you have on your mind, please let me know. If there are things you'd like me specifically to tell Eric or Dr. Lubchenco, please let me know.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay. How long do you have?

MS. MEDINA: I have until 9:30 for

1 sure, 9:40.

CHAIR McCARTY: All right. Do you want to go ahead and call on people when they raise their hands?

MS. MEDINA: Sure, absolutely.

All right, Terry.

MR. ALEXANDER: This is maybe a comment more than a question. I'm a commercial fisherman from Maine, and I just wanted to stress how important it was, even with the budget cuts that we're under now, how with all the catch share things that they're pushing, how important real time assessment and assignment is to the industry, with actual allocations of fish. I just wanted to stress the importance of that. I'm sure that you already knew that, but --

MS. MEDINA: No. I mean, I do know that. You know, we have new leadership in the Science Center up there, or we will. We have an opening, and I think that will be a help. I think, you know, we're trying to do

more stock assessments next year. We've asked for more funding for that.

We've also now just added \$4 million for observer costs in New England, to help defray those costs for another year. We have a lot of data coming out today about exactly what has happened with catch shares in New England, and how it's impacted various sizes of vessels.

I think there's a lot more work we have to do there, to help get through this very difficult transition period, and there's no doubt that stock assessments and science are a key part of that. Is it Bill? Hi, Bill.

MR. DEWEY: Hi Monica. I'm Bill
Dewey with Taylor Shellfish Lines out in
Washington State. You mentioned that you're
going to scale back directly from implementing
the National Ocean Policy, and I was curious
about that. I know there was a recent hearing
in the House Resources Committee that was

pretty critical of the Marine Spatial Planning efforts.

For our industry, user conflicts are a major issue for us in our ability to grow. So we were looking forward to implementation of marine spatial planning as a potential tool to help with that, and I was curious if you could comment more on the fact that you're having to scale back.

MS. MEDINA: Well, we had some riders from the House, and even now the Senate. There are certain members who really think this is the wrong way to go, and you know, that's democracy. So I think we're trying to do what we think is still part of our, sort of our bread and butter, our routine work, without having an added-on program per se.

We're going to continue to do a lot of the same activities, and where there are conflicts, hopefully work through them. I mean we always thought of this as a way to

resolve conflicts way ahead of an EIS process or Section 7 consultation or anything that would be more regulatory and onerous and definitive.

That if you identify these conflicts early enough, you could actually resolve them, because everybody would want to resolve them. They'd want the certainty to know if they weren't going to have a challenge down the road, or have to worry about changing their operations because of something else.

I mean, you know, we have a real need to develop more energy in this country.

We have a real need to have food security, and that means more production and maximizing the use of the ocean to the greatest extent that we possibly can. We always thought that this was the way to do that, that would minimize conflicts, intrusion, government, you know, kind of government dictates.

But others see it differently, and so I think, you know, we understand, you know,

or -- the way the Constitution works, and when Congress gives us mandates, tells us that we can't do certain things, we listen. But that doesn't mean that we -- if you have a particular questions, a set of issues, needs, things, information that you need.

years is one that is making information, critical information, environmental information, natural resource information available to the public, so that better decisions can be made. Things like the fact that we're getting better and better at predicting kind of the water situation in a place like Northern California, where water is scarce and the fights over it are legendary.

But if we can give better predictions and forecasts about how much rainfall or snowpack there will be in the mountains of California, so that they can better manage the water system there, it seems to me that's, you know, a better role than

having to regulate, you know, as you go basically, the operations of something like that.

So I hope that we can keep doing some of the same work, because it's the work that we've always done, and that we won't have even more riders than we've already had. But you know, we are listening to the will of the people, as expressed through Congress. Randy.

MR. CATES: Oh, was someone else?

MS. MEDINA: Oh, two Randys.

CHAIR McCARTY: There are. Randy Fisher and Randy Cates.

MS. MEDINA: Randy Fisher, then.
Oh, I thought you were raising your hand.
Okay. Then Randy Cates.

MR. CATES: We have a discussion coming up later in this meeting, but I'd like to bring this up, since you're here. We have a pretty contentious issue occurring in Hawaii with regard to monk seals, and NOAA is trying to take the entire state waters, with the

exception of military and Waikiki Beach as essential habitat.

That is going to be, I think, a real conflict and a real problem. I have personally met with our state government. They've asked me for some input on that, and the implications of that are far-reaching. This is for a species that is not native to the main Hawaiian Islands.

So I question, and Ed might want to comment on that also, I question the logic behind taking a species that's not native to an area, and then creating essential habitat in that area, in the name of protection. It seems really inappropriate.

For anyone else that's in the other states, I mean can you imagine if all of your state waters all of the sudden became -- your shoreline, your beaches, any area where you have massive amounts of tourism, massive amounts of interaction, and all of the sudden that's essential habitat. It seems

aggressive, I guess, is the way I would characterize it.

MS. MEDINA: I've only heard a little bit about this issue, but I am aware that it is controversial in Hawaii, and thank you for bringing it to our attention. We'll take a look at it here at headquarters. I don't know that we've had much briefing on it yet, but we have heard that there are -- that it will be controversial.

So the hardest part for me, as a non-scientist, is being able to kind of find that line where policy begins, where the science ends and the policy begins. There's no place where it's trickier than in things like the ESA implementation. But I appreciate that you brought it up and we'll take a look.

MR. CATES: I'm just curious if you would know, or anyone else, has that ever been done anywhere else in the country, where you've taken an area that's -- say you take a species, and you put it in another area that

it's not normally there, in the name of protection. I don't know that's ever been done, or has it?

MS. MEDINA: I don't know. Did we move them? Did we locate them there?

MR. CATES: Yes, you have.

MR. EBISUI: They moved there actually.

MR. CATES: NOAA has brought them there, and the main proposal is to actually bring them there, a certain amount of them, to try and give them a leg up, because the area they're in, pups are not surviving.

So one of the main parts of the proposal is to take young pups from the northwest Hawaiian Islands, bring them to the main Hawaiian Islands, in the hopes that they'll do better.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Heather, it's on the agenda we have for discussion. We have the Chief of the Endangered Species Division tomorrow, to talk about this very specific

issue, and the more general issue about recoveries of species and extensions of their range on the islands. We've got it queued it for some discussion, but it's important for those that are here. I'm sorry.

stock assessments.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Good morning,
Monica. Thanks for coming. My name is Martin
Fisher. I'm a commercial fisherman,
vertically integrated in Florida, which
basically means I produce, I sell, I
distribute, and I retail. I'm really happy to
hear that NOAA is interested in creating more

MS. MEDINA: Okay, great. Martin.

But if we don't have the right kind of data, if it's not real-time data, then we're stuck in the same kind of circle that we're stuck in now. We already have a template, at least in the Gulf of Mexico, to create real-time data. I've been asking for this for years.

Because of the IFQ, we have real-

time data collection at point of sale. We have the template. All we need to do is add more pages, include all the species in the Gulf of Mexico that are caught by fishermen, and have them entered and have that data instantly distributed to the Science Center, instead of relying on log books, which are -- they're mandatorily imposed on the fishermen, but there's no checks and balances, and the fisherman can put in the log book anything he wants.

Unfortunately, it takes 18 months to verify that data. So we can have all the stock assessments in the world --

MS. MEDINA: The lag time is a killer.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: But we need real-time data, and we have the ability to have it right now. We don't have to wait any longer. We have it right now. So that's one thing I wanted to say.

The other thing I wanted to say is

that especially in the Gulf of Mexico and the southwest Atlantic, fishermen there don't necessarily feel that to have viable ACLs and catch limits, that we need a catch share, in order to -- or an IFQ, in order to achieve that. There are many vehicles that we have in our tool box or tools in our tool box, in order to achieve ACTs, ACLs and responsibly manage our resource. I think that sometimes gets lost in the mission.

So interesting. It seems that you

-- I take your point because, you know, Mark

and I worked real hard on the catch shares

policy a couple of years ago, and the point

there was that catch shares isn't a mandate

across the board.

It was a tool that we thought could be helpful in some places, and that you all, as fisheries managers and fishermen in particular regions, different fisheries, would be able to decide when you thought it might be appropriate and sometimes, I mean, it's just

when -- it's sort of a last resort, when ACLs and other effort controls haven't worked.

Sometimes that is sort of the last measure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But it seems like it is working on the Gulf side, in that you can have better data. But I'm not sure maybe if I'm reading more into your comment.

MARTIN FISHER: MR. Well, I'm really appreciative of the fact that we have a new tool that was created through the IFQ system. But we wouldn't necessarily, you don't need IFQ to have that tool. I think the Council took it as mandate from the а administration that should not we consider, but that we should recommend catch shares for our fisheries.

I'm just going to get in the weeds for one second. Vermilion snapper is totally underfished. In fact, the Science Center wants us to catch 6.5 million to bring the stock down to a level where we can achieve maximum sustainable yield, and that's why OFL

1 worked. Currently, we're only catching 2.5.

But there's a big push to IFQ that species. It's not over-capitalized. It's under-utilized, underfished. It doesn't need an IFQ, and if you express an IFQ into that fishery, then basically what you're doing is you're giving the ownership of the fishery to a very small cadre of people, and limiting access, when we need to increase access.

MS. MEDINA: Well, I would be surprised how hard we're working on the catch shares, the ITQ systems that we have implemented and they're big ones on the east and west coast, that there's any huge push from headquarters to do more.

But obviously we want people to consider them, and to think of them as a tool that's viable. I mean the whole goal of the catch share policy was for us to try to get smarter about them, so that we could help implement them effectively. Boy, I mean, it's a long learning process. Each one is

different, you know, and there are many complications with that.

But every system has its complications or its impacts, you know, and so, you know, it's not that this one is -- maybe that we're more conscious of them in this one, because we're managing more closely down to the individual vessel, and that, you know, gives us more information, as you said.

But it isn't something that we expected would be appropriate everywhere. In fact, we expected that it wouldn't be appropriate everywhere, and that it would be something that people would decide on.

So you know, I hope that you'll take this message back to the Council, and I'm sure Mark and others will do the same, that we're wanting to use them where people think them appropriate and we want to help, because they are difficult to design and implement.

As for your other comments about, you know, the ACLs working, I'm glad to hear

it. I'm very glad to hear it, I mean, because they have represented a huge amount of work, and that 2011, you know, got to have them all in place, was a pretty big deadline, pretty big hurdle to get over.

I know the Fishery Service is scrambling, you know, stretching to get the last of them done. But for the most part we've managed to get it done, and hopefully that will bear, you know, fruit. Julie.

MS. MORRIS: Can you give us just a quick overview of what was under discussion about risk reduction.

MS. MEDINA: Well, I'm trying to think what the various amendments are that are still out there. You know, there are a lot of them on catch shares. There are a few in New England, on our asset forfeiture fund.

I didn't touch on enforcement, but that's something that I have worked very, very hard on, and I would be interested in your sense of whether or not compliance assistance

efforts are working that we're doing, and that's outreach and communications around enforcement.

So there are a couple of amendments on enforcement. I don't think that there's anything else. I can't remember what other amendments are pending. I mean generally catch shares and enforcement are the two big issues for us with respect to Congress and, you know, riders.

We haven't seen a whole lot of momentum around amending the Magnuson-Stevens Act, although it was interesting. We were having a conversation about it yesterday, about whether or not, you know, in 2012, we're going to have to have the conversation, or whether we'll need to just postpone it because of all the other things that are happening with respect to budget cuts and changes.

But I guess the law needs to be reauthorized soon, and I suspect there will be at some point a conversation, a real one, not

just a sort of one off or a temporary fix, but a real one about Magnuson-Stevens. I mean, I think the thing that we are wrestling with right now is the thing that we've wrestling with for as long as I can remember since my first time in NOAA, which is how to deal with requests for fisheries disasters, and what's in the statute about fisheries disasters that was really hard for us to work with, frankly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We have a new policy and we're trying to do our best with that to make it work. But what is a disaster, what should be a disaster, what does that mean that people should receive or should expect from the government, particularly in a time we're in, in a time when we're not doing well and there are a lot of people who are struggling in a lot of industries.

So you know, if I had to guess, I would suspect that -- I think one area that we've worked very hard in that's promising

that we wouldn't get changed is the IUU provisions that are new. I mean, that's a new area for us. We've worked very hard to put a great program in place, and we have a lot of partnerships internationally, and that's going to help U.S. fishermen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I would suspect they'll be some discussion about rec. versus commercial, how to fish and that kind of thing, because that is such a big issue, that I suspect there will be a desire to maybe take a look back. But I would be curious what you all think is working and not working in Magnuson, in the statute itself or as we've implemented it. Paul.

MR. CLAMPITT: Thank you. I'm Paul Clampitt from the Pacific Northwest, a halibut fisherman. Talking about the tough budget, one of the things that I think you could say to save both fisherman and NOAA and NMFS money would be to implement electronic observing. We're looking at paying \$600 a day for they use it observers, and quite

effectively in Canada, and I don't see why we couldn't use it too.

I don't see any push for that, and
I've been talking about it with people. I
could see some movement in that direction.

MERRICK: There's a powerful DR. one in the Northeast. It's the first year; the problems we've had at the end of the first year suggest that the only way we could recommend it would be for a long fishery. The problems remaining there though are getting some idea of the weight or length of the fish, so we're hoping that at least in the Northeast, to have this problem solved in the next year or two. So is that effective or is that actually -- we should have operational system within a year Hopefully we can do that.

19

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. CLAMPITT: I'm glad we're doing something.

DR. MERRICK: Yes. Most of the

systems that exist right now are ones that are monitoring catch or providing enforcement.

They're not actually quota monitoring, which is two really different sorts of issues.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, yes. It seems to me that, you know, you don't need 100 percent observer, you know, to figure out what the stocks are. You could put a camera on the other 90% of the vessels, and they keep the log, you know how it works, so I don't have to explain it all. It seems, you know, the idea that you have to have 100 percent coverage seems --.

DR. MERRICK: For quota monitoring, you'd probably have to have something close to that if you're trying to sum up what's being taken, whether it's bycatch or -- but for enforcement, it's what we described.

MR. CLAMPITT: You know, one of the things I'm looking at -- and it's November, and I'm looking at the numbers and

quotas in terms of species. I don't think
they're going to, they're going to lose 30% of
the fish. That's a lot of jobs and money, and
on top of that, you're losing 30 percent of
the fish, and then you're charging, observer
presence on top of that is probably greater
than \$600 a day. It seems it should be
somewhere around that.

CHAIR McCARTY: I think we have time for one more question for Monica.

MR. JONER: Good morning, Monica.

I'm Steve Joner with the Makah tribe, and
you've already heard from two or three folks
about the needs for better assessment, and the
Pacific Council, we're working on science
improvements with our SSC in the Science
Centers, north and south, and those are really
needed.

We all know how tough things are budget-wise, but I think that means we just need to prioritize better. We have one rockfish stock, winnows, that are about to be

discharged from the hospital. Unfortunately, we have a couple of other species that are in the waiting room, and one of them is sable fish.

So we just can't seem to break even on this, or we at least get one out and another one is headed back in. I think that improved assessments will go a long way toward helping us better understand and better manage.

Then another big issue for us is groundfish, essential fish habitat and the role of corals and sponges. We think that the role that corals and sponges play as essential fish habitat, it's just not understood, and we really don't have clearly defined goals for the corals and sponges.

Right now, we just basically have information on presence or absence, and there's not a lot of information on how they interact with the ground fish. So what the EFH enclosures have done is just made more

restrictions on the fisheries. We try to work around those, and of course, we all want to protect the habitat that the ground fish depend on.

But I think more information is vital on not just the role that corals and sponges play as habitat, but more information on what our goals should be for population goals for these species, and maybe a better understanding of our habitat goals for the ground fish, and then the two would kind of go together.

I think we need to step away from just managing corals and sponges as habitat and start managing them as resources, and by doing that, I think we'd have a lot better understanding of the interaction and a lot better understanding of what we need to do to maintain that habitat.

MS. MEDINA: Thank you for that.

I know this is a subject that the big boss,

Dr. Lubchenco, who cares an awful lot about,

since it's her home, and I think Eric has been talking about sort of orienting the thinking in NMFS around science and habitat, you know, without saying it in -- I don't think he's made any mandates or dictates around that, but think he's thinking about how important habitat is, and trying to orient the Fishery Service more around what is essential habitat, without regulating, without stepping in, but thinking about restoration. Ι mean. I'm thinking about the Gulf. I've spent a lot of time thinking about the Gulf and restoration there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So your points are well-taken and, you know, I hope that we can continue to do more with less. It's just hard, it's a challenge.

MR. JONER: Sure, thanks.

MR. RIZZARDI: I want to mention an issue about climate change, and its nexus to fisheries and its importance to the people of Florida. As we deal with sea level rise

and the consequence of climate change, what it could mean in Florida is the transformation of our estuaries.

2.0

With 90 percent of our population on the coastline, there's no place for those estuaries to move to. It's not Alaska.

MS. MEDINA: Look at Louisiana.

They're out of water.

MR. RIZZARDI: So we have a squeeze coming. The people are not paying attention. Our state has stopped implementing its climate laws, has actually tried to repeal the climate laws.

Our governor has terminated the Energy Commission and the Energy and Climate Commission, and in the meanwhile, the ocean will continue to rise, and we are not even addressing this issue at a risk management level, which is where it should be.

Even if you want to dispute the science, you should at least be looking at this issue as a risk management issue. There

are 20 million people in Florida who are not being served by their government right now, and we need to elevate the issue at an awareness level, so that people can start to address it.

What I'm not seeing is the reach to the public, so that they understand the consequences and the severity and the interconnectedness of all of these issues.

Our coastal well fields are at stake. Our fresh water drinking supply is at stake. Our flood control is at stake.

The people of Florida are at risk of going under water, and we're not dealing with the issue.

MS. MEDINA: For protection, yes.

We are trying very hard. I mean, obviously,

we care about all those things. You know, we

care about not just the fisheries but also the

storm protection, all of the risk factors, and

you know, I take your point.

I wish there were more we could

do. But we continue to, you know, predict the weather and predict it not just today or tomorrow, but in longer term forecasts as well.

We have a Coastal Services Center in South Carolina and our Ocean Service that is working with more and more communities, because in the end, you know, government is local and when people want help, and when they realize they need it, you know, we have resources that they can draw on.

The other thing I'll say is that the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force still is alive and well, and Dr. Robinson, our Assistant Secretary, is actually there, if not today then it's tomorrow, and they continue to work.

They are a very successful example of what can happen if people put their mind to it and they don't talk about climate change or not climate change. They just talk about the South Florida ecosystem as a whole, and all

the things that need to happen. So I do have some hope that there is integrated and really active engagement in the region between the federal government and a lot of the state and local officials down there.

MR. RIZZARDI: Can I follow up for just -- I think the South Florida Ecosystem

Restoration Task Force is a good example of regional collaboration. What happens in all of those collaborative exercises is at the end, there's not a single leader, and the leadership is then diffuse.

What you get is one city or one locality that does address the issue, but the City of Lake Worth cannot by itself adapt to an entire coastline issue. If we're going to embrace adaptation solutions, if we're going to embrace mitigation solutions, they have to happen at a regional level.

While there may be planning discussions underway, there's no regional leadership, there's no state leadership and

1 there's no national leadership in Florida
2 right now.

MS. MEDINA: Well, I recognize that there's a new governor in Florida and he's made a lot of changes.

MR. RIZZARDI: Yes ma'am.

MS. MEDINA: And that is a challenge.

MR. SESEPASARA: My name is Henry Sesepasara.

MS. MEDINA: Yes.

MR. SESEPASARA: I'm from American Samoa, the U.S. Territories. We have a very international concern in fisheries development and management. We are located in an area where our territory is boxed in by other foreign EEZs, like Western Samoa. The independent Samoa state is only 40 miles west of us.

We have Tonga, the kingdom of Tonga which is south of us. Then the French Polynesia in the east, Tokelau in the north.

So we're sort of boxed in here and we do have an international concern here where as a U.S. territory, we are part of the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, and we are subject under a lot of management regulations, compared to our neighbor islands, independent state of Western Samoa, who are not part of the U.S., and their fisheries is more into the development stage, rather than to the management stage.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

subject under We are all other federal regulations, and yet these other countries are not. So we do have that problem. As far as management, just yesterday I read the issue on the two powerful nations competing in that area, which is China and the U.S., and China is pouring a lot of funds for development fisheries in the of these countries.

If you ever have a chance to come down and observe the fisheries in the two Samoas, the American Samoa Territory and the

independent Samoa, our fishery development is no comparison to the development of fisheries in independent Samoa because of the funds that are coming in from China, developing that.

So it's a just a concern that we want to express here for our federal government to look at it.

MS. MEDINA: I appreciate that.

We have a Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Fishing, Fisheries, Russell Smith, and he's in the Pacific today, meeting with I forget which of the commissions. I can't remember which one he's at today.

But we continue to work on those organizations in order to have better coordination. We understand that there are competitive, real competitive issues. We also have a new Secretary of Commerce, and one of his first trips will be --

Well, his two first trips are to China and APEC, and these are also places where we have tried to engage. We have the

former Secretary of Commerce, Gary Locke, in China, and Dr. Robinson is actually going to China next week himself for a discussion about oceans. So we'll continue to raise the issue and to work on it.

I'm sorry I have to take off. I wish I could stay longer. I hope that you -I mean I looked at your agenda. My gosh, you guys have a lot to do. So we appreciate all of the input that you're going to give us over the next couple of days.

Again, I know Eric wishes he could be here, but you've got a lot of our leadership team here, ready to work with you and to take all your feedback, and we'll make sure that Dr. Lubchenco gets a report on both what you've told me this morning and more broadly what you're going to discuss over the next three days.

So thank you very much for taking the time away from your businesses, from coming here from away from home to be with us

and to give us the benefit of your wisdom, and good luck. Thanks very much.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you. Thanks so much for coming.

MS. MEDINA: Sure.

CHAIR McCARTY: And we do have a lot on our agenda that you talked about. So you'll get our -- here's your purse.

MS. MEDINA: Yes. I can't go without that. Bye.

11 Agenda Review (continued)

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay. Let's get back to the Agenda Review. We were on the beginning of the day on Wednesday. We are going to talk about National Ocean Policy implementation. It sounds like Monica's asking for our input on how the National Ocean Policy is playing out there. I wrote that down.

I think we'll probably have plenty to say and give it back to the leadership. So I look forward to a good discussion there.

The next piece is we asked at the last meeting

2 for a discussion on the marine cadastre.

We're going to get more of it. We're going to

4 have a number of people here doing that.

5 Mark, who is going to be leading the

6 discussion on the National Ocean Policy

7 implementation, since Eric's down for that?

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

process.

DR. HOLLIDAY: We have one of the senior policy advisors from NOAA, who's going to talk to us -- and the National Ocean Policy

MS. MORRIS: Excuse me. It's really hard to hear. I know a number of people are having trouble, so let's all try to speak up. I'm having a really hard time just keeping up.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Yes. Tomorrow, we'll have the representative from NOAA policy, Jessica Hamilton Keyes, who will be talking to us about the National Ocean Policy, on Eric's behalf.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, thank you.

Then we have implementing the NOAA Aquaculture policies. We're having Michael Rubino from the Aquaculture office. Then we're having someone, Jeff Payne, who you've not met, I don't think before, from I guess the NOS Coastal Services Center. Is that person from here, from D.C.?

DR. HOLLIDAY: The Coastal Services Center is in South Florida.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, okay, on the Caribbean strategy. Then we're going to have more subcommittee meetings. One of the things that we need to deal with is Cathy Foy not being here for the Protected Resources discussion, and we probably need to have another member of that subcommittee lead that discussion.

I asked for a list of those people, because I don't know who is on that committee, but we need to appoint somebody else. The Recreational webinar, the Recreational Fisheries webinar, as you can

see, is going to take place that afternoon.

Russ Dunn and Forbes Darby are going to be

part of that, and Ken Franke is going to lead

4 that.

We have also at the same time a discussion of what I call the Revision 2020, which we started out, as you know, with a small group of people who met on the phone.

Actually, it turned out to be almost a committee of the whole, which was great, and that group is going to get together again here.

We have some work done already, and we're going to be continuing that work here on Wednesday afternoon. On Thursday, we have the Ecosystem Subcommittee report and discussion, a report out from the Strategic Planning and Budget Committee, a report out from the Protected Resources Subcommittee and the Commerce Subcommittee.

We've allowed plenty of time for a discussion. I think that's one of the changes

that we've made in the agenda that I think

will be good, is that we'll have a lot of time

to discuss what comes out of those committees,

rather than having it sort of a hurried

project at the end of the meeting. Ken.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. FRANKE: For a, from a planning standpoint, a product of the webinar will be our recommendations for the vision plan. So we'll come back to you with a summary of those for the group to review.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you. One of the things that discussed we at the Subcommittee on Revision 2020 was that the subcommittees that already exist would play a role in that if they wish to, and some of the subcommittee recommendations, I hope, will be focused on the work that we need to revise Revision 2020.

I think that's true of the Aquaculture committee as well. George suggested that the Aquaculture Committee deal with that in their breakout session.

MR. RAFTICAN: Heather, one of the things coming up, we've got a number of chairs that are going to be rotating off MAFAC, and it's probably time to address some new leadership there too.

CHAIR McCARTY: That's a very good point. My understanding is that the leadership team is those subcommittee chairs.

Is that correct, Mark?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, they will be appointed, yes.

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes. So we will talk about that at the end of the meeting. A couple of things that Mark and I spoke about when we were putting the agenda together that aren't specifically on the agenda, that I thought we would talk about at some point is one of them is MSA reauthorization, and I noticed that Julie brought it up.

I know that last time it happened,

NMFS played a pretty substantial role in the

NSA reauthorization process. So I thought

perhaps we could discuss that briefly, with a
view towards having it on a future agenda.

Coming from the grassroots of MAFAC, I think

it might be useful and Monica, of course, did
say that too.

So I thought we could have a short discussion on that, even though it's not specifically on the agenda. The other thing that isn't on the agenda specifically that I think we need to have a brief update on is the Managing Fisheries 3 meeting.

If you recall, at the last meeting of MAFAC, we discussed what MAFAC's role might be in that conference, and I would like to talk about that a little bit more, because I think we can play a role, and I would like your thoughts on what that might be.

DR. MERRICK: That, and our effort to reauthorize, are going to be tied together.

I mean, Eric's viewing Managing Our Nation's

Fisheries as the beginning of the process, for

us to start to understand what we want to ask for in the next authorization.

CHAIR McCARTY: Makes a lot of sense. That's good. Those are the two things that I had on my list. Are there others that you think are issues that we should add to t he agenda at some point? The floor is open for suggestions, if you think there's things that have been left off this agenda that need to be assessed? Well, as we go, we may be adding things.

So the next order of business is for Mark to go over the action item table. It will be up on the screen, I presume?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Yes. Josh, you emailed this to members this morning, so we've been updating as events changed. You'll recall from the last meeting, we had a number of action items that were part of our report out of the May meeting in Key West that we sent forward to Dr. Lubchenco, we sent forward to the Commerce Secretary as part of the lead

summary. We copied that to all members as well.

Then we tracked the actions that are in this report out during the course of the months between meetings, and those who had responsibilities, whether they were NOAA people who were directed to take some action, or staff or even a subcommittee of MAFAC were asked to follow through on that.

Our game plan in Key West was for several of these actions to have subcommittees or members of MAFAC lead efforts to try to continue the momentum we generated, and work on items between meetings. That's still a work in progress, in terms of carrying that idea out.

But we do have a lot of progress to report on the actions that we looked at at the May meeting. Josh, is this going to be scrolled through? Am I going to be able to scroll through here?

(Pause.)

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So the first area of interest and my point here this morning, I mean I'm not going to go cell by cell through spreadsheet, but the general categories that looked at is the May meeting, we we had presentations from the deputy director of the Aquaculture program and staff on the implementation of the NOAA Aquaculture policy and the DOC aquaculture policy, some very specific recommendations with respect implementation of actions, initiatives, well as follow through on the role for MAFAC.

We've got an update and discussion for the subcommittee on the Commerce subcommittee schedule. But I think if we can go through them sort of one at a time, they're pretty consistent, which is a good thing with the directions that NOAA was going in, in terms of implementing the three main points, implementing the Gulf of Mexico fishery management plan amended for aquaculture.

So there's indications that this

is one of the action activities through the Southeast Regional office, and if you can scroll to my right, get that last cell where the action is listed. Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think we're on target, you know, it's actively underway through both the regional office in St. Pete and the Aquaculture program in headquarters. You see the different time lines there. The second recommendation talked about the National Shellfish initiative. Again, these are things that were consistent with --

Ιf you remember the timing, were making these recommendations just prior to the formal rollout of the policy itself. So we were kind of anticipating that these things might be part of the actual document that came out. We were correct So the directions on the assumptions. initiative were part of that. If you can scroll down. It's just a senior eyesight moment.

1 CHAIR McCARTY: I can't see it.

DR. HOLLIDAY: I'm just trying to see what number four was. So this idea was the transfer of knowledge and the best practices or the process of transferring, have the Gulf Council adopted, approach the aquaculture issue with the Gulf of Mexico to other the councils, and just scroll to the right.

We've been working through the Office of Legislative Affairs, trying to convey some information about supporting bills or helping draft legislation that would help clarify the approach to aquaculture, both through the national policy, but also -- I think the bottom line is there is a combination of Council activity and national guidance on how to approach implementation of permitting and management of aquaculture choices in the EEZ. That's what the focus of this was.

So one of the next action items

from Friday was to update the ten year plan that MAFAC had helped with, helped the aquaculture program develop several years ago.

So the response we got from the aquaculture program was that the focus of their energies was not so much on the ten year plan but on the very specific activities for the different, the three different issues that were announced, and we said it was a policy.

So I think the action here was received by the program, but they said they were not going to be updating the ten year plan as a unique document, but working on the elements of that document, and that's where, when Michael and Kris Sarri are coming to the talk to the Committee on the aquaculture topic.

We'll hear some more about the details of that, the differences between the ten year plan and the components of the different issues. So if you could just scroll

over to the right for row 5. So we scheduled subcommittee in advance of the presentation, so they're going to meet before the NOAA representatives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So if we can queue these questions from the action items in advance, and make sure that we're in agreement about what we want to hear, both from the NOAA level and from the department level, which was one of the other actions. I don't know where it is. Josh, was to have someone from the Department who was responsible for the DOC aquaculture policy implementation come and address us? Kris Sarri is one of the senior policy advisors at the Department responsible for implementation at the DOC level, which she'll be here to talk about, what other bureaus are going to be asked to do, implement DOC policy, talk about the intersection between the NOAA policy and the DOC policy.

So you know, most of these are works in progress under aquaculture. There's

activity on them, but there's no, we haven't completed the actions themselves or the initiatives, but they're underway. So these are sort of interim status reports of people within either the aquaculture program, leg affairs, or at the Department level, who are taking the actions following up on this.

And again, Michael Rubino sits on the joint subcommittee for aquaculture. He's taken the MAFAC recommendations forward to JSA, to make sure that their long-term view on the strategic development of issues. I think you're one down. Yes, it's on six. So Michael is taking that representation with him to two committees, to work on achieving that.

Tech transfer is one of the big initiatives that's row seven, three down, outline.

MR. CATES: Mark, can you tell us where that is, what's the status of that?

DR. HOLLIDAY: The tech transfer

22 issue?

MR. CATES: Yes.

(Laughter.)

DR. HOLLIDAY: To get the most recent data, I will ask that you wait for Michael to get that report, because there are recent developments that I think that he'd like to talk to you about. Curiously enough, MAFAC asked and we actually we created an Office of Aquaculture. How about that

DR. HOLLIDAY: So it was officially

-- it's no longer an aquaculture program.

It's an Office of Aquaculture in the

headquarters architecture. I think reporting

back, in terms of Michael will be here and his

staff will be available to continue to brief

us on the specifics of what these initiatives

were.

But from an action table, I think aquaculture is a fairly good example of the request that MAFAC made, and the responsiveness of NOAA. I'd give this a passing grade. They're relatively responsive

DR. HOLLIDAY: It's reporting to

19 the Director, the ever-popular Sam Rauch,

20 Office of Regulatory Programs.

22

21 CHAIR McCARTY: Okay.

MS. MORRIS: Created in 2004.

DR. HOLLIDAY: The program. If you're talking about the designation.

MS. MORRIS: Right.

DR. HOLLIDAY: If you could scroll on down, please. Okay. So that, I guess, was the last of the aquaculture one, and then the Recreational Subcommittee met and we have a briefing from Bob Williams about the status of the Marine Recreational Information Program and the different initiatives associated with planning from the Rec Fish Coordinators around the country.

We have NOAA staff who are serving as point people in each of our regions, and the feedback from the Rec Fish Subcommittee, the recommendations that Ken presented and you voted on led to much of what we're going to be covering on the webinar with the Rec Fish Committee. So we're going to bring together, and then we take this sort of altogether.

The Rec Fish Coordinators have been working with the Rec Fish Working Group,

again that's the MAFAC appointed individuals,
to develop recreational plans for each region.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I know that's a lot of recreational in that, but the working group, working with coordinators to develop action plans, several of the items within those action plans were focused on communication, improving communication, looking at developing lists, distribution lists, key informants, fishing clubs, magazine writers, editorial boards, to help develop a regional network to promote this and down the chain of sort up communication on policy questions, technical questions.

So this has been embodied in the Rec Fish Action Plans that we'll hear a report on the content of those plans. These are draft plans that have been developed over the summer in consultation with the Rec Fish Working Groups and Coordinators.

We'll get the report out during the webinar. You will see the regional

stakeholder organization. Ellen thinks that's part of the focus action plans. The Rec Fish Committee also asks that we more clearly highlight who these people were, who are these coordinators, and we took an action to go back and recommended that they receive some visibility on the website.

You saw that they were already on the website, but they were varied, trying to find where they were. So we tried to improve that presence on the website, so people know where to contact the recreational coordinators. Go ahead, keep scrolling.

Again, so that this, Row 13, is again related back to the action plans, this regional action plan we'll hear about during the webinar. The last, I think 14 is the last one. Is there a 15 for rec? 14 is the last one.

There's been a number of discussions between the Rec Fish Working Group, which is the element of MAFAC, and the

relationship between that and the subcommittees, the recreational subcommittees, in trying to find meaningful input for the Rec Fish Working Group, to see if we can offer comments on them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we have this sort of triangle of Russ Dunn and the Rec Fish Coordinators on the NOAA side, working with Ken and the subcommittee on MAFAC, and then a third point of the triangle, we have this group technical regional specialists from constituency that comprise the Rec Fish Working group, and trying to make sure that we develop sort of the strategic -- here's a chance that NOAA would benefit from the input of the subcommittee. The subcommittee wants to have the Rec Fish Working Group provide advice and counsel on very specific questions of how this would play out in their region or what their regional ideas are. This last item was to try to develop a more, I'm not trying to be pejorative, but a more substantive role

for the Rec Fish Working Group for the subcommittee, who has ideas of what they could work on.

I feel they're being underutilized, they're not, some of them are very
involved in very specific projects with the

Emirate Program, but not all of them. So the
goal here was to ask NOAA to work with Russ
and his Rec Fish Coordinators, to develop more
of sort of a game plan. You know, through the
course of the year, what do we want these
groups to be working on.

I think what we've got to report

back about what that strategy would look like

during the course of the webinar. One of the

reasons we're holding this webinar is to get
- this would be the first time the Rec Fish

Working Group and the subcommittee and the Rec

Fish Coordinators will all be meeting

virtually, but meeting together at one time.

Each group has met with each other, but not as a whole. I think this would

be a very helpful time to clear the air on some of the strategies and some of the objectives for the Rec Fish Working group.

CHAIR McCARTY: Can I ask a quick question?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Please.

CHAIR McCARTY: Is the Rec Fish
Working Group, it's an ad hoc committee? It's
not -- it doesn't have a life of its own that
goes on indefinitely. Is that -- I can't
remember whether it has a life or not, in
terms of time.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Its life is currently through May of next year.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So MAFAC approved its creation in Silver Spring for a one-year period. We requested an extension for another year last spring to extend it for another year. Those appointments, then, will either expire or we will vote to continue its existence beyond that.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, thank you.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So it's not a permanent committee. You're correct. It's an ad hoc committee.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Okay. Can you just scroll up again please? Down, I'm sorry. So we had Emily Lindow, who was the policy advisor to Eric for NOAA on energy policy at the May meeting in Key West, talking about our interactions with BOEMRE and our other, development of a national, I mean a NOAA energy policy.

I think the actions here had to do with broader communication between the committee and the participation of NOAA in these various activities. I think this is maybe symptomatic of sort of how do we involve committees more fully in providing advice and counsel to NOAA, so that when we are sitting at the table representing NOAA in these interagency forums, we have the benefit. As

Monica was asking in a more a casual way, I need to know what your thinking is or what your advice is. We're trying to structure more formal interactions between the committee and the developer on these energy issues.

2.0

I don't think these are sort of ongoing long-term actions, but I don't think we've gotten an informal structure put in place beyond what we've talked about in May. So I think there's an area of real potential in this that we still need to work some more on.

But clearly, these are -- energy is one of the clear drivers of the CMSB process, and to be engaged in that through the energy entry portal, I think, is a very productive and a very strategic role that MAFAC has identified for itself, that may be in its interest, for the Smart From the Start Program, the discussions we had there about these intersections with fishing and living resource stewardship.

So I think this is some area that hasn't seen a lot of work since May, and should probably be subject to more scrutiny.

Keep going, Josh. Now these, I think, all of these were along the same lines of developing more of a working relationship between NOAA and the Department on these energy issues, and taking this up as one of our newer initiatives, newer subject matter initiatives for the committee.

I don't think we, I mean this was discussed in the Ecosystem Committee, I think, at the May meeting. But we need to discuss whether or not that was, is in a Strategic Planning and Policy Committee activity in the long term, or is there a need for an Energy subgroup to focus on it.

I think there needs to be some -
I would recommend there be some discussion

about the people on the committee who have a

particular interest in it, maybe even a

subgroup, where energy might help provide a

more formal point of contact between NOAA and
MAFAC. It's something to think about as we go
through this, as we go through this meeting.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIR McCARTY: So perhaps put it in the Ecosystem Committee to talk about at this meeting?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Have a --

CHAIR McCARTY: Add it to that, okay, if the Committee agrees to it.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay.

HOTITIDAY: think DR. I we're pretty close to have a couple more. So some are very specific actions. Just go over that That's correct. we're having one. So representatives, both from the National Ocean Service and the Marine Fishery Service, this National Information talking about Management System that's being developed to support coastal marine spatial planning.

One of the sources of data that we heard about in May is Multi-Purpose Marine

Cadastre. People wanted to hear more about

that, so we have the staff support to brief us on that, as well as what National Marine Fishery Service are providing from its data holdings, to support the cadastre and the National Information Management System and the CMSB. So that's being done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We drafted as а committee recommendations relevant to the Restoration Task Force. We sent, during the public comment period, a very specific letter from, signed by Heather to the Task Force, with your recommendations. There wasn't any particularly -- there wasn't a direct response back. didn't get anything. I don't know if you got anything directly from the Center its or staff.

so that was the major recommendation of what we had to follow up with. Strategic Planning. We did have a conference call just prior to the meeting, the Revision 2020. So that's a work in progress that we're undertaking at this meeting, have

time set aside to push the ball down the field. Again, one of our intentions is to try to work on this, you know, maybe in the summertime, probably just right up to the point of this meeting.

But again, I think we are learning how to do this between the business and it's elusive, because once we leave these meetings, we all go back to our real jobs and our paying jobs, I should say, and getting people to remember the ideas between meetings is sometimes difficult.

Did you skip over the Managing Fisheries III? So between May and now, as I alluded to earlier, there's been very little specific progress on Managing the Nation's Fisheries III. We had, as you recall, invited a member of the council coordination committee to attend the MAFAC meeting, as part of this improving communications and liaison, and the chair of this committee would attend the CCC meeting.

The representative from the CCC couldn't make this meeting, and couldn't find an alternate who could represent him at this meeting. So there's good intentions here, but there hasn't been a whole lot of dialogue at this planning level yet to come to specifics of the conference.

But it's on the, it's still on the radar screen for planning purposes, and I suspect things will heat up. As we clarify what the budget is for Fiscal Year 2012, that will guide a lot of our decision-making about how practical it is to have a 400 person workshop symposium if we're not able to pay for some of our essential services.

Whether it's just the optics or not, I think we'll be facing that. So when that's clarified, I think we'll have some further information. Randy, is that you waving?

MR. RANDY FISHER: Well, the fisheries conference, it seems to me that

there may be -- I just don't understand the logic of having a meeting right before the election, because a lot of this could change.

The administration could change and the narrative could change. So you're going to have a meeting where nobody's going to be in town anyway. I think they're all going to be out stomping around the woods trying to get a vote somewhere.

So it just seems to me that it may be wiser to wait until March or sometime after elections, so we know kind of a little bit more of what's going on.

DR. HOLLIDAY: That point's been made. It's the January meeting of the CCC where this will be brought up again, and I think that will probably be a decision point of go or no-go and the timing issue will probably be addressed then.

Frankly, if we wait long enough, the decision will be made for us, that we won't have enough time to plan for something

1 for October.

MR. RANDY FISHER: Oh, and I know you're having a hard enough time for a number of things.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Yes. But your point is well-taken, and we've discussed the tradeoffs of bringing it to Washington when no one's here doesn't make a whole lot of sense.

CHAIR McCARTY: Good point.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Well, that's the Multi-Purpose. Is that a subgroup?

12 CHAIR McCARTY: Yes. Okay.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So this next is this requesting information from NOAA regarding how the agency currently establishes priorities. We have an open, which is going to be bringing it to the Aquaculture Subcommittee.

Last few weeks we sent out an email from NOAA Sea Grant, who runs the Aquaculture grant program, looking for ideas and initiatives from MAFAC for their grant

1 cycle.

So this is sort of, it was, it grew out independently almost of the request, whether it coincided with this meeting. So I wanted to bring it to the table and explain it to the subcommittee for action at this meeting. So we'll follow up with that with the subcommittee, and they'll come back to the table with the recommendations of the whole committee.

Kris Sarri, that's will be here to discuss the, you know, DOC policy, and this was a lessons learned or best practice recommendation for the members, and so when we do get our new board members for the spring meeting, we'll fill that in. Josh reminded me that we had developed a new member handbook.

We had a new member handbook that had been around for many years, was out of date. So we updated it and I think we had posted it to the members-only section of the MAFAC website. We'll go through and remind

1 people how to get on that with the password.

2 But I don't want to say it on the record so 3 we'll have to change the password.

So there is a new handbook that would help give some orientation to new members, but we'll also set aside time for a briefing of new members, to help them in the transition.

CHAIR McCARTY: Excellent. Just have them come a half day early?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Something like that.

CHAIR McCARTY: That's good. This is very helpful.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So this was our time to -- again, this is more of -- it's a tool, as opposed to a public document, that we're just using it as a spreadsheet to keep track of things. This again is posted, to keep you posted on the member side, and as things can get changed over time, we try to keep it up to date as best we can.

CHAIR McCARTY: Excellent. This is very helpful, thank you. Is there a question over here?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

If I can just make a MR. DEWEY: comment, and I appreciate your moving this to the front of the agenda instead of the end, you know. I think it helps refresh our memories as to what actions we took at our last meeting, instead of having it be at the end of the meeting as an afterthought. yes, we should have dealt with that at this meeting or something like that. So Ι appreciate that.

Is there, as Josh was scrolling the right-hand side, the staff task and notes wasn't visible. I was trying to keep track with you on my computer with the email version. But are there -- I mean are there are things that stand out in your mind, Mark, that we need to focus on, because we haven't followed up on a particular action?

DR. HOLLIDAY: When I looked at

this the last time, the thing that struck me
was more on the energy end than the National
Ocean Policy stuff. I think there's interest,
but I don't think we have a game plan as well
structured as some of the other initiatives.

I think we have a strong budget component and planning for that, and the energy and the National Ocean Policy piece and how that spills over to the ecosystem.

So I think that's, as I mentioned, the area where we can have some more discussion about that. It might identify someone to take the lead and work with us.

CHAIR McCARTY: One of the things that we talked about, I can't remember whether we talked about it publicly or whether it was just you and I, Mark. We talked about looking at the subcommittees themselves, and trying to determine if they were still the appropriate subcommittees, and trying to maybe revamp that a little bit, the Commerce Subcommittee.

Maybe we should call it the

Aquaculture Subcommittee. Maybe we should have another subcommittee that deals just with energy and that sort of stuff. So maybe on the agenda, we could do it right after the break in the afternoon, have that discussion right before we break into subcommittees, if that would work, Mark. Does that sound okay?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Today?

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes, right before we break. That means after the break. Okay.

Do other people have questions or comments about the task sheet? I think it's very great. I guess one thing that would be helpful, I guess I didn't realize that it was on the website under members only.

So it would be good at some point to go through what all is in that area of the website. Of course, I didn't know that. So that would be very useful, to be able to see it between meetings and to keep track of it yourself when you had questions.

MR. RIZZARDI: Is that something

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay. So with that, I think we should go to the first one of our guest speakers, and that would be you, Judy. Thank you for coming.

Update on NMFS' Communications Office

MS. GAN: Great.

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you for coming.

MS. GAN: Thank you very much. I appreciate the opportunity to be here this morning, to meet -- I met many of you as I

came in this morning. I look forward to meeting the rest of you later today or at the dinner tonight.

Our Office of Communications was established by Eric Schwaab earlier this year, as a way to align and strengthen and coordinate our communications efforts. Now that's not to say that communications had not been going on, and in fact many of you probably worked with Kate and Laurel and others on our team.

But this is, was really Eric's vision to have an office reporting directly to him, to coordinate efforts across the agency.

Our objectives at the 40,000 foot level are twofold. First of all, to inform, engage and inspire our workforce. To understand, we have about 4,000 people in NOAA Fisheries, about 1,000 of them sitting with us in Silver Spring.

The rest of them are forward deployed. So they're the ones who are out

there dealing with you all every day and our other stakeholders in the environment. So we really need to do a better job, I think, of informing and engaging that workforce.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The second major objective is to generate public awareness, stewardship and support for our agency's priorities from a centralized perspective. Specifically, just looking ahead to this fiscal year, to give you a sense of some of the things we're working on, our priorities include fisheries and aquaculture; our Turning the Corner campaign on our efforts in ending overfishing and rebuilding stocks, and ensuring a sustainable supply of seafood for our nation.

Secondly, on the protective side, next year 40th resources is the anniversary of the Marine Mammal Protection Act. So we're using that as an opportunity to highlight our progress in that regard. Thirdly, international fisheries. A really growing concern for us, as you all deeply

1 appreciate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So leveling the playing field for our fishermen and focusing on the challenges of IUU fishing is another campaign that we're launching. Ecosystem challenges, specifically the Habitat Blueprint, which we're launching within NOAA Fisheries, extending to other NOAA line organizations. I don't want to steal any of Brian's thunder. He'll be here later to talk to you about that. But we do have a communications effort to support that.

Recreational fishing, we just talked about that. With the roll out of the Regional Plans and the MRIP, the new way of accounting for those fisheries, pulling that out.

We've got a recreational fishing national communications plan that we're Then finally, maybe working on. sort of wrapping around all of this is a focus on science, promoting our efforts, highlighting our achievements and our scientists, and

probably most significantly, providing a better context for our management and enforcement actions.

So building our science communications capacity, that's something that Richard and I will be working on over the next several months. So just as an example of one of our, just to highlight one of our major initiatives over the last few months, just to give you an idea of what we've been working on, is this Turning the Corner campaign.

This has been a broad engagement campaign, with key messages that we've been delivering consistently over multiple channels and venues. We have first of all developed and are aggressively using new social media outlets to tell our story, and we're getting good returns on that.

We have strengthened and leveraged our relationships with our external partners, who in turn are effectively amplifying our messages for significant announcements such as

the rollout of the Status of Stocks in July, and many of you maybe were partners with us in that, so thank you for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Capitalizing on events for the industry and other elements of the Boston Seafood show, the MSA 35th anniversary this past year, World Ocean Day and most recently, signing ceremony with EU, the EU commissioner, Maria Damanaki, as an opportunity to tell our IUU, talk about our commitment to ending IUU fishing.

Apart from that, and you all have probably seen these regional seafood festivals and other opportunities, that maybe we've been doing for many years, but we're trying to sharpen our messages and deliver sort of consistent themes and messages at all of these events in our fishing communities.

So I'd like to thank you all for your support, and moving ahead, maybe ask for your help with three things. First of all, I think this would be an ideal group to test our

messages, to see how they're going to play across your -- with you as fishermen, with you representing fishermen or in your communities.

Secondly, one of the things particularly of interest to us in this turning the corner campaign has been to identify success stories, to really show the human dimension of our efforts, and also to illustrate the challenges of some of the things that we're doing.

You know, it's one thing to be putting out a lot of statistics about rebuilding, you know, 21 stocks in ten years.

But what does that really mean, you know, and I think to help us drive that message a little bit more effectively, if we had more success stories and impact stories from around the country I think that would be very helpful.

So I think this group could be very helpful in that regard.

The third thing is we will be launching a redesign of Fish Watch, which is

our strategic information source. You all are probably familiar with that, and I believe that this group could, in an informal sense, just provide guidance on that as we evolve that platform, and secondly, maybe at some point down the road, we wanted to establish an advisory board for Fish Watch.

This group could be maybe a group to help facilitate, you know, the substantiation of a group like that, to just again, provide us with some realistic input and feedback on the usefulness of that platform to people in the industry and in the environment here.

So that's in a nutshell what we've been working on and what we're doing. I'd like to maybe open it up for any questions or comments or suggestions of things we should be focusing on, or anything you have to say. Vincent.

MR. O'SHEA: Thanks Judy, good morning. You know, I'll speak bluntly. I

think the press and the rhetoric coming out of New England has been a big distraction for NOAA, and one of the things that bothers me a bit is the source of the information is limited to a relatively small number of outlets, and there's a lot of misinformation.

The New England Council has sort of stepped into the water recently, to try and push back a bit, clarifying Council actions and clearing the air on this. So my question is I'm wondering what sort of dialogue is going on inside of National Marine Fisheries Service, to try to maybe do a bit more to engage the Council, support the Council and perhaps the councils to push back on some of this stuff.

I realize it's a Council decision.

I would seem to me that absent that response from the Council, collectively we're all letting somebody paint the picture of what's going on some of these issues.

MS. GAN: Yes, that's a really

vexing issue, and something that I know, like you said, it's like taking up a lot of time and if you witness Eric, you know, up in New England yet again today.

From my perspective, having worked in the private sector and coming into government a few months ago, you know, I'm starting to appreciate that there are certain things, certain paths that are maybe closed to a government agency that would not be closed to private industry.

One of the things that we have been trying to do, particularly in New England is, you know, there's sort of one media outlet that is generating a lot of this stuff, that is unfortunately getting a lot of political attention, which is why I think it's getting a lot of national attention.

So one of the things that we've tried to do is to engage some of our partners, people in the industry, to come forward and talk about situation on the ground there.

That this is not what is being painted. Sure, it's a difficult time up there. They're going through the transition. But there are a lot of folks up there who are not suffering, who actually do fairly well.

So we are trying to get out third parties to come forward, and we were successful in that regard, leading up to Dr.

Lubchenco's recent testimony up there. So we're just kind of just starting that process.

We'll probably do more of that. We could probably use help from people in this room on thoughts and suggestions of where to go with that kind an approach.

The only thing is we've been looking at other media outlets, the Boston Globe and others, who maybe have a little bit more, we'll have a better day in court with them.

MR. O'SHEA: Just a follow up. So the editorial boards with the press --

MS. GAN: Right.

1 MR. O'SHEA: And Boston Globe and 2 some of the more credible media outlets. 3 MS. GAN: Yes. In fact, we were 4 trying to organize one, with the Portland 5 paper today, and I just couldn't make that 6 work. But that's something he wants. 7 MR. O'SHEA: And the

ridiculousness of it, and this will be my last comment, they've painted the picture that NOAA was putting the number one dollar volume fishing port out of business.

MS. GAN: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. O'SHEA: I that's mean incredible. There's more money coming into that port than ports in Alaska.

It really defies logic. MS. GAN: It's probably a political issue, it sounds like --

MR. O'SHEA: Thanks for letting me share. I've got tell you, Judy, I'm glad to see you here.

MS. GAN: Thanks, I appreciate it.

CHAIR McCARTY: Other questions?

Go ahead, Terry.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm one of those MR. ALEXANDER: guys in New England, and I think where we made our mistake, where NOAA made that mistake was when we were implementing Amendment 16, we didn't share what exactly we were implementing. We didn't tell people that -- I was there, but a lot of people didn't know that these sales were mandatory in 2011. lot of people didn't know that if we didn't have a catch share in place, they'd have 24 days to sea counting that 2 to 1. So they'd have 12 days to sea each.

So I think that that communication didn't get out there, because we were so focused on getting catch share into there, that we didn't tell the people what the alternative was. They're going to have a lot of people, especially in our sector, talking about that.

Yes, we need some improvements,

but I think it's going to be ten years from now, we're going to think of it as a whim, you it know. Today, still needs improvements, needs some work. But I think when you're implementing something like that, you're going to need to share it with the people, the stakeholders, what they're actually going to -- what the alternative would be if we didn't have this, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. GAN: No, I hear you, and I think -- I really do, Terry. That's been, from my perspective, maybe a hard lesson learned up there. What they are doing, you know, witness the thing that's happening today.

I just want to give a shout out to our folks in New England, the outreach and the communications team at the regional office and the Science Center, who are really opening the aperture, doing a lot more -- having a lot more workshops.

They're trained people in plain

language just so that the permit letters and all are, you know, mere mortals can understand the English in these letters. I honestly think that, you know, it's sort of of necessity they've had to do these things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But I think we'll see some best practices coming out of that region as a result of all of this stuff, that we can maybe use in the other regions as well, to do a better job of just regular outreach and communication, to your point, you know, just being out there and explaining in ways that people can understand what we're doing, what the implications are, you know, instead of just waiting for the shoe to drop.

MR. ALEXANDER: Ι was at the meeting when the allocation was decided, and there was maybe six fishermen in the room. I'm like, this is the biggest vote in the history of the New England Council when there's nobody here. You know, there was a whole lot of NGOs there, but not many

1 fishermen.

2 CHAIR McCARTY: Other comments.

3 Now is your chance.

MS. GAN: I'll be around later.

CHAIR McCARTY: I have a couple of

things.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. GAN: Sure.

CHAIR McCARTY: One of the things that I've noticed in the Alaska region, and I don't know whether this is a common elsewhere, is that there seems to be some sort of hierarchy of decision-making as to who can speak and who can say what when.

I think it's a very constraining atmosphere, because I think nobody can express sort of outrage, and sort of response to a silly story like the people that are on the ground dealing with those issues.

A lot of times I've noticed that those people don't speak, that there's either sort of a delayed reaction from the PR people in the region, or even worse, a delayed

reaction that goes up the chain somewhere and then comes back down, and the story's gone, you know. It's two weeks into it and finally we get a response from NMFS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Meanwhile, you know, we're all going why don't they say something, why don't they say something. I don't know who makes those decisions. I don't know how that works on the national level. But I think there's nothing better than an immediate response that says no, that's wrong.

Whether it comes from the Council the regional folks, the Science or from Centers, anywhere, just so there's an immediate response that is, you know, recognizable by the public.

MS. GAN: Well I think, you know, part of it is we're sort of dealing with this environment of NOAA -- I don't want to sort of pass the buck that way. But there are policies and procedures and things that we need to live with.

That said, I think the first step is creating messages that people, that we disseminate to folks. The second step, to your point Heather, is empowering people to use those messages.

That's a process that will have, that I think we need to work with, because if there's, you know, we know there's a small set of issues, and we just need to have the talking points and the messages ready to go, people ready to use them, so when something happens, we can deal with the delay. We'll have to work through the cross-procedural issues. But hopefully, you know, empowering people.

I do agree with you. You can avoid a lot of things by starting nipping things in the bud before they become a national crisis.

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes. It's way too slow. Randy, and then Bill.

MR. CATES: I'd like to reiterate

that a little bit, but in a little different context. I was at a meeting recently in Hawaii, and there was quite a few local NOAA folks there.

NOAA shirts, NOAA posters, and a lot of statements were made about our commercial fisheries and aquaculture, and by environmental groups, a lot of misinformation.

The problem that I saw was the NOAA folks basically just sat there and kind of nodded, and maybe it's their personalities, that they don't want to speak up. But it almost becomes an acceptance, like the statements are true, because nobody's countering it.

I think that's a real problem, where if you go -- when you look in the press, you would think that our fisheries have completely collapsed. But the facts are different, and somehow we've got to encourage NOAA to stand up and speak the science, and not just be silenced on the science.

MS. GAN: Yes. I think the question is did they know the answer, or do they understand the situation, which is something, I think, we're going to try to work to address, or they knew it and they just didn't feel empowered to.

MR. CATES: You know, statements were being made that commercial fishing is totally unregulated and the ocean's being overfished, and these slanderous statements are being made. If you have a NOAA representative standing right there, it would be very easy for them to say wait a minute. We do, under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, manage our fisheries. It's mandated by law and this is what we do. Instead what's happening is the silence treatment, and the press picks up on that.

MS. GAN: Yes. That's unfortunate. Very true. Bill.

MR. DEWEY: Judy, I just want to compliment your shop on your efforts. You

have a big task before you, and I appreciate what you're trying to do. So I guess I would, to your list of things that you want, that MAFAC would potentially help with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think you should and can look to us as leaders in our industry and spokespeople in our industries around the country, so when you have significant events happen in fisheries or aquaculture, you know, work with us proactively ahead of those events, so that you don't just have six fishermen in the room for a significant amount of time.

You know, potentially working with develop op-eds that might you to be distributed around the country on different issues, show that there's stakeholder support for lots of actions. I think there's lots of opportunity there, and certainly from our segment and your staff has been great as we move forward in the Northwest towards this December 9th event with Dr. Lubchenco and the governor on the National Shellfish Initiative,

trying to make sure that we've got all the
right communications in place for that event,
so that some of our shoreline opponents don't
steal the show and take it in the wrong
direction.

MS. GAN: Thanks Bill, I appreciate that. I appreciate your support.

CHAIR McCARTY: I'd like to add to that too. I think that's very important. One of the conversations I had with Eric a while back was how you may use MAFAC members, not for advice in a setting like this, but we're glad to give you that advice and we'll work on these things that you brought to our attention. But also in the other direction, to use MAFAC members more actively in outreach.

I think that you do have a lot of people here who would be very willing to do on an individual basis, and I think that's a really good idea.

MS. GAN: Great, great.

Appreciate that, absolutely. Randy.

MR. CATES: Bruce had kind of an interesting situation. I think, I like the fact that the organization made a change. That's important. But I also think that you should contact some people out in the regions, and I'll give you an example.

At the west coast big fisheries conference they had not long ago, some recreational people made some fairly outlandish statements. So then the panic sets in because Eric was there. So what Eric does, you know, call up the recreational guys and what are we doing in the Northwest for recreational fisheries.

So then the phone calls start happening to the region. The reality of it is that everybody should realize that some people are going to make statements because they're good at making statements, and don't panic, because in that particular area we're doing a lot of stuff in recreational fishing.

There's no way in hell to satisfy

what they want to do, and they're very close

to being in situations where you are going to

get into a huge debate over whether or not

NOAA should be supporting the removal of

gillnetting. I can just see that happening.

So the caution is when this starts

everybody starts a big panic.

happening and everybody starts wondering, running around headquarters, trying to figure out what they need to do, we need a good plan to tell people out there, the media, or whoever it is, and test the water before

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you, Judy.

I think we're going to have to move on, and thank you for you and your staff for coming, and please stay as long as you like. Dr.

Merrick, yes. I apologize to the people that are waiting. Gary, you may have a very short time by the time we get to the Budget, but we might need to reschedule.

DR. MERRICK: I know Eric would

like to be here, because I'm looking at my
email coming from him back and forth, and it's
probably good that he's there. There are a
number of issues that come up. I'd rather be
here with you guys.

Well, I'm going to just give you a thumbnail sketch of who I am, so you know that I'm not the same person as the guy before me.

I'm taller obviously.

DR. HOLLIDAY: You've got hair.

NMFS Science Outlook

DR. MERRICK: I have some hair, and then I'll go through this presentation, which is actually sort of a higher level one than I would normally give as an overview of what we're doing, what we're supposed to in the next two or three years for managing science.

A lot of this will be backfilled by the presentations that are going to be given today and tomorrow on habitat and aquaculture. So I'll just touch on those

things, and then at the end I can go back and go to a couple of the questions that were asked earlier like monk seals, and see if I can perhaps provide you something clearer than what you heard before.

I started with NOAA in '83, and I was a fishery observer, and walking around the Shelikof Strait next to Kodiak. I spent the first half of my career working for the Alaska Center, so most of my time was actually in Alaska, because I worked with the North Pacific Council ostensibly. I was on the plan team for the Gulf and Bering Sea, so I developed a pretty good idea of how that council operates and how a council can operate. I think they do a pretty good job there.

I do a lot of ecosystem work with them, and I was the lead biologist in Steller sea lions in the Alaska center, for better or worse.

CHAIR McCARTY: Worse.

DR. MERRICK: It was calm when I left. It just fell apart when I left. So in '97, I moved over to the other coast to Woods Hole, and I've been there until about a month or so ago. I came there basically to build their protective species program.

I worked with them, worked with that program for seven or eight years, and then I took over as a division chief for what there is called the Resource Evaluation and Assessment Division.

That includes the fish guys. So all the stock assessments that were coming out when we were on the East Center were coming out under my signature. I was also in charge of the Social Sciences branch there as well as the Protected Species branch. So I have a pretty broad idea of the kinds of stuff we did with respect to science.

I'm a biological oceanographer by my trade. I have degree in marine resource management as well, and my Ph.D. is in

fisheries. So I've got a somewhat different
background from Sissenwine and Murawski. They
were stock assessment scientists. I understand
stock assessment scientists and the science
but I couldn't do an assessment. But I
understand what this is.

And coming here, I've had some people say well gosh, we've had three chief scientists. They all came from New England.

Why is that? I suspect it's because of the chaos in New England has been continuing over the years, and they want somebody at headquarters who can also deal with the chaos, because it's a lot like headquarters, except it was in Woods Hole and Gloucester.

So that's who I am. This is the kind of environment I like to work in. The presentation I give here is not the usual presentation I would give, but it's sort of the canned presentation folks have given me, and it does give a good overarching view of what we're doing for policy and for science

1 right now.

In the future, my presentations will be a lot lower level, so bear with this one. But there's some important things in this, and it will touch on a lot of the arguments that Eric wanted to touch on, and originally recognize that he was going to do a half an hour presentation on the regulatory outlook, and then also do one on science.

So I've tried to pull some of his key points into the discussion. Other parts will be picked up like this first part of the discussion is about budget, very briefly talking about where we are.

Gary's going to come back and talk more about this, but I think the point we need to make right now is that we've had a number of years of pretty good budgeting. It's been going up relatively well for fisheries, and now we're down somewhere in this domain.

The orange is what the House is talking about for FY '12. This is the

President's budget request. Likely, it's somewhere in between. So less than we've had. But the drivers are still the same, you know. We still have to do the same things we were doing before, and in some cases even more.

I think that most of the centers are in the process of trying to ramp up the frequency and the quality of the stock assessments, and that was one of the things I started in the Northeast Center before I left there, was to come up with a way to start doing stock assessments on either an annual or a biannual basis, every one or two years instead of every four or five years.

That's going to take additional resources. I'll just have to reprogram it, because I don't see the money coming. But I think all the centers are pressed for the same thing, and right now, with the decline of the budget, we still intend to try to deal with these high priority management issues.

Remember, the centers are out

there basically to try and support the managers. We're not just there to do science. We're not an academic institution. My view of the centers is that we're there to make sure that whatever management decisions are being made are supported by the best quality science.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So when you talk about us needing to have better quality assessments, we hear that and we want to do that. But the good news is that, tough as it's been to switch to this ACL regime, it's really pushing us towards being able to end overfishing, and also to reduce bycatch. And those are good things.

Despite all the pain that we're getting, for example, in New England, we're making progress there. One by one, we're rebuilding stocks, and that's just that, as we look towards the reauthorization next management and through management the nation's fisheries, that need to be we

thinking about that, how we want to position ourselves in the next Act, because the National Standard 1, which is what has driven us towards the point of being able to rebuild these stocks, will become less and less important.

Probably one of the things we're going to see is the shift more from single species to ecosystem-based approaches in management. All the councils are working on it at different paces. So if you look at the North Pacific Council, they're way down the road on that.

Other councils, like the New England Council or the Mid-Atlantic Council, are just starting. The idea is that we want to get them all up about the same point.

But as we make this shift from worrying about single stocks, which is what really the Magnuson Act's been focused on, to try and deal with recovering single stocks, either building plans or worrying about

bycatch of single stocks or protected species, for that matter, fixing those problems lets us shift and to change our paradigm of how we're going to approach management in general.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Then there's the issue of how we respond to climate change, and this is one of my major goals, is to get all the centers really up to speed on being able to provide management advice here on climate change, to the regional offices and to other parts of the government, and also to help the states. You'll hear more about this from Roger Griffis this afternoon.

of the biq issues in areas has been protected species issues, and every region seems to have their own sort of issues, and whether it's dealing with water and pesticides in California, or dealing with Steller sea lions or monk seals or right every region has something there. whales. This will remain a major issue the management side. It remains a major issue on

the science side, trying to provide good advice.

But let's go to the science part.

There are four themes to me that seem crucial for the agency, as we move forward over the next five to ten years. Dealing with ecosystem-based approaches to management, climate change, improving the quality of our surveys and the assessments that come out of that, and then transitioning to more advanced technologies that can make all these other things work better.

each one of these topics. So this is the ecosystem-based approach to management, basically that says we're moving from a single sector or a single stock approach to something that's more integrated, and it's likely that each region is going to have a somewhat different approach to this.

Catch shares is actually sort of a transitional way of dealing with that. It's a

lot of different ways of how you can deal with catch shares, but the idea is we now start to be thinking about whole systems. New England has been one way, one sort of implementation of that. Those places where they decide to use catch shares will probably have different approaches.

But I'm agreeing with what Monica's saying. I don't think the agency says we have to follow that approach. There may be other fisheries where that may not be the approach. But the reason that we, you know, we want to move to an ecosystem-based approach to management is this shifting away from the very narrowly focused issues to looking at whole systems.

A lot of that is because these single stocks are interacting with each other. If you look at New England, for example, if you look at squid, look at Loligo fishery, there's a strong interaction there with butterfish. You can't solve the butterfish

rebuilding situation without understanding what's happening with Loligo. And as we look at that more, it appears that there's something else going on.

In the most recent stock assessments we do for butterfish, what we find out is that the Loligo fishery, which has a lot of bycatch, in theory, of butterfish, has no real effect on the recovery of butterfish.

The F is so low that something else is going on in the ecosystem. And without taking the ecosystem approach, we're not going to be able to understand that.

So the agency's heading in that direction, and it also points towards how we're going to deal with all these different factors, these different parts of human interactions with fisheries, with coastal resources. We can't do that on a single stock basis. We'd have to look at it regionally.

The rubric that the agency is using, and I'm learning about this from the

work, and this is something people talk about much in New England, is integrated because it's an assessment program.

Basically, it's a process for implementing ecosystem approaches to management, and it's basically like any other planned process. We start off identifying how you're going to do this, work your way through by gathering data, doing analyses and then coming up with an evaluation of how you're going to implement it, and then monitoring it.

Nothing really novel here, but it's a process for how we're going to implement this. Basically, the way the agency is doing this, almost every region has an IEA as a pilot program. So there's a really good one on the Kona Coast in Hawaii. It's being held up as an actual model of how you can integrate these parts together.

And CMSP ultimately is another part of how we're going to implement ecosystem approaches to management. This is sort of one

of the management rubrics to this. For our part, fir NMFS, you know, NMFS doesn't really have the lead on CMSP, but we will continue to provide support to NOS. No matter what happens in the legislative world, the science part of the agency will continue to gather the data that's necessary for this.

Climate change in a lot of ways is similar to the issues that we have with CMSP, that we don't seem to be getting Congressional support for dealing with climate change. I use climate change here. When I go up on the Hill on Thursday, I can't say "climate change," okay. So I have to be careful with the words I use.

There, I've been told the best way to handle that is probably to talk about what the effects are of climate change, and not to invoke the term. It's an interesting time.

But you know, we have lots of issues that we're trying to deal with this with the science side within the agency. A lot of

these you're perfectly aware of.

Sea level rise is clearly an issue in Florida. We are not ignoring that, but the unfortunate part is we can't do much about either sea level rise or getting the state to develop an adaptation strategy.

We can provide guidance, but it's the same with a lot of states. It's an interesting time, again, for science, and particularly when you see situations like this, where it's clear something's happening in the Arctic, and everybody has heard about all this part, the decline of the sea ice.

If you've worked in the Bering Sea, it's just amazing to see what's happening there with respect to ice. The agencies, both the Fish and Wildlife Service and NMFS are really aware of this. This was the reason that polar bears are listed. This is the reason we're considering listing most of the ice field species.

We're seeing critters up in the

Chukchi and the Beaufort that we haven't ever seen. But what a lot of people may not be aware of is it isn't just an Arctic phenomena. For example, off the coast of the Northeast U.S., we've seen most commercial fish stocks shift distribution.

There have been two recent papers that have been produced by the Northeast Center showing what's happening here. For commercial fisheries, this could be bad news or it could be good news. It depends what you want to fish on. It's probably not particularly good if you want to fish on Atlantic cod. It's probably shifting farther north.

The weird things that are happening in the Gulf of Maine could be related to that. I mentioned the Gulf of Maine because we're just in the process of concluding the most recent Gulf of Maine cod assessment, and again, that stock does not appear to be rebuilding, despite very light

1 fishing pressure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Yet on the other hand, we have moving up farther north. croaker Ι mean that's a significant commercial fishery in the Mid-Atlantic. So there are winners and losers, and the advice we're trying to provide to the regional offices, in this case, for example, is: if you've seen shifts in distribution, what does that mean to the fisheries management plans? How can we adapt to that?

So to do that at the center level, difficulty is most of the information the that's available is these really large-scale sorts of general climate models, and how we get it down to the point -- so we can worry about the Gulf of Maine, or the Mid-Atlantic bight. That's the part where NOAA's group, working together, can provide a solution. a nice thing. This is one It's of the advantages of fisheries being within NOAA, that there's a lot of information coming out of NOAA that then could be used by us to do

more stock assessment models. So here's an example. This is the Bering Sea, looking at pollock recruitment, and the effect that that has on commercial fish stocks.

This is a climate-based model that the Alaska Center developed, that started off with information coming back from ocean conditions. It was built into the stock assessment model, suggesting there would be lower recruitment, and warm years, and this went on and eventually went to the SSC, and it resulted in a decrease in the pollock assessment for 2011, I think, or '12.

So the hope is that this sort of information, where we can tie climate data stock assessment models, is going to be the next step in most of the centers. That will provide better advice, particularly for future recruitment of many of the fishery stocks, and better advice for fisheries managers.

That's what happened with the quota. It went from 1.6 to 1.3 million tons.

If you've got a lot of fish, that's okay. You can probably survive that. There are other situations where this may be crucial to rebuilding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We're also dealing with salmon. There's another example in the Pacific Northwest, looking at what different climate regimes are going to do to improve salmon survival. So you know, the agency continues to try to do the science that's necessary to support the manager's understanding of and trying to into climate, get this the management process.

In many ways, there's a learning curve for both the science side and the management side, particularly for the councils, on how they're going to deal with getting this additional advice.

Surveys. Now this has traditionally been what we do, and that's why we have these big white ships, and that's why we do, you know, bottom trawl surveys. That's

why we do hydroacoustic surveys, basically to do abundance assessments. But as we've gotten good at some species, we're beginning to find that there are other species we're not very good with. Those are the data-poor species, and then what the impacts of climate change would be on this.

So this is a big learning regime for us, trying to improve our stocks and improve the reliability and the precision of the stocks, because it's becoming -- in many cases, these weaker stocks are limiting the ability of fisheries to be prosecuted.

We're trying to increase the number of stock assessments we do each year.

We've made some progress and we've fallen back a bit, and we expect to move ahead again in the future. A lot of this has been because of expanded funding under what's called the ESA Line, the Expanding Stock Assessments line.

This may be one of the places where we could see an increase in the FY '12

budget, hopefully, because clearly there's a need in many regions, particularly in Florida and New England, for more frequent stock assessments. We're hearing the word from the Pacific Council as well, that there's a need for more assessments there as well, more frequent ones.

In the long run, we can't keep doing business like we've always done it, we can't keep relying on these big ships. We need to have more technologies that allow us to collect more data and do these surveys faster, getting more data back faster so we can update the data faster.

Electronic monitoring isn't the only answer, but it can be really helpful, recognizing that there's a couple of different suites of data that we collect. There's data that comes back from our surveys, the so-called fisheries independent data, and there are a variety of new technologies that can be useful there, including more advanced use of

acoustics, optics. We're developing towed bodies that will allow us to videocam the sea floor, and we're using that in New England and also it's coming on in Florida, to improve assessments in New England for scallops.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Improved use of acoustics for hydroacoustic surveys for herring, for example. But that's the fisheries independent data. The fisheries dependent data, including both the dealer data, which would profit from having better and faster dealer data reported back as landed, and it's interesting how different regions have gotten to that differently.

In New England, most all fish stocks, all landings are electronically reported within 48 hours. It's the sort of thing you would think is a no-brainer, that it should go nationally, and we, the centers would support that, and faster reporting of data coming back from the observers, faster reporting of data coming back from onboard

ship, and the electronic VTRs really helps us in those situations where it's been implemented.

I think Florida has, or the Gulf has that on some fisheries, electronic VTRs.

So New England, as I heard yesterday, New England -- my understanding was that it's VTR log books.

MR. DEWEY: You mean the video?

DR. MERRICK: Log books,
electronic log books, yes.

MR. DEWEY: Yes, in the shark industry and where else?

MS. MORRIS: It's a trial. It's a pilot in charter boats.

DR. MERRICK: There's a pilot in New England as well. The assessments, what takes so long to do those assessments, is it just takes so long to get the data back.

MR. ALEXANDER: We've been doing that pilot in New England for about ten years now.

DR. MERRICK: Yes, I know, and this summer, it was supposed to go public. We had five boats that wanted to do it. So your guys have been doing it, but trying to get it away from and migrated out to other boats, for some reason they're either nervous about it or we've had slow acceptance.

But the Northeast region is

2.0

But the Northeast region is dedicated to getting that online and going fully operational for all vessels, in the groundfish fishery at least.

MR. ALEXANDER: Nobody's going to do it first. You're going to have to be safe. You've got to do it.

CHAIR McCARTY: So hold on one sec, guys. Do you want to take questions as you go?

DR. MERRICK: I'm almost finished.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay.

DR. MERRICK: Let's circle back.

Electronic monitoring, another really

important thing. That's something that we're

pursuing, and both Alaska and the Northeast are pursuing it as new technologies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A lot of the issues we've got, though, with things like electronic monitoring or with the optics from the towed HabCams is you've got a lot of data coming back, a lot of video images. What do you do with them, you know?

I don't think we want to be in a situation where we're going to have observer just sitting there watching this all the time. So we have a variety of programs developing imaging analysis software to speed that up. The whole thing is through all this, all this advanced stuff and sampling technologies, that we want to improve the speed we get the data back, the amount of data, but we don't want to reduce the quality of it. That's tough. I think we're dedicated to doing that.

We're also dedicated to moving away from reliance on these big ships, using

other sorts of platforms. I think at least four of the centers right now are experimenting with autonomous vehicles, the gliders, that if you turn loose and they can go back and forth and back and forth on their own, either collecting hydroacoustics data, mapping the bottom, listening.

So there is other technologies coming out. We're not going to see them right away, but our hope is that within five to ten years, they'll become a major part of what's going on for our sampling of the ocean. Then there's a lot of other issues. Most of these you're going to come back to, like the habitat issue and the aquaculture and recreational fishing.

Social sciences has become a really big issue in some of the regions. We don't have enough and we're expanding that.

We recognize how important that is. Then international science, whether it's in the South Pacific or in the North Atlantic. It

remains important to continue to develop our modes. Our Office of Science & Technology is now working on a coordinated science plan. So this will remain a major issue, and we're ramping this up.

Finally, you know, if he had kept that last tablet when he came down, on how to approach ecosystems management, it would be a lot simpler. As it is, we've got to sort of invent as we go along.

CHAIR McCARTY: What do you say, only God knows what ecosystem --

DR. MERRICK: I think so. I keep wondering at times what it was supposed to be, because everybody I talk to, every center I talk to and every region I talk to has a different view of it.

CHAIR McCARTY: Right.

DR. MERRICK: Maybe we're never going to coalesce. I want to circle back on a couple of things. One was the monk seal issue. What's happening with the monk seals

thing, and I know it's confusing, is that we are moving pups out of the Northwest to rehab centers on the main islands. They're not being released there.

2.0

They're then being taken back to the Northwest Hawaiian Islands and released there after they're three years old. So they stay in a rehab center. There are monk seals that are migrating naturally and establishing residence in the main islands, and that's where the critical habitat issue has come up.

I checked with that. That comment period will reopen. It will be open for another 60 days. But it's really important that comments from your group go into that, because unlike a listing, critical habitat can consider economics, okay? So if it's a potential that it could be a major economic impact, comments need to go in.

Then lastly, recognize that critical habitat does not prohibit anything.

It's not a prescriptive action. It's more an

action that says we're aware that this piece of habitat may be important to the species. We want to evaluate any federal action that might impact. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CATES: Jeff Walters in Hawaii gave a presentation and talked about releasing the pups in Hawaii, not -- one of the recommendations was to hold them in facilities, and I think that's where the controversy is occurring, is -- are we going to take the pups and release them, and then track them, and then when they get big enough, take them back to the main Hawaiian Islands. That's what the public is hearing as the proposal.

DR. MERRICK: I just checked with the deputy director of the Pacific Island Center, Mike Seki, and what he told me was those animals will be kept in a rehab center and then taken back. So I'll go back and check it once more and get back to you.

CHAIR McCARTY: Sounds like an

1 outreach problem.

MR. CATES: The other issue has been what, just for people's knowledge, what has occurred in the past is when monk seals have migrated to Hawaii, when they land on the beach, they're basically protected in the area that they're at, you know. So if they land in a certain area, the public's asked to stay a certain feet away, and there's been no real controversy.

What's proposed now is to make all state waters, with the exception of maybe one percent, be essential habitat. How that affects everything is, if you're going to have to put in a sewer line, if you're going to have to rebuild a marina, a launch ramp, anything you've got to do now, you've got to go through a very rigorous process for all state waters.

That's where the state of Hawaii and the states' rights people, the governor included, is going: time out here. This

affects everything, tourism, you know, commercial fishing. Everything changes now, and that's where the --

DR. MERRICK: Well, I suggest that you comment back, make a real strong comment to that effect, and I suspect the state rule as well.

MR. CATES: I have one other question. How do you encourage the scientists within NOAA to reach out to the private sector for new technologies? The reason I bring that up is over the years, I've worked with the local science center, with monk seals and other issues, and there's a lot of knowledge in the private sector that could be applied for NOAA projects.

There seems to be this reinventing the wheel, so to speak and I've always been puzzled by that, that there's -- for example, in aquaculture, you know, there's a lot of new technologies with netting, pens, that can apply when NOAA ends up with a monk seal

that's in distress, or you have a rare species
whale that needs to be held in captivity.

Somehow we're not bridging the gap between the private sector and government on the new technologies that could be applied, to make it cheaper and better.

DR. MERRICK: I know that happens in some regions, and not as well in others.

There's a lot of different ways you can do it, obviously. I mean a lot of it is personality-driven. So people need to be open to talking more. If you have something specific, let me know, and I can talk to Sam Pooley, who works for me as a center director, and get his folks to start listening more, if that's an issue.

MR. CATES: In fact, I would just encourage that, to meet more and reach out.

If they're going to take on an issue, there might be some technologies already in place that could really benefit them.

DR. MERRICK: And part of it may be outreach in general as well. It sounds

like people need to start listening to each other, and then maybe Judy's folks could help that too.

CHAIR McCARTY: Do you have one more point that you were going to make?

DR. MERRICK: Nope.

number of people that want to ask questions.

I have to warn -- I've got the three of you.

I have to warn everyone that we are way behind, through no fault of our own, and we don't want to miss the Budget presentation, which is scheduled for an hour. I asked if he can do it in less than an hour, he can. But we have way less than an hour before lunch break.

So I just wanted to warn you, and of course, we have to hear from Bruce as well.

So I'm just letting you know where we are time-wise. I have Martin, Tom and Paul.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Richard,

thanks for your presentation. It says here

that you're a chief. That means you wear the
most feathers in your head dress?

DR. MERRICK: I missed what you were saying.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: I'm sorry?

DR. MERRICK: I missed that part.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Oh, I'm sorry.

It says here that you're chief of the Science Advisory to NOAA, which means you wear the most feathers in your head dress. One of my frustrations, and it was pointed out to me yesterday, is that I was circumventing the process with this data collection thing because of real-time data that wasn't going to the Council.

After thinking about that all night, I recognized this morning that the reason I've done that is I wasn't getting any support, and in fact active resistance at the regional Science office level, for doing such a thing, and that there wasn't any support within the Southeast Science Center or that

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

RAFTICAN:

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, Tom.

Yes.

Regarding

fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico.

MR.

20

21

22

that ecosystem-based approach, you know, traditional looks back, if you take a broad scale-look at how we've managed resources -- I mean, I know in California specifically, we managed for abundance early on with our resource management. Then recently, in the last half of the last century on, it was: how do you deal with the depletions, and then -- I mean our entire focus.

You have, you know, an abundance estimate, but the abundance estimate seems to be coming up, you know. You have this level, it was like we're okay. Are you doing anything on an ecosystem basis for managing for abundance? I mean, we hear marine mammal assessments out here.

It appears, you know -- and whether this is dolphin on east coast or seals and sea lions on the west coast -- that these -- certain elements of the ecosystem are throwing the ecosystem out of balance. I mean, you know, we're really trying to design

1 the Japanese garden here.

But when you do it, you know, is anything being done to focus on the areas where there are more than "the natural," and that are the cause of real changes in the marine systems out there?

DR. MERRICK: That's obviously an interesting and limited question, having spent most of my career studying forage ecology of marine mammals. There's very little data that suggests that anywhere in the world, that marine mammals now control the abundance of a whole stock. But there's lots of data where they have localized effects, and the agency, through MMPA, does allow for the removal of mammals in those sort of situations. That's what's going on in the Columbia again, with California sea lions.

But there are very few cases where it's Steller sea lions or California sea lions or marine mammals in general control the abundance of commercial fish stocks. What's

usually controlling the abundance of commercial fish stocks is predation upon fish by fish.

Not to argue the science, but that's basically, that's what's out there in most of the literature. But how we're dealing with that larger issue of looking at a whole system and trying to understand what should be the ecosystem balance for fish, or for fish and marine mammals, we have not done that from the marine mammal side.

MR. RAFTICAN: I wasn't asking to fix it. I was just saying that maybe it should be one of the bullet points up there, maybe you're looking at it, so that -- mainly so that folks in this room understand that this is a total picture you're taking, and that we're trying to manage towards that in the long run.

DR. MERRICK: And that's the goal, and different systems or different regions are approaching it differently. In New England,

the approach there is to include marine mammal predation, as well as the predation in looking at the -- what a system can produce.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

think in а lot of cases, especially on single-stock cases, where you don't understand why the stock's rebuilding, it may be that marine mammal predation could be important in some cases.

So it is an issue that is being addressed, we should be doing in all of the regions. It's just that right now, you know, most of the stock assessment scientists are doing stock assessments. Trying to get them off of there, to be able to look at these larger pictures, it's tough right now. But that's where we're headed. The big issue, I think that's going to happen, is once everything appears to be rebuilt, then what do we do?

I know in the whole system, are we going to harvest everything at that high level, or do we have some sort of a system-

wide -- like you have in the Bering Sea, which is less than the sum of the ADCs. Then what do you do with that lower amount? How do you parse that out between different fisheries?

I mean, those are issues that social scientists are going to have to deal with, and I think that's one of the reasons why we need to have more social science presence, because the allocation issues -- I think within ten years, the allocation issues are going to be the big deal in all of the councils. I know it already is in a couple of them, and that it can become even more of a big deal. I think the social sciences are the way actually to deal with that.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, Paul.

MR. CLAMPITT: Thank you, Heather, and I wanted to go back, to talk a little bit more about this electronic data capture. You mentioned that 100 percent observer coverage is needed, and are we reaching crawfish, or is this extra?

have

fishery that you're trying to manage in something approaching real time, which certainly goes with a catch share fishery or with trying to monitor the ACL, you've got to have either really high observer coverage, or you have a really well-developed approach to extrapolating from the coverage you do have.

MERRICK:

DR.

If you

In New England, we have about a third coverage, and the result is we get a discard estimate of the stuff that's random that's reasonably good, and the council's accepted that sort of precision. Useful for management. So that's an alternative that I can represent.

MR. CLAMPITT: That's interesting, because I was an observer also back in '78 to '80, and we relied on ten percent coverage, and seemed to be happy to do that. But now, for some reason, we have to have 100 percent of the ACL, and we don't see why.

DR. MERRICK: You know, it varies

to a certain degree between regions in our philosophy of how they're going to do it between councils, and I think a lot of it is how much you trust: (a) the precision of the sample approaches, and a lot of people don't.

They'd rather see 100 percent so you don't have to worry about the precision.

The other is the feeling that if you have 100 percent observer coverage, fishermen's behavior will change, and they'll conform more to what you want them to do.

It's either been spoken or it's been implied in a number of fisheries, that that's a reason to go 100 percent observer coverage. If you have a sampling, then the fishermen you're not looking at are going to do something else that you don't want them to do.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, I guess, you know, the one other question is how much of it is actually used for stock assessment, because it seemed like in our fisheries, you know, if you look at the -- if you go to the SACs and

you look at what data they usually come up with, stock assessment is trawl surveys, you know, and the long-line surveys.

You don't see hardly any observer data. It seems like they're observing it just using bycatch, figure out with the bycatch.

Now maybe I'm wrong, but it seems like --

DR. MERRICK: It would depend on the reach and how they did the stock assessments. I'm familiar with the Alaska and the Northeast. In both those cases, the observer data is really critical. That's where you get the weight of commercial fishery, the right weights between the size of the fish and the weights --

MR. CLAMPITT: Yes sir.

DR. MERRICK: -- the length frequencies, and where you get information on the economic aspects of the fishery. There's a lot of data that comes back from that that we wouldn't -- I don't have any other source for.

issues. I have recently retired from the

Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation

Commission. Spent 29 years in conservation

law enforcement, and when I left Florida, I

retired as one of the deputy directors, have

been in that position for a couple of years,

and have worked my way up.

I actually spent some time in the fabulous Florida Keys as an officer and other parts of Florida as well. So I've got the ground level experience. I left, I retired July 31st, and began this position on September 6th. It was interesting. I was explaining that I made some visits all over the capital area, done some Hill visits and some Congressional visits.

As I was preparing to meet with Senator Kerry, along with some of his staff, when I was talking to some of their staff, I explained exactly what I did to -- just explain to you all, that I retired July 31st and September 6th started this position.

One of the staff, a young lady on staff looked at me and she just said: Why?

That she knew the history of the NOAA OLE.

story with Senator Kerry, and he looked at me
and he said: You know, that's a legitimate

And I made the mistake of sharing that little

7 question. I've actually found that that's

8 probably true.

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

There's a lot of issues going on with NOAA Law Enforcement, and I had the opportunity over my 29 years to serve as the law enforcement liaison to the councils and commissions that affected the Gulf of Mexico and the Atlantic, and one of the things that I noticed -and one of my passions developed over the years -- was being able to explain what importance is the of law enforcement to the fisheries management process, and trying to get the group's law enforcement representatives plugged into the process all along the way.

I think it's critical to see

success. Without compliance to the regulations, really all the work the managers do in the background and the recommendations, the advice which you give to the management folks really is not of much value if you can't get compliance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

tell you also that Now I'11 started back with those councils commissions in mid-1995, mid-'90s I guess it So I spent a lot of time with those councils and commissions, and I came to realize that conservation law enforcement is a bit different little than normal law enforcement.

This is one of the messages that

I've been passing on to folks, internally as

well as externally. I never really wanted to

be a cop. I have a lot of respect for law

enforcement officers. I appreciate what they

do and how they do it, and in Florida, we

become fully certified law enforcement

officers, as in most states, the conservation

1 law enforcement folks do.

We've got all the training. We're prepared to handle the situations and -- little side trip here. Two years ago, we had an officer in Florida who was shot seven times with a .45. It was only his training and experience, and his will to live and his being tactical, based on the training that he had, that actually saved his life.

So it's important in conservation law enforcement to have the training and the experience to be able to handle those situations, because you just don't know what's going to happen in certain situations. But I will also say that over my career in 29 years with Florida -- and even now with what we deal with in conservation law enforcement -- most often is, 90 percent of the folks we interact with are either recreational fishermen trying to have a good time, or commercial fishermen trying to make a living.

And it is -- that's why I say

conservation law enforcement is a little bit different. We need to be able to understand, in following along with what Judy's job is in her office, a big part of what we do is interaction with the folks to be able to gain compliance, before we have to actually take the enforcement actions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

That suits me fine. It's not real measurable for a law enforcement agency, and that's quite often the only thing you see, is the number of citations, violations and those types of things. But we don't do a great job of recognizing those folks, and ourselves, for doing a good job and gaining compliance with the regulations.

So enough about me. I noticed at your meeting about a year ago, the one in that enforcement October, you had an presentation and update, and you allocated an hour and a half, and there was a 26-slide presentation that we were given. This year, there's 15 minutes for and have me, Ι

absolutely no presentation. I would like to tell you that that means that everything is well, that all is well on the enforcement front.

That's not quite the case. We are still working through some of the ID issues,
Inspector General's reports, and a lot of that
I'm sure you're familiar with. Julie and
Vince were talking about it. If nowhere else,
it's in the media a lot.

I think that we believe that we're headed up the right road. My continual message is we want to look to the future.

Continuing to hash over the past, for a lot of external folks and also for our folks, is not going to get us to the place where we need to be, to be able to do the job that we're supposed to do.

I'll tell you, we haven't stopped working. It may appear that way in certain parts of the country, but we have not stopped working. We've got a great corps of

investigators and officers, who are dedicated to the mission concerning protecting the nation's resources, and I continue to hear the good reports about things they do.

That's one of the things that we do too in the Office of Law Enforcement, do a little bit better job of explaining to folks some of those sidebars that quite often aren't always making the press. A little side trip on that, but we get, internally we get weekly reports, and I'm trying to figure out how we can glean some things from that, to make it more public.

But a few weeks ago I read a report out, a weekly report out of our Alaska office, and one of the enforcement officers up there was on vacation with his family, and I've never been to Alaska, but it certainly is very remote and a lot of open areas.

He came across a gentleman who had been mauled by a grizzly bear, and so he actually restructured his entire vacation, his

family, and they did -- his wife is also a medical emergency person, and they were able to take care of him, probably saved this gentleman's life.

So those are the stories, the passion of the officers and investigators that we have. They come from a background of having been involved in the wilderness, the woods and the water, and those are the parts of our folks that I want to be able to explain a little bit more about.

As I said, the biggest part of our job is trying to gain compliance with the regulations. I don't, our message is not how many arrests have we made. Our message is compliance. That's what we push hard.

Another little side trip about personnel. Obviously, you've been keeping up with the negative press, I guess. It's been about two years we've been dealing with this. You got a good report from Alan Risenhoover last year, and let me rephrase that. You got

a detailed report from Alan Risenhoover. I don't think it was that good, but it was a detailed report from Alan last year.

That's taken a toll on our morale internally within our office as well, which I'm sure you can expect. I spent some time in the Pacific Coast when I first came aboard with a Pacific Council meeting, and also some of our staff in the Northwest and Seattle area.

It's even trickled to that area.

For the most part, they don't feel it quite
the way they do in the Northeast, but it has
trickled to that area as well. So that that's
another part of my personal mission, is to
work hard to able to improve that. I think
there's lots of ways we can do that, and most
of it's just telling our story, or having
others tell our story, as a matter of fact.

Let me just mention a few things that have happened over the last year since you had the report from Alan, and let me stop

there and just tell you what a great job that I think that Alan's done. He actually dedicated the first month that I was there to spending time with me, and helping me get up to speed.

I told him a couple of different times that I was watching this, and when I had applied for the position, I thought boy, it would be nice to be part of helping out, working through some of those issues. When I got here, I said boy, it was sure nice not to be part of working out those issues. They've done a great job of getting us very far down the road of being able to accomplish the recommendations of the IG's report.

Just a few things that happened.

OLE hired a new director, replaced the interim director, Alan Risenhoover. So that was one of the things, the goals that I think everybody had, and Alan was probably at the top of that list of those folks that wanted to get that taken care of.

You know, we actually haven't even let him go completely yet. There's still some issues that he is --very plugged into, and I appreciate that. One of the other things is we recently, I wish I could remember the date, I apologize. But it was October of this year or beginning of October, where we hired a new special agent in charge of the Northeast division.

That's Logan Gregory. He's been part of the agency. Actually, he started as an intern in the Office of Law Enforcement down in the Florida area. But 18 years with the Office of Law Enforcement. He gets the picture. He knows what our mission is, where we're headed, trying to rebuild trust, build trust and rebuild trust, and that's certainly one of the things that he's already made as a priority, and actually is going to be at the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission within the next week.

I think it's next week or the week

after. It's the week after, I guess, so I'm looking forward to spending some time with folks there as well.

You may have heard, and this is in combination with our General Counsel office, but we contracted the administrative law judge with EPA, instead of -- we've moved from a long-standing relationship, a 17-year relationship with the ALJs of the U.S. Coast Guard, and that was based on recommendations of the Inspector General's report.

You probably also heard that we're working hard to look at what our mix of enforcement officers and special investigators, special agents and criminal investigators are. That's a nationwide view of how we are looked, how we're deployed, and we began that with adding enforcement officers in the Northeast part of the country.

The primary goal is to reach out and be the face of NOAA Office of Law Enforcement with the industry representations,

to be able to answer questions and more on the compliance end of things. That continues to move forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I expect that getting that mix exactly right is going to take some time, but we're committed to doing, making that one of our primary goals. One of the other things that we did in the New England area was a former commercial fisherman, Don Frye, we hired as a compliance specialist.

directly with He works lot, primarily in the Northeast fisheries. spent time up to Maine and down to Virginia. So that's, it's been very successful. only been able to give input to not fisherman on regulations, and work with them on gear configurations and things like that, but they've actually been able to send things back through him, through the Northeast Office, the regional office, about things, reporting processes and other things that could be, potentially be problems. So that's

been actually a real good interaction with them.

One of the other things that you probably read about, there's been a shift in the oversight of the asset forfeiture fund.

That's one of the funds, that's the fund that's been under heavy criticism, for how it was used. That actually, the NOAA comptroller is oversight of that.

There's a very detailed process which seems to be working well. It hasn't slowed down the use of the fund, but it's been able to get a good review and a checks and balances on how the fund was used.

There's been some audits of that fund as well, and I won't go into those details. That's primarily been handled through the comptroller's office and we'll let them handle that.

That kind of leaves us with where are we headed, what's left to do. We've still got, we're expecting a couple more Inspector

General Reports. Some of them will be addressing some enforcement issues, and my biggest goals, my primary goal is first and foremost is build trust and rebuild that trust, and try to spend time in these councils, commission meetings and also meetings with industry.

I spent about three hours in Gloucester with five fishermen and one of the attorneys, with Steve Roulette [ph], who has been very vocal and active in this whole process. It was a very good interaction, I think. I was real proud of myself when you get locked in a freezer. So I was able to walk out of there and it was good for -- it was very beneficial for me, and hopefully it was for them too.

We'll be doing follow-ups of those. It doesn't necessarily always have to be me, but it does have to be somebody within those offices, and that's one of my big pushes as well, to make sure that that happens.

One of the other priorities is the workforce analysis, to be able to make sure that we get good distribution of the enforcement officers/investigators, and actually kind of coordinate that with our state partners and our Coast Guard partners as well, to make sure that we don't have an overlap of responsibilities or duplication of effort anywhere.

The internally as well, which really probably is not a huge impact on this particular group, but we have a National Enforcement Operations manual, which we'll be going through in detail, and making sure that it's up to date of where it's supposed to be, and we're going to probably put a pretty short turnaround on that.

Then the final thing is we need to keep doing our job. It's important for us to work hard to gain compliance, and we're part of the process, and we intend to stay plugged in and moving ahead. I continue to tell our

1 staff internally we're looking to the future. 2 That's being able to continue to do what we're tasked to do with our mission, and that 3 may have been a little longer than 15 minutes, 4 5 but that's all I have for you. Thanks. 6 MR. RIZZARDI: Are there questions 7 for Bruce? 8 MR. MARTIN FISHER: Bruce, thank you very much. I'd like to give the Southeast 9 10 region kudos. I've been dealing with law enforcement there for many, many years, some 11 12 tense moments and some not, and Special Agent 13 way down to in Charge all the individual 14 Nothing agents. but good to say. 15 Professionalism, respect, great interaction 16 with the community, and can't say anything but good about OLE in Southeast. 17 18 have a question for you, 19

though, in terms of some of the state partnerships,

MR. BUCKSON: Yes

20

21

22

MR. MARTIN FISHER: -- in regards

to enforcing like IFQ regulations. In Texas, there seems to be something that's happening that seems to be specific to one port, where if there's a clerical violation in terms of how much quota is in the count when a boat comes back to the dock, Texas Parks and Wildlife are seizing the fish, not notifying NOAA, doesn't become a federal issue, and they're basically going for the money. They're doing fines and restitution for fish they don't even manage.

It's becoming a problem, and I just wanted to bring it to your attention.

But again, thank you very much. Whatever they're doing in the Southeast is great.

Thanks.

MR. BUCKSON: Thanks, appreciate that. I'll, I don't have an answer for you on Texas. I don't really doubt that -- making up stories like that. So I will look into it, though. Just a comment about the Southeast division. One of the things that we actually

committed to, and this is related to the IG report, we put a freeze on hiring our enforcement officers -- not enforcement officers, but our special investigators, and we do have a lot of vacancies, and we've got some supervisory vacancies as well.

One of those vacancies is a deputy director in my office that works for me. We've temporarily assigned Tracy Dunn out of the Southeast division to help me out for a couple of months here in headquarters, and I won't tell him that you had nice things to say. I'll tell him we had a problem that was brought up about the Southeast division.

I've known Tracy for years, worked with him as a partner when I was in Florida.

It actually has been of huge benefit, and that's really good to hear, that we've got good relations with them.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Well, we're going to miss him down there, because he's top notch.

1 MR. BUCKSON: Well, he made it 2 very clear to me, and I assume he's still on that same track. A lot of folks like him. 3 4 His goal is not to stay in that position. 5 He's there to help you. So you'll have him 6 back. 7 CHAIR McCARTY: Okay. I think we 8 are going to have to move on. 9 MR. BUCKSON: That's fine. 10 CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you. Thank 11 you, Bruce. Thank you so much. I'm sorry 12 about the short time period. We'll, Ι imagine, fix that next time. 13 14 MR. BUCKSON: Actually, I thought that was a compliment, that things were going 15 16 well. 17 CHAIR McCARTY: Thanks. I think 18 we're going to Gary Reisner. Gary, we have, 19 as you know, very little time for an hour long 20 presentation. So hopefully you can do it in 21 half an hour maybe, and we can go --22 MR. REISNER: Probably my part

will be less than half an hour, and Peg is going to take five to ten minutes.

Budget Briefing

MR. REISNER: All right. I'm going to touch on all these issues fairly quickly. I'll spend a little more time on the Congressional action. Can you hear? Okay.

I'll try to see how long I can talk louder.

It may be less than a half hour.

CHAIR McCARTY: I think we want to -- is this presentation on the website?

MR. REISNER: Yes, it is.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay.

MR. REISNER: Richard went through a lot of this, so I'm not going to spend a whole lot of time here. Just to say that this ACL development has been a very difficult process. We've got 25 FMPs in place now with ACLs. We have about 20 to go, that we hope to finish in the next two to three months, and at that time, then all of the fishery management plans will have ACLs in place.

As you know, we've reopened the Gulf after the spill in April. Our habitat work continues on the Recovery Act. I'm going to skip through these, but I would ask you to look at them, because they are -- we've done a lot in a very difficult year, with very uncertain funding in FY '11.

Richard touched base on this chart. I just wanted to show you over in the far right-hand corner the red line, \$800 million, is the House marked account. The orange line is the Senate mark. The green line, just to the left, is what our request was. It's sort of an outlier in this process anymore.

So here's a highlight of what's in the '12 actions. I mentioned the President's budget and I gave a presentation on this down in Key West. Where we're at right now, NOAA's operating under a continuing resolution. It's supposed to go through November 18th. That resolution is operating at the FY '11 levels

minus about 1-1/2 percent.

But when you look at the House mark, you see that the FY '12 mark has a potential to be at about 800 million, which is about 166 million or 17 percent below the spend plan level. The Senate mark, while a little better, is still 70 million below the House mark.

So our number at the end of the day is going to be somewhere between that

House and Senate mark, I suspect closer to the Senate mark. But frankly, I don't know that.

There's one more set of numbers I want to touch base with, and that's if the debt reduction Supercommittee doesn't reach agreement, and if Congress does not pass a debt reduction bill by the end of the year, there will be an automatic sequester.

That sequester will be about, I mean it will depend on where we are with the budget itself. But it will be seven, eight percent below whatever the enacted level ends

up being in '12. So in this case, it's substantially below these numbers.

Let me talk for a second about where we are at on each of these items, and give you some summary. As I indicated, things aren't looking real good. If we were to have the House mark, we would probably have to do position reductions, I mean over 150 people plus contractors.

This depends on how many people decide to retire, how many people resign. But it could have a potential impact of staffing, in addition to programmatic -- substantial programmatic reductions and external funding.

The Senate bill is not quite as bad, more on the order of maybe 70, 50 to 70 FTE would be affected, a number of contractors and clearly programs. Let me just talk about that a little bit. We'll start with protected resources here.

At the 144 million that the House has here, it's pretty much cuts across the

board. There is a large reduction in the species recovery grants program that we've been trying to build up. It gets back down to about six million.

Big cuts are ESA, MMPA, stock assessment work, Section 7 consultation would probably fall behind, and we would find ourselves using some fairly strong precautionary approaches, as we develop, take reduction plans and permitting processes.

The Senate is not quite as bad, but it's still below the spend plan level and our budget. It does fund marine mammals and turtles at about the enacted level or a little above. But with the bottom line of 177, those protected programs have to, you have to offset the reductions within the other programs.

So you're still looking at significant cuts in species recovery grants and protected ESA consultation and Marine Mammal Protection Act take applications.

Fisheries research and management

programs. The 395 in the House bill is about 43 million below the '11 Senate plan. As Richard indicated, it does provide funding for stock assessments, a little above what we had requested in the expanded stock assessment, or a little above the Senate mark of \$10 million.

Mowever, it cuts survey monitoring, and it cuts some fishery things, and significantly, if there's significant cuts in NOAA MAO, which is where our vessels are, and if that were to come to pass, MAO is talking about having to put ships up, dock them, not go out, on the order of maybe five vessels out of the nine that do fisheries work.

So any funding that we get for stock assessments is going to have to go towards funding for ship time, probably ships or charter ships. So I don't think you're going to see the increase that Richard talked about, if these marks come about.

MALE PARTICIPANT: The Science

1 Board.

MR. REISNER: Well, the Fleet
Council and yes, and our Science Board.

Again, the Senate bill is not quite as bad.

It does provide about 15 million for advanced stock assessments, which is our requested level. It provided funding for the fisheries statistics work.

However again, it's still below kind of the enacted level and below the request, and in order to offset that \$15 million increase and other increases, you're going to see even greater reductions in other programs. So survey monitoring and the council programs.

The enforcement is funded pretty much at the same levels as it was in the '11 spend plan, and observers are funded at, in the Senate about a million dollars above the spend plan level, and in the House it's about equal to the spend plan level. So we'll have our observers out there anyway.

1 Habitat conservation and

restoration, again it's about -- the House
mark is down below the, excuse me, the spend
plan level. Most of that reduction is in the
habitat conservation management side. So we
would be seeing reductions and cuts in our
hydropower licensing program, relicensing
programs, reductions in the deep-sea coral
work that we have, and a significant reduction
in the capacity related to essential fish
habitat -- work.

The Senate side, I'm sorry. On the House side, conservation, the restoration side of habitat is in a little better shape.

It's funded at about 22 million, which is about a million and a half above the spend plan level. On the Senate side, again there are some reductions, but it's about equal to the spend plan levels, still below our request level. So some of the increases that we had proposed for larger watershed restoration work wouldn't be funded in that.

activities, 1 Within t.he other

aquaculture, in the House mark is funded about

3.6 million, and that compares to six million 3

in the spend plan and eight million in our 4

5 request. So it's going back down to the

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

6 levels in the '08 request level. The Senate

7 mark funded aquaculture at our request level.

> The other thing about this House mark is in addition to this discussion I've had about the programmatic areas, they haven't -- they also took our \$16 million reduction in administrative efficiencies, and that hasn't been spread yet. But that would have to come off of these programs, in addition to the discussion I've been having here in the House. In the Senate, again it's not quite as bad. They did fully fund aquaculture at our request million, about a level of \$6 \$2 increase over the spend plan level and they did fund cooperative research at about 11

million, which is about a million over the

spend plan level.

1 However again, in order to meet

those, have those increases and still meet the reduction within the categories, the information analysis and dissemination is going to be cut by about 30 percent. This is where a lot of the money goes for basic development and reporting. So it has an

Another area that we have to absorb reductions is in our facilities maintenance line. We have facilities, particularly on the science side around the country that need repairs and operating costs, and that will be cut 25 to 30 percent also.

impact on our assessment activities.

Now I'm not sure that will be a real cut there, because in fact we're going to have to pay our bills for oil and gas and water anyway. At least we won't do some of the repairs we might have otherwise done. But some of that's going to have to be absorbed within the program.

In addition, the NEPA line, where

we have funding of about \$8 million is being cut 25-30 percent, and that's money that goes to our regional offices to support NEPA activities and some of that money goes to the councils. The Pacific Salmon Recovery Fund is funded at \$65 million, which is equal to our request. It's below the enacted level, but it's equal to our requests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Again, suffice it to say it's going to be a difficult year in '12. mentioned the sequester, and I would say if we look at the operating budget, which is the operations, subtotal for research facilities, this 910, 710, 811, that's really our operating budget levels. I mentioned the sequester on the order of eight percent, and those numbers -- if the House mark enacted and they didn't reach an agreement on the debt, that number could go to 654.

Now that's just a pro rata reduction. That's not to say that that's what would happen within NOAA. NOAA may decide

that they want to keep doing weather, and so you could see larger reductions here. They may have to do satellite operations. But if it were at the 80 percent, this number instead of 710 would be 654.

The Senate number would be 746.

Again, we're getting below or around the levels that were in the FY '08 spending. So it's going to be a tough year, certainly tougher than last year.

I would hope that we -- they're working on a minibus, which includes the House with the CJS bill. So we may have a bill by the 18th, if we're lucky, and frankly whatever level it is, I would like them to pass the bill so I can see what it is, and we can work through that with as much time as possible.

This afternoon, you're going to be talking about budget priorities, and you can see, in the past we've talked about budget priorities, but generally in an increasing environment. I would ask you to take it

seriously, because we have some significant decisions that we're going to have to make this year and probably in the coming years.

If you recall FY '13, that budget will come out in February, the President's budget anyway. But as you get into calendar year 2012, that's an election year. I can guarantee you, I would put money on it, that you're not going to see an appropriation in October.

You may not see it in November after the election. Congress may decide, like they did the last time, to say look, we have a new Congress coming in, let's pass the buck and let them make a decision in January or February. So we can see a budget extending on into the March time frame again.

With that happy picture -- oh, one other thing I did. We did put together your cross-cut table in the format that you have, and we have it set up with the House and the Senate marks, and that's in your, on the

1 website.

So you'll be able to use that this afternoon, along with the granting criterion that has been used within NOAA, and some of the pair-wise rankings that Heather and Mark are going to be asking you folks to be looking at also. Merry Christmas.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thanks. Does anybody have any questions for Gary? If not, we should move on. Do you want come up here? You want to sit right here.

MS. BRADY: I'll stand if you don't mind.

CHAIR McCARTY: Oh, that's fine.

MS. BRADY: My name is Peg Brady.

I'm the strategic planning lead for NOAA

Fisheries in the new SEE process.

I scrolled back through agendas about a year ago, and I believe you received a presentation from Paul Doremus regarding the NGSP, the Next Generation Strategic Plan, which I know many of you had active

involvement. I believe you have, your Strategic Planning Committee worked actively with PPI in providing input.

I'm just going to sort of scroll ahead here a year later and tell you a little bit about where we are, and these slides are on your website, so feel free. There's only six, and there's no numbers. So I just wanted to say that that it's a real pleasure to get a chance to meet you folks. I've heard an awful lot from my colleagues. I've not had a chance to interact with you directly.

So this is a great opportunity for me, particularly the input you folks provided in the Next Generation Strategic Planning process.

So just a little bit about the background, a little bit more focused view on Healthy Oceans Goal, which is largely the NOAA Fisheries portfolio. So when you look at the diagrams and you see Healthy Oceans, think NOAA Fisheries.

Then a little bit about what we're anticipating for the '12 to '18 planning process. Gary's given you a bit of a picture for '12 and partially '13. We are just now beginning the cycle to look at '14. Obviously, there's a lot of things that can happen between now and '14, but obviously the agency needs to plan ahead and at least look

at the landscape that we're dealing with.

Just to scroll back to NGSP, if
you look at the final document, I don't know
if anyone has had a chance, this was approved
finally last, by the end of -- towards the end
of fall of last year 2010. This just one
slide is essentially a schematic sort of
representing how we have organized ourselves
since the NGSP was adopted.

Simply what it says is, and we call this finally the place mat slide. It looks like a place mat from a restaurant, maybe from the NOAA cafeteria, I don't know. If you look at the borders, that represents

the foundation that supports a lot of the strategic goals, and four goals being in the middle, climate, weather-ready nations, Healthy Oceans in the lower right, and then also our coastal goal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The perimeter represents the supporting structure that helps us advance those strategic goals. Each of the goals are divided into a number of key objectives, and when you go into the plan, you will see that Healthy Oceans has four major objectives. That's how we plan and prepare ourselves for each of these budget scenes.

This is just simply a wiring Again, if you are familiar with diagram. other parts of NOAA and want to know where a program can be found in this C structure, this would be the diagram to get you to see where that is. So you can see on the lower right, Healthy Oceans in the list of the four objectives, and then the Fisheries, the office that's responsible.

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

These are the four major objectives that you find in the NTSP, a defined Healthy Oceans, i.e., NOAA Fisheries. Within each of these objectives, the plan had identified evidence of progress. There has been a lot of concern that these plans get developed, but we don't have a way of sort of benchmarking ourselves.

I mentioned the four objectives.

But if you go into the plan, you'll see we've identified evidences progress for each of these four objectives, as you can also see in the rest of the plan. Just as an example, on page 16 of the plan, evidence of one progress is increased understanding of the role of habitat providing ecosystem services, just one example of the number of -- evidences that we would look at.

In each of these objectives, you'll see priorities have been identified, and they track quite nicely with, thank God, Richard's presentation from this morning. So

I just wanted to say that what we're looking at going forward, in preparing for '14, are these.

Now mind you, we depend on this annual guidance, AGM, the Annual Guidance Memo that you folks probably are familiar with that. That is a public document that's released by Dr. Lubchenco.

We don't have a final draft right now. But I just wanted to point these four out. These look to be what we will be planning for in '14, and they're very consistent on tracking back to some of your comments in the plan for the NGSP, as well as many of the priorities that Richard had highlighted earlier.

So again, we are about to embark, as I say. We finished the '13 process, provided the input into the budget formulation phase, and now we're going into planning for '14 and would be prepared to submit a number of recommendations as they relate to these

four priority areas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

I will point out that one of the biggest challenges, as you can see from Gary's presentation, is how can we do the job with less. We are going to spend a considerable amount of time working with our across the other goals, as well as the enterprises, and it's going to be incumbent upon us to be working smarter and more try to not duplicate but efficiently, to hopefully better compliment going forward.

So this again is a more streamlined process than we were familiar with in the past, and hopefully will lead us to more defensible budget as we face sort of these lack of funds. So again, I'll just close there. I know lunch is not too far ahead here, so again, thank you very much for the time, and I appreciate the opportunity to chat with you.

21 CHAIR McCARTY: I was just -22 thank you. Thank you very much. I was

looking at this top one here, and that, the ecosystem-based management objective includes coastal and marine spatial planning. Is that

MS. BRADY: Ideally, well, the challenge that we're faced with. I'll put my other hat on for a second. I've been involved in developing the action plans under the National Ocean Policy, and there's a lot of debate right now about how the two intersect. Clearly, the administration and obviously the public wants this to be a far more seamless presentation of activities between EDM and CMSP.

I can't say that we're there yet,
but we are working -- Mark is the Fisheries
effort with regard to working with NOS on
that. But yes, the plan is that the two would
work hand in glove. In some regions, it's
probably going to be far more effective.
There's some regions that are far out in front
on this. Some are just learning more about it.

As we talked about moments ago, for as many people that we have around the table to talk about EBM, you get that many definitions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we have spent that, a considerable amount of time trying to better define EBM for the ocean policy documents.

CHAIR McCARTY: So a question for you and Barry maybe, is there a framework that we should work from, in giving you our thoughts on priorities? Is it the framework of these goals and is there a framework? Should we start somewhere and start putting numbers on things? Is there a way to do it that's most efficient, that would be most helpful for you in terms of budget priorities?

MR. REISNER: I think you can do it either way. It's always easier for me and from my perspective, we can build the budget up in these categories. If you do it at this level, then higher there's always an interpretation question over them with how do allocate, translate it the budget to

framework. So my inclination is to say where
you have the chance to do it at the budget
levels, that that's the better way to do it
and to provide the guidance.

CHAIR McCARTY: So we're going to do some work on that in the subcommittee.

7 MR. REISNER: Right, research -- 8 CHAIR McCARTY: Yes. Some

research.

5

6

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. HOLLIDAY: That's what we're looking at.

MS. BRADY: Now I did check on the time line of the annual guidance memo, but it's still not final. So I'm hoping to see it in another two weeks. So again, these are draft, but this is sort of -- this is where the weather vane is pointing to these four right now, for at least our goal of --

MR. REISNER: I believe this would have been final a month ago.

CHAIR McCARTY: You've already done this and prioritized these things, in

1 view of your budget situation.

MR. BUCKSON: Yes, but we've been working through the FY '13 budget. That is over at OMB. It went to OMB last month. So that budget is under review with OMB right now, and what Peg is talking about really is the start of the FY '14 process.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, thank you.

You know, we've talked about this budget prioritization a couple of meetings, and Eric has also highlighted that as something that he wants from us. So I'm just trying to figure out -- yes, the most efficient way to get it done actually.

MS. BRADY: Dr. Lubchenco will be, as I say, issuing this guidance shortly. From that, really, our process really kind of kicks in gear for '14. So that's -- the timing is right, I guess, I would say a couple of weeks for '14.

CHAIR McCARTY: For '14. Okay.

MS. BRADY: Or at least giving an

1 indication --

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you. Are there other comments or questions from anybody? Thank you, Peggy. No, seeing none, thank you. Thank you very much. I mean we don't like it.

Yes, it's miracle. Thank you. So Mark, we have lunch and we'll try and get back when we're supposed to, but we may not. So we may be a little late getting back from lunch.

Do you have any suggestions? Josh is doing the lunch slide.

MR. STOLL: These are some places that are close by, and also a brief reminder. We have an RSVP list for dinner tonight, 6:45. So if you haven't signed it but are interested, I'll be in the back.

CHAIR McCARTY: All right, thank you.

20 (Whereupon, at 12:25 p.m., a 21 luncheon recess was taken.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

1:43 p.m.

CHAIR McCARTY: I feel like we're almost all here, but maybe not. I think we're almost here, all of us, so I think we'll just start, and people can catch up when they get here.

Vince and Randy maybe are the only
-- and Steve, I guess. There's Randy. So
hope you all had a good lunch. We're back to
the agenda, and we're going to have a
presentation on the Habitat Blueprint by Brian
Pawlak and not Eric.

NMFS Habitat Blueprint

MR. PAWLAK: Ready to go? I was just told to talk really loud -- our official communications staff said talk really loud.

So I usually do that pretty well, but it might be hard in this room. So if I start fading off, please yell at me to speak up.

So I appreciate the invitation here today to talk about the NOAA Habitat

Blueprint. It's kind of the next big thing.

It's probably the biggest thing from my office. I'm presently the acting director of the Office of Habitat Conservation within Fisheries. So it's probably the biggest thing going on in our office right now, and it's a big thing for Eric and for the agency as well.

I guess a bit of background. I think the agenda said Eric was going to give some opening remarks. Obviously, he's not here, but I've usually have been given the context that this Blueprint's been put together anyways, by giving some of Eric's charge to us in developing the Blueprint.

His charge to us, almost when he very first came on into the agency as AA, he started talking about a systems approach to managing the nation's fisheries. He started talking about holistic approaches and things beyond just managing fisheries, just managing effort, just managing gear, things beyond that.

б

That's, I think, near and dear to his heart, because he comes from the Chesapeake Bay obviously, where the Chesapeake Bay has, you know, obviously they have direct fishery issues and fishing impact issues. But they have a lot of water quality issues, a lot of habitat issues, obviously the whole oyster connection to habitat and water quality is a very solid connection to the Chesapeake Bay.

So he often was talking about those connections and what can we do more in NOAA Fisheries, to make those links and connections to habitat, from habitat to the fisheries we manage.

Also, the other thing Eric has passed on to us, in having us take this charge on to build a new model for habitat and resource management to the agency, is he talked about the revised Magnuson-Stevens Act, and the 2011 deadline to put ACLs in place.

That's coming to a close, and we're pretty close to having the tools in

place and the mechanisms in place to end overfishing, and to start rebuilding some of the stocks that have yet to be rebuilt.

So in turning the corner to ending over-fishing, Eric has asked, his leadership and the Habitat Office specifically, what's the next big thing for fisheries? If we're successfully moving down the track to the path of ending over-fishing, how do we ensure that rebuilt stocks stay rebuilt? What else do we need to do to add capacity to the fish populations, beyond the fishing regulations that we work on most of our day at the agency, the bulk of the agency.

So his thoughts and discussion on that, his evolution of that thinking is when we first came in is that really what we should be thinking about is habitat and protecting habitat, conserving habitat, restoring habitat, to allow fisheries to maintain the rebuilt status, or even allow fisheries to grow further, and that there's impacts to

fishing and fishing communities, beyond just that of fishing and a mixed raft of uses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

solution to this, although His it's the solution is an oversimplification, is to look at the habitat issues here. So my yelling, I'm going to have to stop along the way to get water along the way too. So what Eric charged the Habitat Office and his leadership council to do is to go look at habitat and come up with an approach different model for addressing living marine and resource management issues, that would allow us to look at some these things beyond the fishing.

So I stop on the title slide here. Usually, titles slides are a complete throwaway, sunset or sunrise on them, whatever you want. It's a nice fishing boat. stopped here, because the explanation, the underneath language here, that it's а framework to improve marine resources communities is important, and not just

1 throwaway.

Because by using the term "framework," is it meant for something yet to still be built? That's one reason we're here today to talk to you about it, to help us build this out and we have considerations and thoughts from you guys of what needs to be put in here.

So there's things to build upon in this Blueprint. There's still a structure and kind of a mechanisms and approaches underneath this framework that need to be developed. So I just want to highlight out it's not a done deal. It's not absolute exactly how we're going to proceed, although the big principles and approaches we want to take are highlighted in here.

So the Blueprint, the big overview is that we all know NOAA's objective is to have healthy habitats and thriving resources and communities. That's pretty obvious. Our goal in NOAA and NOAA Fisheries is protect and

restore habitat for our managed species. That includes threatened and endangered species, and to protect and conserve habitats at risk, and that runs the gamut of corals, shellfish and wetlands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Again, just for context, I think this group, just as well as anyone, knows NOAA Fisheries priorities, which is to end overfishing, rebuild stocks, recover threatened and endangered species. We have aquaculture as a focus and a priority, and we have a small thing going on in the Gulf, recovering, trying to recover the damage from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.

I lay these out here, and just to lay these out again. I'm sure you guys have seen these probably thousands of times. the idea of the Habitat Blueprint not necessarily to change these priorities or overall overarching these qoals and objectives. The goal of the Blueprint is to find ways and approaches through habitat

conservation efforts, to help us achieve these goals.

So what is the NOAA Blueprint specifically? It's an approach to change the way we do business and improve that, improve the way we do business by focusing on habitat conservation for the priority species and priority habitats, the things we care about.

It's hopefully to look at a way to make decisions in a broader context, rather than single impacts, single industry, single kind of linear decision-making is to look at things in the ecosystem context, and also using the habitats and the habitats we need at working other agencies, other partners, whether that be NGOs, states or other federal entities, to help us put their resources in places that help us manage and protect our resources as well. So it's the leveraging concept.

Impossible to see here from where you're sitting probably, unless you've got one

pulled up on your computer. What this is, is the little diagram from NOAA's Next Generation Strategic Plan. I'm not sure how familiar you are all with that.

But the point here is that protecting healthy, having healthy habitat is really underlying and central to sustaining fish populations, sustaining marine and coastal communities, recovering protected species, and for improving water quality, all those things that I think most people here would be able to kind of spit back quite easily.

But the key in putting this up
here is that when we built this Blueprint, it
was internally driven within NOAA Fisheries,
although we're already talking to NOAA about
it and other parts of NOAA about joining in
the effort, is that instantly we have a
connection to why it's important to NOAA, and
NOAA has already bought into the importance of
looking at this and this approach, to helping

1 NOAA move its objectives along.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So it's not just issue-centric and just something that the National Marine Fisheries Service wants to do.

So there's four key approaches to the Blueprint. One is to implement regional habitat initiatives, which I think you guys have already got copies for, in your readestablish ahead materials, geographic priorities for how we miqht focus those efforts, implement a systematic approach and a strategic approach to our habitat science, and also looking at strengthening our policy and legislation, and even potentially creating new policy and new legislation to promote the habitat concepts and the Habitat Blueprint.

So today, I'll primarily focus on number two and four. I'm largely looking for MAFAC's feedback on two and four. It's my understanding it is on the science activities and the HAIP and those kind of things and things which I commented on before, and

there's additional time to comment on that later as well. But I'll probably focus on two and four most heavily.

So the first item though here is the Habitat Blueprint's regional habitat initiatives. Really what these regional initiatives are is a way to get started now on implementing the Habitat Blueprint. So when Eric Schwaab was having his conversations with his leadership council of what can we do next on habitat, he got a lot of great ideas from the science centers, a lot of great idea from the regions.

But it was really hard to come up with gee, what's the one thing we should do?

What's the one, two, three, four, five things we should focus on as an agency, for making this thing work and getting it off the ground, and kind of kicking off the new approach initiative, that would get some attention, get some interest from our constituents, gain interest from the Hill, and we struggled with

1 that a bit.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So our approach was rather than a heavy-handed headquarters-driven go do these activities, we reached out to our regional administrators and asked them to work with their science center directors, and come up with a regional initiative that is supportive of the concepts of the Habitat Blueprint, in partner with our science centers, to look in a specific place, where they could go certain level of specific activity and improve habitat conditions, to address the the resource problem in their region, something that they're already trying to deal with and resolve.

These are ongoing. These are initiative FY12 with existing be meant to So just two, three weeks ago at resources. our past leadership council meeting, these briefed from were out the regional administrators and these were described in some detail to the leadership of NOAA

1 Fisheries.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I won't read you every one of these, but we've got one for every region.

Alaska-Cook Inlet is going to be working on a habitat conservation strategy. The Northwest,

Will Stelle is pushing the Puget Sound Habitat

Initiative and focusing on a few tributaries in Puget Sound, and partnering with NRCS and others.

Pacific Islands is a focus on Guam and coral habitat, and some of the impacts that might be there because of the -- will be there, not might be there, the impacts that will occur with the Navy base realignment. In the Southwest, it would be the Southern California Bight.

In the Southeast, there's a focus on Charleston Harbor, a plan for Chesapeake Bay, although it's not a separate the fisheries organizational region in In the Chesapeake Bay, structure. we're looking tributary-based at а oyster

restoration project that we're starting now, starting this year, to focus on how -- focusing on how habitat can help us in our management issues.

The point of the habitat regional, as I said, was to get started, and then once we've got some progress and steps kind of behaving differently and looking at things differently, we might be able to share the lessons learned from those regional initiatives to a broader agency approach to the Blueprint.

Another piece within the Blueprint, another strategy is to establish geographic priorities to focus our efforts on, and this is where some feedback from everyone in the room would be helpful, and figure out how we do this.

The idea is to identify priority areas based on convergence of importance for a variety of things. It could be economic or it could be species that we know that are

habitat-limited. We know enough about their biology and ecology that we know this is an area important to them, and overlay that with a series of other information that we might have on the ability to work in the area, how degraded the area is.

It's doing a bit of a scientific and socio-economic review of where is the best place to go focus, where we can do something to improve habitat condition, and we can show that we've made a difference by the collective suite of our actions.

Again, so I kind of want to hit on this. The idea is to look at federally managed species and protected species habitat at risk, look at that overlay and determine where we might want to focus, and also, as we're doing here today, get some feedback from our internal stakeholders on this.

The idea here is if we do this, we could also maybe extend this to our, beyond our science efforts but to our policy and

regulatory efforts, to look at ways to focus our regulatory efforts into these geographic areas of importance, and demonstrate that there's something that could be done in a different way, a better way, a more efficient way, for focusing on habitat.

Now this I'll skip over fairly quickly just for time, and I'm sure you guys have had, I think, some input to the HAIP in the past. But another approach to the Blueprint here is to really dig down and do a systematic and strategic view and approach, review our approach to doing habitat science in the agency.

Habitat science, as many probably know, is not the top funder of dollars in the agency. Stock assessment science receives the bulk of our efforts. But really looking at where habitat information would be useful to improve stock assessments. What other information do we have that we could collect while doing stock assessments, where we can

improve the scientific underpinning and habitat, and really looking at where we're doing habitat science across the agency, and how we might shift that, if needed, to focus in places that we might get more information that will help us in managing the species we're trying to manage.

A piece of this too that Eric wants to highlight, or made the point to us in highlighting, is also improving the delivery of this habitat science information state and others that might find this useful for their management practices.

So in the habitat science realm, hopefully these things aren't new to you. Some of them might be, but we do have a habitat assessment improvement plan that was published about two years ago. We had our first National Habitat Assessment workshop, which is the bringing together of habitat scientists, habitat managers.

Again, that was probably about two

summers ago that we had that. So there's a lot of improvement already in the science realm, and that's why I'm trying to brush over this more quickly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In our HAPW Group, the Habitat Prioritization Working Assessment there's already a team that we've got convened on looking at what species would prioritized for doing habitat research first, because that habitat research might lead to improved stock assessments. There's a group already formed and working on that problem with the agency.

The other piece where I think your feedback and input would be critical is looking at how we might strengthen our policy and legislation, to enhance habitat conservation, and actually Mark, I think this is a great term to phrasing it, but Mark was looking at this. What barriers do we have in policies or legislation now that prevent us from doing what we want to do or could do in

the habitat conservation realm?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

national level, this is not regional initial level. It's really kind of probably inside the Beltway, D.C., examining and exploring stuff and getting input from our regions and centers, getting input from our partners of what at a national scale can we do to change how we look at habitat?

So we're just kind of short-term internally. We've issued the blueprints internally to NOAA Fisheries. We're looking at potentially establishing a policy to prioritize and coordinate our consultations better, and that would be our EFH consultations, our protected species consultations, both.

Obviously, we're here today engaging MAFAC and hopefully we want to start engaging the fisheries management councils and others. Long term, and like I said, we don't have a clear path ahead of what we want to do,

but really exploring how Magnuson-Stevens or ESA could be changed or inclusive of habitat, so that, such that it drives a lot of change in the councils, it drives behavioral changes to other entities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Again, nothing preset, predetermined of how that might happen, but starting to look at that. I know Eric has suggested we start looking at these as topics items or potentially topics for discussion throughout the year, and building into a more fuller discussion at Managing Our Nation's Fisheries 3 next October.

really looking So at other legislative mandates that we might need for doing а stronger and better habitat conservation. Looks to be new legislation or existing legislation. It might be legislation that's not within the Fisheries Service even. And there's, I think you'll hear about this right after my talk.

I think Roger Griffis is here to

talk about blue carbon and other tools of looking at habitat, other methods to look at the importance of habitat and using, getting conservation protection from habitat, for habitat, through other approaches that we don't typically use in fisheries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The next step is you guys have probably heard a lot more about the Blueprint than a lot of people in NOAA Fisheries and the staff. So it was just only, like I said, it was only three weeks ago, I think, that the leadership council kind of fully bought off on the draft blueprint that you guys have in your packets.

It was just last week I produced all of my staff, even though I have a number of staff working on it in detail in the drafting and what have you. So there's still a lot of NMFS-wide discussion and in-reach that needs to happen, and discussion with a lot of staff folks. This has really kind of been the leadership level, and created at

really a lot of coordination across NOAA still to happen.

Ocean Service on this. We have more formal briefings set up this week. But talking to the other parts of NOAA, how they could contribute, what priorities do they see for themselves within the Blueprint. So this is really on a fast track, in the sense that we've published this thing and we're talking to you as kind of our first external group.

But a lot of people in NOAA are still probably getting up to speed with what the heck this thing is, although our NOAA leadership is pretty familiar with the concepts already. Eric's been talking to Dr. Lubchenco and the senior leaders down there.

Obviously, we've got to build out these strategies in more detail in how to do them. I mentioned we want to facilitate a dialogue at Managing Our Nation's Fisheries 3 probably on this topic, and seeking input from

1 you folks here.

These, I think, are some of the specific questions that we asked in your readahead material. I'll stop there, I believe, and take questions on the Blueprint or the approach.

CHAIR McCARTY: We usually have people ask questions. Also, I have a question. You may have already kind of covered this, but could you describe to me the difference between this and what we already have in EFH and perhaps the geographic designations, and whether this was sort of developed because that isn't working, or is there a feeling that the current habitat protection tools are not working?

MR. PAWLAK: I don't know if it's a feeling that they're not working for how we're using them now. It was -- there's never been any discussion in the development of this, the EFH and the geographic designations of HAPCs is not working and doesn't suit us.

I think more the discussion is how would we -but I think that has often been separate from
a conversation of how do we most utilize those
tools for rebuilding a particular stock and
enabling that stock, and going to a particular
area is important.

everywhere is the criticism of it. So it's really how do you focus your efforts within areas of EFH, or how do you identify HAIPs that are the most important for moving your conservation hardest, and putting forth maybe a habitat condition you want to see some place, and working toward that end, rather than HAIPs, which are designated areas.

So it's part of the rebuilding of this restoration piece, the HAIPs and the FHs, but it's more on the protective side. I hope that helps.

CHAIR McCARTY: No, that does help. Thank you. Are there other questions?

MR. RIZZARDI: A combination

question and observation, and I'm going to
move to the Endangered Species Act side of the
equation. The Blueprint's relying a lot on
the concept of prioritizing, but the ESA
doesn't really allow you to prioritize. A
petition comes in.

Please list these 83 species of coral and you guys have to drop everything and figure out whether or not to list them. One of the mechanisms that's being used to try to find some wiggle room there is warranted but precluded to determinations, and that concedes the warranted. So I don't even like that mechanism.

But the problem is from the statutory standpoint, you don't seem to have a whole lot of discretion. So while on some of these points you may be able to prioritize, it seems that once you get into the land of threatened and endangered species, you're finding yourself constantly unable to prioritize.

You have to deal with all the species. The list is getting longer and The critical habitat needs to get designated for all of them, and if you don't, somebody's going to sue you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes. That's a fact that we've discussed in this, of how do we deal with that, and we don't have the solid answer for how we overcome that.

Some of the idea though here is for where you have those list of species and we're not moving toward recovery, is if we could prioritize and focus, pick a priority, bring other agencies to the table, other entities like NRCS or Fish and Wildlife Service, and bring them to work in a similar place, in the same fashion for the same end point, you might get recovery. You might be able to implement more of the recovery plan, than just deal with the listing side or the consultation side.

So that's some of the idea. But

those are definitely hurdles we've talked about through all of this, and don't have.

MR. RIZZARDI: Is there any thought about ESA reform, from the administration level?

MR. PAWLAK: That has not come up in a specific discussion here, and not -- even from the protected resource side, I'm not sure how much. There hasn't been any discussion on that.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Well, I don't think there's a current discussion about ESA reform, but I think on those four objectives or four approaches here, the policy approaches, legislative approaches, there are things that trump everything else that has to do with habitat conservation.

So that we can't do any essential fish habitat consultation, because all of our agency resources are divided somewhere else.

Then that might reveal that there's a legislative remedy that needs to be addressed.

We don't have an official position on anything at this point.

We're at, as Brian said, the starting point in thinking about how to tackle this problem.

MR. RIZZARDI: Sure.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So at this point in time, we don't have legislative initiatives in place or even agreement on whether they're necessary. So we're trying to generate questions, the feedback from the policy advisors about what sort of issues are on the table that might lead us in that direction.

MR. RIZZARDI: Sure, and don't misunderstand my point here. I agree with the need for priorities. I agree with the concepts that are being fleshed out in the Blueprint. It's just I'm seeing a world where you may not have the ability to set these priorities and to implement it.

So and my last point for you all is you may need to start thinking about the

legislative side of the equation sooner rather than later, because if I'm seeing the trends right, we're going to be looking at another engagement of repealing huge portions of these laws.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You know, in Florida, we repealed our growth management laws. We're repealing our water laws. I mean things that are going on in Florida are to me an indicator of what's coming to the nation.

CHAIR McCARTY: Anybody else?
Julie.

MS. MORRIS: Thanks for your presentation. Can you, I mean we all, we each have geographic areas in each of these priorities. So what do you mean by geographic priority areas? To be specific, Charleston Harbor, Chesapeake Bay, restoration issues. So how is that different than geographic priority areas in identifying? How many are you looking for, what size are you thinking of?

MR. PAWLAK: Right, right. Well, the reason, as I said, was kind of the way to kickstart this and start working in a place now over a problem statement that the regions identified, and I can list all those for you, but each regional administrator picked a place, picked an area.

So that's meant to be something we could do, FY12 start on, hope in a few years we could show the impact of working and focusing that area, we got what we were hoping to get out of that focus. So that was immediate. It wasn't a systematic, you know, grand approach to how would we pick the priority area.

It was give it your best shot,
administrator, where you think there's an area
to focus on. The idea of the geographic
priorities would be something, as I alluded to
up there, much more involved. I think the
goal is for this year, just to give you the
context of I think the challenge to it, is

this year hopefully in one region we'll have a workshop and start talking about where that priority area might be in one region, and laying out the framework for how we might get there through the agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You know, scale, scope, size, that's something we haven't -- it's the same questions our leadership was asking, you know, three or four weeks ago, is what is the right scale, what is the right scale, what is the right size. We don't know.

Part of it is what we want to do
is pick a scale and a scope that we think we
can demonstrate the impact of focusing in that
area. If we focus science in that area and we
address management challenges in that area, we
can demonstrate impacts.

of it is building Some business case for looking at habitat as a way living resources, improve a community, to whatever it might be, to get the value you have from that habitat. But if you can demonstrate that, and that's over the entire

estuary, is that an entire, you know, is that one river system, whatever that might be, that if you can demonstrate that, that's going to help us in budget defense and maybe one day if we get to go back to asking for increases, it will help us in requesting new funding, and that's the idea.

So that size, scale, scope of the area is undetermined, yet to be determined.

But I think the idea is picking a size and area where you can show your influence and your management, your science has made a difference, and that could be different size, shapes, depending on where you're working.

DR. HOLLIDAY: To that point, I can help clarify.

CHAIR McCARTY: Go ahead.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So you asked a question about we've already chosen these nine regional initiatives, doesn't that answer the question. I think those were again, as Brian said, specific for the next fiscal year, for

the shorter term. They were determined to be done at little or no additional cost.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

They were to provide sort of a case study example or a prototype of proving the idea of what we're talking about with the Habitat Blueprint, comparing that to then these geographic priorities, which is looking at a long term.

Should we be trying to do all regions at the same level? Should we be focusing instead on certain species that we can project will be drivers, for certain habitats that will be drivers of important fishery management or ESA policy choices that we're going to be facing?

That then asks the question about Should we be looking at an ecosystemscale. wide level? Should we be looking at something at the watershed level? Should we be targeting our efforts? Where would it be most productive to target efforts, our the estuary level? So there's a whole range of

options about how to apply those and describe the framework.

So the regional initiatives were, as Brian described, it's kind of a prime the pump, get people stimulated, thinking and talking about the role of habitat in our new direction of larger scale of living resource stewardship.

But the geographic priority is looking at how we tackle this problem, because this is not dependent on new initiative money coming from Congress. It's not based on that.

It's looking at redirecting our efforts, reprioritizing, re-strategizing the resource capacities that we've got, because it would be naive to think we're going to get new initiative money to support any, whether it's habitat or anything else. In this climate, how can we get the most effective use of our capacity?

So input from you in answering some of these strategic questions is going to

help shape the content of the framework of where do we put those limited resources to their greatest effect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIR McCARTY: Go ahead, Julie, follow-up.

MS. MORRIS: Well then iust following up on that, I mean I brainstormed a list of responses to these questions. can send them to you. But it seems like when we were doing essential fish habitat in the regions, one of the real barriers, and this gets to your third question, is so much of the habitat that's essential to the species is in state waters, and not -- the federal government's ability to improve habitat in state waters and state lands is limited and indirect.

So are you -- it seems like from the short-term geographic areas that you've already chosen, you're deeply in state waters.

You're not restricting yourself to federal

EEZ kinds of things. So could you talk about

how you're going to manage that? It seems like it would be far more straightforward to do habitat conservation and protection in the EEZ.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, and I think two of our regional initiatives, yes. Two, I think, are largely offshore. We have the Deep 4 one in the Northeast and the Southern California to develop offshore. Yes, I think, I mean obviously recognizing the estuaries are the primary producers of what we're trying to manage offshore.

I think the, I mean everyone knows
I think dealing with habitat issues, at least
you know what the problem is in the estuary,
usually than you sometimes know offshore. You
just know more about the systems. So I mean
we have authorities in those areas. We work
in those areas. Our habitat conservation
divisions across the country probably spend
most of their time doing consultations for EFH
that exists in state waters.

So it's not totally new territory for them. It's not new at all, I think. But the idea that I think the message on the delivery of science to the states is that we get the right information, the right understanding of the productivity of a certain area to a certain population.

2.0

It's giving that information to the states, and making sure the states have the right information, the best information that we can help with in letting them make their decisions in line with what we might be interested for offshore species.

So it's definitely a mix of both, and I know we definitely have an interest in focusing on what we can do in the offshore areas as well. But I think that's not readily, maybe easily as understood as it is to the inshore areas.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Just to that point.

CHAIR McCARTY: Go ahead.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So again,

elaborating on Eric's thought process behind this, why we may have primacy in the EEZ, I think the success of our emphasis in habitat is the collaboration, because that's the key to unlocking other ideas, other money, other capacity, other resources to bargain with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So the Habitat Office has been working diligently with many of the state and federal collaborations across the country, to try to tackle this holistically, rather than just looking at it in the EEZ.

looking for So we were partnerships. What are the areas, perhaps -that perhaps asking geographic trigger question is where are the most likelv successes or early successes that could be garnered with some of these collaborations that MAFAC is aware of, and we will ask the program to look at more closely because of those stronger partnership that are already in existence between states and the federal government or other federal agencies and NOAA.

So Т think the notion of this being, you know, it's not а NOAA-centric The Blueprint is trying to spread issue. and the EEZ beyond just NMFS under Magnuson Act to other authorities and others. As Keith pointed out this morning, you know, where is the regional leadership? Where is the coordination going to come from to tackle problems that extend beyond one community or one particular estuary? CHAIR McCARTY: Okay. Tony and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

then Bill.

MR. CHATWIN: I enjoyed it. I found it was a good sequence to the presentation that we had about a year ago, I think, to the science plan. I like the Blueprint framework conceptually, especially the part where the goal is to tie habitat into

I think that's a challenge, but if you can crack that nut, then you'll create a real incentive for habitat conservation. So

rebuilding of populations.

given the importance of that, kind of I wonder if in these the importance of and demonstrating success in these geographies that have been selected already, my question is are there specific goals for resources, marine resources within these geographies, for which you're trying to prove that connection of population abundance and habitat?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PAWLAK: Boy, I'd have to dig in my memory bank from what I've read. It's only been four weeks that I've been reading the regional initiatives. I think the one that pops into my head would be the Alaskan agreement one.

One of their desires is to build out enough information and get some modeling in place so they can determine the productivity of that area for certain species, and some of it, I think, is determining the value of it for even the protected species up there. Some of these regional initiatives too, again, this has only briefed up to our

leadership three weeks ago.

This was the first idea. Again, it's kind of sort of the speed of putting the Blueprint together. It's the first conceptual idea, this is where we want to work and this is kind of what we want. I don't think we've got to the stage of actually building out that expected habitat condition yet in each of these areas.

I know the deep sea coral one is trying to make links to managed fish and population metrics for the new deep sea corals.

DR. HOLLIDAY: But just your point is you're trying to make this link to return on investments for these habitat initiatives to, I guess, the productivity.

MR. CHATWIN: That's right. I mean what I'm hearing is the success of this new approach totally depends on how success with all of these pilots. So it's how you define that success with the pilots is going

to define how useful they are to replicate the approach elsewhere.

So I guess it would be a recommendation that in all of these, NOAA identify species for which the goal would be to determine the role of habitat for those particular species.

You know, you don't have to -- so if in two years, without using additional resources, you have an answer to that question, that's the answer that you'll be able to carry and justify budget.

MR. PAWLAK: I think that is a goal. That's a big one.

MR. CHATWIN: Yes, and I have one other question if I may. So a year ago when I got the briefing on the science plan, it was clear that the premise there was on a big budget increase to support a big increase in science staff for habitat at NOAA.

I remember at the time feeling that there would be -- there are other ways

that you can get to that same capacity, and it seems that today's budget reality requires one to think differently. I mean I think the plan says 500 additional scientists.

So has there been further discussion about that, and how are you guys thinking about getting the science capacity that we need to tackle these questions?

MR. PAWLAK: Yes. I can't say there's been further discussion since the detailed plan, of how we would drive it. I know Office of Science and Technology and our two science advisors have been thinking about what do we currently bring in for science, that might be directed towards habitat science.

I think the connection that they're trying to make, and Eric has asked them to look at, he's not -- he's not saying go take some X proportion of your budget and move it. He's just asking which, which is even a tough question to ask within the

agency, look at your budget, see where you could maybe move and be direct to a group their understanding of habitat.

One of those is what the HAIP was about, was if you can draw that connection with the habitat science it's important to stop, that science doesn't stop assessment science. That's what the NHOL and those groups that I mentioned, that they were looking at. We don't have a, I don't have a good plan of what we've done with that and how far we've moved down that road.

Dr. Merrick said he might but I know we had moved some funding to try to specifically address HAIP-type things. But that's the hurdle, and I think that's part of the plan, to figure out how to do that, and we're just starting to do that. Because I think it's a pretty -- it's a bold question for Eric to even ask let's start doing that, and actually start looking at it.

Or you're right, the model of a

year or two ago was we have to go ask Congress for more money to do this. Knowing that that's not working, we're now looking back inside to see how we can do that.

DR. HOLLIDAY: But it affects these nine regional initiatives that are described, are all dependent on the science centers redirecting some part of their capacity to help.

So there is an expectation not to necessarily get external capacity, but taking these internal sources about how we can take people or how can we take these models, how can we take this conservation stream and kind of fly with it broadly to the habitat questions that are in front of the agency. Other than plumbing, we have to figure out how.

CHAIR McCARTY: So we're going to do Bill and then Phil.

MR. DEWEY: I just wanted to ask from our standpoint on improving delivery of

the habitat science to the regions. You know, as far as the science around shellfish habitat, there's tremendous discrepancies between the regions in their understanding of the value of it.

So within the NOAA family there's a need. But then also getting that science out externally to the states and other resource managers, as they're trying to make the permit evaluations and decisions, could be extremely valuable to us moving forward and trying to implement the aquaculture policy.

So I just wanted to underscore that.

Then on the partnerships, you mentioned trying to leverage partnerships.

Obviously, we're dealing with difficult financial times here, as we've heard already today. I'm interested in learning more about what types of partnerships are you talking about, especially the environmental community, fishing community.

One thought that comes to mind for

me, I worked on water quality and habitat restoration in Puget Sound, and one of the methods they looked for to try and help fund restoration efforts is payments for ecosystem services.

So you know, essentially trying to stimulate private investment in public conservation, by setting up ecosystem service markets. You know, I see one of your slides talked about exploring your habitat policy with blue carbon, sediment nutrient reduction, you know. Shellfish can help mitigate nitrogen pollution, biodiversity.

I attended a conference a couple of years ago put on by Forrest Trend, the non-profit. It has established a group specifically looking at this, marine ecosystem services, and setting up payments for marine ecosystem services, and trying to stimulate that investment.

I'm thinking that that's a partnership or direction or policy maybe that

NOAA should be thinking about, as they're trying to figure out how to fund improvements in habitat.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes. That's Keith's presentation come up. That's part of the question and part of the Office of Habitat's question, along with Roger, has been exploring how we can build some of the information behind that with ecosystem services.

In the Northwest, some of the partnerships we're potentially talking about, a good example, I think, is NRCS. I remember I was working in Oregon and Washington with NRCS to complement their activities with different skills, different places, different authorities with different approaches, but putting them in the same place, and aligning some of those and getting a lot of bang for the buck.

MR. DEWEY: That's a federal partnership.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes.

MR. DEWEY: And I'm talking about is are they considering other partnerships with the private sector, and other ways to try to stimulate.

MR. PAWLAK: I think the most obvious place was my office, will be wanting to do that.

CHAIR McCARTY: Phil.

MR. DYSKOW: I just had a couple of comments. If these pilot projects are critical to the ongoing or forward-looking success of your Blueprint, that you have an awful lot of pilot projects.

So it's a long list, and some of them appear to be difficult battles to win. I noticed Charleston Harbor, whenever you look at southern coastal harbors like Savannah or Charleston, ongoing efforts to dredge them deeper so they can accommodate larger ships, and build their container operations and everything are a direct conflict, perhaps, to what you're trying to do.

than I do. But from what little I know of
Cook Inlet and some of the habitat restoration
efforts that are ongoing up there, some of
which I am a part of, you've got all kinds of
conflicts there, and I don't know that the
state wants to be a partner, or if they're
going to look at this as encroachment. I
don't know.

2.0

But there's -- you're smiling, so you're probably thinking the same thing I am. Pick battles you can win. If successes are important to your forward efforts, you have a lot of pilot projects, and some of them are going to be much more difficult than others.

Just because some of your regional experts recommended that doesn't mean that it's a battle you can win, or one that you even ought to tackle at this early stage.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes. No, I appreciate those thoughts. So I guess I also want to -- we definitely want to use the

regional form going forward. I guess I really don't want to hang my hat on the regional initiatives and say gee, if these don't work, this isn't going to work at all, because there's six of them. We hope one of them works really well.

So it's -- I wouldn't want to hang my hat just on saying that if the regional initiatives fail, then we'll just hang it up and be done, because failure is usually defined by us somewhat and what we can work on with limited resources. But they are meant to be the demonstration example.

MR. DYSKOW: I guess my point is

I'm comfortable with those. There's probably

a lot of people lined up against you before

you even start. Maybe those aren't the smart

places to start.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, and another point to add to that, those are kind of the demonstration projects, for lack of a better word, within the Blueprint that we've started

on. But there are other things we do, like the shellfish initiative that way, where we have pieces in there that are about addressing water quality and improving permitting, or there are things that we can look to and point to examples of doing things a bit differently, that get us where we want to be.

So it's not just the regional initiatives. There are things we've got going on within the agency that we hope we can point to, that show that. If I hear anything about the Alaska region, I remember trying to prepare for the leadership council meeting, perhaps for their regional initiatives.

I remember they were about a day late, because they said they have to call the state first and coordinate with them on it.

So at least somebody tell somebody at the state in Alaska on that one. I don't know if it was the right person.

MR. DYSKOW: Good luck.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you, Brad.

You have another question?

MS. MORRIS: Well, you know, one of your questions is for the stakeholder. So we should probably work with the national estuary programs. A lot of them are doing habitat restoration and they have committees and community support already kind of worked out.

of course Sea Grant, there's a lot of private consultants in the restoration business. I'm sure they have a lot of expertise and could help you figure out what the priority areas should be and where things can be successful and where they cannot. Of course, the university community.

CHAIR McCARTY: This issue is going to go to the Ecosystems Committee, Tom Raftican's committee. I don't know if you are going to be around later on. I don't know if that will be helpful for you and Tom.

MR. RAFTICAN: I think that would be helpful.

1	CHAIR McCARTY: To have okay.
2	Then I'd encourage anybody, you know, here to
3	sit in on that Ecosystem Committee meeting,
4	even if they're not part of the Ecosystem
5	Subcommittee. Then out of that Brian, just so
6	you know the process, you'll have some
7	recommendations. Those will come to the full
8	committee and then get passed on up to you.
9	MR. PAWLAK: Okay, great.
10	CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, thank you.
11	Thank you for doing this.
12	MR. PAWLAK: No. Thank you for
13	inviting me to do it.
14	CHAIR McCARTY: And now we go to
15	Roger Griffis and his presentation on Blue
16	Carbon Initiatives. Where would you like to
17	be. Be right there?
18	MR. GRIFFIS: I'll just stand, if
19	that's okay.
20	CHAIR McCARTY: That will be
21	great. That's fine. And Roger, the same
22	thing goes for you. If you want to stick

around and have, participate in the Ecosystem

Subcommittee later on this afternoon, you're

very welcome to do that.

Blue Carbon Initiatives

MR. GRIFFIS: Great, thanks.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to meet with you this afternoon. My name is Roger Griffis. I am a marine ecologist by training. I've been with NOAA for 16 years or so doing various aspects of policy and planning. I've ran NOAA's conservation program for about eight years.

For the past year, I've been working as the climate coordinator for the NOAA Fisheries Service, which means I'm working with you all and a variety of other folks, to try and figure out what climate-ready NOAA Fisheries is going to mean in the future.

So I'll stop there and take your questions about what climate-ready NOAA Fisheries is. But actually today, I'm going

to talk about one aspect of this issue of climate change in our future world and marine resources, and that is this issue about coastal blue carbon. I'm going to fly through a number of slides and I'll end there. I know I had another topic to talk to you about as well, and maybe I'll touch on that if we still have time.

But I want to focus my presentation on coastal blue carbon, what is it and why should we care. It's all about the carbon, as you know. Whether we're talking about economics on the modern world, trade, business, industry, of course carbon is, of course, what we put in our gas tanks, or at least a modified version of it.

Our entire society is driven by what is known and talked about in the carbon cycle world and people that study the carbon cycle of our little blue planet here, it really is three different colors, talking about the carbon cycle.

1 Traditionally,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

before

the

Industrial Revolution and all, most of the carbon on the planet was either below ground, and I'm going to talk about that in a minute, was either the green carbon. That is the carbon the trees and plants and everything else sucks up in the process of photosynthesis, turns into fuel for other organic matter, and it becomes this nice, green surface of our planet.

A whole other chunk of the planet's carbon is over in this blue area, the ocean area, blue carbon, and of course, at the turn of the century and through the Industrial Revolution, we have been putting out what's referred to as black carbon, sucking it out of the ground as oil and other natural resources and gas, processing it and putting it up into the atmosphere.

So I'm going to be talking about the carbon cycle. But I'm going to focus in on this little chunk right here, that is, the

coastal fringe, very productive habitats that you all have just been talking about.

Basically three kinds: salt marshes, sea grasses, and mangroves. The bottom line, if you get nothing else out of this talk, the bottom line, I want you to remember and go tell someone on the airplane when you fly home, is I had no idea that these coastal habitats suck up as much carbon as tropical rain forests. I had no idea.

So all this concern, which is well-placed, of course, to protect tropical rain forests for their carbon and everything else, in fact there's now great interest in those other places that also are really good at sucking up carbon and storing it.

Those other places, also pay attention right now, is on coastal habitats, those three kinds, because it turned out that they are very good at sucking up carbon and putting it away in the sediments below. That's why we're focused on it.

So three habitats, as I mentioned, salt marshes, mangroves and sea grasses, and the other bottom line, of course that you know already from this story is there aren't a whole lot of those. There weren't a whole lot of them to begin with.

It's that narrow little fringe along the coast, and in fact in most places on the planet, they've already lost half of what was there, say 200 years ago. So some issues here on the carbon that I'll come back to.

So a little context, of course, in the carbon conversation. We can't talk about blue carbon, green carbon, black carbon, without of course looking at these kinds of graphs, because this is where we've shifted the carbon budget, and there are a few implications of that, primarily that by shifting the carbon budget about where it is, we've impacted, begun to impact pretty emphatically the climate system on this planet.

So this is about 1,000 year graph.

It starts at the top, of course, with

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the atmosphere.

It starts at the top, of course, with population. Population, human population doubled and then doubled again in 2,000 years. The most immediate impact of that, as I said, came in the past, well in the Industrial Revolution, the past 100 or 200 years, where we started putting that black carbon up into

These three graphs are basically that, the three major forms of greenhouse gases that come out of our emissions, carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide and methane. You can see the trajectory of population growth and our getting very good and producing engines and things.

Then of course the temperature, the temperature signal on the planet followed that trajectory. Particularly in the last 100 that's become years orso, increasingly clear, those relationships. is kind of the average over that time from

1880 to about 2000. You can see that on average, temperatures were below that period until about the 1940's and 50's, where we started getting the shift. Since about the 70's or 80's, we had consistent populations time-tracking CO2 concentration and a variety of other things.

This is really old information. I was looking at this last night, thinking what.

There's much more recent information here,
but it's important to realize that, you know,
there's some significant stuff happening,
particularly for us that live in North
America.

Most of this warming over the past 50 years has particularly been over the land in the northern hemisphere, and meanwhile the temperatures, the average temperatures in the U.S. have already risen about two degrees, and there are a lot of implications of that, as you know.

This is all context though, of

course, because the future depends on what we do, and that's of course what our grand national and local debate is all about, you know. What do we want to do, what can we afford, on what time scale?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

These trajectories are based on the modeling relationship between temperature, greenhouse gas levels, and they project, this is the observed, the white's the observed.

The green's the model, up until well, a little after 2000 when I did this.

are the IPCC trajectories These that they put forward, and these are three different scenarios, three different choices that we have before us, or the range of those The blue line here lower choices. is a We did some things to control our emission. emissions and reduce overall emissions. rate of temperature increase, this is temperature, would not be as great.

There's a lot of inertia in the system, since we've already put a lot of CO2

and stuff up there. So this isn't going to just fall off any time sooner. In fact, we've already committed ourselves to a pretty steep trajectory here of temperature warming.

But this is, you know, we've got some controls on at lower emissions. This is a high emission, and this is a really high emission trajectory; that is, the rate of emissions coming out all our cars and trucks and companies across the planet.

And the interesting thing here, not to be too depressing, but we've already exceeded the emission rates for the worst case scenario here, since these models were done.

So we're already off the chart here a little bit.

Again, what I really want to focus on is carbon, because wouldn't it be great if heading into this future, wouldn't it be great if we had some big old carbon suckers that would take carbon out of the atmosphere and help solve this problem?

If we could suck some of this carbon out of here, we'd be able to reduce this temperature growth rate and we'd be fine.

Do we have them? Well, in fact we do.

They're plants. They're habitats and they're really good at it. In fact, as I said in the beginning, all the focus really to date has been on tropical forests and some agriculture.

But really, tropical forests are really good, very efficient at sucking carbon out of that air, putting it into wood, putting it into leaves and actually keeping it there, not just turning it over like a crop. It turns out that coastal habitats are really good at it too.

In fact, sequestration, or that is the rate at which they can take it out of the air, put it into their tissues, coastal habitats can sequester or grab carbon at about two to four times that of average tropical forests. That's extraordinary, and storage, they can store it really well too, three to

1 five times that of tropical forests.

So the goal here, if one were serious about wanting to suck some of that carbon out, and by the way, for those of us in this room that care about these coastal habitats because they make fish and other things, this is another really good reason to think huh, maybe this could help incentivize some of this protection and restoration of coastal habitats.

So if the goal here, which is the goal that many people are talking about now, is to better value these services, along with all the other services that these habitats provide, it might be a way to incentivize conservation and protection of these habitats, so they make fish, build economies, allow recreation and all that good stuff.

So coastal habitats, tropical rain forests, really good at sucking carbon. In fact, while one of the features, of course, is they do it very differently, the coastal

marshes and the mangroves take it out of the air, put it into plants and wood and leaves, and then they bury it. Mangroves buried in the sediments.

The brown, the whole point of this slide is to say, you know, the coastal habitats are putting it in the benthic area, they're burying it down. Brown is underground or in the benthos, the green plant material, whereas the top of the forest is putting most of its carbon in the above ground storage, which is what you would expect.

The other interesting thing though is, of course, they're totally, the orders of magnitude are different in area across the planet. But because half the coastal habitats are really good at sequestering and storing this stuff, even though they're just these thin little strips along the coastline, if you add up those thin little strips, because they're really good at it, it makes them fairly comparable, or at least in the same

ball game as tropical forests that are getting all the attention, as far as carbon storage.

So that's again, something to think about in comparison.

So what's the problem? Well, there are a couple of problems, one you know of. The problem is that these places are getting hammered in most places around the world. In the United States, actually we're doing a pretty good job, compared to places like Indonesia, Southeast Asia, as far as protecting our coastal habitat.

But still, sea grasses, salt marshes and mangroves are some of the most threatened habitats on the planet, and that hasn't changed, even the rates of some of that destruction here in the United States hasn't changed that much in the past 10 to 20 years.

So we've got a problem. We're losing things that produce a whole variety of services that you know well, including this thing about carbon storage, that looking

towards the future we might want to take better notice of.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And here's the other problem.

That's the first of three. The other problem is when these habitats that have so much carbon built up in them, because remember they store it in the sediment, when they get damaged, they get dewatered, which is what we do to a lot of these coastal wetlands, they let go of it, and they let it out really quickly.

all those big deltas, So the Mekong, the Sacramento, the Nile, the Indus, all those giant deltas, beautiful salt marsh estuaries that we've been dewatering continue to dewater for development agriculture and all those good things. problem is all that carbon that's been built up for thousands of years, goes back starts going into the atmosphere. So our wetlands become carbon emitters, and adding to the problem up into start the 1 atmosphere.

The good news is if you rewater

them, that restoration works, that restoration

-- these things kick back in as carbon

sequesters and storage really well and really

quickly. So again, restoration done right is

very effective in restoring the carbon

surfaces of these important habitats.

This graph, it basically just shows you a non-restored salt marsh in the Sacramento delta, to a restored one, basically rewatered, letting the tide back in. This one continues to lose carbon and subsidence is, of course, that's part of that. It's losing the carbon, the material that's going up into the atmosphere. Once it's rewatered and the tide refloods it, then it's again sequestering and storing carbon. So this process can be restored fairly easily.

So implications for fisheries, I think that's the part you already know. You already know well the implications of losing

these important habitats because they make fish, and they make jobs, all those kinds of things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The thing that I hope that I've added to your list of why these things might be interesting or important is that they also a bunch of things related to carbon do surfaces. They have tremendous carbon storage ability and sequestration ability, and whole bottom line here is that it might be possible, given the discussions today about valuing carbon storage, because we are at a pretty -- it's pretty important that we start trying to figure out how to get it back out of the atmosphere, that there might be ways to use these carbon surfaces to incentivize the conservation.

And in fact I'm not making this

up. This is happening right now. Tropical

forests in Indonesia -- Norway is paying

Indonesia millions of dollars to protect their

tropical forest for 100 years or more.

Basically, they're buying the carbon of those forests, carbon credit to those forests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

multinational corporations, So governments are buying carbon storage forest habitats across the planet right now, voluntary markets, open markets. This is driven by international agreements, and part of the problem, this is problem number three, is that there's no protocol, there's no way to do that for coastal habitats, because NOAA has developed the protocol that says this much coastal wetland is equal to this much carbon 100 years, and therefore a certified over translation into a market-based situation.

There is no formalized protocol like there is for rain forests and other forests, including in this country. There's no protocol that's done that for the coastal wetlands. That's what all the attention is about now and has been in the past five, ten years.

The big push now is to develop

protocols like many people have done for the forest system, so that you can have investors know how to invest, what the carbon equivalents are for an area of mangrove or an area of salt marsh. So that those that are interested and willing, through the voluntary markets or others, can invest and actually pay people to conserve these really important habitats.

So what's NOAA's role here? I'm going to quickly end. Our goal is this one.

We're not in the business of carbon markets.

Our goal is enhanced conservation of coastal habitat. But we don't value, that is, we don't even consider carbon surfaces in any of our regulatory roles, in any of our planning for any coastal habitats today.

We have a team in NOAA. We've done an assessment. We asked all our programs, in your NEPA documents, in your EFA treatment designation, in your fishery management plan, in your sanctuary plans, has

anyone incorporated carbon services, the same way that we have economists help us think about the value of fishery production or recreation or tourism.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We don't do it, and you know what, we couldn't find any federal agency that does. Some state agencies are starting to do it, actually interesting. But we're not unique here. The bottom line is this has to be on our radar screen. All of our NRDA stuff, we don't value -- a part of the calculation when oil spill and people damage a there's an habitat, a coastal wetland, there's nothing in there that says, "oh, and by the way part of that value is because of the carbon services." No. It just hasn't been part of our lexicon.

So one avenue that NOAA's looking at is should we be thinking about valuing these carbon services, along with all those other services like tourism, recreation and make more fish and all that stuff that we normally do, just build it into the process.

1 Maybe that's one avenue.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The other avenue that many folks,
many of our partners are looking at is this
one, particularly for international
situations. They're looking at is there a way
to tap into this market, this millions and
millions, if not billions now of dollars of
money that's coming in from investors that
want to invest in some carbon offsets.

Is there a way to entrain a little piece of that, or a lot of piece of that, to help conserve coastal habitats, particularly in places like Southeast Asia?

are the two things Those been thinking about, and there's some critical needs to do that. Part of the reason why there's no protocol like there is for forest is there are gaps in our information about the rates of sequestration and storage, that we don't know, until the protocol has developed for 100 acres of salt marsh in Vietnam, what are the carbon equivalents of

that, how long does it last, how does it

2 cycle, the carbon dynamics of those habitats?

And particularly when they're

4 disturbed and then when they're restored, key

5 gaps in our understanding of the shape of

6 those curves, how quickly do they restore that

7 carbon storage ability, and to what level. So

8 there's some critical science needs that folks

9 at some of our sister agencies like the U.S.

10 Geological Survey. The Forest Service is very

11 interested in this.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Some of the state agencies are beginning to look at this area to fill some needs, that would then allow protocols and have the science foundation they need, and also to feed possibly our use in NOAA of this information and our regulatory role. Again,

So where I started, it's really all about the carbon, and as this little piece, this little green-blue fringe. It

all of this because we're interested in this

end, the conservation of habitat.

turns out these coastal habitats are pretty
darn important in thinking about the carbon
cycle of the planet, and maybe a little piece
of the solution to help make a deal with too
much of this up in the atmosphere.

And if we're really good, it might actually pay for a lot of the conservation and for all the other services. So I'll stop with that. Thank you very much.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thanks, Roger.

Are there questions for Roger, or comments?

Randy.

MR. CATES: Your graph on temperatures, it's been about 11 years since it's been updated. I think your last one was --

CHAIR McCARTY: We can't hear you, Randy.

MR. CATES: I think your last temperature reading was 2000, is that right?

MR. GRIFFIS: The graph I showed?

MR. CATES: Yes.

MR. GRIFFIS: That's with the graph I showed. There's much more data, much more recent data. You can go on -- I can give you the website and you can go see the current data, CO2 level, atmosphere, temperature estimates, all those things.

MR. CATES: So has it changed?
How would that apply in here?

MR. GRIFFIS: I'm sorry. You want me to go to this one? So as far as the temperature, is that what you said, the temperature? The temperature, average temperature here has continued to do the inter-annual variation, but each of those years has been higher than before.

So yes, the curve, the direction of that curve is the same, and it's actually been higher than we expected. I also mentioned the emissions. The emissions are actually higher than the worse -- than the highest estimates that the IPCC used here. So as far as CO2 emissions and to the extent that

these are pretty tight links between CO2 levels and temperature. In fact, the CO2 are highest here, matches as well the fact that the temperature is higher as well. I'd be happy to get you an update.

MR. CATES: I'm just curious why it's so far back and the other graphs were more updated. That was --

CHAIR McCARTY: What was your question, Randy? I'm sorry. I couldn't hear.

MR. CATES: It was, it sounds like to me that we're taking temperature readings that stop in 2000, but then in the presentation, it was coming to current data, and I was just trying to figure how that would correlate.

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes. It's probably

-- I probably ought to make it clear. The

data collection has continued on all these

things, in fact probably even more intensely.

I just don't have the most recent graphs, and

I noticed that last night too, thank you. But

I thought, oh, these are looking old to me.

Even though it's still 2005 or so, but there's actual recent data. That's the good news, I guess. The bad news is the trends are even more dramatic than we expected.

MR. CLAMPITT: I think what matters is that wetlands are extremely important to all of us. And the way that law looks right now, you have to have a net loss of wetlands, and I don't think we're doing a good job of enforcing those laws. You're talking about charging for some of these going wetlands, which I don't really disagree with.

But why aren't we doing a better
job of enforcing the laws that we have, and
the gentleman we just had before, I did have a
question from just before you. But it's not
that big a deal. My point with him, I was
going to ask was I don't know if we have done
a good job of educating particularly
commercial fishermen, on how important the

wetlands are to their livelihood.

I don't think the majority of them realize that when a development's going in some place and wiping out wetlands, that it's cutting their own throats. I remember, I think it was in '76 when we passed the Clean Water Act, and I think shortly after that it was Carter who, I'm not positive, who said we should have no loss of wetlands.

We haven't done anything at all.

I mean we've gone downhill, and I just think

the agency needs to do a better job of
enforcing those laws. I mean, here we have in

Alaska, they're talking about starting a gold

mine up in Pebble, which at the mouth of the
estuary the greatest sand stream in the world,

Bristol Bay.

I haven't seen anything from NOAA saying that you know, hey that's probably not a good idea. I mean, there's a big fight up there between -- well, the EPA's coming in on it. But what does NOAA have to say about gold

mines going into estuaries?

My point is that, you know, you can scare us with global warming and CO2 rise, which we can do nothing about. As you pointed out, CO2 is going through the roof anyway. It follows human wealth. The wealthier you get, the higher CO2 goes. What we should really be focusing on is enforcing the laws we have on the books.

MR. GRIFFIS: Just a couple of points. Thank you for those points. It was interesting to me how, I'll say, difficult it was to get a current status of whether or not wetland area is going up or down. So it was interesting, and this is a plug for the NOAA Fish and Wildlife Service effort to track the status of coastal wetlands. It's more than coastal wetlands, as well.

But that is interesting, but that is the only source of information that I know of, and I know he talked about state levels and things like that, but how critical that

is, to answer your question, you know. Are those laws effective? That is, are wetlands, are we continuing to protect the wetlands we have, or are we continuing to erode away those that are left?

I think the answer unfortunately is that we continue to erode away. I think there's been continued net loss. But I think the next report's about to come out soon, and I want to make sure that you have, you all have the copies of those existing ones, and I'll let you know when the next one is coming out.

But as far as I can tell, that's the only finger on the pulse, to answer your question, as far as what's happening with those coastal wetlands in particular. The mangrove situation, as you know, is not even tracked. It's perhaps at a state or at a nature conservancy level. But that one is a complete, dramatic loss across the country.

There's no, not even close to a

net holding the line there on that one. But again, it's not tracked on any systematic basis. So just having the finger on the pulse would be a step forward. I'm not even going to touch your issue, which I think is a really good one, about are the laws always -- why is this happening? Is it because the laws are not strong enough? Is it they're not being enforced? I think that's a really interesting question.

And the other thing you said was,

"well, there's nothing we can do about it." I

obviously failed in my presentation because,

if nothing else, the point of this

presentation is there are a lot of things that

we can do about it.

I of course didn't touch the big ones, I didn't want to. But there are people over in that room right there talking about how do we get a handle on the emissions, the black carbon stuff? But what I, of course, was talking about was we can do something

1 about it --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, the United States can possibly do it, but how are you going to stop China and India and all those other developing countries? We don't control those people.

MR. GRIFFIS: I have such faith in the State Department. So I think there's an international dialogue that can happen. Again, like you said, where you started, those of us that really care about these places, because they make fish and they have all kinds of other services, this is yet another reason that maybe we add to that list of things that helps tip that balance that you're identifying, that helps provide additional incentive to protect these habitats.

MR. CLAMPITT: One last thing.

You know, it's probably an unpopular theme,

but if we took half the money we're using to

study climate change and its effects, and

stuck it into wetland restoration, and the

study of ecosystems management and improving, you know, the health of those things, we'd be better off.

asked about what does NMFS do or what does
NOAA do, and somebody back here said that in
many cases there's a consultative role in
biological and a biological opinion sort of
process, that does give NOAA and NMFS some
sort of power, or some sort of role in many of
these big development projects. So that may -

MR. GRIFFIS: But remember, the other interesting thing about that, the statistics, having to think about the loss, to answer your question, is those report also identify, well, what was the source of the loss of those coastal wetlands or the habitat, and it's development, and it needs to be different kinds. It's either housing kinds or it's harbor kind, and I forget what the third kind is.

But to answer your question, it's exactly that. Well, those aren't coming, those proposals and actions obviously aren't coming from NOAA. NOAA's job is to consult and advise on those projects, because of our legal standing under the Magnuson and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and a variety of other things, the Clean Water Act.

So we, you know, the folks on the front line of that effort are in our regional offices, and they have pouring over the transom every day proposals to do that stuff.

They have to go through triage.

They have to triage those, and they say,

"well, I don't know. I've got 100. I've got

to do 100 in a week," or something like that,

and they have to pick out what five or six

they think they can make an impact on, or are

so severe that they really need to take a

stand on.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, that's my point. That's my point about funding.

1 CHAIR McCARTY: So we have several 2 more questions. There's Julie and Martin. Anybody else? No. Go ahead, Julie. 3 4 MS. MORRIS: I have two questions 5 and then a couple of comments. First of all, 6 this carbon sequestration's effect 7 diminish, to help refinements or is it just a 8 strong --9 MR. GRIFFIS: Ah, that's a really 10 good question. I have to go back. There's been a new study that synthesizes all the 11 12 information on sequestration out of the 13 Nicholas Institute, and I can send you the 14 reference. I may have listed it on the fact 15 sheet I did. But if not, I'll make sure that 16 you all have it. But I don't know the answer. 17 MS. MORRIS: have dredging We 18 proposals regarding -- and I would suspect 19 that it isn't sequestering so much as it's 20 releasing. That it takes time to catch up.

depends, it really does. So it depends what

Exactly. I mean it

MR. GRIFFIS:

21

22

the condition of the existing salt marsh is.

If it's dewatered, it's going to send that
carbon off very quickly. If it's kind of
dredged a little bit and then flooded, that's
one of the gaps of the science on what happens
to that carbon. Is it still coming out in
water and at what rate?

So you're hitting there a bunch of unknowns there about what happens to the damaged places. It depends a lot on whether they're aerated. The second -- but it is very clear that if they're aerated, the rate of loss there is going to be probably a lot higher than the sequestration rate of that new place.

But that's -- those are some of those key questions under this category here that affect both the kind of statements we would make about the proposed projects to our consultation, and potentially what -- I guess it wouldn't affect here as much, because in this case, what the market is doing is paying,

basically, incentivizing for that place to be set aside and not touched.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. MORRIS: So first of all, sea rise level in eastern geography like Florida's, if you put down a lot of money to protect coastal habitats in order to sequester carbon and then sea level rise wipes out that coastal marsh or mangrove, drowns it essentially, that investment doesn't have a long term payoff for you.

The other comment is that in Florida, we allow people to prune mangroves for views and aesthetic purposes, and I imagine that that has a big effect on the amount of carbon that's being sequestered.

MR. GRIFFIS: One comment on the previous one. I think we all leap to that sea level rise. They'll be flooded and therefore -- certainly for long-term, we are losing certain surfaces. But as I think our natural resource, what they call natural resource economists, is that what they are, would

remind us, is if that's the only service your industry didn't find -- but the other thing -- but two things. One, they say well remember, there are other services, and the real question is what services do we want for how long?

So for example, in the Northeast in the wetlands up there, and even in Florida, you know, the question really is what services do we want for the next 25 to 50 years, depending on how quickly we think the sea level rise is going to rise. Now if making fish from those coastal wetlands for the next 50 years is important to us, then maybe we should help them stick around.

The other thing is that we are making -- I don't mean you. I do this too.

We make some pretty gross assumptions about sea level rise and the ability of marshes to, say, keep up with the rise. I think that's where we have also led ourselves a little bit down the path. There's a lot of evidence in

the fact that we could help those marshes keep up with some of the rise, up to a certain point.

The other thing is you need those marshes so that they can march up the coast and stay at that front edge of the sea level, because we want them to go in and be the next marsh, right. So I -- you're raising an excellent point.

But I always have to remind myself that it's really about what services we want, how long do we want them, and that in fact there are things we can do to help those habitats even extend their life, even in the sea level rise context.

CHAIR McCARTY: Martin.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Thank you,
Madam Chairman. Thank you so much for your
presentation. I have a pile of questions. I
want to understand the process of how the
carbon gets up into the atmosphere. Do we
have a gazillion immaterial little diamonds

floating around, you know, just rising out of the sea, or what exactly is the process for that?

Then my second question relates to what you were saying about the government in Norway giving \$100 million or something to Indonesia. Then you also, I think you included that there were other multinational corporations that were investing.

Is that associated all with the energy dependence scheme, where you can have a dirty plant on the South Side of Chicago for a big utility, and if you build a new green facility in some other country where they don't have anything, you get enough energy credit to maintain your dirty plant and not have to retrofit it?

MR. GRIFFIS: Okay, all right. So let me try it, to see if I can remember. What was the question?

MR. MARTIN FISHER: The first thing is about the physical process, how the

1 carbon gets up --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. GRIFFIS: So not diamonds, it's basically turning into methane, and I'm looking at my colleague here. What I need is my marsh chemist here. But basically, it is reversing in anaerobic, basically displacing the traffic that carbon in anaerobic conditions and they're not breaking it down.

As soon as you let the air in there, and you provide it as -- you get a few burn-overs, and that place starts letting go of that as methane, and the gas is almost right away. Of course methane is even worse than CO2 as far as its warming effect. So that's the extent of where I'm going to go in the answer to that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ MARTIN FISHER: Thank you, and the other one?

MR. GRIFFIS: The second one was?

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Is the

contribution from Norway associated to the

1 energy credit scheme?

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes. I guess I'd say yes, but it's complicated. There are a variety of protocols, international agreements and protocols to do that. There are also a variety of drivers, that is some requirements to do that. The EU, for example, and many of the European countries, have already agreed and have standards and requirements set for some offsetting.

So there is an incentive, a market-based system there that they are tapping into, because some people need to offset some carbon, and other people are investing and saying oh maybe I'll grab some and use it later.

So I think Norway, for example, is in the investor mode. I don't think they're putting a lot of big power plants in, but they are investing in a carbon bank based on the credits, because they're, I think, anticipating that other countries around the

world are perhaps going to need some of that.

They're also, as you know, very interested in conservation of tropical areas and have been for a long, long time. So this is also a way to incentivize conservation, massive amounts of conservation.

Another footnote. More ways to, have been trying to help protect tropical forests for a long time, little pieces here, little pieces here. Suddenly, this carbon interest, they're able to get Indonesia and other countries to be interested in massive national park systems.

So this is also from the perspective of conservation interests on a global scale. So that's -- there are multiple incentives there, but yes, this is where I need my international affairs colleague, that would tell us that there are agreed-to international protocols right now on the books, the REDD Plus, it's R-E-D-D Protocol.

I forget what it stands for, but

it basically sets up the protocol and the market guidelines for being able to invest in the carbon of a forest, and then be able to have that then traded to those that need it or want it. I can get you more information about it, but I'm sorry, I'm not the expert.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: Well, just a quick follow-up. I just feel like I'm following the P under your three shells again, because basically what you're saying is we might get a new national park, but somebody else is still expressing the carbon into the environment, and we're going to get a credit for it because of the national park.

But the net amount of carbon going into the environment doesn't change, or it increases.

MR. GRIFFIS: I think that's probably true, and that's now a good change if that park, if that forest continued to be logged or otherwise, that kind of thing. But remember the other, and again this is where I

need my international expert. There are agreements among many countries in the world now to reduce their overall emissions.

So Indonesia, for example, is looking at how to reduce their overall emissions. This is one way for them to do it and get paid to do it. So Norway has basically funded their entire national park system now for -- it's in laws. It's in perpetuity, but it's basically a 100-year benchmark.

MR. RAFTICAN: Out in California, we kind of have definitions of wetlands. Wetlands are areas that are submerged at least part of the year. When you're talking about sea grass, you know, how mangroves, are these — is the fact that these are submerged the fact?

What I'm wondering is, at what point do you start, you know, do they remain wet? Are they submerged? What is the key to this rapid absorption of carbon? I mean I

just flew across the country. It's incredible the amount of land that's under cultivation out there.

In the west, they submerged cultivation. They simply plug the fields at times, and at what point does something like that come into play?

MR. GRIFFIS: So I think we're talking about that interface that's always wet, like a submerged sea grass bed or the bottom of the roots of the mangrove, up into the inner tidal estuarine salt marsh. That's at least what I've been talking about.

Once you get into fresh water wetlands and that kind of thing, it's a little bit of a different ball game. But that's the continuum I've been talking about, and it really all depends about how much carbon is being produced the plants there in the first place.

Both the plants that we're talking about, but also the associated microbial

community, the primary production that's going on in those shallow estuarine waters. And the thing is that that's just raining down, as well as all those mangrove leaves, and all that other detritus and stuff, right, onto that benthic community, and then being buried fairly quickly by the diggers and the burrowers and mixed into the sand, and within, you know, two centimeters, that thing goes aerobic.

So it doesn't take much to lock that up. I mean it's not like this has to get down to get down to field. So I know I'm probably not answering your question, but the ingredients are how much carbon is going in first of all, how quickly can it be buried and not eaten and taken off somewhere else, right, in the sediments, and then how often is that sediment mixed, turned around.

So it's really those three things, and the reason these places have been, particularly the salt marshes, have been these

big storm houses. I mean underneath these places can be meters, a meter or two of storage peat or sediment organic because in the past, and this is where we've also messed up the cycle a little bit, is a lot of this happened as the rains came or the sediments came flooding down to the watershed and spread out across that land. So it's partly that rain, steady rain of Mississippi water full of sediments and organic matter coming down and laying down over southern Louisiana, just layer upon layer upon layer, in addition to the blades of the sea grass and the roots and all the other stuff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The dewatering thing is pretty important in all of this, but it's really that anaerobic condition that you need, quickly lock it up, get it anaerobic so it isn't breaking down. I probably didn't answer the question.

So you mentioned water like rice fields and things like that. I was picturing

southern Louisiana and crawfish ponds. Those would be great, but if you take all that carbon out, or at least 80 or 90 percent of it, taking the rice out and cutting it.

Some of the rice you leave for the crawfish, but in an agricultural system, of course, we're taking a lot of that carbon back out without letting it go back into the sediment to get locked up.

MR. RAFTICAN: Well, on your graph, you had the Sacramento delta. It's very high there, and it's almost entirely rice.

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes, yes. I think the piece that we were talking about here is probably a more natural part, through the best agricultural example.

Well, that's part of the problem.

I'm sure that the cycle, the carbon dynamics

of the agricultural rice stock is totally

different from what I was showing there. I

was showing what I thought was a natural

system. That was a really good question,
though. I'll try to add that to the graph, to
show the rice system.

CHAIR McCARTY: Roger, do you have a second presentation, then, that you are going to run through quickly? I have one more question from Steve, and then did you say you had a second presentation?

MR. GRIFFIS: Well, I did.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay. Steve, go ahead.

MR. JONER: You just answered all my questions and his. I wanted to know about the rice and kind of the mechanism of how the carbon went from the atmosphere into the ground, and I understand it stays there.

But one thing I know you've touched on is terrestrial wetlands. If they stay wet all year, are they -- the value per acre of wetland, is it comparable to the wetland or salt water --

MR. GRIFFIS: Fresh water

1 wetlands.

MR. JONER: Yes.

MR. GRIFFIS: Sorry. You know,

fresh water wetlands are tricky, because they,

I'm not as up to speed on them, but my

understanding is that they don't store carbon

as readily, that they, whatever the situation

there is, they release the carbon more

readily, so that their storage rates are not

as vast.

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes. I think they have greater methane emissions, so they're not all --

MR. JONER: Yes, because they're -

MR. GRIFFIS: Not aerobic down underneath. Yes, but I mean that's salt mixed in there.

MR. JONER: And then a temperate green forest, like we have in the Northwest.

How does that compare to either the -- I know you had temperate forest. What about green

1 forest?

MR. GRIFFIS: Ah, I don't know the answer to that.

MR. JONER: Because there's a lot more going on underground in the rain forest than there is in a drier area.

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes. Obviously, the Forest Service, probably of all the federal agencies that I know of, the Forest Service is the one most active in looking at this. I mean they've been in the business of carbon cycling their entire life. That's what forestry is all about, right. It's managing stocks of carbon.

So they have, they are all over this, thinking about managing the forest, the carbon budgets, and how that, the nexus of that with their other bowls of either recreational, timber, and that kind of thing.

MR. JONER: Well, I live in Washington. I have a few acres of big tall trees surrounded by wetlands. So I'll be

selling carbon credits, if you guys are interested. We'll work something out.

MR. GRIFFIS: Thanks Steve, I appreciate that.

CHAIR McCARTY: So Roger, I guess your second one was similar to what the briefing was that we had last time, and you're going to hopefully give it to the Ecosystem Subcommittee, and they're going to consider that.

MR. GRIFFIS: I'd be happy to do that. Can I just make one plug --

CHAIR McCARTY: Sure, sure.

MR. GRIFFIS: We talked a lot here about mitigation. We talked here about how do we -- we talked about habitat conservation, and perhaps using carbon as an additional rationale maybe instead of -- maybe even fun to help do that.

But my second talk was going to be about well, what do we do in the meantime?

We're on a trajectory. The bus has left.

We're on the carousel, but it's forming.

There's going to be some changes, there's

going to be a lot of surprises, okay.

How do we want to prepare, and what steps do we want to take, to prepare our fisheries, to prepare our endangered species responsibilities? How do we want to prepare?

That preparation is what people talk about when they talk about adaptation.

How do we want to prepare, and where do we think we're going to go in that changing climate?

So the question I posed to you in my introduction was what is climate-ready NOAA Fisheries? What is climate-ready fisheries management? What is climate-ready protected species management? As temperatures continue to change across our coasts, fish will follow as they move across our nice council system and into crossing national boundaries.

Is our management system ready for that kind of change? Are our observing

management systems? Is our science ready?

How well can we look, peer into that crystal
ball and maybe anticipate a little bit, and
help our managers, who have a tremendously
difficult job, help them anticipate a little
bit more as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we need to figure out how to prepare, and that's the business of adaptation. The IPCC defines adaptation as bad, reducing the negative reducing the and taking advantage of the good impacts, They'll be some winners and some impacts. losers in this process, and you probably know the example on the east coast, the modeling work that John Hare and others have done in the Northeast Fisheries Science Center, showing that for the past 50 years, stocks, the major stocks in the Northeast have shifted dramatically. They've all shifted northward, they've shifted deeper. There's no question.

The interesting thing is you can start playing out productivity for some of those stocks. Atlantic croaker are going to love the future, because they're a warm water species. They're spreading north. Their projected populations are going to potentially be great, you know, an older fishery. I mean very interesting.

So again, adaptation is how can we reduce the negative impacts, be prepared? Also be prepared to take advantage of the benefits. And I'm finishing here, there is a major effort underway to develop an adaptation strategy for the nation's fish and wildlife compliance.

There is no strategy right now to help our valuable natural resources adapt to these changes. The Congress last year, the administration has joined in, called for the development of a National Adaptation Strategy for fish wildlife compliance, and the services they provide.

So my second talk, and you all

have it, and I'll talk to the Ecosystem group,

is about that strategy. We've got federal,

Thank you very much.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

is about that strategy. We've got federal, state, tribal strategy, 150 people working on it. It's coming to you in January for public review, and we really hope you'll take it seriously and give us some good feedback.

CHAIR McCARTY: Thank you, Roger.

Okay so now -- sure, go ahead.

DR. HOTITIDAY: So we had second presentation from Roger, but since we did have a presentation at the meeting on this particular topic, we went back to our recommendations from May. We said we had a We would be assessing, working group. developing a strategy for MAFAC input, for the opportunities specific to climate three adaptation issues. So that's going to be the work of the Ecosystem Subcommittee afternoon, to carry on with that, since we didn't get to that between meetings.

The first opportunity was the National Fish Wildlife and Plant climate adaptation strategy, with this opportunity for there's a draft report coming out in December, the final report. So this was one opportunity. The second was the National Climate Assessment that was going to be done in 2012 with NOAA climate adaptation plans.

So those are the three things we talked about at the May meeting, that we're going to revisit in the subcommittee. So Roger's presentation is a reminder about the things that we were talking about on the Fish Wildlife and Plant climate adaptation strategy.

So we didn't want to repeat it for the whole plenary session. We wanted the subcommittee to work on it.

CHAIR McCARTY: Fabulous. Is that okay then Roger? So what I'd like to talk about just for a few minutes, before we take a break, and then reconvene in the

subcommittees, is the membership of the subcommittees. There are new members, Henry, Julie, and Phil, who neither Mark nor I know which committees you might want to be on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You don't have to be on subcommittee, but if you want to be, now is the time to sort of join up with one of the -or more of the subcommittees. We're scheduled to have three of them meet this afternoon. Traditionally, or at least recently, since I've been around anyway, the Subcommittee Commerce has done mostly aquaculture stuff.

But Mark, it would be helpful to me if you could talk a little bit about some of the other things that the Commerce Subcommittee has done, or whoever has been on it for a while. Has that ever had a focus that is in addition to aquaculture?

DR. HOLLIDAY: You can kind of ask Laura. So I think the Commerce has tried to look at the seafood processing and the seafood

trade and other business aspects of fisheries and seafood beyond aquaculture. But because of the emphasis in the last few years on developing a national aquaculture strategy and the ten-year plan, much of the committee's focus was strictly on aquaculture.

But it had the broader commerce, mostly commercial commerce and trade.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So you were asking a question about other activities that the Commerce Committee historically has been involved in, beyond aquaculture.

MS. BRYANT: Its genesis was truly kind of around the aquaculture area.

CHAIR McCARTY: So that's that one, and the members are currently not Tom

Billy, because he's not here anymore. Randy

Cates, Bill Dewey, Steve Joner, who's the chair, myself, George Nardi and Tom Raftican.

So if people are interested in joining that subcommittee, that's the group that you'd be

1 working with.

The Strategic Planning, Budget, and Program Management Committee is Tony Chatwin, Patty Doerr, Ed Ebisui, Martin Fisher and myself as the chair and Tom Raftican.

Tom, are you on all of them?

MR. RAFTICAN: I've accepted meeting through all of them.

CHAIR McCARTY: And then one is

the Ecosystem, which is yours. This time, you
have a pretty big bite there this time, and we
have Patty, Cathy, Steve Joner, myself. Maybe
I'm on them all too, and Tom Raftican.
Members, feel free to jump in on any of these
meetings, even if you're not members of the
subcommittee. But those are the members.

Then the final one that we're dealing with at this meeting is protected resources, and of course Cathy Foy is the chair of that. The members of Randy, Tony Chatwin, Paul Clampitt, and Keith Rizzardi. So how do we want to handle that one?

2 recreational?

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3 CHAIR McCARTY: Pardon?

DR. HOLLIDAY: The Recreational

Subcommittee. The Recreational Subcommittee

is Ken Franke is the chair, Pam Dana, she's

not with us anymore, Patty Doerr, Ed Ebisui

and Tom Raftican.

DR. HOLLIDAY: Tom Raftican.

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes. I believe

that all three of the MAFAC consultants,

Vince, Randy, and Larry, are on the Rec Fish

Subcommittee as well. So the three

subcommittees that are going to be meeting

this afternoon, how are they going to be organized? The one you said, Ecosystem is

going to be in here?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Location-wise?

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So the Ecosystem

Subcommittee will meet around this table. The

Commerce Subcommittee, we have a small table

to the side here. It's a small group. We only have two rooms, so since this is a large room, we can probably subdivide.

Then the Budget, Strategic Planning, Budget, and Program Management Subcommittee, the Bunker Hill Room across the hall to the left is another small room for that subcommittee.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay, and of course that subcommittee is going to talk about the budget priorities that we've been asked to advise the administration on. So I guess one of the things that we thought we might talk about is whether we need additional subcommittees, whether we need all the ones we have and so on.

So we don't have to decide that right now, but I do want you to be thinking about that. There may be a need, for example, what struck me when we were talking about outreach was that it might be a good idea to have an outreach and education subcommittee.

I know a lot of groups have them these days, because we've heard from Judy that they might use our help, and that they will be looking forward to our help in advising them.

So perhaps, it's just a thought, you might think about that.

Then we were also going to talk about the members-only part of the website,

Mark, and how you get onto it. So do you want to do that now or do you want --

MS. MORRIS: Sorry, I can't hear you down there.

CHAIR McCARTY: Sorry. When I turn my head, nobody can hear me. The members only part of the website, and I believe we were going to talk about how to get on it, but not on the record is what you said, right Mark?

DR. HOLLIDAY: Well, I think Josh will bring it up on the screen. It's the tab off of the MAFAC public website that says "Members Only." You click on that and it will

1 ask for a user name.

2.0

The user name for everybody is MAFAC. Then it will ask for a password, and we'll circulate a piece of paper with a password on it, so members of the audience and others who are not authorized users won't see the password.

But Josh will bring it up. You can see the content of it. As we described this morning, it has sort of reference documents, the action tracking table. It has -- well, you'll see for yourself. The various forms that we were talking about filling out this morning, blank forms for the confidential financial disclosure forms and the agent forms.

It's the same place that we had the notes for when were vetting the Rec Fish Working Group members. We were asking people to review their CVs. We posted them there, so they weren't necessarily -- they weren't available to the public.

We posted documents there with respect to catch shares, when we were looking at developing the catch share, set up comments on behalf of the committee. So we wanted some working space.

So the Members Only section is not to be secretive. It's just to provide you a workspace where documents and discussions for the committee. It's documents and reports and other paperwork, I guess, is the way to consider it. It's not a discussion section per se. It's all of our discussions that are on the record, and this is just reference material.

MR. STOLL: I don't think we're going to.

DR. HOLLIDAY: You have a comment.

CHAIR McCARTY: It's not working.

MR. STOLL: It didn't work for me

this morning.

CHAIR McCARTY: So anyway.

DR. HOLLIDAY: So we'll circulate

the password, and then again, the URL, the quickest way to tell you the URL is just to click on the tab off the Home page, where it says "Members Only." The last word, user name and the password. User name is MAFAC. It's not case sensitive, and we'll circulate the password around the table.

CHAIR McCARTY: Okay. Is there anyone else who would like to comment on the subcommittee process or the makeup of the subcommittees at this point?

MR. JONER: I have a question. I didn't hear this morning. A question was asked about, you know, internal where three of us are leaving the Commerce Subcommittee and the selection process for the new chairs. What did you say about that Mark? Is that something we do now or --

DR. HOLLIDAY: Well, Heather asked what the process was, and the current chairs were appointed by the Assistant Administrator to serve during their terms. So that would be

1 her -

about that a little bit at the last meeting, right at the end, I think. Of course, I actually thought the chairman could do that, but apparently not.

MR. JONER: The last person.

CHAIR McCARTY: We are going to have to appoint an acting chair for the Protected Resources Committee this time. Did you have a comment Martin? Martin, you had your hand raised earlier.

MR. MARTIN FISHER: No, I'm sorry.

CHAIR McCARTY: So we'll take a break and then reconvene in the subcommittees.

MR. JONER: And I see you have the end of our agenda on Thursday. It's the election of a chair.

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes.

MR. JONER: Are we going to have campaigning, where you have a primary and -

CHAIR McCARTY: Oh yes. We're

going to have buttons and the whole thing.

2.0

MR. JONER: Will we know before that time who's interested?

CHAIR McCARTY: Yes. Mark and I have talked about this today. I believe at the last meeting, there was a suggested process that Mark and staff developed, and that is going to be handed out tomorrow morning, I believe.

DR. HOLLIDAY: We have to find it.

CHAIR McCARTY: We have to find it. They have to find it. But that was, it seemed to me at the time that it was a good process, and I think we talked about it briefly at that time.

So that's going to be handed out to everybody tomorrow, so that everybody knows what to expect. Thanks for bringing that up.

So we're going to take a break and then reconvene in the various subcommittees.

(Whereupon, at 3:30 p.m., the meeting was adjourned to subcommittee sessions.)

A	accounting 101:15	322:11	206:11 237:5	324:15
	achieve 39:5,8	activity 72:17 75:1	318:19 325:12	afterthought 95:10
AA 212:16	40:21 218:1	86:15 222:11	administrative	agencies 23:21
ability 30:4 38:18	achievements	ACTs 39:8	177:6 194:12	136:16 218:15
141:13 225:5	101:22	actual 28:14 71:17	administrator	236:14 248:22
238:19 245:15	achieving 75:15	134:18 289:3	240:6,17 329:21	283:7 285:9,12
280:9,9 285:7	ACL 128:12 162:5	Act's 129:20	administrators	314:9
300:19	162:21 186:17	ad 83:8 84:4	222:5,21	agency 92:15 99:14
able 17:12 23:20	ACLs 39:3,8 40:1	adapt 56:15 138:10	adopted 72:6	107:10 131:5
25:1 35:12 39:21	42:22 186:19,22	318:17	201:17	132:9 133:21
69:20 90:14 97:19	213:20	adaptation 3:11	advance 7:22 74:2	134:14 135:6,22
128:13 129:4	acoustics 143:1,6	19:13 56:17 136:6	74:6 202:7	140:9 158:14
130:8 133:12	acre 312:20	316:9 317:10,10	advanced 131:10	170:9 176:11
160:14 167:16	acres 284:21	318:9,13,20	142:22 146:15	201:8 212:7,16
169:12 170:2,5	314:21	319:19 320:3,8,14	192:5	213:18 214:13,14
171:17 173:2,10	Act 44:13 100:19	adapting 24:7	advantage 317:12	221:17 224:11
174:16 175:14	117:14 129:2	ADCs 161:2	318:11	226:14,17 227:3
178:1,15,18	187:3 190:21	add 7:3 38:2 68:6	advantages 138:20	228:13 237:20
179:13 180:14	213:19 235:2	87:8 119:8 156:13	advice 81:18 84:19	241:5 254:1
181:2 182:2 199:2	249:5 290:7 296:7	214:11 261:20	85:3 119:12,13	255:16 262:10
219:12 224:9	296:8	276:20 294:14	130:9 131:2 138:6	283:6 290:12
235:18 236:19	acting 212:3 330:9	312:2	139:18,20 140:18	agency's 100:7
252:12 274:2	action 17:19 68:13	added 29:3 280:5	168:4	133:14
305:11 306:2,3	68:19 69:7 71:1,4	added-on 30:17	advise 296:5	agenda 3:2 4:14
aboard 174:7	72:22 73:11 74:6	adding 68:11	325:12	15:3 17:6,14,18
above-entitled 4:11	76:18 79:5,6,16	177:18 278:22	advising 326:4	19:14 20:10 36:20
absence 50:19	80:2,5,15,16 93:6	addition 189:13	advising 320.1	60:8 61:7,11,13
absent 106:18	95:21 149:22	194:9,14 195:22	84:9	65:1 66:15,16
absolute 216:14	150:1,3 186:7	310:13 321:19	advisors 62:9 74:15	67:2,8,9 68:7,9
absolutely 28:5 120:1 171:1	206:8 327:11	additional 127:15	238:12 253:13	95:6 97:4 211:11
absorb 195:10	actions 69:3,11,18	140:18 221:1	advisory 1:5,10	212:9 330:17
	70:11 74:10 75:2	243:2 252:9 253:4	105:7 155:9	agendas 199:18
absorbed 195:20 absorption 307:22	75:7 84:14 85:7	294:16 315:17	aerated 298:11,12	agent 176:8 182:12
abundance 141:2	87:13 95:8 102:3	325:14	aerobic 309:10	327:15
157:5,10,11,15	106:9 118:17	address 5:13 54:5	313:16	agents 177:15
157.3,10,11,13	170:7 187:17	56:14 66:4 74:13	aesthetic 299:13	182:14
250:8	225:12 296:3	117:5 222:12	affairs 72:11 75:6	aggressive 35:1
academic 128:3	active 56:3 155:19	241:15 254:15	305:18	aggressively
acceptance 116:13	180:11 199:22	addressed 91:19	affect 298:18,21	102:16
145:7	314:10	160:10 237:22	affinity 77:2	AGM 204:5
accepted 162:13	actively 25:14 71:6	addressing 21:8	afford 272:5	ago 11:15 17:21
323:7	119:16 200:2	53:18 180:2	afraid 23:3	39:14 73:4 107:7
access 10:17 41:9,9	activities 30:20	215:11 262:3	afternoon 19:16	120:9 124:5 169:4
accommodate	71:1 73:8 84:17	adjourn 19:19	64:1,15 97:5	170:17 172:14
259:19	194:1 195:8 196:4	adjourned 331:22	130:13 197:18	199:19 207:1
accomplish 175:14	206:13 220:20	administration 1:3	199:3 265:2,7	208:20 222:18
account 187:11	222:4 258:14	22:21 40:14 91:4	319:21 321:10	227:18 228:1
account 107.11				
	1		1	ı

	1	1	I	I
231:11 241:9	Alaskan 250:13	anaerobic 303:6,8	171:20 259:15	approaching
249:15 251:1	Alaska-Cook 223:4	310:17,18	appears 133:3	159:22 162:3
252:16 255:1	Alexander 1:15	analyses 134:9	157:17 160:18	appropriate 39:22
257:15 269:10	16:1,2 28:7 110:3	analysis 146:13	applications	42:11,13,19 96:19
agree 115:16	112:16 144:20	181:2 195:4	190:21	appropriation
238:15,16	145:12	animals 150:19	applied 152:15	198:9
agreed 18:12 304:8	align 25:4 99:6	anniversary 100:18	153:5 175:8	appropriations
agreed-to 305:19	aligning 258:17	103:6	apply 152:22 244:1	26:18
agreeing 132:8	alive 55:14	announced 73:10	287:8	approved 83:16
agreement 74:7	ALJs 177:9	announcements	appoint 63:20	201:12
188:16 196:18	allocate 207:22	102:22	330:9	April 187:2
238:9 250:14	allocated 170:19	annual 7:6 10:20	appointed 66:11	aquaculture 15:10
agreements 281:7	allocation 112:17	127:12 204:5,5	79:1 329:21	19:18 22:2 63:1,3
304:4 307:2	161:9,10	208:13	appointments	65:20,21 70:7,8,9
agrees 87:9	allocations 28:15	answer 26:22 117:2	83:20	70:21 71:8 72:7
agricultural 311:6	allow 142:11 143:2	142:16 178:1	appreciate 35:16	72:14,19 73:3,6
311:17,20	158:15 214:20,21	183:18 236:9	59:8 60:9 95:5,13	73:17 74:12,22
agriculture 274:8	215:13 235:5	242:20 252:10,11	98:21 101:1 107:8	75:5,9 76:8,11,12
278:17	275:17 285:14	292:1,6,15 295:16	109:22 118:1	76:19 78:6 92:17
Ah 297:9 314:2	299:12	296:1 297:16	119:7,7 120:1	92:21 97:1 100:12
ahead 12:19,20	allowed 64:21	303:17 310:19	165:8 168:19	116:7 118:9
24:17 28:3 31:1	alluded 89:15	314:3	176:4 183:17	122:22 147:15
80:13 100:9	240:19	answered 312:12	205:19 211:21	152:20 194:2,7,17
103:20 110:2	Alright 12:21 17:5	answering 244:21	260:21 315:4	217:10 256:12
118:10 141:17	alternate 90:3	309:14	appreciative 40:9	321:13,19 322:2,4
181:22 200:5	alternative 8:22	ANTHONY 1:16	approach 72:6,14	322:6,13,15
201:8 205:18	110:19 111:8	anticipate 317:4,6	72:18 108:14	architecture 76:13
220:9 229:22	162:14	anticipating 71:16	130:4 131:15,17	Arctic 136:12
233:4 242:17	altogether 4:4	201:2 304:22	131:20 132:10,12	137:3
245:4 247:21	78:20	anybody 199:9	132:14 133:12	area 34:13,14,19
297:3 312:11	amazing 136:15	210:4 239:11	148:8 156:6 157:1	35:21,22 36:12
319:10	amended 70:21	264:2 297:3	160:1 162:7	45:21 46:3 57:15
air 83:1 106:10	amending 44:12	anymore 187:15	212:17 215:10	58:16 70:1 85:10
274:11,18 276:2	Amendment 110:6	322:18 324:7	218:4 219:22	86:1 96:11 97:17
303:10	amendments 21:17	anyway 20:6 91:7	220:11,12 221:19	120:21 151:6,8
airplane 268:7	43:15 44:5,7	192:22 195:18	222:2 224:11	166:15 174:10,11
al 18:15	America 271:14	198:6 291:5	226:10,12,13	174:14 176:13
Alan 173:21 174:1	American 13:21	321:11 328:21	233:6 240:14	178:8 195:9 225:3
174:3,22 175:18	57:12 58:22	anyways 212:13	251:20 252:2	225:5,6 234:6
175:20	amount 36:11 43:2	apart 103:12 124:2	approaches 129:9	240:7,11,15,17
Alan's 175:2	118:12 146:17	APEC 59:21	131:7 132:7 134:5	241:3,14,14,15
Alaska 16:10,11	161:3 205:6 207:5	aperture 111:20	134:22 163:5	242:9,11 247:7
53:6 109:15 113:9	299:15 306:15	apologize 121:18	190:9 212:19	250:18 267:12,13
123:9,11,20 139:6	308:2	176:6	216:11,16 217:22	276:7,15 282:4,5
146:1 164:10	amounts 34:20,21	apparently 17:13	220:5 231:5	285:13 291:14
172:15,18 262:12	305:6	330:6	237:14,14,15	314:6 322:15
262:19 290:14	amplifying 102:21	appear 137:22	258:16	areas 130:15 158:3
	1	1		

150 10 10 10		1 150 15	1.000.150.10	101.15.101.15.11
172:19 194:10	50:8 124:13 127:9	audits 179:15	168:8 178:19	131:16 134:4,6,14
205:1 224:20	127:12 128:9	authorities 246:18	183:6 185:6 190:3	141:1 151:6 159:5
226:3 234:10,15	131:9 133:6 141:2	249:5 258:16	194:5 199:18	183:9 268:3
239:15,17,20	141:15,20 142:4,6	authorization 68:2	201:10 204:13	270:10 279:9,11
245:19 246:18,19	143:5 144:17,18	authorized 327:6	210:8,10,17	281:1 299:1 303:3
247:17,19 248:13	157:16 160:13	automatic 188:18	211:10 219:12	303:5,6 306:1,10
251:9 263:13	164:10 191:4,17	autonomous 147:3	242:5 255:3	307:8,10
305:3 307:14	192:6 226:20,22	availability 8:17	269:11 278:19	basis 119:20
argue 159:4	228:11	10:5 12:7	279:4,12 280:14	127:13 133:20
arguments 126:6	asset 43:18 179:5	available 10:12	288:7 295:6	157:14 293:3
Arlington 16:20	assigned 184:9	32:11 76:15	297:10 311:7,8	bathrooms 5:21
arrests 173:15	assignment 28:14	138:13 327:22	319:14	battle 260:18
Asia 277:11 284:13	assistance 43:22	avenue 1:11 283:17	backed 26:7	battles 259:15
aside 89:1 94:6	Assistant 55:15	284:1,2	backfilled 122:19	260:12
299:2	59:9 329:21	average 270:22	background 125:2	Bay 15:10 213:3,4
asked 29:1 34:6	associated 78:10	271:2,18 274:20	168:3 173:7	213:9 223:19,21
62:1 63:18 69:9	302:10 303:22	287:12	200:18 212:8	239:18 290:17
74:18 76:7 123:3	308:22	avoid 115:17	backside 6:3	beach 34:1 151:6
151:8 154:13	Association 15:8	aware 25:12 35:4	bad 137:10 189:16	beaches 34:19
214:5 222:5 233:3	assume 185:2	136:1,18 137:3	190:11 192:4	bear 43:10 126:3
242:18 253:18	assuming 18:18	150:1 248:18	194:16 289:4	172:21
282:19 295:5	assumptions 71:19	awareness 54:4	317:11	bears 136:19
325:12 329:14,19	300:18	100:6	balance 157:21	Beaufort 137:1
asking 10:12 37:20	Atlantic 16:20 39:2	awful 51:22 200:10	159:9 294:15	beautiful 278:14
61:17 85:1 156:3	137:14 147:22	259:13	balances 38:9	becoming 141:11
159:12 199:6	167:14 176:20	a.m 4:2,12,13	179:14	183:12
241:8 242:5	318:3		ball 89:1 277:1	bed 308:10
248:14 253:21	atmosphere 113:15	<u>B</u>	308:16 317:4	began 166:12
322:10 327:19	267:19 270:9	back 7:4,11,16,18	bang 258:18	177:18
asks 80:3 243:16	273:21 278:20	14:5 24:19 29:19	bank 250:10	beginning 18:1
aspect 266:1	279:1,16 280:15	30:9 42:16 46:11	304:20	61:14 67:22 141:3
aspects 164:19	286:5 287:5	50:7 61:13,21	bar 5:16	176:7 201:5 274:7
265:10 322:1	301:21 312:15	65:9 76:14 80:5	barely 165:12	285:13
assessed 68:10	ATMOSPHERIC	80:15 82:14 88:13	bargain 248:6	begins 35:13,14
assessing 319:16	1:3	89:9 93:8 106:9	barriers 228:20	begun 269:20
assessment 28:13	attempt 9:5	106:15 114:2	245:11	behalf 62:21 328:4
49:14 124:11	attend 5:17 9:19	119:11 122:2	Barry 207:8	behaving 224:8
125:3,4,5 134:3	10:2,11 89:19,21	123:1 126:15	base 187:8 188:14	behavior 163:10
137:21 139:1,9,13	attended 257:14	139:7 141:16	223:14	behavioral 230:4
139:16 160:12	attention 22:6 35:6	142:13,19 143:12	based 169:8 177:10	believe 7:5 105:2
163:20 164:2	53:11 107:17,18	143:21,22 144:19	224:20 244:12	171:11 199:19
190:6 191:5 195:8	119:15 183:13	145:20 146:6,17	272:6 304:20	200:1 208:19
226:17 227:17,19	221:20 268:18	147:5,5,14 148:20	basic 195:6	233:4 324:10
228:6 254:7	277:2 281:19	149:5 150:13,20	basically 6:1,18	326:15 331:5,9
282:19 320:7	attorneys 7:19	150:20,21 152:5	33:2 37:11 41:6	Beltway 229:5
assessments 29:1	180:10	157:2 161:18	50:18 116:10	benchmark 307:11
29:13 37:14 38:14	audience 327:5	162:17 164:20	124:5 128:1	benchmarking

203:8	120:8 121:13	201:3 212:8 222:1	book 38:10	210:14
beneficial 180:16	130:14 140:21	225:7 262:6	booked 12:13	briefed 222:20
benefit 27:5 61:1	141:8 142:10	273:16 298:4	books 38:7 144:8	250:22
81:15 84:22	141.8 142.10	300:21 308:16	144:10,11 291:9	briefing 3:9 35:8
153:20 184:17	150:12 160:16	310:5 317:4,7	305:21	78:8 94:7 186:3
benefits 25:17		321:15 330:3	borders 201:22	232:3 252:17
318:12	161:11,14 170:4		boss 51:21	
	180:21 190:5 212:1,7 214:7	bite 323:11		315:7
benthic 276:7 309:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	black 267:16 269:14 270:8	Boston 103:5 108:16 109:1	briefly 67.1 126.12
benthos 276:9	216:15,18 252:14 252:18,19 273:20	293:21	bothers 106:3	briefly 67:1 126:13 331:15
	278:12 281:22	blades 310:13		
Bering 123:13 136:14 139:2			bottom 72:16 140:22 147:7	bring 33:19 36:11 36:16 40:20 77:13
	289:19 290:20	blank 327:14 blocks 5:8		
161:1 best 25:4 45:12	293:17 295:11		190:15 268:4,6	78:19 93:5 152:11
	299:14 302:13	blue 3:11 19:12 231:1 257:11	269:3 280:10	183:13 236:14,16 253:14 326:20
72:4 93:13 94:22	304:19 310:1		283:9 308:11	
112:6 128:6 135:16 225:8	314:21 323:11 bigger 22:16	264:15 265:4 266:4,10,20	bought 219:21 231:12	327:8
240:16 247:10	00	, ,	boundaries 316:20	bringing 18:20
	biggest 112:19	267:12,13 269:14 272:16		35:6 92:7,17
311:16 better 8:21 26:15	173:12 180:3	· · · · ·	bowls 314:18 box 39:7,7	156:1 227:20 331:18
	205:3 212:2,5 bight 138:17	blueprint 3:10 19:5	boxed 57:16 58:1	Bristol 290:17
27:4,8 32:11,13	223:16	101:6 211:12,14		
32:13,17,21,22		212:1,14 216:10	boy 41:21 175:8,11 250:9	broad 102:12
36:18 40:5 49:14	bill 1:17 15:21	216:18 217:18,21		124:18 157:2
49:21 50:9,9 51:9	29:14,15,16	218:3 219:15	Brad 262:22	broader 84:15
51:16,18 59:15	115:21 117:20	220:6,16 221:8	Brady 2:7 199:12	218:10 224:11
100:3 102:2	119:6 188:17	222:8 224:12,14	199:15,15 206:5	322:7
108:18 112:10	189:15 191:1	226:11 231:8,13	208:12 209:15,22	broadly 60:18
114:10 123:20	192:4 197:13,13	232:8 233:5	brainstormed	255:15
128:9 131:12	197:16 249:12	238:18 243:6	245:7	brought 35:17 36:9
139:18,20 143:11	255:20 322:19 billions 284:7	249:3,17 251:4 259:12 261:22	branch 124:16,17	66:19 91:16
153:6 172:7 188:7			bread 30:16	119:14 184:14
193:14 205:11 207:5 208:3 226:5	bills 72:12 195:17 Billy 322:18	blueprints 229:11	break 5:18 12:22 18:17 19:15 50:5	brown 276:5,8 Bruce 2:8 13:22
	•	Blueprint's 212:12 221:5 235:3		
229:15 230:16 261:21 275:13	biodiversity 257:13 biological 124:20		97:5,6,10,10 154:16 320:22	14:2 18:12,13 120:2 154:18
278:2 289:15	295:8,8	bluntly 105:22 board 39:16 93:15	330:15 331:19	165:9 182:7,8
290:12 295:3	biologist 123:19	105:7 190:1 192:1	breaking 303:8	185:11
beyond 11:19	biology 225:2	192:3	310:19	brush 228:3
83:22 85:9 212:20	bit 9:13 35:4 67:15	boards 79:10	breakout 65:22	Bryant 2:8 14:7,7
212:21 214:12	91:12 96:21	108:21	Brian 2:10 19:6	322:14
212:21 214:12 215:1,13 225:21	104:16 106:4,9,13	boat 183:5 215:18	211:12 238:3	buck 114:20
249:4,9 322:2,13	104.10 100.4,9,13	boats 144:15 145:3	242:21 244:4	198:14 258:19
biannual 127:13	141:17 161:18	145:5	264:5	Buckson 2:8 14:1,2
big 5:4 9:10 41:2	165:20,21 168:13	Bob 78:8	Brian's 101:9	165:10,14 182:21
41:13 43:4,5 44:9	170:1 172:7	bodies 143:2	bridging 153:3	183:17 185:1,9,14
46:10 50:11 51:21	170:1172:7	BOEMRE 84:11	brief 67:10 76:15	209:2
75:16 106:2 118:1	200:6,17,18 201:1	bold 254:19	88:1 165:20	bud 115:18
/3.10 100.2 110.1	200.0,17,10 201.1	DUIU 434.17	00.1 103.20	Juu 113.10
			l	I

budget 3:9 18:15	bus 315:22	330:21	175:22 218:8	Cathy 13:4 63:13
18:16 22:17 23:5	business 3:4 6:21	Canada 47:1	266:11 275:5	323:12,19
23:17 28:11 44:19	68:12 77:13 89:7	canned 125:20	294:11	caught 38:4
46:18 64:18 90:11	109:11 142:9	capacities 244:15	career 123:9 158:9	cause 158:5
96:6 121:20	218:5,6 241:18	capacity 102:5	169:15	caution 121:7
126:13 127:1,20	263:11 266:14	193:10 214:11	careful 135:14	CCC 89:21 90:1
142:1 154:12	282:12 314:11	244:20 248:6	cares 51:22	91:15
186:3 187:18	317:9 322:1	253:1,7 255:9,11	Caribbean 63:11	cell 70:3,3 71:3
188:21 190:13	businesses 60:21	capital 166:15	Carmine's 5:3	center 28:20 38:6
196:12,15 197:19	butter 30:16	Capitalizing 103:4	19:21	40:19 55:5 63:6,9
197:20 198:4,6,16	butterfish 132:22	Capitol 1:11 20:7	Carolina 55:6	88:15 111:19
202:13 204:19	132:22 133:6,8,9	24:12	carousel 316:1	123:10,20 124:14
205:15 207:15,18	buttons 331:1	captivity 153:2	carry 252:12	127:10 137:9
207:22 208:2	buy 23:8	capture 161:19	319:21	138:11 139:6
209:1,3,5,9 242:4	buying 281:1,4	carbon 3:11 19:12	carrying 69:15	148:15 149:8
252:12,19 253:2	bycatch 48:18	231:1 257:11	cars 273:9	150:18,19 152:13
253:20 254:1	128:14 130:1	264:16 265:4	Carter 290:8	153:14 155:22
269:17,19 323:2	133:8 164:6,6	266:4,10,12,14,18	case 138:7 171:5	222:6 317:17
325:4,5,11	Bye 61:10	266:19,22 267:3,5	189:1 241:18	centers 49:17
budgeting 126:18		267:6,12,13,16,21	243:4 273:13	114:14 127:6,18
budgets 23:22	C	268:9,13,16,20	298:22 329:6	127:22 128:4
26:15 314:17	C 1:20 4:1 202:17	269:11,13,14,14	cases 127:5 141:12	130:7 139:17
budget-wise 49:20	cadastre 62:2	269:14,17,19	158:19 160:4,5,8	143:19 147:2
build 8:1 124:5	87:22 88:4	270:8,12 273:18	164:11 295:7	149:3 221:12
176:16 180:4	cadre 41:8	273:20,21 274:2	casual 85:1	222:9 229:7 255:8
190:3 207:18	cafeteria 201:21	274:10,19 275:4	catch 21:3,14 28:12	centimeters 309:9
213:17 216:6,9	calculation 283:11	275:20 276:11	29:7 39:4,4,13,15	central 219:7
232:18 250:15	calendar 198:6	277:2,22 278:6,18	40:15,20 41:11,19	centralized 100:8
258:8 259:20	California 15:8	278:21 279:4,7,13	43:17 44:8 48:2	century 157:7
275:17 283:22	32:15,20 130:18	279:15,18 280:7,8	110:12,17 131:21	267:14
302:13	157:4 158:18,20	280:12,16 281:1,2	132:2,6 162:4	ceremony 103:8
building 102:4	223:16 246:9	281:4,12 282:3,12	211:6 297:20	certain 30:12 32:3
129:22 230:11	307:12	282:15 283:1,15	328:2,3	36:11 107:8,9
241:17 251:7	call 13:1 28:3 64:6	283:19 284:9,22	catching 41:1	151:8,9 157:20
built 139:8 216:4	88:20 96:22	285:2,7,21 286:2	categories 70:4	163:1 169:14
219:15 278:6,18	120:13 201:19	293:21 297:6	195:3 207:19	171:20 222:11
bulk 214:14 226:18	262:16 299:21	298:3,6 299:7,15	category 298:17	243:11,12 247:6,7
bullet 159:14	called 21:10 124:10	301:21 303:1,7	Cates 1:15 16:21	250:18 299:20
bunch 280:7 298:8	141:19 142:20	304:14,20 305:10	16:21 33:10,13,16	301:2
Bunker 325:6	318:19	306:3,12,15	33:17 35:18 36:6	certainly 118:18
bureaus 74:17	calls 120:16	307:22 308:18	36:9 75:19 76:1	162:4 172:18
buried 276:3 309:6	calm 124:1	309:15 311:3,7,19	77:10 115:22	176:17 197:9
309:16	camera 48:8	312:15 313:6,8	117:7 120:2 150:5	299:19
burn-overs 303:12	campaign 100:12	314:11,14,17	151:2 152:8	certainty 31:8
burrowers 309:8	101:4 102:11,13	315:1,17	153:16 286:13,19	certified 168:21
bury 276:3	104:6	cards 77:13	286:22 287:7	281:13
burying 276:8	campaigning	care 54:18,19 173:3	288:6,11 322:19	cetera 11:11

chain 79:12 114:1	chairman 301:18	charter 144:15	294:18 296:21	135:3,9 206:14
chair 1:11,14 4:3	330:5	191:19	323:21	coalesce 148:20
4:15 12:16,20	chairs 11:18 66:2,8	charting 25:1	clarified 90:18	coast 41:14 120:8
13:15,22 14:4,9	329:16,20	chat 205:20	clarify 72:14 90:10	124:3 134:17
14:15,22 16:5,9	challenge 21:15	Chatwin 1:16	242:16	137:4 157:18,19
16:10 17:3 18:22	31:9 52:17 57:8	15:11,11 249:13	clarifying 106:9	174:7 177:9 181:6
19:8 20:9 27:20	206:6 240:22	251:18 252:15	Clean 290:6 296:8	269:8 301:5
28:2 33:12 49:9	249:20	323:4,21	clear 83:1 85:14	317:15
61:3,6,12 62:22	challenges 25:15	cheaper 153:6	136:11 185:2	coastal 54:10 55:5
63:10 65:11 66:6	101:3,5 104:9	check 8:17 10:4	229:22 252:18	63:6,8 87:19
66:12 68:3 72:1	205:3 241:15	150:21 208:12	270:21 288:18	133:18 202:5
77:5,21 83:4,7,15	chance 8:16 58:20	checked 8:9 149:12	298:12	206:3 219:9
84:1,5 87:4,8,10	81:15 113:3	150:16	clearer 123:4	259:17 266:4,10
89:21 92:9,12	200:10,11 201:12	checks 38:9 179:13	clearing 106:10	268:1,8,18 274:14
94:9,13 95:1	208:2	chemist 303:5	clearly 50:16 80:3	274:18 275:5,10
96:14 97:9 98:3,7	change 24:7 52:20	Chesapeake 213:3	85:13 136:2 142:1	275:19,22 276:6
98:12,18 110:1	53:1 55:20,21	213:3,9 223:19,21	189:18 206:11	276:16 277:12
113:2,5,8 115:20	91:3,4,5 94:3	239:18	clerical 183:4	278:9 281:10,12
119:8 121:14	120:4 130:3,6,9	Chicago 302:12	click 326:22 329:3	281:18 282:13,17
123:22 145:15,19	131:8 135:8,11,12	chief 13:13 36:21	climate 3:11 19:12	283:13 284:12
148:11,18 150:22	135:14,18 141:6	124:9 125:8 155:1	24:7 52:20 53:1	286:1 291:17,18
154:4,7 156:21	163:10 217:19	155:8	53:12,13,15 55:20	292:17 295:18
161:16 165:4,8,12	218:4 229:8 230:3	China 58:16,17	55:21 130:6,9	299:6,8 300:13
185:7,10,17	266:2 294:21	59:4,21 60:2,3	131:8 135:8,11,12	coastline 53:5
186:10,13 199:8	306:16,19 316:18	294:4	135:13,18 138:14	56:16 276:19
199:14 205:21	316:22	choices 72:20	139:15 140:7,12	coasts 316:18
207:7 208:5,8,21	changed 7:1 46:1	243:14 272:14,16	141:6 202:3	cod 137:14,20
209:8,21 210:2,18	68:17 94:21 230:2	chosen 242:19	244:18 265:14,17	coincided 93:4
211:3 233:7	277:16,18 287:7	245:20	266:2 269:21	coincident 77:7
234:20 239:11	changes 6:15 44:19	Christmas 199:7	294:21 316:12	coincidental 12:8
242:17 245:4	57:5 64:22 152:2	Chukchi 137:1	319:18 320:2,7,8	collaboration 56:9
247:21 249:11	158:5 230:4 316:2	chunk 267:11,22	320:14	248:4
255:19 259:8	318:18	circle 37:17 145:20	climate-based	collaborations
262:22 263:16	changing 31:10	148:20	139:5	248:9,17
264:1,10,14,20	316:11	circulate 327:4	climate-ready	collaborative 56:10
286:10,17 288:9	channels 102:14	328:22 329:6	265:21 316:14,15	collapsed 116:19
295:4 297:1	chaos 125:11,13	circumventing	316:16	colleague 303:4
301:16 312:4,10	characterize 35:2	155:12	close 8:22 48:16	305:18
313:11 315:5,13	charge 124:15	citations 170:11	87:12 121:2	colleagues 200:11
319:9 320:19	176:8 182:13	city 56:13,15	205:17 210:14	collect 142:12,18
322:9,16,20 323:5	212:14,15 213:16	CJS 197:13	213:21,22 292:22	226:21
323:9,20 324:3,6	charged 215:8	Clampitt 1:16 16:3	closed 107:9,10	collecting 147:6
324:10,19 325:9	charging 49:5	16:3 46:15,16	closely 42:7 248:19	collection 38:1
326:13 328:18,21	289:13	47:20 48:5,20	closer 188:11	155:13 156:1,5
329:8 330:2,8,9	Charleston 223:18	161:17 162:16	clubs 79:10	288:19
330:14,18,19,22	239:17 259:16,18	163:18 164:16	CMSB 85:14 88:6	collective 225:11
331:4,11	chart 187:9 273:15	165:1 289:7 294:2	CMSP 134:20	collectively 106:19
			l	

anlana 266:21	210.11 210.5	10.10.20.22.62.20		
colors 266:21	310:11 319:5	19:18 29:22 63:20	competitive 59:17	conditions 139:8
Columbia 158:17	320:4	64:10,18 65:20,21	59:17	222:12 303:8
combination 72:17	comment 28:8 30:8	73:17 78:19 80:3	complement	conducting 6:21
177:5 234:22	34:11 40:7 88:10	83:8 84:3,4,16	258:14	conference 67:14
come 4:9 12:21	95:5 109:9 149:12	85:4 86:10,12,15	complete 215:16	88:20 90:7,22
17:13 19:21 58:20	152:5,5 183:21	86:20 87:5,9 88:7	292:21	120:9 257:14
65:9 74:13 90:6	221:1 299:11,16	89:18,21 93:10	completed 75:2	confidential 327:14
93:8 94:10 107:21	328:17 329:9	200:2 263:17,18	completely 116:19	configurations
108:7 122:4	330:11	264:3,8 322:12	176:2	178:17
126:15 127:11	commented 220:22	323:3 328:4,9	compliance 43:22	conflict 7:8 12:1
131:9 147:14	comments 27:16	330:10	168:1,6 170:6,14	34:4 259:21
149:11 164:1	42:21 81:5 97:11	committees 65:3	173:13,16 178:2	conflicts 6:9 8:9,10
173:7 191:11,21	105:18 113:2	75:15 84:19 263:6	178:10 181:20	8:12 11:10 30:3
194:13 198:5	149:15,19 204:14	321:4 committee's 322:5	318:15,21	30:21 31:1,6,19
199:10 215:10	210:3 259:10		complicated 6:17	260:6
221:14 222:6	286:11 297:5	committing 10:11 common 113:10		conform 163:11
237:6 249:8 258:5	328:3		complications 42:2 42:4	confusing 149:1
264:7 269:11 270:12 292:9	commerce 1:1 19:19 59:18 60:1	communication 79:7,8,13 84:15	42:4 compliment 117:22	Congress 22:22 26:14 32:2 33:9
308:7		, ,	185:15 205:11	44:9 188:16
	64:20 68:22 70:14	110:15 112:11		
comes 4:21 65:3	96:21 321:12,16	communications	component 96:7	198:12,14 244:12
114:2,12 142:19	321:21 322:7,8,12	3:5 13:18 14:8	components 73:21	255:1 318:18
164:20 183:6	324:22 329:15	18:7 44:2 89:20	comprise 81:12	Congressional
213:2 235:6	commercial 16:2	98:16 99:4,7,8	comptroller 179:8	135:10 166:16
256:22	16:11,15 28:9	101:11,18 102:5	comptroller's	186:7
comfortable	37:9 46:8 116:7	111:18 119:2	179:18	connection 213:8,9
261:15	117:8 137:5,10	211:17	computer 95:17	219:20 250:7
coming 4:10,16	138:4 139:4 152:2	communities 25:21	219:1	253:17 254:5
13:2 14:16 15:1,2 19:11 25:19 29:6	158:22 159:2 164:13 169:20	55:7 103:18 104:3	concedes 235:12	connections 213:11
		215:1,22 216:21 219:9	concentration 271:6	213:13 conscious 42:6
33:18 37:8 53:10 59:4 60:22 61:4	178:9 289:22 322:8	community 182:16		conscious 42:0 consensus 8:2
66:2 67:3 73:16	commission 8:11	241:19 249:9	concept 218:20 235:4	
	10:20 12:2 53:15			consequence 53:1
98:15,19 106:1 107:6 109:14	53:16 166:3	256:20,21 263:7 263:15 309:1,6	concepts 220:16 222:8 232:16	consequences 54:8 conservancy 15:15
112:7 121:16	176:20 180:6	companies 273:10	238:17	292:20
122:2 124:13,14	commissioner	companies 273.10 comparable 276:22	conceptual 251:4	conservation 166:2
125:7 127:17	103:9	312:20	conceptual 231.4	166:3 168:12,22
134:10 138:21	commissions 11:9	compare 313:21	249:17	169:10,17 170:1
139:7 143:4,21,22	59:12 167:13	compared 58:6	concern 57:14 58:2	193:1,5,13 212:4
146:6 147:9	168:9,11	277:10	59:5 100:22 203:6	218:1,7 223:5
157:12 198:3,14	commitment	compares 194:3	268:11	228:18 229:1
213:21 239:10	103:11	comparing 243:6	concerning 172:2	230:17 231:4
244:12 273:9	committed 178:6	comparing 243.0 comparison 59:2	concluding 137:20	234:12 237:17
284:8 288:14	184:1 273:3	277:4	condition 225:10	246:3,19 249:22
290:21 292:12	committee 1:5,10	competing 25:3	234:13 251:8	255:14 257:8
296:2,4 298:6	6:6 11:6 19:17,17	58:16	298:1 310:17	265:11 275:16
270.2,4 270.0	0.0 11.0 17.17,17	50.10	270.1 310.17	203.11 273.10
			l	I

	I		I	I
280:17 282:13	212:12 217:6	conversations	142:5 155:15	269:15 270:2,17
285:19 286:7	218:10,13 240:22	119:10 221:9	174:8 192:3,15	272:1,2 275:21
305:3,5,6,15	269:12 271:22	convey 72:12	215:9 221:10	276:14 279:14
315:16	301:15	Cook 260:3	222:19 231:12	293:17,21 303:14
conserve 217:3	continual 171:12	cooperation 7:17	262:13 316:19	311:7 323:19
282:8 284:12	continue 25:2,6,11	cooperative 194:20	councils 72:8	325:10 330:4
conserving 214:19	30:19 52:15 53:17	coordinate 99:7,14	106:15 129:10,14	court 108:18
consider 40:15	55:1,17 59:14	181:5 229:14	140:17 161:12	cover 7:2
41:17 149:17	60:4 69:13 76:15	262:17	163:3 167:12	coverage 48:12
282:15 315:9	83:21 135:3,6	coordinated 148:3	168:8,11 180:6	161:20 162:6,8,10
328:11	148:1 172:3	coordination 59:16	196:5 229:20	162:18 163:9,14
considerable 205:5	181:22 182:2	89:18 232:1 249:8	230:4	covered 233:10
207:4	278:16 292:7	296:7	council's 11:6	covering 78:18
considerations	316:17	coordinator 265:14	162:12	CO2 271:6 272:22
216:6	continued 61:11	coordinators 78:11	counsel 81:18	287:5,22 288:1,2
considering 136:20	287:13 288:19	78:21 79:5,20	84:20 177:5	291:3,5,7 303:15
259:2	292:8 306:20	80:5,13 81:7 82:9	count 183:5	crack 249:21
consistent 70:17	continues 140:9	82:19	countering 116:15	crawfish 161:21
71:12 103:17	178:2 187:3	cop 168:18	counting 110:13	311:1,6
204:13 271:5	279:13	copied 69:1	countries 58:13,19	create 37:20
consistently 102:14	continuing 24:5,7	copies 220:8	294:5 304:8,22	249:21
constantly 235:21	64:14 125:11	292:11	305:12 307:2	created 40:10 76:7
constituency 81:12	171:14 187:20	coral 193:8 223:11	country 21:5,15	77:9,22 231:22
constituents 221:21	292:3,4	235:8 251:10	22:12 27:9 31:13	creating 34:13
Constitution 32:1	continuum 308:17	corals 50:13,14,17	35:20 78:12	37:13 115:2
constraining	contracted 177:6	51:6,14 217:4	104:18 118:7,15	220:14
113:14	contractors 189:9	251:13	171:21 177:19	creation 77:8 83:17
consult 296:4	189:17	corner 100:12	195:13 246:20	credible 109:2
consultants 2:1	contribute 232:7	102:11 104:6	248:9 281:17	credit 281:2 302:16
263:10 324:11	contribution	187:10 214:4	292:21 302:14	304:1 306:13
consultation 31:2	303:22	corporations 281:3	308:1	credits 304:21
79:19 190:6,20	control 54:12	302:9	couple 23:18 39:14	315:1
236:21 237:19	158:12,21 272:17	corps 171:22	44:4 50:2 60:11	criminal 177:15
298:20	294:5	correct 66:9 71:18	66:14 87:12 113:5	crisis 115:19
consultations	controlling 159:1	84:3 87:14	123:2 142:17	criterion 199:3
229:14,16,17	controls 26:4,8	correlate 288:16	148:21 161:12	critical 30:1 32:9
246:21	40:2 273:6	cost 23:15 243:2	166:6 175:6	149:11,16,21
consultative 295:7	controversial 27:14	costs 29:4,5 195:13	179:22 184:11	164:12 167:22
consulting 11:8	35:5,10	council 8:10 9:9	209:10,19 257:14	228:15 236:3
contact 80:12 87:1	controversy 150:10	11:1,14,18,20	259:9 277:6	259:11 284:15
120:6	151:10	40:13 42:16 49:15	291:10 297:5	285:8 291:22
container 259:20	convened 228:7	58:4 72:6,17	course 16:5 51:2	criticism 179:7
content 79:17	convergence	89:18 106:7,9,14	67:4 69:4 82:11	234:8
245:1 327:9	224:20	106:14,17,19	82:15 97:18	critters 136:22
contentious 33:20	conversation 26:20	112:20 114:12	154:18 263:9,15	croaker 138:3
context 22:16	44:14,16,22 234:3	123:12,15,15	266:14,15 267:13	318:3
102:2 116:2	269:13	129:12,15,15	268:12 269:3,12	crop 274:13
		, - , -	, -	
	ı	I	ı	ı

216.20	27.16.16.20.20.1	11142.10.11	D	11127.11
crossing 316:20	37:16,16,20 38:1	dealer 143:10,11	Deepwater 217:13	depends 137:11
cross-cut 198:20	38:5,13,18 40:6	dealing 18:4 54:14	deep-sea 193:8	189:10 251:20
cross-procedural	76:3 87:20 88:3	100:1 113:18	defense 242:4	272:1 297:22,22
115:13	134:9 135:7	114:18 130:17,18	defensible 205:15	298:10 308:18
crucial 131:4 140:3	139:15 142:12,13	131:6,22 135:11	defies 109:16	depletions 157:8
crystal 317:3	142:14,18,18,20	140:5 159:6	define 207:5	deployed 99:22
cultivation 308:2,5	143:9,9,10,11,21	173:20 182:10	251:22 252:1	177:17
curious 10:21	143:22 144:19	201:9 246:14	defined 50:16	depressing 273:12
29:20 30:8 35:18	146:6,17,18 147:6	256:16 323:18	203:3 261:11	deputy 59:9 70:6
46:12 288:6	155:13,14 156:1,5	deals 97:2	defines 317:10	150:17 166:5
Curiously 76:6	158:10,13 161:19	dealt 95:11	definitely 237:1	184:7
current 233:15	164:1,5,12,20	dear 213:1	247:14,15 260:22	describe 233:10
237:12 287:4	287:2,3,5 288:14	debate 121:4	definitions 207:3	244:1
288:14 291:13	288:19 289:3	206:10 272:3	307:13	described 48:19
329:20	data-poor 141:5	debt 188:14,17	definitive 31:4	222:21 244:4
currently 41:1	date 9:4,16,16 77:5	196:19	defray 29:5	255:7 327:9
83:14 92:15	93:20 94:22 176:5	December 118:21	degraded 225:6	design 42:20
253:14 322:17	181:15 274:7	320:4	degree 124:21	157:22
curve 140:15	dates 8:2,6,14,18	decent 5:12	163:1	designated 2:4
287:16,17	8:22 9:2	decide 39:21 42:14	degrees 271:19	234:15 236:4
curves 285:6	daunting 21:18	132:5 189:11	delay 115:12	designation 78:2
cut 26:15 195:5,14	26:13	196:22 198:12	delayed 113:21,22	282:21
195:16 196:2	Dave 16:14	325:17	deliver 103:16	designations
cuts 22:17 28:11	DAVID 1:22	decided 112:17	delivering 102:14	233:13,21
44:19 189:22	day 10:1 46:21 49:7	decision 91:17,21	delivery 227:10	desire 46:11
190:5,19 191:7,8	61:14 94:10 100:1	106:17 198:15	247:4 255:22	desires 250:15
191:9 193:6	103:7 108:18	decisions 32:12	delta 279:11	despite 25:15
cutting 290:5 311:4	188:10 214:13	114:8 128:5 198:2	311:11	128:16 137:22
CVs 327:20	242:4 262:15	218:10 247:12	deltas 278:12,14	destruction 277:17
cycle 93:1 201:5	296:12	256:10	democracy 30:14	detail 131:13
266:19,20,22	days 11:15 60:11	decision-maker	demonstrate 226:3	181:14 222:22
267:21 285:2	60:19 110:13,14	10:22	241:13,16,22	231:17 232:19
286:3 310:5	149:14 326:2	decision-making	242:3	detailed 174:1,3
311:19	DC 1:11	90:12 113:12	demonstrating	179:10 253:11
cycling 314:12	deadline 43:4	218:12	250:3	details 73:20
D	213:20	decline 127:19	demonstration	179:17
	deal 11:1 45:7	136:13	261:13,21	determinations
D 1:14 3:1 4:1	52:22 63:13 65:21	decrease 139:12	department 1:1	235:12
damage 217:13	115:12 125:13	dedicated 145:9	25:7 74:9,11,15	determine 96:19
283:12	127:20 129:21	146:19,21 172:1	75:6 86:7 294:8	225:16 250:17
damaged 278:8	132:1 133:16	175:3	depend 51:4 164:8	252:6
298:10	135:21 140:17	deep 246:7 251:10	188:20 204:4	determined 242:9
Damanaki 103:9	157:8 161:6,11,14	251:12	dependence 302:11	243:1
Dana 324:6	161:15 169:16	deeper 259:19	dependent 143:9	determining
Darby 64:2	216:14 222:14	317:21	244:11 255:7	250:19
darn 286:2	236:1,8,20 286:4	deeply 100:22	depending 242:14	detritus 309:5
data 26:7 29:6	289:19	245:20	300:11	develop 31:13 73:3
L				

	I	I	I	I
79:2,5,11 81:14	303:2	directed 69:7	80:21 85:20	180:18 181:19
81:21 82:9 118:14	dictates 31:20 52:5	253:15	280:11 328:8,12	183:10,15 197:1
136:6 148:1 190:9	difference 225:11	direction 47:5	displacing 303:7	210:11 225:7,18
246:9 281:22	233:11 242:13	119:5,15 133:15	dispute 53:20	226:13,22 227:3
318:13	differences 73:20	238:13 244:7	disseminate 115:3	228:9,22 230:16
developed 79:18	different 8:18 24:8	257:22 287:16	dissemination	245:10 246:21
87:18 93:17	39:20 42:1 48:4	directions 5:14	195:4	254:20 262:6
102:15 123:14	71:9 73:9,9,22	70:18 71:19	distraction 106:2	263:5 264:11
139:6 167:16	78:10 116:1,20	directly 29:19	distress 153:1	265:10 277:10
203:7 216:12	118:15 125:1	88:15 99:13	distribute 37:12	289:11,15 298:22
233:14 281:11	129:11 131:20	178:11 200:12	distributed 38:6	dollar 109:10
284:21 331:7	132:1,6 133:16,17	director 11:7 13:18	118:15	dollars 192:19
developer 85:5	140:7 142:17	14:2 70:6 77:19	distribution 79:9	226:16 280:21
developing 59:4	143:13 148:17	150:17 153:14	137:6 138:8 181:3	284:7
79:8 86:6 143:1	153:9 159:21,21	175:17,18 184:8	district 156:15	dolphin 157:18
146:13 206:8	161:4 165:17	212:3	disturbed 285:4	domain 126:20
212:14 294:5	168:13 170:2	directors 166:5	divided 202:9	Dominic 11:12
319:17 322:4	175:6 215:11	222:6	237:20	Don 178:9
328:3	226:5 239:19	dirty 302:12,16	division 36:21	Doodle 10:15
development 25:10	242:13 258:15,15	disagree 289:14	124:9,11 176:9	door 5:22
57:14 58:9,18	258:15,16 266:21	disaster 45:13,14	183:22 184:10,14	Doremus 199:20
59:1,2 75:12	272:14,14 276:15	disasters 45:7,9	divisions 246:20	doubled 270:4,4
84:12 186:17	295:20 308:16	discard 162:11	DOC 70:9 74:12,16	doubt 29:13 183:19
195:7 233:20	311:21	discharged 50:1	74:18,20 93:12	downhill 290:11
278:16 295:11,19	differently 31:21	disclosure 327:15	dock 183:6 191:12	dozen 8:14
318:20	143:14 159:22	discrepancies	document 71:17	Dr 4:22 11:4 12:12
developments 76:5	224:8,9 253:3	256:3	73:14,15 94:17	12:18 13:12 16:7
development's	262:6 275:22	discretion 235:17	201:11 204:7	18:21 21:4 24:11
290:3	difficult 21:14,19	discuss 60:18 65:3	documents 207:6	27:19 36:19 47:6
dewater 278:16	29:12 42:20 89:12	67:1 86:13 93:12	282:20 327:11	47:22 48:14 51:22
dewatered 278:8	108:2 186:17	discussed 65:12	328:1,8,9	55:14 60:2,16
298:2	187:6 196:10	67:13 86:12 92:6	Doerr 323:4 324:7	62:8,17 63:8
dewatering 278:15	256:16 259:15	236:7	doing 7:12 10:10	66:10 67:19 68:15
310:15	260:15 291:12	discussion 18:16	17:21 20:18 21:2	68:21 72:2 75:21
Dewey 1:17 15:20	317:6	33:17 36:20 37:4	21:2 24:3,18 25:8	76:2,10 77:7,11
15:21 29:16,17	difficulty 138:12	43:12 46:8 60:3	33:4 41:6 44:1	77:16,18 78:1,4
95:4 117:21 144:9	diffuse 56:12	61:22 62:2,6	45:17 47:20 51:16	83:6,13,16 84:2,6
144:12 255:21	dig 226:11 250:9	63:15,17 64:6,17	62:4 103:15	87:7,11 91:14
258:20 259:1	diggers 309:7	64:22 67:7 70:13	104:10 105:16	92:5,10,13 94:11
322:19	diligently 248:8	86:19 96:12 97:5	111:13,20 112:13	94:15 95:22 97:8
diagram 202:15,18	dimension 104:8	126:11,13 194:9	120:14,21 122:16	98:10 108:8
219:2	diminish 297:7	194:15 214:15	125:22 127:5,12	118:21 121:17,22
diagrams 200:21	dinner 5:2 19:20	230:10,12 231:19	134:9,15 142:9	122:10,12 124:1
dialogue 90:5	99:3 210:15	231:20 233:20	144:20 145:4	144:10,16 145:1
106:11 232:21	dioxide 270:13	234:1 237:7,9,12	146:20 155:20	145:18,20 148:13
294:9	direct 88:13 213:4	253:6,10 328:11	157:13 160:10,13	148:19 150:16
diamonds 301:22	254:2 259:21	discussions 56:21	170:14 178:6	152:4 153:7,21

154.6 155.2 6	Decel- 200 1.17 15.12	259.0.264.2.4	offorts 20.2 44.1	ombress 56,17.10
154:6 155:3,6	Dyskow 1:17 15:13	258:9 264:3,4	efforts 30:2 44:1	embrace 56:17,18
156:7,14,18 158:7	15:13 259:9	265:1 315:8 319:2	69:12 99:7,14	emergency 5:21
159:20 162:1,22	261:14 262:21	319:20 323:10	100:13 101:21	6:2 173:2
164:8,17 165:3,5	D.C 11:16 63:7	324:16,20	104:8 117:22	Emily 84:8
165:7 204:8	229:5	ecosystems 148:8	218:1 220:11	Emirate 82:7
208:10 209:15		263:17 295:1	224:15 225:22	emission 272:17
232:16 237:11		ecosystem-based	226:1,2,18 234:9	273:7,8,13
238:7 242:15,18	E 3:1 4:1,1 211:1,1	129:9 131:7,15	243:20,21 244:14	emissions 270:12
247:20,22 251:14	earlier 89:15 99:5	132:13 157:1	257:4 259:18	272:18,18 273:6,9
254:13 255:5	123:3 204:16	206:2	260:4,13	287:19,19,22
319:11 321:20	330:12	Ed 34:10 323:4	EFH 50:22 229:15	293:20 307:3,6
322:10 324:1,4,9	early 31:6 94:10	324:7	233:12,21 234:7	313:12
324:18,20 326:19	157:5 248:16	edge 301:6	234:10 246:21	emitters 278:21
328:17,22 329:19	260:19	editorial 79:10	eight 124:8 188:21	emphasis 248:3
331:10	ears 22:11	108:21	194:4 196:16	322:3
draft 72:13 79:18	easier 207:17	EDM 206:13	265:12	emphasize 24:16
204:9 208:16	easily 219:13	educating 289:21	EIS 31:1	emphatically
231:13 320:4	247:18 279:19	education 325:22	either 11:21 12:7	269:21
drafted 88:7	east 41:13 57:22	Edwin 1:18 15:18	75:5 83:20 113:20	empowered 117:6
drafting 231:18	124:14 157:18	EEZ 72:20 245:22	127:12 129:22	empowering 115:4
dramatic 289:5	317:15	246:4 248:2,11	136:5 145:6 147:6	115:14
292:21	eastern 299:4	249:4	162:6 163:12	enable 10:2
dramatically	easy 117:13	EEZs 57:17	169:19 207:17	enabling 234:5
317:20	eaten 309:17	EFA 282:20	267:3,5 295:20	enacted 188:22
draw 55:11 254:5	Ebisui 1:18 15:18	effect 7:13 133:9	313:21 314:18	190:14 192:10
dredge 259:18	15:19 36:7 323:4	139:3 152:6 245:3	elaborating 248:1	196:7,18
dredged 298:4	324:7	297:6 299:14	election 91:3 198:7	enclosures 50:22
dredging 297:17	EBM 207:3,5	303:15	198:12 330:18	encourage 5:17
dress 155:2,10	ecologist 265:8	effective 47:15	elections 91:12	116:20 152:9
drier 314:6	ecology 158:9	206:20 244:19	electronic 46:20	153:17 264:2
drinking 54:11	225:2	279:7 292:2	142:15 144:1,5,11	encroachment
drive 104:15	economic 149:18	effectively 41:21	145:21 146:4	260:8
253:11	164:19 224:21	47:1 102:21	161:19	endangered 36:21
driven 129:3	economics 149:17	104:16	electronically	217:2,10 235:2,20
153:11 219:16	266:13	effects 26:3 135:18	143:16	316:6
266:17 281:7	economies 275:17	158:14 294:21	element 80:22	ends 35:14 152:22
drivers 85:14 127:3	economists 283:2	efficiencies 194:12	elements 73:15	188:22
243:12,13 304:6	299:22	efficient 207:14	103:5 157:20	energies 73:7
drives 230:3,4	ecosystem 55:13,22	209:13 226:5	elevate 54:3	energized 25:16
drop 112:15 235:8	56:7 64:16 86:12	274:10	eliminate 8:12	energy 31:13 53:15
drowns 299:8	87:5 96:9 101:5	efficiently 205:10	Ellen 80:1	53:15 84:9,13
Dunn 64:2 81:7	123:18 133:11,12	effort 9:11 11:5	elusive 89:8	85:5,13,16 86:8
184:9	134:5,21 148:12	40:2 67:19 101:11	email 7:22 10:17	86:16,22 96:2,8
duplicate 205:10	157:14,20,21	181:9 206:17	92:20 95:17 122:2	97:3 302:11,15
duplication 181:8	159:9 203:16	212:21 219:19	emailed 68:16	304:1
dynamics 285:2	218:13 243:17	291:16 296:10	embark 204:17	enforced 293:9
311:19	257:4,8,17,19	318:13	embodied 79:15	enforcement 3:8
	1	1	1	1

14:3 43:19 44:3,5	242:1 266:17	essential 34:2,13,22	300:22	expectation 255:10
44:8 48:2,18	307:8 314:12	50:12,14 52:8	evidences 203:10	expected 42:11,12
102:3 165:13,22	entirely 311:12	90:15 151:13	203:17	251:8 287:18
166:4 167:10,12	entities 218:17	193:10 237:18	evolution 214:16	289:6
167:18,20 168:12	230:5 236:15	245:10,13	evolution 214.10 evolve 105:4	expecting 179:22
168:14,19,21	entrain 284:10	essentially 201:15	exactly 29:7 110:7	expecting 179.22 expensive 23:8
169:1,11,17 170:1	entry 85:16	257:6 299:9	166:20 178:5	experience 166:11
170:7,9,18 171:3	environment 100:2	establish 105:6	216:14 296:2	169:7,12
170.7,9,18 171.3	105:14 114:19	220:9 224:14	297:21 302:2	experiences 27:6
172.0,10 170.12	125:17 197:22	established 99:5	examining 229:5	experiences 27.0
177:22 180:2	306:13,16	257:16	example 55:18 56:8	147:3
181:4,13 182:11	environmental	establishes 92:15	76:19 102:7 120:7	expert 306:6 307:1
184:3,3 192:16	21:8 32:9 116:8	establishing 149:9	128:17 132:19	expert 300.0 307.1 expertise 263:12
enforcing 183:1	256:20	229:13	137:4 138:7 139:2	experts 260:17
289:12,16 290:13	EPA 177:7	estimate 157:11,11	140:6 143:8	_
291:8	EPA's 290:21	162:11	152:19 203:13,16	expire 83:21 explain 48:11 93:5
		- '	243:4 258:12	166:21 167:17
engage 59:22 99:16 106:14 107:20	equal 192:21	estimates 287:6,21		
	193:18 196:6,8 281:12	estuaries 53:3,6	261:13 300:7	173:10
engaged 25:15 85:15	= '	246:10 278:15 291:1	304:7,17 307:4	explained 166:20
	equation 235:3 239:1		311:17 317:15	explaining 112:12
engagement 56:3		estuarine 308:12	325:19	166:14 172:7
102:12 239:4	equivalents 282:4	309:2	examples 262:6	explanation 215:19
engaging 100:4	284:22	estuary 242:1	exceeded 273:13	exploration 25:8
229:19,20	Eric 17:9,10,14	243:22 246:15	excellent 94:9 95:1	exploring 229:5
engines 270:15	18:7 19:4 20:15	249:10 263:5	301:9	230:1 257:10
England 27:13	21:1 27:10,18	290:16	exception 34:1	258:7
29:4,8 43:18	52:1 60:12 84:9	et 11:11 18:14	151:12	express 41:5 59:6
106:2,7 107:4,13	99:5 107:3 119:10	ethics 6:20 7:19	excuse 62:12 193:3	113:15
110:4 111:17	120:12,12 121:22	EU 103:8,8 304:7	executive 11:7	expressed 11:17
112:20 125:9,11	126:6 209:10	European 304:8	exercises 56:10	33:9
128:17 129:15	211:13 212:7,9	evaluate 150:3	exist 48:1 65:14	expressing 306:12
132:3,19 134:2	213:15 214:5	evaluating 21:3	existence 83:22	extend 83:19
142:3 143:3,5,15	215:8 221:9 227:8	evaluation 124:10	248:21	225:21 249:9
144:6,7,17,21	230:8 253:18	134:10	existing 6:11	301:14
159:22 162:9	254:20	evaluations 256:10	222:17 230:18	extending 101:7
178:8	Eric's 4:6 62:7,21	event 118:21 119:2	292:11 298:1	198:16
English 112:3	67:21 99:12	events 8:11 68:17	exists 246:22	extension 83:18
enhance 228:17	212:13 232:16	103:4,18 118:8,10	exits 5:21	extensions 37:2
enhanced 282:13	248:1	eventually 139:11	exit's 6:2	extent 23:17 31:16
enjoyed 249:13	erode 292:4,7	everybody 4:3 17:5	expanded 141:19	287:22 303:16
ensure 6:8 214:9	ESA 35:16 141:19	23:15 31:7 120:18	191:5	external 102:20
ensuring 100:14	190:5,20 230:2	121:8,13 136:12	expanding 141:20	171:15 189:14
entered 38:5	235:4 237:4,12	148:15 175:20	147:19	232:11 255:11
enterprises 205:8	243:14	327:2 331:17,17	expect 45:15	externally 168:17
entire 22:21 33:22	especially 39:1	Everyone's 22:22	141:17 174:6	256:8
56:16 157:9	110:20 160:5	ever-popular 77:19	178:4 276:12	extra 23:17 161:22
172:22 241:22	249:17 256:20	evidence 203:5,14	331:18	extraordinary

25.4.24			105010011	20412204
274:21	fall 7:14 8:14 190:7	323:14	185:9 199:14	294:12 296:6
extrapolating	201:14 273:2	feeling 163:8	264:21 274:3	300:13 316:18
162:8	fallen 141:16	233:15,18 252:21	fines 183:10	317:18 318:14,21
extremely 23:16	familiar 105:2	feet 151:9	finger 292:15 293:3	320:2,13 324:12
256:11 289:8	164:10 171:8	fell 124:2	finish 186:20	327:18
eyes 22:10	202:15 204:6	festivals 103:13	finished 145:18	Fisher 1:18 2:2
eyesight 71:21	205:13 219:3	FHs 234:17	204:18	15:16,16 16:18,18
F	232:15	field 89:2 101:2	finishing 318:12	33:13,14 37:7,9
	family 5:3,6 172:17	136:21 309:13	fir 135:2	38:17 40:8 90:21
F 133:10 211:1	173:1 256:6	fields 54:10 308:5	first 6:12 17:6 45:6	92:2 154:21 155:5
fabulous 166:9	far 26:7 58:14	310:22	47:7,8 59:19,20	155:7 156:10,16
320:19	175:13 187:10	fight 21:11 290:20	70:1 82:17 98:13	156:19 182:8,22
FACA 6:6	205:17 206:12,20	fights 32:16	99:16 102:15	184:20 301:17
face 177:21 205:15	206:21 246:2	figure 5:10 25:4	103:21 115:1	302:21 303:18,21
faced 22:17 206:6	254:12 256:2	26:16 48:7 121:9	123:9 126:12	306:7 323:4
facilitate 105:9	277:2,11 287:10	156:8 164:6	145:13 174:7	330:13
232:20	287:22 288:7	172:11 209:12	175:3 180:3	fisheries 1:5,10 9:6
facilities 25:8 150:9	292:14,16 303:15	224:17 235:9	212:16 214:17	9:19 10:19 13:14
195:10,11 196:14	Farms 15:21	254:17 255:17	221:4 227:19	13:19 14:8,14
facility 302:14	farther 137:14	258:2 263:12	228:9 232:11	16:2,11,15 20:20
facing 90:17	138:3	265:17 280:14	251:2,4 262:17	21:6,21 22:7,13
243:15	far-reaching 34:7	288:15 317:8	278:4 297:5 299:3	23:4 25:13 26:5
fact 20:21 23:5	fashion 236:17	file 7:5	302:21 308:19	39:19,20 40:16
30:8 32:12 40:9	fast 232:9	fill 4:19 7:9 20:15	309:16 320:1	45:7,8 51:1 52:21
40:19 42:12 99:9	faster 142:13,13,14	93:16 285:13	fiscal 90:11 100:9	54:19 57:14 58:8
109:3 120:4	143:11,20,21	filled 8:3	242:22	58:18,21 59:2,10
153:16 155:19	fault 154:11	filling 327:13	fish 15:12 21:10	63:22 67:11,22
174:19 195:16	feathers 155:2,10	fin 156:2	28:15 46:9 47:13	89:14,17 90:22
236:7 268:14	features 275:21	final 10:22 181:18	49:3,5 50:4,12,15	99:18 100:11,21
269:8 273:2 274:4	February 198:5,16	201:11 204:9	50:21 51:3,11	101:7,15 106:12
274:6,16 275:21	federal 2:4 56:4	208:14,20 320:5	78:11,15,18,21,22	116:7,18 117:15
280:18 288:2,3,20	58:12 59:6 150:3	323:17	79:16,19 80:2,21	118:9 120:8,15
297:14 301:1,12	183:8 218:16	finally 101:19	81:4,7,12,17 82:1	125:1 126:19
307:17,18	245:15,21 248:9	114:3 148:6	82:9,17,19 83:3,7	128:22 132:11
factors 54:20	248:21,22 258:20	201:13,19	104:22 105:7	133:18 137:10
133:17	283:6 314:8 319:3	financial 6:7,19	124:12 136:17	138:9,20 139:20
facts 116:19	federally 225:14	256:17 327:15	137:5,12,13 139:4	141:13 142:20
fading 211:19	feed 285:16	find 11:13 12:13	140:1 143:15	143:8,9 144:5
fail 261:9	feedback 27:1	35:12 80:10 81:3	156:2 158:22	156:20 161:4
failed 293:13	60:15 78:15	90:2 133:6 141:3	159:2,2,3,9,9	163:13,21 167:18
failure 261:10	105:12 220:19	156:8 190:7 203:3	164:15 166:2	176:20 178:12
fairly 76:19 108:5	224:16 225:18	217:22 227:12	183:7,10 193:10	190:22 191:14
120:10 186:5	228:15 238:11	235:11 283:6	214:11 219:8	192:7 199:17
190:8 226:7	319:7	300:2 331:10,11	236:15 237:19	200:20,22 202:21
276:22 279:19	feel 8:21 39:3 82:4	331:12	245:10 251:11	203:4 206:16
309:7	117:6 174:12	finding 235:21	275:6,17 280:2	212:5,18,20
faith 294:7	200:7 211:3 306:8	fine 7:4 170:8	283:21 291:16	213:12,14 214:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

217:8 219:16	296:17	223:7 224:3 226:6	314:8,9,16	221:16 237:13,13
220:4 223:1,20	fix 45:1 159:13	240:11 241:13	forestry 314:13	241:9 250:11
229:12,20 230:13	185:13	243:11 247:16	forests 268:10,13	274:20
230:19 231:6,9	fixing 130:2	291:8	274:8,9,21 275:1	Foy 13:4 63:13
232:21 265:15,18	Fleet 192:2	folks 13:7,8 15:2,4	275:20 277:1	323:19
265:22 279:20	fleshed 238:17	49:13 108:4	280:20 281:2,2,16	frame 10:21 198:17
316:6,15,15	flew 308:1	111:17 114:13	281:17 305:9	framework 207:8
317:17 322:1	floating 302:1	115:3 116:4,10	forfeiture 43:18	207:10,11 208:1
fisherman 28:9	flood 54:12	125:20 153:14	179:5	215:21 216:3,12
37:9 38:10 46:17	flooded 298:4	154:2 159:16	forget 59:12 295:21	241:4 244:2 245:1
46:19 178:9,16	299:18	165:16 168:5,16	305:22	249:17
fishermen 38:4,8	flooding 310:7	169:1,18 170:5,13	form 261:1	Franke 1:19 15:7,7
39:2,19 46:6	floor 68:7 143:3	171:15,15 172:7	formal 71:15 85:4	64:3 65:6 324:6
101:3 104:2,3	Florida 15:17	173:10 175:21	87:1 232:4	frankly 45:10
112:18 113:1	16:13,17 21:7	177:3 185:3 199:6	formalized 281:15	91:20 188:12
118:11 163:15	37:10 52:22 53:2	200:10,14 204:6	format 198:20	197:14
169:19,20 180:9	54:1,13 55:13,22	231:21 233:1	formed 228:12	free 200:7 323:14
289:22	56:7 57:1,4 63:9	265:17 284:2	former 60:1 178:9	freeze 184:2
fishermen's 163:10	136:3 142:2 143:4	285:8 296:9	forming 316:1	freezer 180:14
fishery 9:9 27:9	144:4 166:2,4,9	follow 6:1 18:10,13	forms 270:11	French 57:21
41:6,7 43:6 47:11	166:10 168:20	56:6 69:9 70:12	327:13,14,15,16	frequencies 164:18
52:7 58:4 59:1	169:5,16 176:13	88:18 93:7 108:20	formulation 204:19	frequency 127:8
70:20 87:16 88:3	184:16 239:6,9	132:10 316:18	Forrest 257:15	frequent 142:3,7
123:7 132:20	299:12 300:8	followed 95:21	forth 122:2 147:5,5	fresh 54:11 308:14
133:7 138:4	Florida's 299:5	270:19	234:12	312:22 313:4
139:19 145:11	fly 255:15 266:4	following 75:7	forums 84:22	Friday 23:12 73:1
162:2,4 164:14,19	268:7	170:3 245:7 306:9	forward 30:5 61:22	fringe 268:1 269:7
186:21 191:8	FMPs 186:18	follows 291:6	68:21,21 75:10	285:22
213:5 243:14	focus 72:20 73:6	follow-up 245:5	99:1,21 107:21	front 18:5 95:6
282:21 283:3	80:2 86:17 95:20	306:8	108:7 118:20	171:4 206:21
318:7	101:20 157:9	follow-ups 180:18	131:5 177:2 178:3	255:16 296:10
fishing 22:3 59:10	158:3 217:11	food 31:14	204:2 205:11	301:6
79:9 85:21 101:4	220:10,17 221:2	foot 99:15	256:11 260:13	fruit 43:10
101:12,17 103:11	221:17 223:10,17	footnote 305:7	261:1 272:13	fruition 25:19
103:18 109:11	224:2,15 225:9,17	forage 158:9	293:4 326:4	frustrations 155:11
117:8 120:22	226:1 227:4 234:9	Forbes 64:2	forward-looking	Frye 178:9
138:1 147:16	236:13 240:12,18	Force 55:14 56:8	259:11	FTE 189:17
152:2 213:5 214:2	241:14 266:9	88:9,11	found 167:7 202:17	fuel 267:8
214:12 215:1,1,2	267:21 273:17	forecasts 23:14	249:14	full 264:7 310:10
215:14,18 217:9	274:7 321:18	32:18 55:3	foundation 15:12	fuller 230:12
256:21	322:6	foreign 7:7 57:17	202:1 285:15	fully 84:19 145:10
five 25:10,11	focused 65:17 79:7	foremost 180:4	four 11:7 72:3	168:21 194:17
127:14 131:6	110:17 129:20	forest 276:10	127:14 131:4	231:12
145:3 147:10	132:15 200:18	280:22 281:5	147:2 202:2,11,20	fun 5:5,17 315:18
180:9 186:2	268:22	282:2 284:17	203:1,2,11 204:10	functioning 7:20
191:13 221:16	focusing 101:3	285:10 306:3,20	205:1 208:17	fund 43:18 179:5,6
275:1 281:20	105:19 218:6	313:20,22 314:1,5	220:5,18,19 221:3	179:12,14,16

100 10 101 17 00	l	l	1	
190:13 194:17,20	Gary 2:11 18:14	8:21 32:13 47:12	180:9	205:7 207:11
196:5 257:3 258:2	60:1 121:19	89:10 102:17	glove 206:19	217:20 218:2
funded 192:16,18	185:18,18 199:9	107:16,17 110:17	go 8:17 12:19,20	250:5
193:15,22 194:2,7	Gary's 126:15	128:17 135:10	17:6 20:1 24:20	God 148:12 203:21
196:6 307:8	201:3 205:3	136:5 140:18	28:3 30:13 33:1	goes 11:1 83:10
funder 226:16	gas 25:9 195:17	142:13 145:9	50:8 51:11 61:9	114:1 162:4 195:6
funding 23:20 29:2	266:15 267:18	155:18 175:13	68:10,13 70:3,16	196:2,4 264:22
141:19 187:7	272:8 303:13	178:4 197:7	80:5,13 87:2,3,13	278:19 291:7
189:14 191:3,16	gases 270:12	210:10 221:18	89:9 91:18 93:22	309:10
191:18 192:7	gather 135:6	229:6,7 231:3	97:17 98:13	going 4:5,16,17,18
196:1 242:6	gathering 134:9	232:13 236:2	108:13 110:2	4:22 6:12 8:5
254:14 296:22	gazillion 301:22	253:7 256:7	115:10 116:17	10:21 11:2,2 13:5
funds 58:17 59:3	gear 178:17 209:18	258:18 270:15	122:13 123:1,2	15:2 17:10,13,15
179:6 205:16	212:21	271:4 277:1,8	131:3 135:12	17:18 18:1,4,6,8,9
further 90:19	gee 221:15 261:3	giant 278:14	143:19 145:2,17	18:11,13,17,18
214:22 253:5,10	general 37:1 70:4	gillnetting 121:6	147:5 148:10	19:4,8,15 20:6,10
future 67:2 126:2	130:4 138:14	give 7:16 12:22	149:15,19 150:20	29:19 30:19 31:9
139:18 141:18	153:22 158:21	17:8 20:11,18	151:18 161:18	34:3 40:17 44:16
171:13 182:1	177:5 180:1	27:2 32:17 36:12	163:14,22 176:2	46:5 49:2,2 54:14
265:19 266:2	generally 44:8	43:11 60:10 61:1	179:16 185:21	56:16,17 60:2,10
272:1 273:19	197:21	61:21 76:21 94:5	186:19 187:21	60:18 61:15 62:3
278:1 318:4	General's 171:7	100:9 102:10	191:13,17 196:19	62:3,5,9 63:11
FY 126:22 141:22	177:11	111:16 119:13	202:10 203:9	64:1,2,3,11,14
187:7,22 188:3	generate 100:6	120:7 122:6,15	211:15 215:9	66:3 67:20 69:19
197:8 198:4 209:3	238:10	125:18,19,21	222:3,10 225:9	69:20 70:3,18
209:7	generated 69:13	168:4 178:15	242:5,17 245:4	73:13 74:3,18
FY12 222:17 240:9	generating 107:15	182:9 189:5 212:9	247:21 253:20	78:17,19 86:4
G	generation 23:13	240:16,21 287:3	255:1 263:17	91:6,6,8,13 92:16
G3:14:1	199:21 200:15	295:9 315:8 319:7	264:14 268:6	98:7 99:9 104:1
gain 170:5 173:13	219:2	given 23:2 122:21	278:10 287:3,4,10	106:12,21 108:2
181:20 221:21	genesis 322:14	125:20 170:21	296:13 297:3,10	110:19 111:1,2,6
gaining 170:14	gentleman 172:20	201:3 212:11	301:7 303:12,16	111:8 114:6 117:4
game 69:10 82:10	289:17	250:1 280:11 gives 32:2 42:9	311:8 312:10 316:11 319:10	120:19 121:3,15
96:4 277:1 308:16	gentleman's 173:4	O		122:6,20 126:7,15
gamut 217:4	geographic 220:9	giving 4:6 6:14 17:16 41:7 207:9	goal 41:18 82:8 159:20 177:20	126:19 127:15
Gan 2:9 13:17,17	224:15 226:2			129:8 130:4
98:17,20 106:22	233:12,21 239:15 239:16,19 240:18	209:22 212:13	180:3 185:4 200:19 202:5	131:19 133:4,10
108:22 109:3,12	243:7 244:9	247:8 302:6 glad 20:14 42:22	200:19 202:5	133:12,16 134:8 134:11,13,21
109:16,22 111:10	245:19 248:14	43:1 47:20 109:20	217:21 240:21	134:11,13,21
113:4,7 114:17	geographies 250:3	119:13	249:18 252:5,14	145:9,12,13
117:1,19 119:6,22	250:6	glean 172:12	275:2,11,12	145:9,12,13
gap 153:3	geography 299:4	gliders 147:4	282:11,13	147:14 148:20
gaps 284:18 285:5	Geological 285:10	global 291:3	goals 50:16 51:8,9	150:10 151:14,15
298:5	George 1:20 15:9	305:16	51:10 130:7	151:22 153:18
garden 158:1	65:20 322:20	Globe 108:17 109:1	175:19 178:7	154:5 155:14
garnered 248:17	getting 5:20 7:17	Gloucester 125:15	180:3 202:2,2,8,8	156:8 158:17
0.17	Setting 3.20 /.1/	Gioucestei 123.13	100.3 202.2,2,0,0	150.0 150.17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

160 17 01 161 6	07.7.40.11.56.0	50.14	1 272 10	41.05.00.00.7
160:17,21 161:6	37:7 49:11 56:8	governor 53:14	green's 272:10	growth 25:22 239:7
161:11 163:2,16	61:2,22 65:2 66:6	57:4 118:22	green-blue 285:22	270:14 274:3
165:4,14,16 167:9	68:4 70:17 76:19	151:21	Gregory 176:10	Guam 223:10
169:14 171:16	90:4 92:9 94:13	grab 274:19 304:15	grew 93:3	guarantee 198:8
176:19 178:5	97:16 102:18	graciously 18:12	Griffis 2:9 19:11	Guard 177:10
181:14,16 183:9	105:21 119:21	grade 76:22	130:12 230:22	181:6
184:21 185:8,15	120:20 121:10	grand 240:14 272:2	264:15,18 265:5,8	guess 15:3 20:15
185:18 186:2,5,15	122:3 123:14,16	grant 92:20,21,22	286:21 287:1,9	35:1 44:20 45:20
187:3 188:10	125:21 126:18	263:9	288:17 291:10	63:5 78:5 97:13
191:17,20 192:13	128:10,14 131:1	granting 199:3	294:7 295:13	97:14 118:2
194:5 195:5,16,20	134:16 137:11,13	grants 190:2,19	297:9,21 299:16	163:18 168:9
196:10 197:9,18	141:3,5 162:12	graph 270:1 279:9	302:18 303:2,20	173:19 177:1
198:2,9 199:6	165:11 169:20	286:13,21 287:2	304:2 306:18	209:19 211:9
200:4 204:2,20	170:14 172:4	311:11 312:2	308:8 311:14	212:8 251:17
205:5,8,11 206:20	173:21 174:2	graphs 269:16	312:9,22 313:3,16	252:3 260:21
208:5 211:11	179:1,13 180:12	270:10 288:7,21	314:2,7 315:3,11	261:1,14 289:4
212:6,9 215:6	180:15 181:3	grass 307:16	315:14	298:20 304:2
216:15 217:12	182:14,17 184:18	308:10 310:13	grizzly 172:21	315:5 325:13
223:4 234:5 235:1	184:19 189:6	grasses 268:4 269:2	gross 300:18	328:10
236:5 239:3,8	211:10 249:14	277:13	ground 50:21 51:3	guest 98:14
242:3 243:15	254:11 258:12	grassroots 67:3	51:11 107:22	guidance 72:18
244:16,22 246:1	262:21 268:15,20	grateful 14:20	113:18 166:11	105:4 136:7 204:5
249:8 251:22	270:15 274:6,10	24:13 27:1	221:18 267:3,17	204:5 208:4,13
255:19 260:8,15	274:15 275:7,18	great 15:9 19:21	276:11 312:16	209:16
261:1,4 262:9	275:20 276:17,21	37:6 46:4 64:10	groundfish 50:12	guide 90:12
263:17,19 265:18	277:10 278:17	97:13 98:17	145:11	guidelines 306:2
265:22 266:4	279:2 286:6 289:4	118:19 119:22,22	group 7:20 11:4	Gulf 12:2 37:19
267:4,20,21 273:1	289:12,21 290:20	170:12 171:22	19:20 64:8,11	38:4 39:1 40:5
278:20 279:15	293:6 297:10	175:1,13 182:15	65:10 78:22 79:4	52:11,12 70:20
282:11 289:13,20	306:19 312:1	183:15 200:13	80:22 81:4,10,13	72:6,7 123:13
290:3 291:1,5,14	317:12 319:7	221:11,12 228:19	81:17 82:1,18,21	137:17,18,20
293:4 294:4 298:2	325:21 331:13	264:9,21 265:5	83:3,8 103:22	138:16 144:4
298:13 300:12	Google 8:1 9:17	268:14 272:20	104:19 105:3,8,8	156:2,16,20
303:16 305:1	gosh 20:5 60:8	273:18,19 311:2	105:10 138:17	167:13 187:2
306:13,15 309:1	125:8	318:7	149:15 181:12	217:12
309:15 312:6	gotten 85:8 141:2	greater 23:22 26:6	217:7 228:5,6,11	guy 17:4 122:8
314:5 315:8,9,20	143:13	49:6 192:13	232:11 254:2	guys 60:9 110:4
316:2,3,11 318:3	government 7:7	313:12	257:16 319:2,16	120:13 122:5
318:6 319:19	31:19,20 34:5	greatest 31:16	322:22 325:1	124:12 145:4,16
320:7,11 324:14	45:16 54:2 55:8	245:3 290:16	327:19	216:7 217:16
324:15,17 325:10	56:4 59:7 107:7	greatly 13:5	Grouper 156:17	220:7 226:8 231:7
326:7,16 328:16	107:10 130:11	green 187:12 267:5	groups 22:3 79:20	231:13 235:8
330:8,20 331:1,8	153:4 248:22	267:10 269:14	82:12 116:8 254:9	253:6 315:1
331:16,19	302:5	276:9 302:13	326:1	H
gold 290:14,22	governments 281:4	313:20,22	group's 167:19	
good 14:1,15 15:18	government's	greenhouse 270:11	grow 30:5 214:22	H 1:22
15:20 19:2 23:15	245:15	272:8	growing 100:22	HabCams 146:5

habitat 3:10 19:5	278:5 279:8 280:1	happened 29:7	36:16,17 149:6	heavily 221:3
34:2,13,22 50:12	281:5,10 282:9,17	66:20 77:3 139:21	150:13	heavy 179:7
50:15 51:3,7,10	284:12 285:2	174:21 175:16	head 155:2,10	heavy-handed
51:14,19 52:3,7,8	286:1 294:17	310:6	250:13 326:14	222:3
101:6 122:21	299:6 301:14	happening 22:7,15	headed 50:7 160:16	heck 232:14
147:14 149:11,16	Habitat's 258:6	44:18 111:14	171:12 176:16	held 9:8 134:18
149:21 150:2	habitat-limited	117:16 120:17	179:21	153:2
151:13 187:2	225:1	121:6,8 133:2	heading 133:14	hell 121:1
193:1,5,11,14	HAIP 220:21 226:9	136:11,15 137:9	273:19	Hello 4:3
203:15 211:12,14	254:4	137:17 148:22	headquarters 35:7	help 25:2,21 26:14
211:22 212:4	HAIPs 234:10,15	183:2 271:12	41:15 71:8 76:13	28:22 29:5,11
213:7,8,13,13,17	234:17	280:19 292:16	121:9 125:13,14	30:7 41:20 42:19
214:6,18,19,19,20	HAIP-type 254:15	293:7	184:11	46:6 55:9 72:13
215:5,8,10 217:1	hair 122:10,12	happens 56:9	headquarters-dri	79:11 86:22 94:5
217:18,22 218:6	half 8:13 94:10	115:12 135:5	222:3	94:7 103:21
219:6 220:7,12,16	123:9 126:8 157:7	153:7 180:22	health 295:2	104:15 105:9
220:16 221:5,5,8	170:20 185:21	298:5,9	healthy 200:19,21	108:12 118:4
221:11 222:8,12	186:1,9 193:16	happy 26:22 37:12	202:4,11,20 203:3	130:11 154:2
223:5,6,11 224:3	269:9 276:16	162:19 198:18	216:20 219:6,6	184:10 185:5
224:5 225:10,15	294:20	288:5 315:11	hear 22:18 37:13	216:5 218:1,17,18
226:6,13,15,19	halibut 46:17	HAPW 228:5	42:22 43:1 62:13	224:3 227:6
227:2,3,11,14,17	hall 325:7	harass 7:15	73:19 74:8 79:16	234:21 242:4,6,16
227:19,20,21	hallway 6:1	harbor 223:18	80:16 87:22	245:1 247:11
228:5,9,10,17	Hamilton 62:19	239:18 259:16	111:10 128:9	255:9 257:3,12
229:1,9 230:2,16	hammered 277:8	295:21	130:12 154:18	263:12 273:22
231:2,3,4,5	Hampshire 15:10	harbors 259:17	157:15 172:3	275:8 283:2
233:15 234:13	hand 7:11 33:15	hard 20:20 21:13	184:18 186:7	284:12 286:4
236:3 237:17,19	138:2 206:19	21:22 22:5 24:16	230:20 262:11	297:7 300:15
241:18,21 243:6	330:12	25:18 26:12 27:3	286:17 288:10	301:1,13 305:8
244:6,18 245:10	handbook 93:17,18	39:13 41:11 43:21	326:11,14 329:13	315:19 317:5,6
245:13,16 246:3	94:4	45:9,22 46:3	heard 35:3,9 49:13	318:17 326:3,4
246:14,19 248:3,7	handed 331:8,16	52:16 54:17 62:13	87:21 123:5	helped 73:2,2
249:18,22 250:8	handing 6:5	62:15 92:3 111:12	136:12 144:6	helpful 25:20 39:18
251:8,16 252:6,20	handle 135:17	173:16 174:16	177:4,12 200:10	83:1 94:14 95:2
253:15 254:3,6	169:3,12 179:19	177:13 181:20	231:8 256:17	97:14 104:18,19
255:15 256:1,3	293:20 323:22	211:19 221:14	326:2	142:16 207:15
257:1,10 258:3	handled 179:17	hardest 35:11	hearing 27:7 29:21	224:17 263:20,22
260:3 263:6	hands 28:4	234:12	142:4 150:14	321:14
277:12 282:14	hang 261:2,7,9	Hare 317:16	251:19	helping 22:14 50:9
283:13 285:19	HAPCs 233:22	harvest 160:21	heart 213:2	72:13 175:4,9
295:18 315:16	happen 10:7 55:19	hash 171:14	heat 90:10	219:22
habitats 216:20	56:1,19 77:3	hat 206:7 261:2,8	Heather 1:11,14	helps 7:18 95:7
217:3 218:8,14,14	118:8 160:17	Hawaii 15:19 16:22	2:5,10 14:12,21	144:1 202:7
243:13 268:1,9,18	169:14 196:22	33:20 35:5 116:3	16:9 36:19 66:1	234:19 294:15,16
269:1 274:5,14,19	201:7 230:7	134:17 150:5,7	88:11 115:4	hemisphere 271:17
275:6,10,14,16,19	231:20 232:2	151:5,20	161:17 199:5	Henry 1:22 13:20
276:7,16 277:15	294:9	Hawaiian 34:9	260:1 329:19	57:9 321:2
			I	ı

housing 142.7	63:8 66:10 68:15	30:11 126:21	221:11 248:5	72.10 74.12 16
herring 143:7 hey 290:19	72:2 75:21 76:2	187:11 188:2,8,11	identified 85:18	72:18 74:13,16 132:4
He'll 101:9	76:10 77:7,11,16	189:7,21 191:1	203:5,10,20 240:5	implemented 41:13
Hi 29:14,16	77:18 78:1,4 83:6	192:20 193:2,13	identify 31:5 96:12	46:14 144:3
′	83:13,16 84:2,6	192.20 193.2,13	104:6 224:19	
hierarchy 77:17 113:12	, , ,	194.2,8,13 196.17	234:10 252:5	implementing 24:9 29:19 53:11 63:1
	87:7,11 91:14		295:17	
high 23:16 127:21 160:21 162:6	92:5,10,13 94:11 94:15 95:22 97:8	housekeeping 4:19 5:1 7:21		70:19,20 110:6,8 111:5 134:5 221:8
273:7,7 311:12	98:10 122:10	houses 310:1	identifying 134:7 239:20 294:16	implications 34:7
higher 122:14	208:10 237:11	housing 295:20	IEA 134:15	112:14 269:18
207:20 287:15,18	238:7 242:15,18	huge 41:14 43:2	IFQ 37:22 39:5	271:20 279:20,22
287:20 288:4	,	121:4 181:11	40:10,12 41:2,5,5	
	247:20,22 251:14			implied 163:12
291:7 298:14	255:5 319:11	184:17 239:4	156:12 183:1 IG 184:1	importance 28:16
highest 287:21 288:3	321:20 322:10 324:1,4,9,18,20	huh 275:8 human 104:7		52:21 167:17
	, , , ,		ignoring 136:3 IG's 175:15	219:21 224:20 226:3 231:3 250:1
highlight 80:4	326:19 328:17,22	133:17 270:3		250:2
100:20 102:8	329:19 331:10	291:6	III 9:6 89:14,17	
187:16 216:13	home 6:16 7:3 52:1	hurdle 43:5 254:16	illustrate 104:9	important 21:13
227:9	60:22 268:8 329:3	hurdles 237:1	images 146:7	28:10,13 37:4
highlighted 204:16	honestly 112:3	hurried 65:4	imagine 34:17	52:6 119:9 120:5
209:11 216:16	hope 19:20 25:1	Hyatt 1:10	185:13 299:14	126:4 129:6
highlighting	26:3 33:4 42:15	hydroacoustic	imaging 146:13	145:22 147:20
101:21 227:10	52:15 56:2 60:7	141:1 143:7	immaterial 301:22	148:1 149:14
Hill 1:11 24:12	65:16 139:14	hydroacoustics	immediate 114:10	150:2 160:8
135:13 166:15	147:10 186:19	147:6	114:15 240:13	169:10 181:19
221:22 325:6	197:11 211:10	hydropower 193:7	270:5	215:22 219:20
hired 175:17 176:7	234:18 240:9	I	impact 104:17	225:3 234:6,11
178:10	261:5 262:10	ice 5:18 136:13,16	149:19 150:4	243:13 254:6
hiring 184:2	280:4 319:6	136:21	181:11 189:12	260:13 271:11
historically 322:12	hopefully 8:6 25:20	ID 171:6	195:8 213:5	279:8 280:1,6,13
history 112:20	30:21 43:9 47:18	idea 47:12 48:11	240:10 241:13	282:8 286:2 289:9
167:3	115:14 142:1	69:16 72:3 102:10	269:20 270:5	289:22 300:14
hit 225:13	180:16 185:20	119:21 123:14	296:18	310:16
hitting 298:8	205:11,14 218:9	124:18 129:16	impacted 29:8	imposed 38:8
hoc 83:8 84:4	227:15 229:19	132:2 217:18	269:20	Impossible 218:21
hold 11:16 145:15	241:1 315:8	221:12 224:19	impacts 42:4 141:6	improve 21:4 23:14
150:8	hopes 36:17		214:22 218:11	80:10 140:8 141:9
holding 82:16	hoping 47:13	225:14,20 236:10 236:22 240:18	223:11,13 241:16	141:10 143:4
293:1	208:14 240:11		317:12,13 318:10	146:16 174:16
holdings 88:4	Horizon 217:14	242:7,10 243:5	implement 41:21	215:21 218:5,5
Hole 124:4 125:15	hospital 50:1	247:3 251:2,5	42:20 46:20 74:18	222:11 225:10
holistic 212:19	hotel 12:11,13	268:8,10 290:20 325:21	134:11,14,21	226:20 227:1
holistically 248:10	hour 126:8 154:13	ideal 103:22	220:6,11 236:19	241:19 245:15
Holliday 2:4 4:22	154:14,15 170:20		238:20 256:12	improved 50:8
11:4 12:12,18	185:19,21 186:1,9	Ideally 206:5 ideas 77:1 81:20	implementation	143:6 228:11
16:7,7 18:21	hours 143:17 180:8		30:6 35:16 61:16	improvement
36:19 62:8,17	house 20:8 29:22	82:2 89:11 92:21	62:7 70:8,11	227:17 228:2

	142 20 142 0	201 20 207 12	101.21	1 160 16
improvements	142:20 143:8	291:20 297:12	181:21	internally 168:16
49:16 110:22	independently 93:3	306:5	intending 26:2	172:10 174:5
111:4 258:2	India 294:4	informing 100:4	intensely 288:20	181:10 182:1
improving 79:7	indicated 189:5	ingrained 24:21	intentions 89:2	219:16 229:11,12
89:20 131:8	191:3	ingredients 309:15	90:4	international 57:14
219:10 227:10	indication 210:1	initial 229:3	interact 50:21	58:2 59:10 100:21
255:22 262:4	indications 70:22	initiative 71:11,20	169:18 200:12	147:21 281:7
295:1	indicator 239:9	118:22 221:20	interacting 132:18	284:4 294:9 304:4
inappropriate	indirect 245:17	222:7,17 223:7	interaction 34:21	305:18,20 307:1
34:15	individual 6:8 42:8	244:11,17 262:2	51:17 132:21	internationally
incentive 249:22	119:20 182:13	initiatives 3:11	170:5 179:1	46:5
294:17 304:11	individuals 79:1	19:12 70:11 75:3	180:12 182:15	interpretation
incentives 305:17	Indonesia 277:11	75:17 76:16 78:10	interactions 84:11	207:21
incentivize 275:8	280:20,21 302:7	86:9,10 92:22	85:4 133:18	interrupt 17:7
275:15 280:16	305:11 307:4	96:5 102:9 220:7	interagency 84:22	19:13 20:11
305:5	Indus 278:13	221:6,7 224:11	interconnectedne	intersect 206:10
incentivizing 299:1	Industrial 267:2,14	238:8 242:20	54:9	intersection 74:19
inclination 208:1	270:6	244:3 246:6	interest 6:7,19 7:8	intersections 85:21
include 38:3	industries 45:19	250:12,21 251:16	70:1 85:19 86:21	inter-annual
100:11 160:1	118:7	255:6 261:3,9	96:3 104:5 221:21	287:14
included 9:16	industry 28:14	262:9,14 264:16	221:22 247:15	intro 165:20
151:22 302:8	30:3 103:5 105:13	265:4	268:14 305:11	introduce 13:8,10
includes 124:12	107:11,21 118:6	ink 6:15 7:4	interested 37:13	15:4
197:12 206:2	144:13 177:22	Inlet 223:4 260:3	43:21 210:17	introducing 14:18
217:2	180:7 218:11	inner 308:12	247:13 256:18	introduction 4:14
including 142:22	266:14 300:2	input 22:8 26:11	282:6 285:11,18	14:20 316:14
143:9 277:21	inertia 272:21	34:6 60:10 61:17	305:3,12 315:2	Introductions 3:2
281:17	influence 242:11	81:3,15 105:11	322:21 331:3	intrusion 31:19
inclusive 230:2	inform 99:16	178:15 200:3,14	interesting 39:11	invent 148:10
incorporated 283:1	informal 85:8	204:19 226:9	44:13 120:3	invest 282:3,7
increase 41:9	105:3	228:15 229:6,7	135:19 136:9	284:9 306:2
141:14,22 191:20	informants 79:9	232:22 244:21	143:12 158:8	investigators 172:1
192:12 194:19	information 6:4	319:17	162:16 166:13	173:6 177:15,16
252:19,19 272:19	12:5 32:6,8,9,10	inshore 247:19	273:11 276:13	184:4
increased 203:14	32:10 42:9 50:19	inside 106:12 229:4	280:6 283:8	investing 302:9
increases 192:12	50:20 51:5,7	255:4	291:12,15,19	304:15,20
193:20 195:2	72:12 78:9 87:17	Inspector 171:7	293:9 295:14	investment 257:7
242:5 306:17	88:5 90:19 92:14	177:11 179:22	318:1,8	257:20 299:9
increasing 197:21	105:1 106:4	inspire 99:17	interests 6:9	investments 251:16
increasingly	138:12,21 139:7	instantly 38:6	305:15	investor 304:18
270:21	139:15 164:18	219:19	interface 308:9	investors 282:2
incredible 109:14	195:4 225:4	Institute 297:13	interim 75:4	284:8
308:1	226:19,21 227:5	institution 128:3	175:17	invitation 211:21
incumbent 205:8	227:11 247:5,8,10	integrate 134:19	Interior 25:7	invited 89:17
indefinitely 83:10	247:10 250:16	integrated 37:10	intern 176:12	inviting 264:13
independent 57:18	258:8 271:8,10	56:2 131:18 134:2	internal 225:19	invoke 135:19
58:6 59:1,3	284:18 285:17	intend 127:20	255:12 329:14	involve 84:18
	•		•	•

involved 92.6	215.12.224.4	212.10 214.4 20	Iron4 149.6 150.10	l 21.17.10
involved 82:6	215:12 224:4	313:19 314:4,20	kept 148:6 150:19	knew 21:17,18
173:8 206:7	238:12 239:18	322:19 323:12	Kerry 166:18	28:17 117:5 167:3
240:20 322:13	246:14 269:10	329:12 330:7,16	167:5	know 4:7 9:3 10:1
involvement 200:1	319:19	330:20 331:2	key 29:14 68:20	14:10,17 15:5
in-reach 231:19	issue-centric 220:2	Joner's 19:18	69:10 79:9 84:10	16:6 17:10,20
IPCC 272:12	issuing 209:16	Josh 6:5 14:13	102:13 126:11	20:5 21:20 22:7
287:21 317:10	Italian 5:4	68:15 69:19 74:11	187:19 202:9	22:14,18 23:8
Isaac 11:12	item 4:14 7:21	86:4 93:16 95:14	219:14 220:5	26:10,12,21 27:4
Island 150:17	17:19 68:13 81:20	210:11 326:19	248:4 285:4	27:6,10,17,19
islands 34:9 36:16	221:4	327:8	298:17 307:21	28:19,19,22 29:21
36:17 37:3 58:6	items 19:14 68:19	JOSHUA 2:5	Keyes 62:19	30:14 31:9,12,19
149:3,6,10 150:13	69:14 72:22 74:6	Journalists 21:9	Keys 166:9	31:22,22 32:22
223:10	79:6 189:4 230:10	JSA 75:11	kick 20:16 279:4	33:1,8 35:8,19
issue 30:4 33:20	ITQ 41:12	judge 177:6	kicking 221:19	36:2,4 39:12 42:1
35:4 37:1,1 46:10	IUU 46:1 101:4	Judy 2:9 13:16,17	kicks 209:17	42:4,5,9,15,22
50:11 52:20 53:18	103:10,11	18:6 98:15 105:21	kickstart 240:3	43:3,6,7,10,16
53:22,22 54:3,15	i.e 203:4	109:20 117:21	killer 38:16	44:10,15 45:20
56:14,16 58:15	$oxed{\mathbf{J}}$	121:14 326:2	kind 5:5,17 31:20	48:6,7,10,11,20
60:4 72:7 75:22	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$ 1:17	Judy's 154:2 170:3	32:14 35:12 37:16	49:19 51:21 52:3
91:18 107:1	January 7:14 91:15	Julie 1:20 16:16	37:17 46:9 51:11	52:15 54:18,21
109:17 130:5,21	198:15 319:5	43:10 66:19 171:8	71:16 91:12	55:1,8,10 60:12
130:22 136:2		239:12 245:4	108:10,14 116:10	62:13 63:19 64:7
147:15,18 148:4	Japanese 158:1 Jeff 63:4 150:5	297:2,3 321:3	120:2 125:17	66:20 71:5 74:10
148:22 149:11	Jersey 1:11	July 103:1 166:12	156:5 179:20	74:21 79:3 80:11
151:2 153:15,18	Jessica 62:19	166:21	181:5 192:10	82:10 85:2 88:14
159:7 160:9,16		jump 323:14	209:17 212:1	89:3 91:12 92:2
183:8 249:3	job 21:19 25:21 27:5,8 100:3	Juneau 16:11	216:11 218:12	93:12 95:7 97:18
263:16 266:1,3	112:10 123:16	justify 252:12	219:12 220:21	98:3 104:11,13,14
293:5	170:3,12,14	K	221:19 224:7	105:9,22 107:1,3
issued 229:11	170.3,12,14	Kate 14:16,18	225:13 229:4,10	107:7,14 110:9,11
issues 9:10 18:3	173:13 175:1,13	99:10	231:12,21 232:11	111:3,9,14 112:2
20:20 21:6 25:13	181:19 205:4		233:9 240:2 244:4	112:4,11,14,21
32:5 44:9 48:4	277:10 289:12,16	keep 7:18 33:4 48:9 80:13 86:4 94:18	250:1 251:3,6	113:10 114:3,5,7
54:9 59:17 68:6	289:21 290:12	94:20,22 95:16	255:14 261:20	114:8,15,17 115:8
73:9,22 75:12	296:4 317:6	97:20 142:8,10	263:7 270:22	115:8,14 117:2,7
85:5 86:8 106:21	jobs 26:6 49:3 89:9	148:13 165:18,19	295:21,22 298:3	118:9,13 120:13
113:18 115:9,14	89:10 280:2	181:19 197:1	298:18 306:21	121:22 122:7
118:16 122:4	John 2:2 317:16	300:20 301:1	307:13 308:15	127:3 132:13
127:21 130:14,15	join 321:7	keeping 62:16	312:14 314:19	135:2,20 140:9,22
130:17 132:15	joined 318:19	173:18 274:12	316:22 321:20	145:1 146:8 148:6
135:9,20 146:3	joining 219:18	Keith 1:21 16:12	322:15	149:1 151:7 152:1
147:13 152:14	322:21	249:6 323:21	kinds 124:18	152:20 153:7,13
161:5,9,10 166:1	joint 75:9	Keith's 258:4	245:22 260:5	154:19 157:1,4,10
167:9 171:6	Joner 1:19 17:1,1	Ken 1:19 15:6,7	268:3,19 269:15	157:12,17,22
175:10,12 176:3	49:11,12 52:18	64:3 65:5 78:16	280:2 294:12	158:2 160:11,20
180:2 186:5 213:5	312:12 313:2,14	81:8 324:6	295:20,20 kingdom 57:20	161:12 162:22
213:5,6,7 215:5	312.12 313.2,17	01.0 <i>32</i> 7.0	kingdom 57:20	163:19,21 164:3
	l		l	

		1	1	1
167:6 169:13	Kris 73:16 74:14	177:21 182:10	179:21 187:13	287:5 292:20
176:1 185:19	93:11	289:9	292:5 315:22	299:4,7,18 300:12
187:1 188:12	kudos 182:10	laws 53:12,13	325:7	300:19 301:6,15
199:22 201:11,21		239:5,7,8 289:12	leg 36:12 75:5	leveling 101:2
202:16 205:17	L	289:16 290:13	legal 296:6	levels 187:22
209:9 213:4	lack 205:16 261:21	291:8 292:2 293:6	legendary 32:16	192:17 193:19
216:19 224:22	lady 167:1	293:7 307:9	legislation 72:13	194:6 196:15
225:1,2 226:16	lag 38:15	lawyers 6:20	220:14,15 228:17	197:8 208:3 272:8
230:8 233:17	Lake 56:15	lay 217:15,16	228:21 230:17,18	288:2 291:21
239:6 240:13	land 151:5,7	layer 310:12,12,12	230:18	leverage 256:15
241:6,8,10 242:1	235:19 271:16	laying 241:4	legislative 72:11	leveraged 102:19
246:15,16,17	308:2 310:8	310:11	135:5 230:15	leveraging 218:19
247:15 249:2,6	landed 143:12	lead 11:13 26:6	237:15,22 238:8	lexicon 283:16
251:10 252:8	landings 143:16	63:16 64:3 68:22	239:1	liaison 89:20
253:12 254:14	lands 245:16	69:12 96:13	legitimate 167:6	167:12
256:1 257:6,9,12	landscape 201:9	123:19 135:3	length 47:12	licensing 193:7
260:1,2,6,9	language 112:1	199:16 205:14	164:17	life 83:9,11,13
262:19 263:2,18	215:20	228:10 238:13	lesson 111:12	169:9 173:4
263:19 264:2,6	large 190:1 325:2	leader 56:11	lessons 93:13	301:14 314:12
266:5,12 269:3	largely 200:19	leaders 118:6	224:10	light 137:22
271:11,21 272:4	220:18 246:7	232:17	letter 88:10	limited 106:5 158:8
273:5 276:6 277:6	larger 10:14 159:7	leadership 20:19	letters 112:1,3	245:2,17 261:12
277:21 279:21,22	160:15 193:21	22:6 27:2 28:19	letting 106:20	limiting 41:8
282:3 283:5	197:2 244:7	56:12,22,22 57:1	109:19 154:19	141:12
284:20 289:20	259:19	60:14 61:21 66:5	247:11 279:12	limits 21:14 39:4
290:19 291:2,20	large-scale 138:13	66:8 214:5 215:9	303:12 311:8	Lindow 84:8
291:21 292:1,12	Larry 12:1 13:4	221:10 222:19,22	let's 61:12 62:14	line 35:13 72:16
292:18 294:19	324:12	231:12,22 232:15	131:3 145:20	101:8 141:20,20
295:2 296:9,15	lastly 149:20	241:8 249:7 251:1	198:14 254:20	151:15 187:10,12
297:16 300:9	late 20:5 98:4	262:13	level 40:21 52:22	187:13 190:15
302:1 305:2	210:10 262:16	leading 62:5 108:8	53:19 54:4 56:19	195:11,22 208:13
307:16,20 309:9	Laughter 76:9	leap 299:17	74:8,9,16 75:6	247:12 268:4,6
309:13 312:13,17	77:15	learn 22:14	90:6 99:15 114:9	269:3 272:16
313:3,21 314:2,9	launch 151:16	learned 93:13	122:14 126:3	280:10 283:9
317:14 318:7	launching 23:12	111:13 224:10	136:2,5 138:11	293:1 296:10
321:3 326:1	101:5,6 104:22	learning 41:22 89:6	155:20 157:12	linear 218:12
329:14 331:2	Laura 321:21	133:22 140:14	160:22 166:11	lined 261:16
Knowing 255:2	Laurel 2:8 14:7	141:8 206:22	188:6,22 190:12	lines 29:17 71:9
knowledge 72:4	99:10	256:18	190:14 192:7,10	86:5
151:3 152:14	law 3:8 6:21 14:3	leave 89:8 311:5	192:20,21 193:4	link 8:4 251:15
known 8:9 184:15	44:20 117:15	leaves 179:20	193:17,20 194:6,7	links 213:12 251:11
266:18	165:13 166:4	274:12 276:2	194:18,19,22	288:1
knows 148:12	167:10,12,17,19	309:4	196:7 197:15	lions 123:20 130:19
176:15 217:7	168:12,13,18,21	leaving 329:15	207:20 222:11	157:19 158:18,20
246:13 331:17	169:1,11,17 170:1	led 78:17 300:21	229:3,4 231:22	158:20
Kodiak 123:8	170:9 172:6	left 68:9 124:2,2	237:5 243:10,18	list 63:18 68:5
Kona 134:17	176:12,14 177:6	127:10 166:4,11	243:19,22 285:7	118:3 175:21
L	I	I	I	I

	•	•		
202:20 210:15	local 55:9 56:5	133:2,20 160:14	314:10 326:4	201:6 202:1 203:6
235:7,9 236:2,11	116:3 152:13	163:22 164:1	328:2	206:9 213:6,6
240:5 245:8	272:3	171:13 177:13	looks 157:2 201:20	221:11,12 228:2
259:14 280:5	locality 56:14	183:20 187:5	230:17 289:10	230:3 231:8,9,19
294:14	localized 158:14	188:2 196:12	loose 147:4	231:21 232:1,12
listed 71:4 136:19	locate 36:5	198:13 200:20	lose 49:2 279:13	235:3,17 258:18
297:14	located 57:15	201:5,8,11,22	losers 138:5 317:14	259:13 260:14
listen 32:3	locations 8:6	203:18 204:11	losing 49:4 277:20	261:16 263:5,9,11
listening 33:8	Location-wise	215:5,9,13 218:9	279:14,22 299:19	269:5,5 271:20
147:7 153:15	324:18	218:12 222:9	loss 289:10 290:9	272:21,22 278:9
154:1	lock 309:11 310:18	225:14,16 226:1	292:8,21 295:15	284:11 286:7
listing 136:20	Locke 60:1	229:9 230:8 231:2	295:18 298:13	293:15 298:10,13
149:16 236:20	locked 180:14	248:19 253:19	lost 39:10 269:9	299:5 300:22
lists 79:8,9	311:9	254:1 259:16	lot 21:11 23:2	304:19 310:6
literature 159:6	log 38:7,10 48:10	260:8 262:5	24:20 26:13,15	311:7 314:4
little 9:13 35:4	144:8,10,11	285:13 317:3	29:6,10 30:20	315:14 316:3
67:15 89:15 91:12	Logan 176:10	321:22	43:16 44:11 45:18	326:1
96:21 104:15	logged 306:21	looked 60:8 69:18	45:19 46:4 49:3	lots 118:17,17
108:17 116:1,1	logic 34:11 91:2	70:5 95:22 167:2	50:20 51:16,17,22	135:20 158:13
158:10 161:18	109:16	167:5 177:17	52:11 56:4 57:5	174:17
165:20,21 167:4	Loligo 132:20	257:3	58:5,17 60:9,13	loud 211:16,17
168:13 169:4	133:2,7	looking 11:16 12:6	61:7 65:2 68:3	louder 186:8
170:1 172:7,9	long 9:15 27:20	22:22 25:3 30:5	69:17 79:3 86:2	Louisiana 53:7
173:11,17 182:4	41:22 45:5 47:10	46:21 48:21,22	90:5,12 91:3 92:8	310:12 311:1
185:19 186:6	50:8 86:16 91:20	53:21 79:8 92:21	104:12 106:6	love 26:20 318:4
188:7 189:19	120:9 121:17	96:17 100:9	107:2,15,16,18	low 133:10
190:14 191:4,6	142:8 144:18,19	108:16 122:1	108:3 110:9,11,19	lower 126:3 139:10
193:14 200:5,17	159:19 185:19	132:16 139:2	111:20,20 112:22	161:3 202:4,19
200:18 201:1	186:8 229:21	140:7 159:7,15	113:19 115:17	272:16 273:6
210:10 219:2	243:8 259:14	160:2 163:16	116:6,8 119:18	Lubchenco 21:5
243:2 260:2	285:1 299:10	177:2 182:1 189:6	120:22 122:19	24:11 27:19 51:22
266:20 267:22	300:6 301:12	190:18 199:6	123:18 125:14	60:16 68:21
269:7,12 272:10	305:4,4,9	204:1 206:1	126:3,5 132:1,17	118:21 204:8
273:15 276:19,20	longer 38:20 55:3	208:11 219:22	133:8 135:8,22	209:15 232:17
284:10 285:21,22	60:7 76:11 182:4	220:13,18 223:22	136:8 137:2	Lubchenco's 108:9
286:3 298:4	236:2,3	224:8 226:18	138:21 140:1	luck 61:2 262:21
300:21 301:22	long-line 164:3	227:2 228:8,16,20	141:18 146:3,6,6	luckily 23:19
305:9,10 308:15	long-standing	229:2,12 230:9,14	147:13 148:9	lucky 197:14
310:5 317:4,6	177:8	231:2 239:3,21	152:14,20 153:9	lunch 18:18,19,20
321:15 330:3	long-term 26:2	241:18 243:7,17	153:10 160:4	18:21,22 19:3
live 21:19 114:22	75:11 85:7 299:19	243:18 244:10,13	163:3,5 164:20	154:15 205:17
169:7 271:13	look 7:1 8:22 35:7	248:11,12 254:10	167:9 168:10,18	210:8,10,12
314:20	35:17 46:11 53:7	254:21 255:3	171:7,10,14	211:10
livelihood 290:1	59:7 61:22 82:14	257:17 269:15	172:19 178:11	luncheon 210:21
living 85:21 169:21	99:1 116:17 118:5	271:9 277:22	184:5 185:3	
215:11 241:19	128:20 129:11	283:17 284:3,5	186:15,16 187:6	M
244:7	132:19,20,20	289:1 303:4 307:5	195:6 200:11	Madam 301:18
,				
		I	I	I

	 I	1	i	ı
MAFAC 2:1 3:4	making 17:15 32:8	managers 39:19	mark 2:4 4:17	material 6:15
9:21 10:2,14 12:7	71:14 120:20	128:2 139:20	10:18 12:16 16:6	233:4 276:9
66:3 67:3,13 69:8	128:18 172:9	168:2 227:21	16:7 18:18 19:3	279:15 328:14
69:12 70:12 73:2	178:6 181:14	256:9 317:5	39:12 42:17 62:5	materials 220:9
75:10 76:7,20	183:19 221:17	manager's 140:11	66:9,14 68:13	matter 4:12 86:9
77:2 79:1 80:22	247:9 280:18	managing 9:6,19	75:19 95:19 96:17	130:2 135:4
81:9 83:16 85:18	300:12,17	10:18 42:7 51:14	97:7 187:12 188:3	174:19 267:9
87:2 89:19 92:22	MALE 191:22	51:15 67:11,21	188:3,6,8,11,12	310:10
93:22 118:4	mammal 100:18	89:13,16 122:17	189:7 191:6 193:3	matters 289:8
119:11,16 229:19	157:15 159:11	157:14 212:18,20	194:2,7,9 196:17	310:3
248:18 319:17	160:1,7 190:21	212:20,21 227:6	199:5 206:16	mauled 172:21
324:11 326:21	mammals 158:10	230:12 232:21	210:8 228:18,19	maximizing 31:15
327:3 329:5	158:12,16,21	314:13,16	321:3,14 326:9,18	maximum 40:22
MAFAC's 9:14	159:10 190:13	mandate 39:15	329:17 331:4,7	ma'am 57:6
67:13 220:19	manage 32:21 39:9	40:13	marked 187:11	McCarty 1:11,14
magazine 79:10	50:10 117:14	mandated 117:15	market 284:6	4:3,15 12:16,20
magnitude 276:15	159:18 162:2	mandates 32:2	298:22 306:2	13:15,22 14:4,9
Magnuson 46:13	183:11 213:14	52:5 230:15	markets 257:9	14:15,22 16:5,9
129:20 249:5	218:18 227:7	mandatorily 38:8	281:6,6 282:7,12	16:10 17:3 18:22
296:6	246:1,12	mandatory 110:10	market-based	19:8 20:9 27:20
Magnuson-Stevens	managed 43:9	mangrove 282:4	281:14 304:12	28:2 33:12 49:9
25:18 44:12 45:2	157:3,5 217:1	292:18 299:8	marks 191:21	61:3,6,12 62:22
117:14 213:19	225:15 245:13	308:11 309:4	198:22	63:10 65:11 66:6
230:1	251:11	mangroves 268:4	Mark's 17:18	66:12 68:3 72:1
Magnuson-Steve	management 9:9	269:2 276:1,3	marsh 278:14	77:5,21 83:4,7,15
C	C	*		
21:16	53:18,22 57:15	277:14 299:12	279:10 282:5	84:1,5 87:4,8,10
21:16 mail 7:4	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14	277:14 299:12 307:16	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11 148:4 149:18	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18 215:12 224:4	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15 158:6,10,12,21	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17 302:21 303:18,21	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21 209:8,21 210:2,18
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11 148:4 149:18 202:11 203:2	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18 215:12 224:4 227:13 229:20	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15 158:6,10,12,21 159:10,11 160:1,7	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17 302:21 303:18,21 306:7 323:4	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21 209:8,21 210:2,18 211:3 233:7
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11 148:4 149:18 202:11 203:2 270:11 317:19	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18 215:12 224:4 227:13 229:20 239:7 241:15	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15 158:6,10,12,21 159:10,11 160:1,7 176:20 190:13,20	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17 302:21 303:18,21 306:7 323:4 330:11,11,13	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21 209:8,21 210:2,18 211:3 233:7 234:20 239:11
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11 148:4 149:18 202:11 203:2 270:11 317:19 318:13	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18 215:12 224:4 227:13 229:20 239:7 241:15 242:12 243:14	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15 158:6,10,12,21 159:10,11 160:1,7 176:20 190:13,20 206:3 215:11,21	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17 302:21 303:18,21 306:7 323:4 330:11,11,13 massive 34:20,20	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21 209:8,21 210:2,18 211:3 233:7 234:20 239:11 242:17 245:4
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11 148:4 149:18 202:11 203:2 270:11 317:19 318:13 majority 290:2	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18 215:12 224:4 227:13 229:20 239:7 241:15 242:12 243:14 282:22 295:1	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15 158:6,10,12,21 159:10,11 160:1,7 176:20 190:13,20 206:3 215:11,21 219:8 220:3 250:6	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17 302:21 303:18,21 306:7 323:4 330:11,11,13 massive 34:20,20 305:6,12	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21 209:8,21 210:2,18 211:3 233:7 234:20 239:11 242:17 245:4 247:21 249:11
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11 148:4 149:18 202:11 203:2 270:11 317:19 318:13 majority 290:2 Makah 49:12	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18 215:12 224:4 227:13 229:20 239:7 241:15 242:12 243:14 282:22 295:1 316:16,17,21	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15 158:6,10,12,21 159:10,11 160:1,7 176:20 190:13,20 206:3 215:11,21 219:8 220:3 250:6 257:17,18 265:8	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17 302:21 303:18,21 306:7 323:4 330:11,11,13 massive 34:20,20 305:6,12 mat 201:19,20	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21 209:8,21 210:2,18 211:3 233:7 234:20 239:11 242:17 245:4 247:21 249:11 255:19 259:8
21:16 mail 7:4 main 34:9 36:10,14 36:17 70:19 149:3 149:10 150:13 Maine 16:2 21:2 28:9 137:17,19,20 138:16 178:13 maintain 51:19 214:20 302:16 maintenance 195:11 major 30:4 88:17 100:5 102:8 130:7 130:21,22 147:11 148:4 149:18 202:11 203:2 270:11 317:19 318:13 majority 290:2	53:18,22 57:15 58:4,5,10,14 70:21 72:19 87:18 88:5 102:2 124:22 127:21 128:5,21 128:21 129:10 130:4,9,22 131:7 131:15 132:14 134:6,22 135:1 138:10 140:13,16 148:8 157:6 162:14 167:18 168:4 186:21 190:22 193:5 206:2 213:18 215:12 224:4 227:13 229:20 239:7 241:15 242:12 243:14 282:22 295:1	277:14 299:12 307:16 manual 181:13 MAO 191:10,11 mapping 24:16,22 147:7 march 91:11 198:17 301:5 Maria 103:9 marina 151:16 marine 1:5,10 30:1 30:6 62:2 78:9 87:16,19,21 88:2 100:18 106:12 124:21 157:15 158:6,10,12,21 159:10,11 160:1,7 176:20 190:13,20 206:3 215:11,21 219:8 220:3 250:6	279:10 282:5 284:21 298:1 299:8 301:8 303:5 308:12 marshes 268:3 269:2 276:1 277:14 300:19 301:1,5 309:22 Martin 1:18 15:16 15:16 37:6,7,8 38:17 40:8 154:20 154:21 155:5,7 156:10,16,19 182:8,22 184:20 297:2 301:16,17 302:21 303:18,21 306:7 323:4 330:11,11,13 massive 34:20,20 305:6,12	84:1,5 87:4,8,10 92:9,12 94:9,13 95:1 96:14 97:9 98:3,7,12,18 110:1 113:2,5,8 115:20 119:8 121:14 123:22 145:15,19 148:11 148:18 150:22 154:4,7 156:21 161:16 165:4,8,12 185:7,10,17 186:10,13 199:8 199:14 205:21 207:7 208:5,8,21 209:8,21 210:2,18 211:3 233:7 234:20 239:11 242:17 245:4 247:21 249:11

	İ	İ	I	I
264:1,10,14,20	312:14	180:6,7 209:10	Merry 199:7	millions 280:21
286:10,17 288:9	mechanisms 214:1	319:22 323:15	message 42:16	284:6,7
295:4 297:1	216:11 235:10	Mekong 278:13	104:15 171:13	mind 22:19 27:17
301:16 312:4,10	media 102:16	member 13:3 63:16	173:14,15 247:3	55:19 95:19
313:11 315:5,13	107:14 108:16	89:18 93:17,18	messages 102:13	199:13 204:4
319:9 320:19	109:2 121:11	94:20	102:22 103:16,17	256:22
322:9,16 323:9	171:10	members 1:13 6:9	104:1 115:2,5,10	mine 290:15
324:3,10,19 325:9	medical 173:2	6:11,12 8:12 9:18	168:15	mines 291:1
326:13 328:18,21	Medina 4:5 20:4,13	10:2 11:8 30:12	messed 310:5	minibus 197:12
329:8 330:2,8,14	27:22 28:5,18	68:16 69:1,12	met 1:10 11:14	minimize 31:18
330:19,22 331:4	30:10 33:11,14	93:14,15 94:6,7	13:9 34:5 63:4	minus 188:1
331:11	35:3 36:4 37:6	97:15 119:11,16	64:8 78:7 82:21	minute 5:7 117:13
MCMILLAN 2:10	38:15 41:10 43:14	321:2 322:17	98:22	267:4
mean 21:1,16 26:1	51:20 53:7 54:16	323:14,15,16,20	meter 310:2	minutes 170:22
28:18 30:22 31:12	57:3,7,11 59:8	326:14,22 327:5	meters 310:2	182:4 186:2
32:4,7 34:17	61:5,9	327:19 328:6	methane 270:13	320:21
39:22 41:18,21	meet 12:11 74:3	329:4	303:3,13,14	miracle 210:7
43:1 44:7 45:2,14	98:22 153:17	membership 321:1	313:12	misinformation
46:2 52:10 53:2	166:17 195:1,2	members-only	methods 231:2	106:6 116:8
54:17 60:8 67:21	200:10 265:7	93:21 326:8	257:3	missed 13:6 77:10
70:2 84:12 86:11	321:9 324:21	memo 204:5	metrics 251:12	155:3,6
95:18 104:14	meeting 5:19 7:11	208:13	Mexico 37:19 38:4	mission 39:10
109:13 138:3,9	7:15,22 8:2,6,7,7	memories 95:8	39:1 70:20 72:7	172:2 174:15
144:9 153:10	8:14,15 9:14,17	memory 250:10	156:20 167:13	176:15 182:3
157:4,9,15,22	9:21,21,22 10:6	mention 52:19	Michael 63:2 73:16	Mississippi 310:9
161:5 188:20	10:20 11:18,21	174:20	75:8,14 76:4,14	mistake 110:5,5
189:8 210:5 239:8	12:2,7,8,19 13:1	mentioned 29:18	microbial 308:22	167:4
239:14,16 245:7	17:22 18:1 20:17	96:10 137:18	mid 168:9	misunderstand
246:10,13,17	21:2 33:18 59:11	161:20 187:17	middle 202:3	238:15
251:19 253:3	62:1 65:5 66:13	196:11,15 203:1	Mid-Atlantic	mitigate 257:12
260:17 265:18	67:11,13 68:18,20	232:20 254:9	129:15 138:5,16	mitigation 56:18
290:11,13,20	69:19 70:5 82:19	256:15 269:1	mid- 1995 168:9	315:15
297:21 300:17	82:20 84:10 86:13	287:19 310:21	migrated 145:5	mix 177:13 178:4
307:22 309:12	87:3,6 88:20,22	mere 112:2	151:5	247:14
310:1 313:17	89:5,19,22 90:2,4	Merrick 13:12,12	migrating 149:9	mixed 215:2 309:8
314:11 318:7	91:2,6,15 93:4,7	47:6,22 48:14	Mike 150:18	309:19 313:17
meaningful 81:3	93:16 95:9,10,12	67:19 121:18,22	miles 57:18	MMPA 158:15
means 31:15 37:11	99:2 112:17 116:2	122:12 124:1	military 34:1	190:5
49:20 97:10 155:1	170:17 174:8	144:10,16 145:1	million 29:4 40:20	mode 304:18
155:9 171:2	222:19 262:13	145:18,20 148:13	54:1 139:22	model 134:18
265:15	264:3 319:13	148:19 150:16	187:11 188:4,5,7	139:5,9 213:17
meant 216:3	320:10 323:8,18	152:4 153:7,21	189:21 190:4	215:11 254:22
222:17 240:8	324:14 330:3	154:6 155:3,6	191:2,6 192:5,12	272:10
261:12	331:6,21	156:7,14,18 158:7	192:19 193:15,16	modeling 250:16
measurable 170:9	meetings 8:10,11	159:20 162:1,22	194:3,3,4,11,18	272:7 317:15
measure 40:3	63:12 69:5,14	164:8,17 165:3,6	194:18,21,21	models 138:14
mechanism 235:14	89:8,11 97:20	165:7 254:13	196:1,6 302:6	139:1,16 255:13

272.14	202 22 240 6	222.20	125.7	NEDA 105 22
273:14	203:22 249:6	322:20	necessary 135:7	NEPA 195:22
modern 266:13	327:10,14 328:20	narrative 91:5	140:10 238:10	196:3 282:20
modes 148:2	329:13 331:9	narrow 7:19 269:7	necessity 112:5	nervous 145:6
modified 266:16	Morris 1:20 16:16	narrowly 132:15	need 5:14 7:3,9	net 289:10 292:8
moment 71:22	16:16 43:11 62:12	nation 100:15	22:1,10 31:13,14	293:1 306:15
moments 182:12	77:22 78:3 144:14	239:10	32:6 38:2,17 39:4	netting 152:21
207:1	239:13 245:6	national 1:3 9:7	40:12 41:4,9	network 79:11
momentum 44:12	263:2 297:4,17	15:12 24:9 29:20	44:17 48:6 49:21	never 148:19
69:13	299:3 326:11	57:1 61:15,17	51:13,18 54:3	168:17 172:18
money 9:20 23:1,18	mortals 112:2	62:6,10,20 71:10	55:10 56:1 63:13	233:19
26:17 46:20 49:3	mountains 32:20	72:15,17 84:12	63:15,20 65:17	new 1:11 6:11
109:14 127:17	mouth 290:15	87:15,17 88:2,5	67:10 68:9 85:2	13:13,18 14:2
183:9 195:6 196:2	move 20:8 36:5	96:2,8 101:18	85:11 86:13,16	15:10 19:9 21:19
196:4 198:8	53:6 118:20	106:12 107:18	95:20 100:3	23:9 25:7 27:12
244:11,17 248:5	121:15 131:5	114:9 115:19	110:22 111:6	28:19 29:4,8
255:2 284:8	132:13 141:17	118:22 129:3	114:22 115:7,9	40:10 43:17 45:11
294:20 299:5	165:5 178:3 185:8	181:12 206:9	121:10,10,21	46:2,2 57:4 59:18
Monica 4:5,21	199:10 220:1	220:3 227:19	126:16 128:22	66:4 77:13 93:15
12:17,21 17:7,16	235:2 253:21	229:3,8 232:3	142:2,5,11 149:19	93:17,18 94:4,5,7
19:22 20:1,9	254:2 316:19	263:4 272:3	153:11 154:1	101:14 102:16
29:16 37:8 49:10	moved 36:7 124:3	305:13 306:11,14	156:7 161:8 170:2	106:2,7 107:3,13
49:11 67:4 85:1	177:7 254:12,14	307:8 316:20	171:16 181:18	110:4 111:17
Monica's 61:16	movement 47:5	318:20 320:2,6	195:13 214:11	112:20 125:9,11
132:9	moving 95:5	322:4	216:12 218:14	128:17 129:14
monitor 162:5	103:20 131:16	nationally 143:19	230:15 238:16,22	132:3,19 134:2
monitoring 48:2,3	138:3 146:21	nations 58:15	253:8 256:7	142:3,21 143:3,5
48:15 134:11	149:2 181:22	202:3	285:15 296:19	143:15 144:6,6,17
142:15 145:21	214:8 234:11	nationwide 177:16	301:4 303:4	144:21 146:2
146:4 191:8	236:12 256:11	nation's 9:6,19	304:13 305:1,18	152:11,20 153:5
192:14	MRIP 101:14	10:19 67:21 89:16	306:4 307:1	159:22 162:9
monk 33:21 123:3	MSA 66:18 103:6	128:22 172:3	310:17 317:8	175:17 176:7
130:19 148:21,22	multinational	212:18 230:12	325:14,15,19	178:8 198:14
149:8 151:4	281:3 302:8	232:21 318:14	needed 23:17 49:18	199:17 213:17
152:13,22	multiple 102:14	native 34:8,12	161:21 227:4	220:14,15 221:19
month 124:4 175:3	305:16	natural 32:10	needing 128:8	227:15 230:17
208:20 209:4	Multi-Purpose	158:4 267:17	needs 32:5 44:20	242:6 244:6,11,16
months 7:16 38:12	87:21 92:11	299:20,21 311:16	49:14 86:18 111:3	247:1,2 251:12,20
69:5 102:7,9	Murawski 125:2	311:22 318:17	111:4 153:2 201:8	297:11 298:14
107:7 184:11		naturally 149:9	216:7 231:20	302:13 306:11
186:20	N	nature 292:20	236:3 237:22	321:2 329:16
morale 174:4	N 3:1 4:1 211:1,1,1	Naughten 14:16,19	284:16 285:8,14	newer 86:9,9
morning 14:1	naive 244:16	Navy 223:14	290:12 295:19	news 128:11 137:10
15:18,20 17:11	name 16:1 34:14	near 213:1	negative 26:3	137:11 279:2
37:7 49:11 60:17	36:1 37:8 57:9	necessarily 39:3	173:19 317:11	289:4,4
68:16 70:2 98:22	199:15 265:7	40:11 180:19	318:10	nexus 52:20 314:17
99:1 105:22	327:1,2 329:4,5	217:19 255:11	neighbor 58:6	NGOs 112:22
155:17 165:11,11	Nardi 1:20 15:9,9	327:21	neither 321:3	218:16

	l		1	1
NGSP 199:21	196:22,22 199:4	178:12,19 246:8	220:18 231:16	150:10
201:10,17 204:14	199:16 200:19,22	300:7 317:17,19	266:5 281:8	ocean 24:9 29:20
NHOL 254:8	201:21 202:16	northern 32:15	numbers 48:22	31:16 53:16 55:6
nice 138:19 175:9	203:4 211:22	271:17	188:13 189:2	61:15,17 62:6,10
175:11 184:12	213:12 216:22,22	northward 317:21	196:17 200:8	62:20 87:15 96:3
215:18 267:9	217:7 218:3	northwest 16:4	207:13	96:8 103:7 139:7
316:19	219:16,17,18,20	36:16 46:16	nut 249:21	147:12 206:9
nicely 203:21	219:21 220:1	118:20 120:14	nutrient 257:11	207:6 232:4
Nicholas 297:13	222:22 229:12	140:7 149:2,6	nutshell 105:15	267:13
night 155:17 271:9	231:9 232:1,6,12	174:9 223:5	NW 1:11	OCEANIC 1:3
288:22	232:14 248:22	258:10 313:20		oceanographer
Nile 278:13	252:4,20 256:6	Norway 280:20	0	124:20
nine 191:14 242:19	258:1 265:9,15,18	302:6 303:22	O 4:1 211:1,1,1	oceans 25:3 60:4
255:6	265:21 281:10	304:17 307:7	objective 100:5	200:19,21 202:4
nipping 115:17	282:18 285:16	NOS 63:5 135:4	206:2 216:19	202:11,20 203:3
nitrogen 257:13	290:18,22 291:15	206:17	objectives 83:3	ocean's 117:9
nitrous 270:13	295:6,9 296:4	notch 184:22	99:15 202:9,11,21	October 1:8 9:4
NMFS 3:6,10 46:20	316:14 320:8	note 5:2	203:1,2,5,11,19	10:8,13 11:20
52:3 66:21 98:16	NOAA's 23:4	notes 95:15 327:18	217:21 220:1	12:3 92:1 170:18
114:4 122:11	138:17 187:19	nothing's 7:1	237:13	176:6,7 198:10
135:2,2 136:17	216:19 219:2	notice 9:4 278:2	observation 24:4	230:13
211:14 249:4	265:11 282:10	noticed 66:19	235:1	offer 81:4
295:5,9	283:17 296:4	113:9,19 167:15	observe 58:21	office 3:5,8 13:18
NMFS-wide	NOAA-centric	170:16 259:16	observed 272:9,9	14:3,8 16:8 18:7
231:19	249:2	288:22	observer 29:4 48:7	63:3 71:2,7 72:11
NOAA 9:8 11:2,6	nobody's 91:6	notifying 183:7	49:5 123:7 146:11	76:8,12 77:20
11:17 13:13,19	116:14 145:12	notion 249:1	161:20 162:6,17	98:16 99:4,13
14:7,13 20:19	nodded 116:11	novel 134:12	163:9,14 164:4,12	111:18 148:2
24:5,22 32:7	non 257:15	November 48:22	observers 46:22	155:20 165:13
33:21 36:9 37:13	non-disclosure 7:8	187:21 198:11	143:21 192:18,22	170:4 172:6,16
45:6 46:19 62:9	non-restored	no-brainer 143:18	observing 46:21	174:5 176:12,14
62:18 63:1 69:6	279:10	no-go 91:18	164:5 316:22	177:5,21 178:20
70:8,18 74:3,8,19	non-scientist 35:12	NRCS 223:8	317:1	178:20 179:18
76:21 78:13 81:8	Nope 154:6	236:15 258:12,14	obvious 216:21	184:8 202:21
81:15 82:8 84:9	normal 168:13	NRDA 283:10	259:6	212:3,4,6 214:6
84:12,16,20,21	normally 7:14 36:1	NSA 66:22	obviously 41:16	215:8 248:7
86:7 87:1 92:14	122:15 283:22	NTSP 203:3	54:17 122:9	253:12 258:6
92:20 99:18 101:7	north 49:17 57:22	number 62:4,13	153:10 158:7	259:6
101:7 106:3 109:9	123:11 129:12	66:2 68:18 72:3	173:18 201:6,7	officer 166:9 169:5
110:5 114:19	137:15 138:3	80:20 92:3 106:5	206:11 212:10	officers 168:19,22
116:3,5,5,10,21	147:22 271:13	109:10 122:4	213:3,4,7 229:18	172:1,16 173:6
117:11 121:5	318:5	126:17 141:15	232:18 246:10	177:14,18 184:3,4
123:6 138:20,22	Northeast 47:7,14	154:8 163:13	256:16 293:13	officers/investiga
152:10,16,22	127:10 137:4,8	170:11 188:9	296:3 314:7	181:4
155:9 167:3,10	145:8 146:1	189:17 196:19	occur 223:14	offices 130:10
177:21 179:8	164:11 174:13	197:4,6 202:9	occurred 151:4	138:7 180:21
183:8 191:10	176:8 177:19	203:17 204:21	occurring 33:20	196:3 296:11
	ı	ı	1	1

official 2:4 4:17	once 89:8 150:21	optics 90:16 143:1	119:17 151:1	paint 106:20
211:16 238:1	160:17 224:6	146:5	153:22 325:21,22	1 -
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	painted 108:1
officially 76:10	235:19 279:16	optimistic 24:2 25:16	overall 217:20	109:9
officials 56:5	308:14		272:18 307:3,5	pair-wise 199:5 Pam 324:6
offset 190:16	onerous 31:3	option 10:4	overarching	
192:11 304:14	ones 41:13 48:1	options 244:1	125:21 217:20	panel 21:10
offsets 284:9	99:22 142:7	op-eds 118:14	overcome 236:9	panic 120:11,20
offsetting 304:10	292:11 293:18 325:15	orange 126:21	overfished 26:5	121:13
offshore 25:8,9		187:12	117:10	paper 109:5 327:4
246:7,9,12,16	one's 92:8	order 5:4 9:18 13:1	overfishing 100:13	papers 4:18 137:7
247:13,16 OFF 40-22	one-day 9:22	39:5,5,8 59:15	128:13	paperwork 328:10
OFL 40:22	one-year 83:17	68:12 189:16	overlap 181:8	paradigm 130:3
oh 14:11 20:5 33:10	ongoing 85:7	191:13 192:11	overlay 225:3,16	parallel 77:2
33:11,15 92:2	222:16 259:11,18 260:4	195:1 196:16	oversight 179:5,9	Pardon 324:3
95:10 155:7		299:6	oversimplification	park 305:13 306:11
198:18 199:14	online 8:1 145:9	orders 276:14	215:4	306:14,20 307:8
283:14 289:1	open 68:7 92:16	Oregon 258:13	overview 43:12	Parks 183:6
304:15 330:22	105:17 149:13	organic 267:9	122:15 216:18	parse 161:4
oil 25:9 195:17	153:11 172:19	310:3,10	over-capitalized	part 24:17 27:8
217:14 267:17	281:6	organization 80:1	41:3	29:14 30:15 35:11
283:12	opening 3:3 4:6	120:4	over-fishing 214:5	43:8 58:3,7 64:3
okay 13:22 14:4,22	17:8,17 20:3,12	organizational	214:9	68:19,22 71:17,20
19:1 20:13 27:20	28:21 111:19	223:20	ownership 41:7	80:2 89:19 114:18
33:16 37:6 61:12	212:10	organizations	oxide 270:13	126:12 131:3
62:22 63:10,10	operate 123:16	59:15 101:8	oyster 213:7	134:21 135:2,6
77:21 78:5 83:15	operates 123:15	organize 109:4	223:22	136:4,13 138:17
84:1,5,6 87:9,10	operating 156:12	organized 9:8	O'Shea 2:2 10:18	147:11 153:21
92:12 97:7,10	187:20,22 195:13	201:16 324:16	12:10,14 16:19,19	155:6 170:4
98:6,10,12 135:14	196:12,15	orient 52:7	105:21 108:20	173:12 174:12,15
140:1 145:19	operational 47:17	orientation 94:5	109:1,7,13,19	175:9,12 176:11
149:17 154:7	145:10	orienting 52:2	P	177:19 181:20
156:18,21 157:13	operations 31:11	originally 126:7	P 4:1 306:9	185:22 234:16
161:16 165:5	33:2 181:13	ostensibly 123:12	paces 129:11	241:11 249:18
185:7 186:7,13	196:13 197:3	ought 260:19	Pacific 11:14 16:4	254:16 255:8
209:8,21 249:11	259:20	288:18	46:16 49:15 58:3	258:5,6 260:5
264:1,9,10,19	opinion 295:8	outlandish 120:11	59:11 123:12	264:4 279:14,21
302:18 312:10	opponents 119:3	outlet 107:14	129:12 140:6	281:7 283:11,14
316:3 319:10	opportunities	outlets 102:17	142:5 147:22	283:16 284:16
320:20 322:9	11:10 103:14	106:6 108:16	150:17 174:7,8	307:15 311:16,18
325:9 329:8	319:18	109:2	196:5 223:10	326:8,15
old 149:7 271:8	opportunity 6:22	outlier 187:14	packets 231:14	partially 201:4
273:20 289:1	10:13 11:20 98:21	outline 75:18	page 7:2 203:13	PARTICIPANT
older 318:7	100:19 103:10	outlook 3:7 18:11	329:3	191:22
OLE 167:3 175:17	118:18 167:11	122:11 126:9	pages 38:3 156:13	participate 10:14
182:17	200:13 205:19	outrage 113:16	pages 38:3 130:13	265:1
OMB 209:4,4,5	265:6 320:1,3,6	outreach 44:2	pain 128:16	participation 11:11
onboard 143:22	opposed 94:17	111:17 112:10	Paii 120.10	84:16

particular 32:5	327:3,5,7 329:1,5	86:20 87:22 89:10	personalities	pile 301:19
39:20 86:21 95:21	327.3,3,7 329.1,3	94:1 97:11 98:4	116:11	pilot 134:16 144:15
120:21 181:12	path 214:8 229:22	99:18 105:13	personality 153:10	144:16,21 259:10
234:4,5 249:10	300:22	107:21 108:12	personally 34:5	259:13 260:14
252:7 292:17	paths 107:9	110:8,9,11,18,20	personnel 173:18	pilots 251:21,22
319:14	Patty 323:4,12	110.8,9,11,18,20	personner 173.18 perspective 20:19	phots 231.21,22 place 4:7 5:18 7:13
particularly 27:12	324:7	111.7,22 112.13	100:8 107:5	11:14 17:9 19:3
45:16 88:12 104:5	Paul 1:16 16:3	115:17,20,21	111:12 207:18	19:21 21:13,22
107:13 136:10	46:14,16 154:20	119:2,4,11,13	305:15	26:4,9 32:15
137:13 139:18	161:16 199:20	120:18 121:11,18	pesticides 130:18	35:15 43:4 46:4
140:16 142:2	323:21	125:8 134:1 137:2	Pete 71:7	53:5 64:1 85:9
195:12 200:14	Pause 69:22	151:21 153:11	petition 235:6	110:12 119:2
270:19 271:13,16	Pawlak 2:10 19:6,7	151.21 153.11 154:1,8 163:5	ph 180:10	153:19 171:16
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		-	
284:4,12 285:3 289:21 309:22	19:7,10 211:13,15 233:17 236:6	189:8,10,11 207:2 211:6 219:11	phase 204:20 phenomena 137:3	186:18,22 201:19 201:20 213:20
			-	
parties 108:7 partly 310:9	237:6 240:1 246:5 250:9 252:13	231:9 232:12 233:8 244:5	Phil 15:13 255:20 259:8 321:3	214:1,1 222:10 225:9 234:14
partner 184:16				
222:9 260:7	253:9 258:4,22 259:5 260:20	255:13 261:16	Philadelphia 12:15 PHILLIP 1:17	236:17 238:9 240:3,7 250:17
		266:19 275:12		,
partnering 223:8	261:19 264:9,12	282:1,8 283:12	philosophy 163:2	258:17 259:6
partners 24:9	pay 90:14 195:17	293:18 294:6	phone 64:8 120:16	290:4 298:15
102:20 103:2	268:17 282:7	299:12 304:13,14	photosynthesis	299:1 303:12
107:20 181:6,6	286:7	316:8 319:4	267:8	308:20 327:17
205:6 218:15	paying 22:6 46:21	322:21 327:19	phrasing 228:19	places 19:2 26:8
229:7 284:3	53:10 89:9 280:20	people's 151:3	physical 302:22	39:18 59:21 132:5
partnership 248:20	298:22	percent 48:7,12	Ph.D 124:22	141:21 210:13
257:22 258:21	payments 257:4,18	49:4 53:4 151:13	pick 236:13 240:14	218:18 227:5
partnerships 46:5	Payne 63:4	161:20 162:18,20	241:12 260:12	258:15 261:18
182:20 248:13	payoff 299:10	163:6,9,14 169:18	296:17	268:15,17 269:8
256:14,15,19	peat 310:3	188:1,5,22 195:5	picked 126:12	277:7,8,10 284:13
258:11 259:2	Pebble 290:15	195:14 196:2,16	240:6,7	294:11 298:10
parts 22:12 36:14	peer 317:3	197:4 311:3	picking 242:10	309:21 310:2
126:11 130:10	Peg 2:7 186:1	perfectly 136:1	picks 117:17	plain 111:22
133:17 134:19	199:15 209:6	perimeter 202:6	picture 9:10 106:20	plan 10:19 25:11
166:10 171:21	Peggy 210:4	period 29:12 83:18	109:9 159:17	25:13 65:9 69:10
173:9 202:16	pejorative 81:22	88:10 149:13	176:15 198:18	70:21 73:1,8,14
219:18 232:6	pen 6:15 7:4	185:12 271:2	201:3	73:21 80:16 82:10
pass 4:18 114:20	pending 44:7	permanent 84:3	pictures 160:15	91:22 96:4 101:18
188:16 191:11	pens 152:21	permit 112:1	picturing 310:22	121:10 123:12
197:15 198:14	people 11:9 14:5	256:10	piece 62:1 96:8	148:3 188:6
passed 5:1 213:16	28:3 33:9 41:8,16	permitting 72:19	150:1 224:13	190:12 191:2
264:8 290:6	42:14,18 45:14,18	190:10 262:4	227:8 228:14	192:18,20,21
passing 76:22	47:4 52:21 53:10	perpetuity 307:10	234:17 284:11,11	193:4,17,19 194:4
168:16	54:1,4,13 55:9,19	person 63:6 90:13	285:22 286:3	194:19,22 199:21
passion 173:6	62:4,14 63:19	122:8 173:2	311:15 327:4	201:8 202:10,12
passions 167:15	64:8 69:7 75:4	262:20 330:7	pieces 262:3 305:9	203:5,9,12,13
password 94:1,3	78:14 80:4,11	personal 174:15	305:10	204:14 206:18

210 2 222 10	101 17 011 00	(1.15.10.60.60	107.17	252.10
219:3 223:18	121:17 211:20	61:15,18 62:6,9	possible 197:17	premise 252:18
227:17 236:19	235:7	62:10,19,20 70:8	280:11	preparation 316:8
249:16 252:17	pleasure 200:9	70:9 71:15 72:15	possibly 22:11	prepare 202:12
253:3,11 254:11	plenary 320:17	73:10 74:13,14,18	26:17 31:17	262:13 316:4,5,6
254:17 282:22	plenty 61:20 64:21	74:20,20 77:8	285:16 294:3	316:7,10 317:9
322:5	plug 291:15 308:5	79:13 84:8,9,13	post 8:4 10:16	prepared 169:3
planet 266:20	315:12	86:15 93:12 96:3	posted 93:21 94:19	204:21 318:10,11
267:3,10 269:9,22	plugged 167:20	96:8 125:22 206:9	94:20 327:20	preparing 166:17
270:18 273:10	176:3 181:21	207:6 220:13,15	328:1	204:2
276:16 277:15	plumbing 255:17	225:22 228:16	posters 116:5	prescriptive 149:22
281:5 286:3	plus 189:9 305:21	229:13 237:14	postpone 44:17	presence 49:6
planet's 267:12	point 10:10 38:1	238:11 243:14	potential 8:12	50:19 80:11 161:9
planned 134:7	39:12,14 44:22	256:12 257:10,22	10:13 12:1 30:7	PRESENT 1:13 2:3
planning 9:11 10:8	54:21 66:7,17	265:10	85:10 149:18	2:6
10:8 11:5,10 12:6	68:7 70:2 78:14	policymakers	188:4 189:12	presentation 74:2
24:6,17 25:9 30:1	81:9 87:1 89:5	22:20	potentially 118:4	122:13 125:18,19
30:6 56:20 64:18	91:17 92:6,9	political 107:16	118:13 178:22	125:20 126:8
65:7 78:11 86:15	97:16 98:9 105:6	109:17	220:14 229:13	150:6 154:12,22
87:19 88:19 90:6	112:11 115:4	poll 8:1,1,3 9:4,17	230:10 258:11	170:19,21 171:1
90:9 96:7 199:16	126:16 129:4,17	10:15	298:20 318:6	185:20 186:11
200:2,15 201:2	138:15 154:5	pollock 139:3,12	pouring 58:17	187:18 199:20
204:12,20 206:3	204:10 205:2	pollution 257:13	296:11	203:22 205:4
265:11 282:16	219:5 224:5 227:9	Polynesia 57:22	power 295:10	206:13 211:12
323:2 325:5	236:18 238:2,4,7	ponds 311:1	304:19	239:14 249:15
plans 79:2,5,6,16	238:15,21 242:15	Pooley 153:13	powerful 47:6	258:5 264:15
79:17,18 80:2,15	247:20 251:14	pops 250:13	58:15	266:10 288:14
101:14 129:22	261:14,20 262:5	population 51:8	PPI 200:3	293:13,15 301:19
138:10 186:22	262:10 276:5	53:4 247:7 250:8	PR 113:21	312:5,8 319:12,13
190:10 203:7	289:19 291:2	251:12 270:3,3,3	practical 90:13	320:12
206:8 282:22	293:14 296:22,22	270:14	practice 93:13	presentations
320:8	301:3,9 307:20	populations 214:12	practices 72:5	17:16 70:6 122:20
plant 276:9 302:12	308:6 329:11	219:8 249:19	112:7 227:13	126:2
302:16 320:2,14	pointed 155:11	271:5 318:6	precautionary	presented 78:16
plants 267:6 274:5	249:6 291:4	port 109:11,15	190:9	presently 212:3
276:2 304:19	pointing 208:17	183:3	precision 141:10	preset 230:6
308:19,21	points 52:14 70:19	portal 85:16	162:13 163:4,7	President 22:21
plates 5:5	115:10 126:11	portfolio 200:20	precluded 235:12	President's 127:1
platform 105:5,13	133:15 159:14	portions 239:4	predation 159:2	187:17 198:5
platforms 147:1	235:18 291:11,11	Portland 21:1,2	160:2,2,8	presiding 1:12
play 50:14 51:7	point's 91:14	109:4	predetermined	press 106:1 108:21
65:14 67:16 81:19	polar 136:19	ports 109:15	230:7	116:17 117:17
104:1 308:7	policies 63:2	Portsmouth 15:10	predict 55:1,2	172:9 173:19
played 66:21	114:21 228:21	posed 316:13	predicting 32:14	pressed 127:18
playing 24:14	policy 9:10 16:8	position 129:1	predictions 32:18	pressure 23:21
61:18 101:2 318:2	22:2 24:10 25:2	166:6,12,22 175:8	preference 11:17	138:1
please 27:17,19	29:20 35:13,14	185:4 189:8 238:1	preliminary 9:12	presume 68:14
78:5 83:6 84:7	39:14 41:19 45:11	positive 290:8	10:6 12:4	pretty 26:13 30:1
				1
	Į	I	I	I

233:24-33-44 241:3-244-9 241:3-244-9 243:13-244-9 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:18 243:14-16 243:14 243:16 243:15 243:19 243:17 243:18 243:16 243:18	22 20 42 4 4	241 2 244 0	11 47.0.11	20 17 46 4 70 7	1 4 51 2 21 6 22
123:14,16 124:18	33:20 43:4,4	241:3 244:9	problems 47:8,11	30:17 46:4 70:7	protect 51:3 216:22
126:18 181:16					
189:22 192:16 263:77 259:3 proceed 216:15 process 26:18 31:1 190:2 193:7 203:15 254:19 269:20 proactively 118:10 48:15 49:6 61:20 127:7 134:4.7,13 328:13 300:18 63:15 66:4 86:3 137:19 140:13 323:3 325:5 189:19 190:16,20 180:12 177:13 144:7,13 prevent 228:21 99:10 102:1 167:19,21 179:10 proinage 248:2 108:11,12 109:17 180:12 181:21 previnus 299:17 103:13 105:2 167:19,21 179:10 proinage 248:2 135:17 137:12,14 201:3 204:18 263:5 282:20 programs 18:8 77:20 146:12 profecting 172:2 179:7 200:17 175:20 177:12 175:20 177:12 175:20 177:12 175:20 189:13 149:10 profecting 172:2 profecting 172:2 profecting 172:2 profecting 172:2 profecting 173:3 203:20 22.3 17:14 primacy 147:20 175:20 177:12 178:7 180:3 179:4 181:11,16 279:18 283:22 246:11 309:1 185:22 189:7 330:21 190:7 191:18 227:22 229:4 prime 244:4 prime 244:4 prime 244:4 prime 244:4 prime 244:4 prime 21:2 22:2 221:2 221:2 221:2 221:2 221:2 221:2 221:2 221:2 223:12 223:13 223:12 223:13 23:13 223:13 23:13 223:13 23:13 223:13 23:13 223:13 23:13 233:	· ·	1 -		,	
211:18 213:22 216:21 232:15 273:3 277:10 280:13,13 286:1 290:10 02:1 103:13 105:2 103:13 105:2 103:13 105:2 1103:13		*	1		
216:21 232:15 254:19 269:20 273:3 277:10 280:13,13 286:1 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 323:11 288:13 00:18 310:15 20:17 328:13 00:20 103:13 105:2 103:13 105:2 103:13 105:2 1100:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10			-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
254:19 269:20 273:3 277:10 280:13.13 286:1 288:1 300:18 310:15 323:11 89:4 91:17,19 151:18 155:13 151:18 15:15 151:18 155:13 151:18 15:15 151:18 155:13 151:18 15:15 151:18 155:13 151:18 15:15 151:18 155:13 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:15 151:18 15:16 152:19 23:19 20:10 152:19 23:19 24:19 24:19 151:19 214:15 151:19 24:12 24:19 151:19 214:15 151:19 214:15 151:19 214:15 151:19 214:			-		-
273:3 277:10 280:13,13 286:1 48:15 49:6 61:20 127:7 134:47,13 310:15 323:11 289:4 91:17,19 151:18 155:13 279:revious 299:17 270:rimacy 248:2 270:rimarily 178:12 270:rimary 177:20 178:7 180:3 179:4 181:11,16 279:18 244:4 279:18 283:2 278:18 20:12 29:4 279:19 21:22 29:4 270:10 21:22 29:4 270:11 181:1 270:17 21:1 270:17 21:2 270:18 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:10 21:2 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 29:4 270:12 20:22 20:15 270:12 20:15 270:12 20:16 270:18 20:19 29:19 270:18 20:22 29:4 270:18 20:22 29:4 270:18 20:22 29:4 270:18 20:22 29:4 270:18 20:22 29:4 270:18 20:22 29:4 270:18 20:22 20:15 270:22 20:4:15 20:22 20:4:15 270:18 20:22 20:4:15 270:19 20:10 270:10 20:4:10 20:22 270:10 20:4:10 20:22 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:22 20:4:15 270:10 20:2		1 -			
280:13,13 286:1 288:1 300:18 310:15 323:11 prevent 228:21 previous 299:17 primacy 248:2 primacy 248:2 primaryl 178:12 179:17 220:17 269:18 primaryl 77:20 178:7 180:3 179:4 181:11,16 246:11 309:1 330:21 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:7 191:18 190:10 190:10 primicy 244:4 principles 216:15 priorititis 92:16 100:7,11 181:1 197:19,21 203:20 204:15 207:10,15 217:8,19 220:10 224:15 232:7 220:15 246:20 260:11 240:19 243:7 235:11 priorititization 209:10 228:6 prioritization 209:10 228:6 prioritized 208:22 228:9 priorititized 208:22 228:9 222:13 228:12 221:1 226:15 222:13 228:12 222:13 228:12 222:13 228:12 222:13 228:12 222:13 228:12 222:13 228:12 221:1 266:15 273:22 228:9 222:13 228:12 221:1 266:15 273:22 228:9 222:17:75:7,197:873 275:16 189:13,14 194:10 199:18 199:16(12 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,12 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 189:18 190:16,17 199:1 192:14,15 199:1 192:14,15 199:1 199:1 192:14,15 199:1 199:1 199:1 199:18 199:18 190:16,17 199:1 190:10 199:18 199:18 190:16,17 190:10 190:20 128:18 141:16 203:6,11 246:12 267:20 146:12 179:14 48:19 199:18 190:16,17 179:20 146:12 180:12 149:1 199:10 203:20 201:17 246:12 267:2 2249:1 100:12 124:18 219:1 246:2 234:18 243:12 27:2 246:2 236:13 190:10 246:12 27:2 2294 246:12 204:		, <u>-</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
288:1 300:18 310:15 323:11 prevent 28:21 previous 299:17 primary 248:2 primary 178:12 179:17 220:17 178:7 180:3 178:7 180:1 180:12 181:21 199:17 200:16 199:18 190:16,17 191:1 192:14,15 190:10 46:12 263:5 28:20 100:20 128:18 141:16 203:5 28:12 100:20 128:18 141:16 203:5 24:16,20 100:10 180:21 183:12 181:1 180:18 190:16,17 191:1 192:14,15 199:18 190:16,17 191:1 192:14,15 199:17 200:16 199:18 190:16,17 191:14 190:10 199:17 200:16 199:18 190:16,17 191:14 190:19 199:17 200:16 199:17 200:16 199:18 190:16,17 191:14 190:19 199:17 200:16 199:18 190:16,17 191:14 190:19 190:19 191:14,15 190:10 190:14,15 190:10 190:16 190:10 191:41 190:10 190:10 191:41 190:10 190:10 191:41 190:10 190:20 128:18 141:16 203:12 29:1 141:16 203:12 19:1 141:16 203:12 19:1 141:16 203:12 19:1 141:16 203:11 141:16 203:11 14		, <u>-</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
310:15 323:11 89:4 91:17,19 151:18 155:13 167:19,21 179:10 189:18 190:16,17 17:20 179:17 220:17 135:17 137:12,14 201:3 204:18 140:2 167:8 173:3 205:13 209:7,17 246:11 309:1 185:22 189:7 330:21 190:7 191:18 302:2,22 317:14 297:94 189:18 190:19 248:2 270:20 212:2,5 270:17 188:22 270:17 188:20 270:2 229:4 270:17 181:1 270:16 270:10 270:16 270:10 270:16 270:10 270:16 270:10 270:16 270:17 270:17 270:17 270:17 270:17 270:17 270:17 270:18 283:22 270:17 270:18 283:22 270:19 270:19 270:10 270:	*		, ,	1 0	
prevent 228:21 previous 299:17 proinage 248:2 primary 178:12 primary 178:12 179:17 220:17 135:17 137:12,14 269:18 140:2 167:8 173:3 209:17:12 178:7 180:3 246:11 309:1 190:7 17:12 178:7 180:3 246:11 309:1 190:7 191:18 22189:7 330:21 190:7 191:18 302:2 2317:14 primary 244:4 primary 211 218:20 primary 171:20 190:7 191:18 302:2 2317:14 primary 244:4 principles 216:15 prior 71:14 88:20 prior 71:14 88:20 prior 71:14 88:20 204:15 207:10 15 227:2 229:4 246:15 232:7 238:16,20 239:16 240:19 243:7 238:16,20 239:16 240:19 243:7 238:16,20 239:16 240:19 243:7 238:16,20 239:16 240:19 243:7 238:16,20 239:16 240:19 243:7 238:16,20 239:16 290:19 294:19 prointitize 49:21 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 238:1 288:13 300:19 produced 37:18 238:3 309:1 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 238:2 238:9 238:13 288:13 283:13 283:13 283:13 298:19 produce 137:8 238:13 300:10 protocol 281:9 11 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 238:1 288:13 300:19 produced 37:18 238:3 300:19 produced 37:18 238:3 300:19 produced 37:18 238:3 300:19 produced 37:8 238:3 300:1 prioritize 49:21				,	
previous 299:17 primacy 248:2 103:13 105:2 180:12 181:21 189:18 190:16,17 protecting 172:2 172:2 protecting 172:2 protecting 172:2 172:2 protecting 172:2 172:2 protecting 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 172:2 173:12 173:17 12 185:18 187:14 191:1 192:14,15 191:1 192:14,15 203:3 204:18 203:3 204:18 203:3 204:18 203:3 204:18 203:5 282:20 protection 34:14 203:5 282:20 protection 34:14 203:2 54:16,20 277:12 248:1 264:6 267:7 74:22 88:21 89:16 100:20 128:18 231:4 233:16 203:14 224:7 246:3 275:9,16 100:18 190:21 203:14 224:7 246:3 275:9,16 203:14 224:7 246:3 275:9,16 203:14 224:7 246:3 275:9,16 226:3 224:1 227:2 225:3 231:1,14 203:14 224:7 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16 246:3 275:9,16		1			
primacy 248:2 108:11,12 109:17 186:18 187:14 191:1 192:14,15 214:18 219:6 277:12 primarily 178:12 122:3 129:7 132:6 186:18 187:14 199:17 200:16 193:8 194:14 277:12 277:12 279:18 283:22 protection 34:14 36:2 54:16;20 36:2 54:16;20 protection 34:14 36:2 54:16;20 36:2 54:16;20 protection 34:14 36:2 54:16;20 37:14 36:2 54:16;20 37:14	1 -		,		
Primarily 178:12 122:3 129:7 132:6 199:17 200:16 209:13 204:18 269:18 140:2 167:8 173:3 205:13 209:7,17 74:22 88:21 89:16 100:18 190:21 178:7 180:3 179:4 181:11,16 279:18 283:22 279:18 283:17,14 279:18 283:22 279:18 283:17,14 279:19,21 203:20 231:8 232:13,22 231:13 203:18 232:13 229:19 294:19 240:19 243:7 288:17,18,20 279:11 279:1	1 -			,	_
179:17 220:17	2	'			
269:18 primary 140:2 167:8 173:3 175:20 177:12 178:7 180:3 175:20 177:12 178:7 180:3 179:4 181:11,16 179:4 181:11,16 185:22 189:7 295:9 301:20 185:22 189:7 295:9 301:20 190:7 191:18 30:22 22 317:14 203:14 224:7 prioritipes 21:615 206:20 212:2,5 206:20 212:2,5 206:15 207:10,15 226:22 229:4 197:19,21 203:20 231:8 232:13,22 204:15 207:10,15 226:15 226:15 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 224:15 232:10 239:16 240:19 243:7 335:11 309:14 310:19 produced 137:8 235:11 309:14 310:19 produced 137:8 235:22 236:13 prioritized 208:22 279:14 235:5,18 235:22 236:13 problem 34:4 47:14 58:14 116:9 218:9 produced 55:7 projectized 208:22 228:9 prioritized 208:22 228:15 236:13 productivity 26:6 247:6 250:18 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 225:16 140:2 167:8 173:3 177 74:22 88:21 89:16 100:18 190:21 233:14 224:7 probibit 149:21 project 65:5 224:1 24:6 234:18 protocol 281:9,11 243:12 27:8 project 88:6 projects 82:6 152:16 259:10,13 260:14 261:21 246:25 27:8 300:1 project 82:6 152:16 259:10,13 260:14 261:21 299:11 296:5 305:20 prototype 243:4 304:4,5 306:19 produced 137:8 298:19 producing 270:15 producing 270:15 producing 270:15 project 65:7 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 proposal 36:10,15 129:25 208:4 prioritized 208:22 2213 228:12 246:15 273:22 278:9 profit 143:10 prosecuted 141:13 providing 48:2 84:19 88:3 102:1 205:13 209:7,17 74:22 88:29 89:16 141:16 203:6,11 203:6					
primary 177:20 175:20 177:12 248:1 264:6 267:7 74:22 88:21 89:16 100:18 190:21 178:7 180:3 179:4 181:11,16 279:18 283:22 100:20 128:18 231:4 233:16 246:11 309:1 185:22 189:7 295:9 301:20 30:22 30:20 30:20 248:1 264:6 267:7 74:22 88:21 89:16 100:20 128:18 231:4 233:16 246:23 275:9,16 295:9 301:20 30:22,22 317:14 200:20 20:36:11 248:1 264:6 267:7 74:22 88:21 89:16 100:20 128:18 231:4 233:16 246:3 275:9,16 290:19 290:1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_
178:7 180:3 246:11 309:1 185:22 189:7 295:9 301:20 302:22 2317:14 233:16 246:3 275:9,16 206:20 212:2,5 207:10;15 217:17 218:22 227:22 229:4 227:22 229:4 227:22 229:4 227:22 229:15 224:15 232:7 228:16 224:15 232:7 238:16,20 239:16 240:19 243:7 239:14 306:19 230:14 325:3 230:14 325:3 230:14 325:3 230:14 325:3 230:14 325:3 230:120 230:14 224:15 230:15 230:15 230:15 230:15 230:15 230:16				1 0	
246:11 309:1 330:21 185:22 189:7 190:7 191:18 295:9 301:20 302:2,22 317:14 302:2,22 317:14 203:14 224:7 prioritize 42:42 246:3 275:9,16 protective 100:16 124:6 23 275:9,16 protective 100:16 prime 244:4 principles 216:15 prioritize 92:16 100:7,11 181:1 227:22 229:4 204:15 207:10,15 217:8,19 220:10 224:15 232:7 238:16,20 239:16 238:16,20 239:16 240:19 243:7 309:14 310:19 prioritize 49:21 prioritize 4 208:22 228:9 290:19 294:19 298:13 306:19 311:16 314:8 209:19 243:1 229:14 235:5,18 235:22 236:13 246:20 260:11 240:19 243:7 309:14 310:19 311:16 314:8 229:14 235:5,18 229:14 235:5,18 290:19 294:19 298:13 306:19 311:16 314:8 229:14 235:5,18 220:15 288:3 309:1 290:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 229:14 235:5,18 220:15 220:15 productive 85:17 243:21 268:1 productive 85:17 243:21 268:1 productive 85:17 243:21 268:1 productive 26:6 247:6 250:18 221:17 318:2 246:3 275:9,16 protective 100:16 protective 100:16 protective 100:16 protective 100:16 protective 100:16 project 65:5 224:1 243:12 27:24 281:15,18 284:17 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 298:19 promote 79:11 promoting 101:21 proportion 253:20 proportion 253:20 proportion 253:20 proportion 253:20 proportion 253:20 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 294:16 303:11 provided 192:7 provide 192:7 provide 192:7 provide 192:7 provide 192:7 provide 192:7 246:3 275:9,16 302:12,22 317:14 301:14:16:20 321:12 24:18 project 65:5 224:1 projected 318:6 projected 318:6 proje	•				
330:21 190:7 191:18 302:2,22 317:14 203:14 224:7 protective 100:16 prime 244:4 198:3 204:6 329:10,16,20 prohibit 149:21 protective 100:16 prior 71:14 88:20 206:20 212:2,5 331:7,14 project 65:5 224:1 243:12 272:8 project 65:5 224:1 281:15,18 284:17 priorities 92:16 221:2 226:15 190:10 projected 318:6 284:20 305:21 284:20 305:21 197:19,21 203:20 231:8 232:13,22 231:8 232:13,22 222:12 226:15 projected 318:6 284:20 305:21 284:20 305:21 204:15 207:10,15 246:20 260:11 produce 37:11 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 298:19 306:19 produced 137:8 298:19 298:19 298:19 294:19 231:15 308:19 promising 45:22 promising 45:22 promising 45:22 promote 79:11 200:15 86:22 105:4,11 86:22 105:4,11 123:4 130:8 131:1 136:13 13:1 137:4 136:1 137:4 130:8 138:18 139:18 139:18 138:18 139:18 139:18 135:4 136:7 138:6 136:22 135:4 136:13 136:22 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
prime 244:4 198:3 204:6 329:10,16,20 prohibit 149:21 protocol 281:9,11 prior 71:14 88:20 217:17 218:22 processes 178:21 project 65:5 224:1 243:12 272:8 protocol 281:9,11 priorities 92:16 221:2 226:15 190:10 project 65:5 224:1 284:20 305:21 100:7,11 181:1 227:22 229:4 processing 267:18 project 85:5 224:1 284:20 305:21 204:15 207:10,15 246:20 260:11 produce 37:11 260:14 261:21 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 217:8,19 220:10 288:17,18,20 produced 137:8 298:19 promosing 45:22 promotosol 282:1 240:19 243:7 309:14 310:19 producers 246:11 producing 270:15 220:15 220:15 producing 270:15 promoting 101:21 promoting 101:21 provide 23:6 81:17 86:22 105:4,11 86:22 105:4,11 proper 7:20 proper 7:20 135:4 136:7 138:6 p					
principles 216:15 206:20 212:2,5 331:7,14 project 65:5 224:1 protocol 281:9,11 prior 71:14 88:20 217:17 218:22 331:7,14 project 65:5 224:1 243:12 272:8 protocol 281:9,11 priorities 92:16 221:2 226:15 220:12 226:15 190:10 project 83:6 284:20 305:21 197:19,21 203:20 231:8 232:13,22 231:8 232:13,22 processing 267:18 321:22 306:1 protocols 282:1 204:15 207:10,15 246:20 260:11 produce 37:11 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 produced 137:8 298:19 prototols 282:1 285:14 304:4,5 240:19 243:7 309:14 310:19 produced 137:8 298:19 promote 79:11 200:15 86:22 105:4,11 prioritize 49:21 311:16 314:8 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 229:14 235:4 prioritized 208:22 228:12 240:64 24:10 247:6 250:18 proposals 296:3,12 297:18 proposals 296:3,12 297:18			, and the second		_
prior 71:14 88:20 217:17 218:22 processes 178:21 243:12 272:8 243:12 272:8 224:15 284:17 284:20 305:21 priorities 92:16 100:7,11 181:1 227:22 229:4 processing 267:18 321:22 projects 82:6 projects 82:6 306:1 protocols 282:1 284:20 305:21 306:1 protocols 282:1 284:20 305:21 306:1 protocols 282:1 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 298:19 protocols 282:1 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 298:19 protocopects 82:6 152:16 259:10,13 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 298:19 protocopects 282:1 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 298:19 protocyle 243:4	1 -		, ,	-	
priorities 92:16 221:2 226:15 190:10 projected 318:6 284:20 305:21 100:7,11 181:1 227:22 229:4 processing 267:18 321:22 projects 82:6 306:1 protocols 282:1 204:15 207:10,15 246:20 260:11 produce 37:11 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 285:14 304:4,5 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 produced 137:8 298:19 298:19 producer 246:11 promising 45:22 prowles 23:6 prowles 23:6 prowles 246:11 prowles 246:11 prowles 23:6 promote 79:11 209:19 243:4 produced 137:8 209:19 294:19 producers 246:11 producers 246:11 promote 79:11 prowles 23:6 prowles 23:6 81:17 prowles 23:6 prowles 23:6 81:17 86:22 105:4,11 proporting 10:21 proporting 10:21 proporting 10:21 proporting 10:21 proporting 23:4 proporting 23:4 proporting 25:20 proporting 25:20 proposal 36:10,15 243			,	2 0	_
100:7,11 181:1 227:22 229:4 projects 82:6 306:1 197:19,21 203:20 231:8 232:13,22 246:20 260:11 321:22 produce 37:11 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 285:14 304:4,5 305:20 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 288:17,18,20 298:13 306:19 290:19 294:19 290:19 294:19 290:19 294:19 290:19 294:19 290:19 294:19 290:19 294:19 290:19 294:19 290:19 294:19 290:10 298:6 298:13 306:19 290:10 298:6 290:19 294:19 290:10 298:6	_		_		,
197:19,21 203:20 231:8 232:13,22 321:22 produce 37:11 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 217:8,19 220:10 261:15 263:4 160:3 277:20 295:11 296:5 305:20 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 produced 137:8 298:19 prototype 243:4 238:16,20 239:16 290:19 294:19 231:15 308:19 promising 45:22 proud 180:13 240:19 243:7 309:14 310:19 producers 246:11 promote 79:11 220:15 provide 23:6 81:17 209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 product 65:7 promoting 101:21 86:22 105:4,11 229:14 235:5,18 47:14 58:14 116:9 productive 85:17 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 182:15 proposed 151:11 318:22 328:7 277:5,7,19 278:3 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1	1 -				
204:15 207:10,15 246:20 260:11 produce 37:11 260:14 261:21 285:14 304:4,5 217:8,19 220:10 261:15 263:4 160:3 277:20 295:11 296:5 305:20 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 produced 137:8 298:19 prottype 243:4 238:16,20 239:16 290:19 294:19 231:15 308:19 producers 246:11 promote 79:11 prove 250:7 325:11 309:14 310:19 product 65:7 product 65:7 promoting 101:21 provide 23:6 81:17 209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 proper 7:20 135:4 136:7 138:6 229:14 235:5,18 47:14 58:14 116:9 243:21 268:1 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 proposal 36:10,15 243:2 328:7 priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 193:21 298:19 provided 192:7 217:11 218:7,8 277:57,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 220:1	*			2 0	
217:8,19 220:10 261:15 263:4 160:3 277:20 295:11 296:5 305:20 224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 290:19 294:19 231:15 308:19 298:19 productype 243:4 240:19 243:7 298:13 306:19 298:13 306:19 298:13 306:19 producers 246:11 promote 79:11 prowe 250:7 325:11 309:14 310:19 311:16 314:8 product 65:7 promoting 101:21 provide 23:6 81:17 209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 pronounce 19:6 proporer 7:20 135:4 136:7 138:6 229:14 235:5,18 47:14 58:14 116:9 243:21 268:1 proporent 156:5 138:18 139:18 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 proposals 296:3,12 294:16 303:11 priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 182:15 proposed 151:11 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 24:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1	*		- '		-
224:15 232:7 288:17,18,20 produced 137:8 298:19 prototype 243:4 238:16,20 239:16 290:19 294:19 231:15 308:19 promising 45:22 proud 180:13 240:19 243:7 309:14 310:19 producing 270:15 promote 79:11 provide 23:6 81:17 325:11 311:16 314:8 product 65:7 production 31:15 promoting 101:21 86:22 105:4,11 209:10 228:6 problem 34:4 productive 85:17 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 productive 85:17 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 228:9 183:12 184:13 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 240:4 244:10 Professionalism proposed 151:11 318:22 328:7 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1	*		-		
238:16,20 239:16 290:19 294:19 231:15 308:19 promising 45:22 proud 180:13 240:19 243:7 309:14 310:19 producing 270:15 promote 79:11 provide 23:6 81:17 325:11 309:14 310:19 producing 270:15 promoting 101:21 provide 23:6 81:17 209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 promounce 19:6 proponent 19:6 proponent 156:5	/				
240:19 243:7 298:13 306:19 producers 246:11 promote 79:11 prove 250:7 325:11 309:14 310:19 producing 270:15 promote 79:11 220:15 provide 23:6 81:17 209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 product 65:7 promoting 101:21 86:22 105:4,11 86:22 105:4,11 209:14 235:5,18 317:14 58:14 116:9 283:3 309:1 proper 7:20 135:4 136:7 138:6 229:14 235:5,18 47:14 58:14 116:9 productive 85:17 proponent 156:5 proponent 156:5 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 138:18 139:18 228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 proposals 296:3,12 243:3 275:15 297:18 297:18 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1		, ,			2 2
325:11 309:14 310:19 producing 270:15 220:15 provide 23:6 81:17 prioritization 311:16 314:8 product 65:7 promoting 101:21 86:22 105:4,11 209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 promounce 19:6 123:4 130:8 131:1 prioritize 49:21 47:14 58:14 116:9 productive 85:17 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 243:21 268:1 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 prioritized 208:22 183:12 184:13 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 240:4 244:10 251:17 318:2 proposals 296:3,12 318:22 328:7 priority 127:21 246:15 273:22 Professionalism 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 176:19 205:1 278:4,18,22 281:8 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 provide 23:6 81:17	*				-
prioritization 311:16 314:8 product 65:7 promoting 101:21 86:22 105:4,11 209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 pronounce 19:6 123:4 130:8 131:1 prioritize 49:21 47:14 58:14 116:9 283:3 309:1 proper 7:20 135:4 136:7 138:6 229:14 235:5,18 47:14 58:14 116:9 243:21 268:1 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 243:21 268:1 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 150:15 294:16 303:11 prioritizing 235:4 235:15 238:5 251:17 318:2 proposals 296:3,12 318:22 328:7 priority 127:21 246:15 273:22 Professionalism 297:18 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 176:19 205:1 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 257:16 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 24:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1			-		
209:10 228:6 317:14 325:3 production 31:15 pronounce 19:6 123:4 130:8 131:1 prioritize 49:21 47:14 58:14 116:9 productive 85:17 proponent 156:5					
prioritize 49:21 problem 34:4 283:3 309:1 proper 7:20 135:4 136:7 138:6 229:14 235:5,18 47:14 58:14 116:9 productive 85:17 proponent 156:5 138:18 139:18 235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 243:21 268:1 proponent 156:5 191:3 192:5 208:4 prioritized 208:22 183:12 184:13 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 150:15 294:16 303:11 priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 297:18 proposed 151:11 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1	1 -				,
229:14 235:5,18 235:22 236:13 47:14 58:14 116:9 116:16 151:1 productive 85:17 243:21 268:1 proponent 156:5 proportion 253:20 proposal 36:10,15 138:18 139:18 192:5 208:4 prioritized 208:22 228:9 prioritizing 235:4 priority 127:21 176:19 205:1 217:11 218:7,8 224:19 236:13 247:6 250:18 247:6 250:18 247:6 250:18 251:17 318:2 251:17 318:2 proposal 296:3,12 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 297:18 proposal 36:10,15 294:16 303:11 297:18 297:19 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 277:5,7,19 278:3 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 257:16 247:6 250:18 247:6 25			_	_	
235:22 236:13 116:16 151:1 243:21 268:1 proportion 253:20 191:3 192:5 208:4 prioritized 208:22 183:12 184:13 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 150:15 294:16 303:11 priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 297:18 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1	_	1 -			
prioritized 208:22 183:12 184:13 productivity 26:6 proposal 36:10,15 243:3 275:15 228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 150:15 294:16 303:11 prioritizing 235:4 235:15 238:5 251:17 318:2 proposals 296:3,12 318:22 328:7 priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 297:18 provided 192:7 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1			-		
228:9 222:13 228:12 247:6 250:18 150:15 294:16 303:11 prioritizing 235:4 235:15 238:5 251:17 318:2 proposals 296:3,12 318:22 328:7 priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 297:18 provided 192:7 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1				1	
prioritizing 235:4 235:15 238:5 251:17 318:2 proposals 296:3,12 318:22 328:7 priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 297:18 proposed 151:11 provided 192:7 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1	_				
priority 127:21 240:4 244:10 Professionalism 297:18 provided 192:7 176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 provided 192:7 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1					
176:19 205:1 246:15 273:22 182:15 proposed 151:11 200:14 204:19 217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1					
217:11 218:7,8 277:5,7,19 278:3 profit 143:10 193:21 298:19 providing 48:2 224:19 236:13 278:4,18,22 281:8 257:16 prosecuted 141:13 84:19 88:3 102:1					-
224:19 236:13					
		, ,	_		
239:17,20 240:15 281:8 311:18 program 24:20 prospects 25:17 200:3 203:16		, ,		-	
	239:17,20 240:15	281:8 311:18	program 24:20	prospects 25:17	200:3 203:16
1 1 1		<u> </u>			

	104.12 100.10	241.9 244.22		250.11.296.20
proving 243:4	104:12 109:10	241:8 244:22	rains 310:6	250:11 286:20
provisions 46:2	207:12 219:14	245:8 253:8	raise 28:4 60:4	readings 288:12
prune 299:12	234:12 251:3	255:16 263:3	raised 330:12	ready 60:14 115:10
public 32:11 54:7	258:17 267:15,18	265:21 286:11	raising 33:15 301:8	115:11 211:15
88:9 94:17 100:6	268:21 270:8	297:2,4 298:17	ramp 127:7 151:16	265:18 316:21
114:16 145:2	274:11,11 276:7	301:19 312:13	ramping 148:5	317:1,2
150:14 172:13	276:10 304:19	queue 74:5	ran 265:11	real 28:13 31:12,14
204:7 206:12	puzzled 152:19	queued 37:3	random 162:11	34:4,4 37:22
257:7 319:5	p.m 210:20 211:2	quick 43:12 83:4	Randy 1:15 2:2	39:13 44:22 45:2
326:21 327:22	331:21	306:8	16:18,18,21 33:9	59:17 85:10 89:9
publicly 96:16		quickest 329:2	33:12,13,14,16	116:16 133:9
public's 151:8	Q	quickly 186:6	90:19,21 92:2	151:9 152:5 158:5
published 227:18	quality 127:8 128:6	226:8 228:4	115:21 120:1	162:3 170:8 179:1
232:10	128:9 131:8	278:11 279:6	211:8,9 286:12,18	180:13 189:6
Puget 223:6,8	146:18 213:6,8	282:11 285:6	288:10 322:18	195:16 200:9
257:2	219:10 257:1	298:3 300:11	323:20 324:12	245:11 249:22
pull 126:10	262:4	309:7,16 310:17	Randys 33:11	300:4
pulled 219:1	question 28:8	312:6	range 37:3 47:10	realignment
pulling 101:15	34:10,11 49:10	quite 46:22 77:1	243:22 272:15	223:14
pulse 292:15 293:3	83:5 95:3 106:10	116:3 170:10	rankings 199:5	realistic 105:11
pump 244:5	117:2 152:9 158:8	171:5 172:8	rapid 307:22	reality 22:17
pups 36:13,15	163:19 167:7	174:12 189:15	rare 153:1	120:17 253:2
149:2 150:7,11	182:18 207:7,21	190:11 192:4	rata 196:20	realize 55:10 97:14
purposes 12:6 90:9	233:9 235:1	194:16 203:21	rate 272:19 273:8	106:17 120:18
299:13	242:19,21 243:16	219:12	274:3,17 298:7,12	168:12 271:11
purse 61:8	245:12 248:15	quota 48:3,14	298:14	290:3
pursuing 146:1,2	250:4 252:11,16	139:22 183:5	rates 273:13	really 14:19 20:14
push 41:2,14 47:3	253:22 254:19	quotas 49:1	277:16 284:19	21:13 22:4,10
89:1 106:9,15	258:6,7 263:1		313:9	26:19 27:11 30:12
173:16 281:22	288:10 289:18	R	rationale 315:18	34:15 37:12 40:9
pushes 180:21	292:1,16 293:10	R 4:1 211:1	Rauch 77:19	45:9 48:4 49:17
pushing 28:13	295:16 296:1	radar 90:9 283:10	raucous 5:6	50:16 56:2 62:13
128:12 223:6	297:10 300:5,9	raft 215:2	reach 54:6 152:10	62:15 99:12 100:3
put 21:13,22 22:16	302:4,20 309:14	Raftican 1:21	153:17 164:9	100:21 104:7,14
23:9 26:4,8 35:22	310:20 312:1,7	15:14,14 66:1	177:20 188:15	106:22 109:16
38:10 46:3 48:8	316:13 317:22	156:22 159:12	196:18	111:11,19 119:21
55:19 85:8 87:4	322:11 329:12,13	263:21 307:12	reached 222:4	128:12 129:20
151:15 181:16	questions 10:15	311:10 322:20	reaching 161:21	130:8 134:12,16
184:2 191:12	19:14 26:22 27:15	323:5,7,13 324:8	reaction 113:21	135:2 136:18
198:8,19 206:6	32:5 74:5 79:13	324:9	114:1	138:13 142:16
212:12 213:20	79:14 81:18 97:11	Raftican's 19:17	read 22:18 58:15	144:1 145:21
216:7 218:17	97:21 105:17	263:18	172:14 179:4	147:18 149:14
245:2 257:15	110:1 123:2	rain 5:11 268:10,13	220:8 223:2 233:3	153:20 157:22
266:15 272:13,22	145:16 154:8	275:19 281:16	250:10	162:6,7 164:12
274:18 276:2	178:1 182:6 199:9	310:9,9 314:5	readily 247:18	168:2,5,17 181:11
299:5	210:3 233:3,5,8	rainfall 32:19	313:7,9	183:19 184:18
putting 66:15	234:21 238:11	raining 5:10 309:3	reading 40:6	196:14 209:6,17
putting 00.13		3-1-1-000.0	i caumig TO.O	170.17 207.0,17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

209:17 211:16,17	140:4 160:7 214:2	recoveries 37:2	regarding 92:15	173:14 178:16
214:17 219:7	234:4,16 249:19	recovering 129:21	156:22 199:20	183:1 214:12
221:6,14 226:11	rebuilt 160:18	217:12 219:9	297:18	regulatory 18:8
226:18 227:2	214:3,10,10,21	recovery 133:9	regards 182:22	31:3 77:20 126:8
229:4 230:1,14	rec 46:8 78:11,15	187:3 190:2,19	Regency 1:10	226:1,2 282:16
231:21 232:1,9	78:18,21,22 79:16	196:5 236:12,18	regime 128:12	285:17
234:9 235:5 261:1	79:19 80:2,18,21	236:19	141:8	rehab 149:2,8
261:6 266:21	81:3,7,12,17 82:1	recreation 275:18	regimes 140:8	150:19
268:15 271:8	82:9,17,18 83:3,7	283:4,20	region 56:3 79:2	reinventing 152:17
273:7,17 274:6,7	324:12 327:18	recreational 22:3	81:19 112:7 113:9	Reisner 2:11 18:14
274:9,10,14,22	recall 17:20 67:12	63:21,22 78:7,9	113:22 120:17	185:18,22 186:4
275:7,20 276:17	68:18 89:17 198:4	79:2,3 80:12 81:2	130:16,20 131:19	186:12,14 192:2
276:21 278:10	receive 26:18 45:15	101:12,17 120:10	134:15 145:8	207:16 208:7,19
279:5,5 282:8	80:6	120:13,15,22	148:16 182:10	reiterate 115:22
285:20 286:6	received 73:12	147:15 169:19	222:13 223:3,20	relate 204:22
289:14 291:7	199:19	314:19 324:2,4,5	241:1,3 262:12	related 80:15
293:5,9 294:11	receives 226:17	recruitment 139:3	regional 8:10 9:9	137:18 184:1
296:19 297:9,22	receptive 77:1	139:10,19	24:8 56:9,19,21	193:10 280:7
300:9 301:11	recess 210:21	red 187:10	71:2,7 79:11,22	relates 302:4
308:18 309:20	recognizable	REDD 305:21	80:16 81:11,20	relations 184:19
310:16 312:1	114:16	redesign 104:22	101:14 103:13	relationship 81:1
319:6	recognize 57:3	redirecting 244:13	111:18 114:13	86:6 177:8,9
realm 227:14 228:3	126:7 147:20	255:8	130:10 138:7	272:7
229:1	149:20	reduce 128:14	155:20 178:20	relationships
real-time 37:16,20	recognized 155:17	146:18 272:18	196:3 220:6 221:5	102:20 270:21
38:18 155:14	recognizing 142:17	274:2 307:3,5	221:6 222:4,7,20	relatively 14:2
156:2	170:13 246:10	318:10	224:5,10 229:3	76:22 106:5
reason 7:12 132:12	recommend 40:15	reducing 317:11,11	240:6 242:20	126:19
136:18,20 145:6	47:10 86:19	reduction 43:13	244:3 246:6 249:7	release 150:11
152:11 155:18	recommendation	188:15,17 190:1	250:12,21 255:6	313:8
162:20 163:13	71:10 88:18 93:14	190:10 193:4,9	260:16 261:1,2,8	released 149:4,6
216:4 240:2 275:7	252:4	194:11 195:3	262:8,14 296:10	204:8
284:16 294:13	recommendations	196:21 257:11	regionally 133:20	releasing 150:6
309:21	22:9 65:8,16	reductions 189:8	regions 24:6 39:20	297:20
reasonably 162:12	70:10 71:14 75:10	189:14 190:17	78:14 112:9 120:6	relevant 88:8
reasons 82:16	78:16 88:8,12	192:13 193:6,8,18	142:2 143:13	reliability 141:10
161:7	93:9 150:8 168:3	195:10 197:2	147:18 153:8	reliance 146:22
reauthorization	175:15 177:10	reference 297:14	159:21 160:11	relicensing 193:7
66:18,22 128:20	204:22 264:7	327:10 328:13	163:1 206:19,21	relied 162:18
reauthorize 67:20	319:15	referred 267:16	221:13 229:6	relying 38:7 142:10
reauthorized 44:21	recommended 80:6	refinements 297:7	240:4 243:10	235:3
rebuild 129:4	260:17	refloods 279:17	245:11 256:1,4	remain 25:16
151:16 176:16,17	reconvene 320:22	reform 237:4,12	regular 112:10	130:21 148:4
180:4 217:9	330:15 331:20	refresh 95:7	regulate 33:1	307:20
rebuilding 100:14	record 4:12 94:2	regard 33:21	regulating 52:9	remaining 47:11
104:13 128:19	326:17 328:13	100:20 104:20	regulations 58:5,12	remains 130:22
133:1 137:22	recover 217:9,13	108:8 206:17	168:2 170:15	148:1
			l	

				1
remarks 4:6 12:22	328:9	222:15	52:12 55:13 56:8	re-strategizing
17:8,17 20:3,12	report's 292:9	resort 40:1	88:8 193:2,13,21	244:14
212:10	represent 90:3	resource 32:10	224:1 234:17	rhetoric 106:1
Remarks/Q 3:3	162:15	39:9 85:22 124:10	239:18 257:2,4	rice 310:21 311:4,5
remedy 237:22	representation	124:21 157:6	260:3 263:6,10	311:13,20 312:3
remember 44:6	75:14	213:18 215:12	275:9 279:3,3,6	312:14
45:5 59:13 71:13	representations	222:13 237:8	294:22	Richard 13:11,12
77:13 83:11 89:11	177:22	244:7,15 256:9	restore 217:1 285:6	18:9,13 102:6
96:15 127:22	representative	299:21,21	restored 279:11,19	154:21 186:14
176:5 252:21	62:18 90:1 117:12	resources 29:22	285:4	187:8 191:3,20
258:12 262:12,15	representatives	51:15 55:11 63:14	restoring 214:19	204:15
268:6 278:6 290:5	74:4 87:15 167:20	64:19 100:17	279:7	Richard's 203:22
295:13 300:3	represented 43:2	127:16 133:19	restricting 245:21	riders 30:11 33:7
302:19 306:22	representing 7:6	157:3 172:3	restrictions 51:1	44:10
remind 93:22	16:15 84:21 104:3	189:20 215:21	restrooms 5:22	ridiculousness
300:1 301:10	201:16	216:20 218:17,19	restructured	109:8
reminded 93:16	represents 201:22	222:18 237:20	172:22	right 10:20 11:5
reminder 210:14	202:6	241:19 245:2	result 23:8 112:8	12:11 13:10 14:22
320:12	reprioritizing	248:6 250:5,6	162:10	18:5 20:1,4 28:2,6
remote 172:19	244:14	252:10 261:12	resulted 139:12	37:15 38:19,20
removal 121:5	reprogram 127:16	266:3 267:17	resumed 4:13	45:4 48:1 50:18
158:15	request 76:20 93:3	318:17 323:19	retail 37:12	54:2 57:2 71:3
reopen 149:13	127:1 187:13	330:10	retire 189:11	72:9 74:1 78:3
reopened 187:1	192:11 193:19	respect 7:19 11:9	retired 166:1,5,11	89:4 91:2 97:4,6,9
repairs 195:13,19	194:5,6,7,17	44:9,19 70:10	166:21	108:22 117:12
repeal 53:12	196:7	124:19 136:16	retrofit 302:17	119:2 126:1,17
repealed 239:6	requested 77:11	168:18 182:15	return 251:15	127:19 130:19
repealing 239:4,7	83:18 191:5 192:6	328:2	returns 102:18	147:2,9 148:18
repeat 320:16	requesting 92:14	respond 130:6	revamp 96:20	160:11,15 164:14
rephrase 173:22	242:6	response 73:5	reveal 237:21	165:1 171:12
replaced 175:17	requests 45:7 196:8	88:13 106:18	reversing 303:6	178:5 186:4
replicate 252:1	require 23:7	113:16 114:4,10	review 3:2 4:14	187:19 199:11
report 60:16 64:16	required 6:6	114:15	17:18 61:11,13	202:4,19 204:9
64:17,18 68:19	requirements	responses 245:8	65:10 179:13	206:10 208:7,18
69:4,18 76:4	21:20 304:6,9	responsibilities	209:5 225:8	209:5,19 210:18
79:16,21 82:13	requires 253:2	69:6 181:8 316:7	226:13 319:6	212:6 230:21
172:15,15 173:21	reschedule 121:21	responsible 74:12	327:20	239:3 240:1,1
174:1,3,22 175:15	research 190:22	74:15 202:22	revise 65:17	241:9,10 247:5,5
177:11 184:2	194:20 196:13	responsibly 39:8	revised 213:19	247:10 251:18
295:16 320:4,5	208:7,9 228:9,10	responsive 76:22	Revision 64:6	254:22 262:20
reported 143:11,17	reservations 5:16	responsiveness	65:13,18 88:21	264:17 267:22
reporting 76:13	residence 149:10	76:21	revisit 320:11	268:18 279:6
77:18 99:13	resign 189:11	rest 99:2,21 203:12	Revolution 267:2	280:19 281:5
143:20,22 178:21	resistance 155:19	restaurant 5:3,6	267:15 270:7	286:20 289:10
195:7	resolution 187:20	201:20	rewater 279:2	293:19 301:8
reports 75:4 171:7	187:22	restitution 183:10	rewatered 279:12	302:18 303:14
172:4,11 180:1	resolve 31:1,7,8	restoration 52:10	279:16	305:20 309:5,17
L	1	ı	ı	1

			<u> </u>	I
314:13 318:16	295:7,10	279:10 282:5	scenarios 272:14	scope 241:6,12
325:18 326:17	roles 282:16	284:21 298:1	scenes 202:13	242:8
330:4	roll 101:13	308:12 309:22	schedule 9:5,18	scrambling 43:7
rights 151:21	rollout 71:15 103:1	312:21 313:17	70:15	screen 8:4 68:14
right-hand 95:15	roof 291:5	Sam 77:19 153:13	scheduled 74:1	90:9 283:10
187:10	room 6:3 50:3	Samoa 13:21 57:13	154:13 321:9	326:20
rigorous 151:18	108:12 112:18	57:17,18 58:7,22	schematic 201:15	scrimp 23:19
rise 52:22 53:17	118:11 159:16	59:1,3	scheme 302:11	scroll 69:21 71:3,21
136:2,5 291:3	211:19 224:17	Samoas 58:22	304:1	72:8 73:22 78:4
299:4,7,18 300:12	235:11 275:5	sample 163:5	Schwaab 99:5	84:7 200:4 201:10
300:12,19,20	293:19 325:3,6,7	sampling 146:15	221:9	scrolled 69:20
301:2,15	rooms 325:2	147:12 163:15	science 3:6 18:10	199:18
risen 271:19	roots 308:11	sanctuary 282:22	28:20 29:13 35:14	scrolling 80:13
Risenhoover	310:14	sand 290:16 309:8	38:6 40:19 49:15	95:14
173:21 174:1	rotating 66:3	Sarri 73:16 74:14	49:16 52:3 53:21	scrutiny 86:3
175:18	Roulette 180:10	93:11	101:21 102:4	se 30:18 328:12
rising 302:1	routine 30:16	sat 116:10	111:19 114:13	sea 52:22 92:20
risk 43:13 53:18,22	row 74:1 75:17	satellite 197:3	116:21,22 122:11	110:13,14 123:13
54:13,20 217:3	80:14	satellites 23:7,9,13	122:18 124:19	123:20 130:19
225:16	RSVP 210:15	23:16 24:3 25:19	125:4,22 126:9	136:2,5,13,15
river 242:2	Rubino 63:2 75:8	satisfy 121:1	128:2,7 131:1,3	139:2 143:2
Rizzardi 1:21	rubric 133:21	Savannah 259:17	135:5,22 136:9	157:19 158:18,20
16:12,12 52:19	rubrics 135:1	save 23:19 46:19	140:10,15 147:21	158:20 161:1
53:9 56:6 57:6	rule 152:6	saved 169:9 173:3	148:2,3 152:13	251:10,12 263:9
97:22 98:6 182:6	rules 21:22	saw 80:8 116:9	155:8,20,22 159:4	268:3 269:2
234:22 237:3	run 17:19 142:8	saying 52:4 132:9	161:8 191:22	277:13 299:3,7,17
238:6,14 323:21	159:19 312:6	155:4 159:13	192:3 195:12	300:11,19 301:6
road 31:10 105:6	running 121:9	253:19 261:8	220:12,20 221:12	301:15 302:2
129:13 171:12	runs 92:20 217:4	290:19 302:5	222:6,9 225:22	307:16 308:10
175:14 254:12	Russ 64:2 81:7 82:8	304:15 306:10	226:13,15,17	310:13
Robinson 55:15	Russell 59:10	says 114:11 131:16	227:3,11,14 228:2	seafood 100:15
60:2	R-E-D-D 305:21	132:10 150:1	241:14 242:12	103:6,13 321:22
rockfish 49:22		154:22 155:8	247:4 249:16	321:22 322:2
Roger 2:9 19:11	S	201:18 253:4	252:17,20 253:7	seal 148:21 152:22
130:12 230:22	S 4:1 211:1,1,1	281:11 283:14	253:12,13,14,16	seals 33:21 123:3
258:7 264:15,21	sable 50:3	326:21 329:4	254:6,7,8 255:7	130:19 148:22
265:8 286:10,11	Sacramento 278:13	scale 24:19 29:19	256:1,2,7 285:8	149:8 151:4
312:4 315:5 319:9	279:11 311:11	30:9 229:8 241:6	285:15 298:5	152:13 157:18
319:12 320:20	SACs 163:22	241:10,12 242:8	317:2,17	seamless 206:12
Roger's 320:12	safe 5:12 145:13	243:17 244:7	sciences 124:16	seat 19:22
role 9:14 32:22	Sagar 2:5 14:12,12	272:5 305:16	147:17 161:14	seated 165:19
50:13,14 51:6	sale 38:1	scale-look 157:3	scientific 13:13	Seattle 174:9
65:15 66:21 67:14	sales 110:10	scallops 143:5	225:7 227:1	sec 145:16
67:16 70:12 81:22	salmon 140:5,8	scarce 32:16	scientists 101:22	second 40:18 71:9
85:17 203:15	196:5	scare 291:3	125:3,4,9 152:9	100:5 115:3 189:3
244:6 252:6	salt 268:3 269:2	scattered 20:22	160:12 161:6	206:7 298:11
282:10 285:17	277:13 278:14	scenario 273:14	227:21 253:4	302:4 303:20

	I	I	I	I
312:5,8 315:6,20	136:22 193:6	sequesters 279:5	257:8,18	shoe 112:15
319:1,12 320:6	210:4 238:18	sequestration	seven 75:17 124:8	shop 117:22
secondly 100:16	239:2	274:16 280:9	169:5 188:21	shoreline 34:19
104:4 105:5	seeking 232:22	284:19 297:12	severe 296:19	119:3
Secretary 55:15	seen 9:3 19:10	298:14	severity 54:8	short 9:15 67:6
59:9,18 60:1	44:11 86:2 103:13	sequestration's	sewer 151:15	121:19 181:16
68:22	137:2,5 138:8	297:6	shallow 309:2	185:12
secretive 328:7	217:17 290:18	series 225:4	shape 25:2 193:14	shorter 243:1
section 31:2 93:21	segment 118:19	serious 275:3	245:1 285:5	shortly 209:16
190:6 328:6,11	seizing 183:7	seriously 198:1	shapes 242:14	290:7
sector 107:6 110:20	Seki 150:18	319:7	share 5:5 28:12	short-term 229:10
131:17 152:10,15	select 8:13	SERO 156:1	39:4 41:19 109:20	245:19
153:4 259:3	selected 250:4	serve 167:11	110:7,12,17 111:6	shot 169:5 240:16
security 31:14	selection 329:16	329:22	162:4 224:9 328:3	shout 111:16
sediment 257:11	sell 37:11	served 54:2	shares 21:3 29:7	show 5:13,15 98:2
278:7 309:19	selling 315:1	service 21:21 23:4	39:13,15 40:16	103:6 104:7
310:3 311:9	Senate 30:12	43:6 52:8 55:6	41:12 43:17 44:8	118:16 119:4
sediments 268:21	187:12 188:6,11	87:16,16 88:3	131:21 132:2,6	187:9 225:10
276:4 309:18	188:12 189:15	106:13 136:17	328:2	240:10 242:11
310:7,10	190:11 191:2,6	220:4 230:19	sharing 167:4	262:11 312:3
see 12:6 17:14	192:4,19 193:12	232:4 236:16	shark 144:12	showed 286:21
19:17 31:21 47:1	193:17 194:6,16	257:8 265:15	sharpen 103:16	287:2
47:3,5 64:1 71:8	197:6 198:22	285:10 291:16	sheet 5:7 97:12	showing 137:9
72:1,3 79:22 81:4	Senator 166:18	300:1 314:8,9	297:15	311:21,22 317:18
97:19 104:1	167:5	services 23:6 55:5	Shelikof 123:8	shows 279:10
109:21 112:6	send 178:18 245:9	63:6,9 90:15	shellfish 15:21	side 5:8 11:21 24:4
121:6 123:3	297:13 298:2	203:16 257:5,18	29:17 71:11	24:4 40:5 81:8
127:17 129:8	senior 62:9 71:21	257:19 258:9	118:22 217:4	94:20 95:15
136:10,15 141:22	74:14 232:17	275:13,14 277:21	256:2 257:12	100:17 130:22
147:9 162:21	sense 18:2 43:22	283:1,15,19,20	262:2	131:1 135:22
163:6 164:4	68:4 92:8 100:10	286:8 294:13	shells 306:9	140:15,16 159:11
165:15,19 167:22	105:3 232:9	300:4,5,9 301:11	she'll 74:16	169:4 172:9
170:10 186:8	sensitive 329:6	318:21	shift 129:8,18	173:17 193:5,12
188:3 191:20	sent 7:22 68:21,21	serving 78:13	130:3 137:6	193:13,14,17
192:13 197:2,16	88:9 92:19	Sesepasara 1:22	165:15 179:4	195:12 234:18
197:20 198:9,11	separate 223:19	13:20,21 57:9,10	227:4 271:4	235:2 236:20,21
198:16 199:17	234:2	57:12	shifted 269:16	237:8 239:1
200:21 202:10,18	September 166:13	session 8:20 65:22	317:20,20,21	302:12 325:1
202:19 203:10,12	166:22	320:17	shifting 132:14	sidebars 172:8
203:20 205:3	sequence 249:14	sessions 331:22	137:14 269:19	sign 6:16 7:2,10
208:14 218:21	sequester 188:18	set 23:9 32:5 89:1	shifts 138:8	signage 6:1
232:7 234:13	188:19 196:11,16	94:6 115:8 188:13	ship 144:1 191:18	signal 270:18
254:1 255:4 257:9	274:19 299:6	198:21 232:5	ships 140:21	signature 124:15
270:14 271:1	sequestered 299:15	238:19 299:2	142:10 146:22	signed 88:11
287:4 302:19	sequestering	304:9 328:3	191:12,18,19	210:16
327:6,9,12 330:16	276:17 279:17	sets 120:11 306:1	259:19	significant 102:22
seeing 25:17 54:6	297:19	setting 8:5 119:12	shirts 116:5	118:8,12 138:4
	-	-	-	-

190:19 191:9	242:13	somewhat 125:1	255:12	70:10 73:8 81:18
193:9 198:1	sizes 29:9	131:19 261:11	south 49:17 55:6,13	82:6 87:13 88:10
271:12	sketch 122:7	soon 44:21 77:8	55:22 56:7 57:21	89:16 153:12
significantly 102:1	sketch 122.7 skills 258:15	292:9 303:10	63:9 147:22	183:3 222:10,11
191:9	skip 89:13 187:4	sooner 239:1 273:2	302:12	233:3 237:7
signing 103:8	226:7	sorry 20:5 37:5	Southeast 71:2	239:17 242:22
sign-up 5:7	slanderous 117:10	60:6 84:7 155:5,7	155:22 182:9,17	250:5 319:18
silence 117:17	slide 5:13 201:15	156:17 185:11	183:15,21 184:10	specifically 27:18
silenced 116:22	201:19 210:12	193:12 287:9	184:14 223:17	66:16 67:8,9
silly 113:17	215:15 276:6	288:10 306:6	277:11 284:13	100:8 101:5 157:4
Silver 83:17 99:19	slides 200:6 215:16	313:3 326:11,13	southern 223:15	214:6 218:4
similar 135:9	257:9 266:5	330:13	246:8 259:17	254:15 257:17
236:16 315:6	slow 115:21 145:7	sort 20:18 23:19	310:11 311:1	specifics 76:16 90:6
simpler 148:9	slowed 179:12	24:21 30:16 40:1	southwest 39:2	speed 130:8 146:13
simply 201:18	small 41:8 64:8	40:3 45:1 52:2	223:15	146:17 175:5
202:14 308:5	106:5 115:8	58:1 65:4 70:16	space 23:10 328:5	232:13 251:3
single 56:11 129:8	217:11 324:22	75:4 78:20 79:12	spatial 30:1,6 87:19	313:5
129:19,21 130:1	325:1,7	81:6,14 82:10	206:3	spelled 19:10
131:16,17 132:18	smart 85:19 261:17	84:18 85:6 93:2	speak 18:6,8,9	spelling 19:9
133:19 218:11,11	smarter 41:20	97:3 101:19	62:15 98:4 105:22	spend 180:5 186:6
218:11	205:9	103:16 106:7,11	113:13,20 116:12	186:15 188:6
single-stock 160:5	smiling 260:10	107:14 112:4	116:21 152:18	190:12 192:18,20
sir 164:16	Smith 59:11	113:11,16,16,21	211:20	192:21 193:3,16
Sissenwine 125:2	snapper 40:18	114:18,19 122:14	speakers 98:14	193:19 194:4,19
sister 285:9	156:18	125:19 130:16	special 176:8	194:22 205:5
sit 5:4 199:11 264:3	snappers 156:17	131:21 132:4	177:14,15 182:12	246:20
siting 25:7	snowpack 32:19	134:22 139:14	184:4	spending 175:4
sits 75:8	social 102:16	143:17 148:9	specialist 178:10	177:2 197:8
sitting 84:20 99:19	124:16 147:17	158:16 160:22	specialists 81:11	spent 52:11 123:8
146:11 165:17	161:6,8,14	162:13 187:14	species 34:8,12	158:8 166:3,8
218:22	society 21:8 266:17	200:4 201:15	35:22 36:21 37:2	168:10 174:6
situation 3:6 18:10	socio-economic	203:8 205:15	38:3 41:3 49:1	178:13 180:8
32:14 107:22	225:8	208:16 233:13	50:2 51:9 124:6	207:4
117:3 120:3 133:1	software 146:13	238:12 243:3	124:17 129:9	spill 187:2 217:14
146:10 209:1	solid 213:9 236:8	251:3 295:8,10,10	130:1,15 136:21	283:12
281:14 292:18	solution 138:18	321:7 327:10	141:3,4,5 150:2	spills 96:9
313:7	215:3,4 286:4	sorts 48:4 138:14	153:1 190:2,19	spit 219:12
situations 121:3	solutions 56:17,18	147:1	217:1,2,10 218:7	spoke 66:14
136:10 140:3	solve 132:22	sound 17:4 97:7	219:10 224:22	spoken 163:12
144:2 158:16	273:22	223:6,8 257:2	225:15,15 227:6	spokespeople 118:6
169:3,13,14 284:5	solved 47:14	sounds 61:16	228:8 229:16	sponges 50:13,14
six 5:8 75:13	somebody 63:20	109:17 150:22	235:2,7,20 236:2	50:17 51:7,14
112:18 118:11	106:20 125:12	153:22 288:11	236:11 243:11	sponsorship 11:11
190:4 194:3 200:8	180:20 262:18,18	source 105:1 106:4	245:14 247:13	Sportfishing 15:8
261:5 296:17	295:4,6 306:11	164:21 291:20	250:18,20 252:5,7	15:15
size 164:14 239:21	somebody's 236:5	295:17	316:6,17 318:5	spread 194:13
241:6,10 242:8,10	something's 136:11	sources 87:20	specific 36:22	249:3 310:8

	1		1	l
spreading 318:5	240:3,9 241:2	218:16 247:4,9,9	163:20 164:2,9	strategic 64:17
spreadsheet 70:4	254:20,21 261:17	248:21 256:8	190:5 191:4,5,17	75:12 81:14 85:17
94:18	261:18 278:22	277:9,17 294:3	192:6 226:17,20	86:14 88:19 105:1
spring 8:14 83:17	280:13 307:20	statistics 104:12	226:22 228:11	199:16,21 200:2
83:19 93:15 99:20	318:2	192:8 295:15	234:4,5 311:20	200:15 202:2,8
squeeze 23:1 53:10	started 17:21 20:10	status 75:4,20 78:8	stocks 48:8 100:14	219:3 220:12
squid 132:20	64:7 123:6 127:10	103:1 214:21	103:1 104:13	226:12 244:22
SSC 49:16 139:11	139:6 166:22	291:13,17	128:19 129:5,19	323:2 325:4
St 16:12 71:7	168:8 176:11	statute 45:8 46:13	129:21 130:1	strategies 83:2
staff 2:3 14:5,10,17	212:17,18 221:7	statutory 235:16	132:18 137:5	232:19
69:8 70:7 76:15	224:6 232:3	stay 60:7 121:17	139:4,19 141:9,11	strategy 63:11
78:13 88:1,16	261:22 270:8	149:8 151:8	141:12 143:16	82:14 136:6 223:5
95:15 118:19	271:4 285:20	181:21 185:4	158:22 159:2	224:14 318:14,16
121:16 166:18,19	294:10	214:10 301:6	214:3,10 217:9	318:20 319:3,4,17
167:1,2 174:9	starting 23:11	312:19	314:14 317:19,19	320:3,15 322:4
182:1 211:17	107:8 108:10	stays 312:16	318:3	stream 255:14
231:10,16,17,21	115:17 129:16	steady 310:9	stock's 160:6	290:16
252:20 331:7	224:1,2 230:8	steal 101:8 119:4	Stoll 2:5 14:13,13	streamlined 205:13
staffing 189:12	238:4 254:18	steep 273:3	210:13 328:15,19	strengthen 99:6
stage 9:12 58:9,10	283:7 290:14	Stelle 223:6	stomping 91:8	228:16
251:7 260:19	starts 121:7,8,13	Steller 123:19	stop 4:4 174:22	strengthened
stages 10:6	270:2 278:20	130:19 158:20	215:6,15 233:4	102:19
stake 54:10,11,12	303:12	step 51:13 115:1,3	254:7,7 265:20	strengthening
stakeholder 80:1	state 15:22 17:2	139:17 231:7	286:8 288:13	220:13
118:16 263:3	29:18 33:22 34:5	293:4	294:4	stress 28:10,15
stakeholders 100:2	34:18 53:11 56:4	stepped 106:8	stopped 53:11	stretched 27:11
111:7 225:19	56:22 57:18 58:7	stepping 52:9	171:19,21 215:19	stretching 43:7
stand 95:19 116:21	136:5 151:12,19	steps 224:7 316:5	storage 274:21	strictly 322:6
165:16 199:12	151:20 152:6	Steve 1:19 17:1	276:11 277:2,22	strips 276:19,20
264:18 296:20	181:6 182:19	19:18 49:12	279:5 280:8,12	strong 96:6 132:21
Standard 129:3	227:11 245:14,16	180:10 211:9	281:4 284:19	152:5 190:8 293:8
standards 304:9	245:16,20 246:22	312:7,10 315:3	285:7 310:3 313:9	297:8
standing 117:12	248:8 260:7	322:19 323:12	store 274:22 278:7	stronger 230:16
165:17 296:6	262:17,19 283:7	stewardship 85:22	313:6	248:20
standpoint 65:7	285:12 291:21	100:6 244:8	stories 104:7,17,17	struck 96:1 325:20
235:16 255:22	292:19 294:8	stick 264:22 300:15	173:5 183:20	structurally 77:9
stands 305:22	319:4	stimulate 25:21	storing 268:16	structure 85:3,8
start 4:16 12:19	statement 7:6,7	257:7,19 259:4	276:17 279:18	202:7,17 216:10
13:9 15:5 51:15	240:4	stimulated 244:5	storm 54:20 310:1	223:21
54:4 68:1 85:19	statements 6:7,19	stock 29:1,13 37:14	story 9:15 102:17	structured 96:5
120:16 127:11	116:6,14 117:7,10	38:14 40:21 49:22	113:17 167:5	struggled 221:22
132:2 134:7	120:11,19,20	124:13 125:3,4	174:18,19 269:4	struggling 45:18
153:15 154:1	298:18	127:8,12 131:17	story's 114:2	stuck 37:17,18
189:19 207:12,12	states 12:2 16:20	133:5,19 137:21	straight 7:18	294:22
209:7 211:6,19	24:6 34:17 130:11	139:1,8,16 141:15	straightforward	study 243:4 266:19
214:2 229:19	136:8 151:21	141:20 142:3	246:2	294:21 295:1
230:9 238:22	168:22 176:20	158:13 160:12,13	Strait 123:8	297:11

	l	 	l	l
studying 158:9	189:13	sunrise 215:17	surprises 316:3	68:13 76:18 84:21
stuff 96:3 97:3	substantially 189:2	sunset 215:17	surrounded 314:22	93:5,9 198:20
106:16 107:15	substantiation	Supercommittee	survey 191:7	207:2 236:14
112:8 120:22	105:10	188:15	192:14 285:10	238:13 324:21,22
124:18 146:15	substantive 81:22	supervisory 184:6	surveys 131:9	327:11 329:7
162:11 229:6	subtotal 196:13	supply 54:11	140:19,22 141:1	tables 5:4
271:12 273:1	success 104:7,16	100:15	142:12,19 143:7	tablet 148:7
275:18 276:18	168:1 248:3 250:3	support 87:19 88:1	164:2,3	tackle 238:4 244:10
283:10,21 293:21	251:19,20,22	88:4 100:7 101:11	survival 140:9	248:10 249:8
296:12 309:5	259:12	103:20 106:14	survive 140:2	253:8 260:19
310:14 321:13	successes 248:16	118:16 119:7	surviving 36:13	tactical 169:8
style 5:3,6	248:16 260:12	128:1 135:4,11	suspect 44:21	take 6:16 7:1,3
subcommittee	successful 55:18	140:11 143:20	45:21 46:7,10	33:22 35:7,17,21
63:12,16 64:16,19	108:8 178:14	155:19,21 196:3	90:10 125:10	36:15 39:12 42:16
64:20 65:13,16	263:14	244:17 252:19	152:6 188:11	46:11 54:21 60:6
66:8 69:8 70:14	successfully 214:8	263:7	297:18	60:15 64:1 69:7
70:15 74:2 75:9	suck 268:9 274:1	supported 128:6	sustainable 40:22	78:20 96:13 119:4
78:7,15 81:9,16	275:3	supporting 72:12	100:14	127:15 145:16
81:16 82:2,18	suckers 273:20	121:5 202:7	sustaining 219:7,8	150:11,13 153:18
92:18 93:6,8	sucking 267:16	supportive 222:7	switch 128:11	157:2 170:6 173:3
96:21 97:1,2	268:16,20 274:10	supports 202:1	symposium 9:7,7	178:5 186:2 190:9
208:6 264:5 265:2	275:20	suppose 12:11	9:22 10:7,15 12:9	190:21 197:22
315:9 319:20	sucks 267:7	supposed 20:16	90:14	213:16 216:16
320:11,18 321:6	sudden 34:18,21	122:16 145:2	symptomatic 84:18	233:5 253:20
321:12,17 322:22	Suddenly 305:10	148:14 171:18	synthesizes 297:11	255:12,13,14
323:16 324:5,5,13	sue 236:5	181:15 187:21	system 21:3 32:21	265:20 273:21
324:21,22 325:6,8	suffering 108:4	210:9	40:11 42:3 47:17	274:17 276:1
325:10,22 329:10	suffice 196:9	sure 6:20 10:1 28:1	87:18 88:5 156:12	278:1 296:19
329:15 331:22	suggest 47:9 152:4	28:5,16 40:6	159:8 160:3,20,22	309:11 311:2
subcommittees	suggested 65:21	42:17 52:18 60:16	242:2 269:21	316:5 318:11
19:16 65:14 69:11	230:9 331:6	61:5 74:7 75:11	272:22 282:2	319:6 320:21
81:2,2 96:18,20	suggesting 139:9	81:13 98:5 108:1	304:12 307:9	330:14 331:19
97:6 321:1,2,8	suggestions 68:8	113:7 119:1 128:4	311:6 312:1,3	taken 11:12 35:21
324:14 325:15	105:18 108:13	171:8 174:6	316:19,21	48:17 75:10 149:5
329:11 330:15	210:11	175:11 180:22	systematic 220:11	150:20 174:4
331:20	suggests 158:11	181:2,7,14 195:15	226:12 240:13	175:22 210:21
subdivide 325:3	suit 233:22	217:16 219:3	293:2	309:17
subgroup 86:17,22	suite 225:12	226:8 237:8 238:6	systems 41:12 48:1	takes 38:12 144:18
92:11	suites 142:18	238:14 247:9	132:3,16 158:6	144:19 297:20
subject 51:21 58:4	suits 170:8	263:11 292:10	159:21 212:17	talk 4:9 8:5 9:10
58:11 86:3,9	sum 48:17 161:2	297:15 311:19	246:17 305:13	18:15 19:1,11
submerged 307:14	summary 65:10	315:13,13 319:10	317:1,2	36:22 55:20,21
307:17,21 308:4	69:1 189:5	surface 267:10		61:15 62:10 66:13
308:10	summer 79:19	surfaces 279:8	<u>T</u>	66:17 67:15 73:17
submit 204:21	145:2	280:8,16 282:15	t 68:6 211:1	74:17,19 76:6
subsidence 279:13	summers 228:1	299:20	tab 326:20 329:3	87:5 101:10
substantial 66:21	summertime 89:4	surprised 41:11	table 17:19 20:2	103:10 107:22

				3
126:15 128:8	taller 122:9	274:3 286:20	165:1,5 182:8	300:2,16 301:4
134:1 135:17	tanks 266:15	287:5,11,12,12,13	183:14 185:10,10	302:22 306:21
148:15,16,16	tap 284:6	288:2,4,12	185:11 203:21	308:15 309:3,9
153:13 161:18	tapping 304:13	temperatures	205:18,22,22	310:15 312:17
165:21 186:8	target 71:5 243:21	271:2,18,18	209:8 210:2,4,5,5	314:19 318:1
189:3,18 207:2	targeting 243:20	286:14 316:17	210:7,18 234:21	331:1
211:16,17,22	task 55:13 56:8	template 37:19	262:22 264:10,11	things 5:1,21 7:3
216:5 230:21	88:9,11 95:15	38:2	264:12 265:6	7:18 22:1 27:18
231:1 245:22	97:12 118:1	temporarily 184:9	286:9 288:22	28:12 32:3,6,12
266:1,6 267:4	tasked 182:3	temporary 45:1	291:11 301:17,18	35:15 44:18 46:18
268:5 269:13	tasks 18:3	ten 5:7 11:15 73:1	303:18 319:8,9	48:21 49:19 54:18
315:20 316:9,9	taxed 23:5	73:7,13,21 104:13	thanks 4:9,15	56:1 63:12 65:12
319:1,2 320:20	Taylor 15:21 29:17	111:1 131:6	12:16 14:21 15:1	66:2,14 68:4,8,11
321:15 325:10,14	team 22:6 27:2	144:21 147:10	37:8 52:18 61:2,3	71:11,17 90:10
326:7,16	60:14 66:8 99:11	161:10 162:18	71:4 105:21	92:4 94:19,21
talked 9:13 61:7	111:18 123:13	186:2 281:20	109:19,22 119:6	95:19 96:14
71:10 85:9 96:15	228:7 282:18	tense 182:12	154:22 182:5	100:10 103:21
96:16,17 101:13	tech 75:16,21	tentative 9:16	183:16,17 185:17	104:4,10 105:18
150:6 191:20	tech 75.10,21 technical 79:13	ten-year 322:5	199:8 239:13	104.4,10 103.18
197:20 207:1	81:11	tern 55:3 86:16	265:5 286:10	112:5 113:6,8
209:9 213:19		135:19 216:2	315:3 331:18	1
	technologies 131:11 142:11,21		theme 294:19	114:21 115:17,18
237:1 257:10	•	228:19 229:21		118:3 119:14
266:18 291:21	146:2,16 147:8	243:1,8 299:10	themes 103:17	123:1 126:4 127:4
315:14,15,16	152:11,21 153:5	terminated 53:14	131:4	127:9 128:15
320:10 330:2	153:19	terms 5:20 24:22	theory 133:8	129:7 131:12
331:5,14	Technology 148:2	49:1 69:15 70:19	they'd 21:17 31:8	137:16 146:4
talking 4:4 46:17	253:12	76:14 83:12	110:12,13 163:6	148:21 167:14
47:4 52:2 62:20	teleconference	182:19 183:4	thin 276:19,20	170:12 172:4,5,12
78:2 84:10 87:17	11:15	207:15 329:22	thing 4:19 23:15	174:20 175:16,19
110:20 115:10	tell 20:21 26:21	terrestrial 312:18	38:21,22 45:3,4	176:4,18 178:2,7
126:14,22 153:11	27:7,18 75:19	Territories 57:13	46:9 55:12 67:8	178:17,18,20,21
166:19 171:9	102:17 103:10	territory 57:16	70:17 96:1 97:13	179:3 183:22
191:12 197:19	109:20 110:8,18	58:3,22 247:1	104:11,21 108:15	184:12 185:15
209:6 212:17,19	121:11 168:7	Terry 1:15 16:1	111:14 127:19	189:5 191:8 201:6
213:10 219:17	171:2,19 174:19	28:6 110:2 111:11	138:19 143:18	207:13 208:22
232:5,10,16 241:2	175:1 181:22	test 103:22 121:12	145:22 146:14	212:19,21 215:13
243:5 244:6	184:12,13 200:5	testifying 24:12	149:1 155:13,21	216:9 218:8,13
256:19 258:11	262:18 268:7	testimony 108:9	170:10 181:18	219:11 220:21,22
259:1 266:12,21	292:14 305:19	Texas 183:1,6,19	194:8 198:19	221:16 224:8,21
267:20 268:2	329:2	thank 13:2,15 14:4	212:1,2,5,7	227:15 237:15
275:12 289:13	telling 174:18	14:11 15:1 17:3	213:15 214:7	239:8 245:22
290:14 293:19,22	tells 21:11 32:2	20:17 35:5 46:15	217:12 221:15,18	254:15 262:1,5,6
307:15 308:9,13	temperate 313:19	51:20 60:20 61:3	232:10,14 260:11	262:9 263:13
308:17,21 311:15	313:22	62:22 65:11 84:1	264:22 273:11	270:16 271:7
320:13 325:20	temperature	95:2 98:15,18,20	276:13 277:22	272:17 275:7
327:13	270:17,18 272:7	103:3,19 121:14	280:4 293:11	277:20 278:17
tall 314:21	272:19,20 273:4	121:16 161:17	294:18 295:14	279:4 280:3,5,7
	-	-	-	-

284:14 287:6	146:9,19 147:1	257:21 258:1	216:1	331:3,13,15
288:20 291:22	148:13 150:9	260:11 271:9	throwing 157:21	times 113:19
293:15 294:14	160:4,17 161:7,10	283:18 284:15	thumbnail 122:7	148:14 169:5
295:2 296:8 300:3	161:14 163:3	286:2 314:16	thunder 101:9	175:7 217:17
301:13 309:20	165:10,18 167:22	325:18	Thursday 8:8	256:17 274:20
310:22 320:9,13	171:11 174:2,16	thinks 80:1	17:11,12 64:15	275:1 308:6
321:16 325:13	175:2,19 176:22	third 12:3 81:9	135:13 330:17	time-tracking
think 11:22 12:18	180:13 185:7,17	104:21 108:6	Thursday's 8:20	271:6
13:2 17:5 21:11	186:10 191:19	162:10 245:12	tidal 308:12	time-wise 154:20
21:12,15 23:14	200:21 207:16	295:21	tide 279:12,16	timing 71:13 91:18
24:1,13,15,20	211:4,5 212:9	Thirdly 100:21	tie 139:15 249:18	209:18
25:14 26:1,10,13	213:1 217:6	Thomas 16:13	tied 67:20	tip 294:15
28:21,22 29:10	219:11 220:7	thought 30:22	tight 11:22 288:1	tissues 274:18
30:13,14,15 31:22	226:9 228:14,18	31:17 33:15 39:17	timber 314:19	title 215:15
34:3 39:9 40:12	230:20,22 231:11	39:21 66:17,22	time 6:12 8:19 10:5	titles 215:16
41:17 42:18 43:15	233:2 234:1,2,7	67:6 175:8 185:14	10:21 11:13 18:14	today 14:20 21:2
44:5 45:3,21	237:11,13 240:17	237:4 248:1	18:17 28:13 38:1	29:6 55:2,16
46:12,18 49:1,9	240:20,22 241:12	256:22 289:1	38:15 45:6,16,17	59:11,13 97:8
49:20 50:7,13	242:10,21 244:16	311:22 325:13	49:10 52:12 60:21	99:2 107:4 109:5
51:5,13,16 52:1,4	246:5,7,9,13,14	326:5 330:5	62:15 64:5,21	111:3,15 122:21
52:6 56:7 61:20	247:2,3,17 248:3	thoughts 22:9	65:2 66:4,20	211:22 216:5
63:5 64:22 65:1	249:1,16,20	24:14 26:11 27:16	70:16 71:9 82:17	220:17 225:18
65:19 67:3,10,16	250:12,19 251:6	67:17 108:13	82:20 83:1,12	229:18 256:18
68:6,8 70:15 71:5	252:13 253:3,3,17	207:10 214:15	89:1 91:22 92:3	265:22 280:11
72:16 73:11 75:12	254:16,19 258:12	216:7 260:21	94:6,16,21 96:1	282:17 331:5
76:5,13,18 80:17	259:5 263:21	thousands 217:17	107:2 108:2	today's 253:2
82:13,22 84:14,17	275:8 277:3	278:19	118:12 121:20,20	Tokelau 57:22
85:6,7,10,16 86:1	279:21 283:2	threatened 217:2,9	123:10 135:19	told 60:17 135:16
86:5,11,12,18	286:15,19 289:7	235:20 277:15	136:9 146:12	150:18 175:6
87:2,11 89:6	289:11 290:2,6,7	three 11:7 49:13	151:22 162:3	211:16
90:17,18 91:7,17	290:11 292:6,7,8	60:19 70:19 73:9	166:8 168:10	toll 174:4
93:20 95:7 96:3,4	293:5,9 294:8	75:17 103:21	169:20 174:6	Tom 1:21 15:14
96:6,10 97:12	295:15 296:18	122:17 125:8	175:4 177:2 178:5	19:17 154:20
98:13 100:3	299:17,20 300:11	149:7 154:9 180:8	178:13 180:5	156:21 263:17,20
103:22 104:15,18	300:20 302:7	186:20 221:16	185:12,13,19	322:17,20 323:5,6
104:19 106:1	304:17,18,21	222:18 231:11	186:6,16,21	323:13 324:8,9
107:17 110:4,15	306:18 308:8	241:9 251:1	191:18 197:17	tomorrow 8:19
111:1,2,4,11	311:14 313:11	266:21 268:3,19	198:13,17 205:6	24:12 36:22 55:3
112:4,6 113:14,15	316:11 321:21	269:1 270:10,11	205:19 207:5	55:16 62:17
114:9,17 115:1,7	326:6,19 328:15	272:13,14 274:22	208:13 221:1	122:21 331:8,17
116:16,18 117:1,4	330:4 331:14	278:4 281:8 306:9	226:8 238:8	Tonga 57:20,21
118:5,17 119:9,18	thinking 24:16	309:20 319:18	246:21 252:21	tonight 8:19 99:3
119:20 120:3,5	52:2,6,10,11,12	320:9 321:9	266:8 270:22	210:15
121:15 123:16	85:2 129:1 132:3	324:11,13 329:14	272:5 273:2	tonight's 5:2
126:16 127:6,18	155:16 214:16,18	thriving 216:20	297:20 305:4,9	tons 139:22
132:9 139:13	238:4,22 239:21	throats 290:5	315:7 321:7	Tony 15:11 17:4
143:18 144:4	244:5 253:7,13	throwaway 215:17	323:10,11 330:10	249:11 323:3,20
		-		
				•

4120.7.20.7.7.17	4 - 1 - 66 - 02 7	4 . 1 212 4	101 0 107 7 101 1	255 1 271 10
tool 30:7 39:7,7,17	tradeoffs 92:7	tricky 313:4	121:9 127:7 131:1	255:1 271:19
40:10,12 41:17	traditional 157:2	tried 53:12 59:22	135:21 138:6	274:20 284:14
94:17	traditionally	80:10 107:20	140:12 141:9,14	297:4 300:3 309:9
tools 39:7 156:11	140:20 267:1	126:10 321:21	145:4 157:22	310:2 325:2
156:11 213:22	321:10	trigger 248:14	159:8,18 160:13	twofold 99:16
231:1 233:16	traffic 20:6 303:7	trip 169:4 172:9	162:2,5 167:19	types 170:12
234:4	trained 111:22	173:17	169:19,21 172:11	256:19
top 49:4,6 175:21	training 169:2,6,8	trips 59:19,20	173:13 176:16	typically 231:6
184:21 206:1	169:11 265:9	tropical 268:9,12	190:3 207:5	
226:16 270:2	trajectories 272:6	274:8,9,20 275:1	209:12 217:12	U
276:10	272:12	275:19 277:1	222:14 227:7	ultimately 134:20
topic 73:18 232:22	trajectory 270:14	280:19,22 305:3,8	228:3 238:10	unable 235:21
266:6 319:14	270:19 273:4,8	trouble 62:14	243:9 246:11	uncertain 187:7
topics 131:14 230:9	315:22	trucks 273:9	249:3 250:7	underfished 40:19
230:10	transfer 72:4 75:16	true 23:4 65:19	251:11,15 253:18	41:4
total 159:17	75:21	116:14 117:20	256:9,12,15 257:6	underground
totally 40:18 117:9	transferring 72:5	167:8 306:19	257:19 258:2	276:8 314:5
247:1 251:20	transformation	truly 322:14	259:22 262:12	underlying 219:7
276:14 311:20	53:2	trump 237:16	280:14 288:15	underneath 215:20
touch 43:19 122:22	transition 29:12	trust 163:4 176:16	305:8	216:11 310:1
126:5,6 131:13	94:8 108:3	176:17,17 180:4,5	TUESDAY 1:7	313:17
186:5 188:14	transitional 131:22	try 8:11 26:16	turn 102:21 147:4	underpinning
266:7 293:5,17	transitioning	36:12 41:19 51:1	267:14 326:14	227:1
touched 187:8	131:10	62:14 69:12 81:21	turnaround 181:17	underscore 256:13
299:2 312:18	translate 207:22	89:2 94:21 106:8	turned 64:9 268:19	understand 19:5
tough 46:17 49:19	translation 281:14	106:13 117:4	309:19	26:14 31:22 50:9
128:11 146:19	transom 296:12	127:20 128:1	turning 100:12	54:7 59:16 68:1
160:15 197:9	trawl 140:22 164:2	129:21 140:10	102:11 104:5	91:1 98:6 99:17
253:22	treatment 117:17	165:14,19 180:5	214:4 274:13	112:2,13 117:3
tougher 197:10	282:21	186:8 205:10	303:3	125:3,6 133:13
tourism 34:20	trees 267:6 314:22	210:8 235:10	turns 267:8 274:14	159:8,16 160:6
152:1 283:4,20	tremendous 256:3	248:10 254:14	286:1	170:2 301:20
towed 143:1 146:5	280:8	257:3 259:3	turtles 190:14	312:16
town 5:9 91:7	tremendously	265:17 302:19	twice 9:8	understanding
track 94:19 95:16	317:5	312:2	two 33:11 44:9	51:10,17,18 66:7
97:20 150:12	Trend 257:15	trying 10:19 11:13	47:15,17 48:4	133:1 140:11
185:3 203:21	trends 239:2 289:5	12:10 20:7,15	49:13 51:11 58:15	144:7 203:15
214:8 232:9	triage 296:13,14	24:15 25:4 27:11	58:21 59:20 68:4	220:20 247:6
291:16	trial 144:14	28:22 30:15 33:21	75:15 114:3	254:3 256:4 285:5
tracked 69:3	triangle 81:6,10	43:14 45:12 48:16	122:17 127:13	313:6
292:19 293:2	tribal 319:4	52:7 54:17 72:2	137:7 169:4	understood 50:15
tracking 204:13	tribe 49:12	72:11 80:9 81:3	173:20 186:20	247:18
327:11	tributaries 223:7	81:13,21 85:3	206:10,18 208:15	undertaking 88:22
Tracy 184:9,15	tributary-based	91:9 95:16 96:18	220:18,19 221:2	underway 9:11
trade 124:21	223:22	96:20 103:15	221:16 222:18	56:21 71:6 75:3
266:13 322:1,8	trickier 35:15	107:13 108:6	227:18,22 246:5,6	318:13
traded 306:4	trickled 174:11,14	109:4 118:2 119:1	252:9 253:13	under-utilized 41:4
	<u></u>			
	I	I	I	I

undetermined	usual 125:18	version 95:18	76:3 91:11,20	326:9,10
242:9	usually 12:3 159:1	266:16	117:13	wanted 4:7 14:17
unfortunate 117:20	164:1 211:18	versus 46:8	waiting 4:5,8 50:3	28:10,15 38:21,22
136:4	212:11 215:16	vertically 37:10	112:15 121:19	77:3 87:22 93:5
unfortunately	233:7 246:16	vessel 42:8	walk 5:7,12,12 98:1	105:6 126:6 145:3
38:12 50:1 107:16	261:10	vessels 29:9 48:9	180:15	154:17 161:18
292:6	utility 302:13	145:10 191:10,14	walking 123:7	168:17 175:21
unique 73:14 283:8	utilize 234:3	vetting 327:18	Wallace 1:22 16:14	183:13 187:9
United 277:9,17	utilized 82:5	vexing 107:1	16:14	200:8 204:1,10
294:2	U.S 1:1 46:6 57:13	viable 39:3 41:18	Walters 150:5	255:21 256:13
university 16:13	58:2,8,17 137:5	video 144:9 146:7	want 9:18 12:17	312:13 320:17
263:15	177:9 271:19	videocam 143:2	15:5 27:4,5 28:3	328:4
unknowns 298:9	285:9	Vietnam 284:22	31:7,8 34:10	wanting 42:18
unlocking 248:5		view 67:2 75:11	41:16 42:19 51:2	259:6 275:3
unpopular 294:19	V	125:21 128:3	52:19 53:20 55:9	wants 38:11 40:20
unregulated 117:9	V 2:2	148:17 177:16	59:6 68:1 74:8	81:16 109:6
update 3:8,11 6:7	vacancies 184:5,6,7	200:18 209:1	82:11 94:2 101:8	206:12 209:12
6:18 67:10 70:13	vacation 172:17,22	226:12	111:16 114:19	220:4 227:9 260:7
73:1 98:16 142:14	valuable 256:11	viewing 67:21	116:12 117:21	warm 139:10 318:4
165:13 170:19	318:17	views 299:13	118:3 121:2	warming 271:15
288:5	value 168:5 241:20	Vince 16:19 171:9	125:12 128:10	273:4 291:3
updated 93:20	250:20 256:5	211:8 324:12	129:1,16 132:13	303:15
286:15 288:8	275:13 282:14	Vincent 105:20	137:12,13 145:16	warn 154:9,10,17
updating 68:17	283:3,11,15	violation 183:4	146:9,16,18	warranted 235:11
73:13	312:19	violations 170:11	148:20 150:3	235:13
URL 8:3 10:16	valuing 280:12	Virginia 16:20	154:8,12 163:11	Washington 1:10
329:1,2	283:18	178:13	163:17 165:21	1:11 11:16 15:22
use 9:20 31:16	vane 208:17	virtually 82:20	171:13 173:10	17:2 22:20 29:18
42:18 46:22 47:2	variation 287:14	visibility 80:7	186:10 188:13	92:7 258:13
108:12 112:9	varied 80:9	visible 95:16	197:1 199:10,11	314:21
115:5,11 119:11	varies 162:22	vision 32:7 65:8	202:16 215:18	wasn't 77:12 88:12
119:16 132:6	variety 142:21	99:13	216:13,16 225:13	88:13 95:16
135:12,15 142:22	146:12 224:21	visits 166:14,15,16	225:17 228:22	155:14,18,21
143:6 179:12	265:16 271:6	vital 51:6	229:19,22 232:20	159:12 240:13
199:2 231:6	277:20 296:7	vocal 180:11	234:13 241:11	Watch 20:1 104:22
244:19 260:22	304:4,6	volume 109:10	251:5,6 260:22,22	105:7
280:16 285:16	various 22:12 24:8	voluntary 281:6	261:2,7 262:7	watching 146:11
304:16 326:3	29:8 43:15 84:17	282:6	264:22 266:9	175:7
useful 67:4 97:19	265:10 327:12	vote 83:21 91:9	268:6 272:4	water 32:14,15,21
142:22 162:13	331:20	112:19	273:17 278:1	53:8 54:11,14
226:19 227:12	vast 313:10	voted 78:17	284:9 287:9	106:8 121:12
252:1	VA'AMUA 1:22	VTR 144:7	292:10 293:18	130:17 173:9
usefulness 105:12	vehicles 39:6 147:3	VTRs 144:1,5	300:5,10 301:7,11	195:18 213:6,8
user 30:3 327:1,2	venue 11:13	***	301:12,20 306:5	215:7 219:10
329:4,5	venues 102:15	W	316:4,5,7,10	239:8 257:1 262:4
users 327:6	verify 38:13	Waikiki 34:1	320:16 321:4,6	290:7 296:8 298:7
uses 25:3 215:2	Vermilion 40:18	wait 12:17 38:19	323:22 325:18	308:14 310:10,21

		1 1		Ì
312:21,22 313:4	65:7 78:18 79:22	wet 24:4 307:21	47:20 49:15 54:14	236:12 238:3,10
318:4	80:17 82:15,16	308:10 312:19	56:16,17 58:1	239:3,7 243:5,15
waters 33:22 34:18	website 80:7,9,11	wetland 281:12	62:3,3 63:2,3,11	244:16 246:11
151:12,19 245:14	93:22 97:15,18	283:13 291:14	64:14 71:5 74:7	254:18 255:3,19
245:16,20 246:22	186:11 199:1	294:22 312:20,21	78:17,19 82:16	256:16 258:11
309:2	200:7 287:4 326:8	wetlands 217:5	85:3 87:11,14	266:12 268:22
watershed 193:21	326:15,21	278:9,21 281:19	88:22 90:14 94:18	273:15 277:9,19
243:19 310:7	Wednesday 61:14	289:8,11,14 290:1	98:7 100:10,19	282:12 283:8
waving 90:20	64:15	290:4,9 291:17,18	101:4,6,18 102:17	285:18 286:6
way 4:20 18:2	weeds 40:17	292:2,3,17 295:18	103:15 104:10	288:12 289:11
30:13,22 31:1,18	week 10:12 12:3,8	300:8,13 307:13	105:16 106:19	294:20 306:13
32:1 35:1 47:9	23:12 60:3 176:21	307:14 308:15	108:10 111:2	308:8,21 311:7
50:8 85:1 99:6	176:22,22 177:1	312:18 313:1,4	112:13 114:5,18	315:22 316:1,11
101:14 114:20	231:15 232:5	314:22	117:4 119:12	320:10 321:8
115:20 121:1	296:16	we'll 5:10 8:4 10:16	120:21 121:15	323:17 328:15
127:11 129:12	weekend 21:7	13:1 17:7 19:1,19	122:16,16 125:22	330:22 331:19
131:22 132:4	weekly 172:10,15	19:20 25:1 35:6	126:20 128:2,3,4	we've 6:10,10 13:3
134:8,14 135:16	weeks 11:21 92:19	35:17 44:17 60:4	128:16,17,18	20:9 22:4 23:9,18
154:10,15 161:15	114:3 172:14	60:15 61:20 62:18	129:7 130:3	23:19 24:19 27:13
166:7 167:21	208:15 209:19	65:2,9 73:19	131:16 133:12,16	29:1,3 33:6,7 35:8
171:20 174:13	222:18 231:11	79:16,21 80:16	134:13,21 135:21	37:3 43:9 45:4,22
182:13 203:8	241:9 250:11	90:17,18 93:7,16	136:20,22 137:19	46:3,14 47:8
207:13,17 208:3	251:1	93:22 94:3,6	138:6 140:5 141:3	64:21 65:1 66:2
209:13 215:7,7	weight 47:12	98:10 108:11,18	141:4,14 142:4	68:16 70:13 72:10
218:5,6,9 221:7	164:13	112:6 115:12	143:1,3 145:22	82:13 85:8,9 92:6
226:5,5,6 240:2	weights 164:14,15	119:13 165:18,18	146:10,19,21	101:17 102:10,13
241:18 262:2	weird 137:16	165:19 179:18	147:9,19 148:4,19	103:14 105:15
275:4,15 281:9	welcome 22:8	180:18 181:13	150:1 153:3	107:19 108:15
283:2,14 284:5,10	26:10 27:15 165:3	185:12 189:19	157:13,22 159:6	116:20 119:1
289:9 305:5 307:6	165:7 265:3	192:21 210:8	159:18 160:16	125:8 126:17
328:10 329:2	well-developed	211:5 241:1 261:9	165:4 169:2	127:2 137:5 141:2
ways 112:12 132:1	162:7	315:2 327:4	171:11,17 176:16	141:16,16 142:9
135:8 140:14	well-placed 268:12	328:22 329:6	177:12,17 178:6	144:20 145:7
153:9 174:17	well-taken 52:14	330:14	179:22 181:16,20	146:3 148:9 157:3
217:22 226:1	92:6	we're 4:5,16,16,22	182:1,3 184:20	169:2 171:22
252:22 259:3	went 4:12 139:11	6:8,14,20 7:12 8:5	185:18 187:19	173:20 177:7
280:15 305:7	139:11,22 186:14	10:7,19 11:15	195:16 197:7,14	179:21 184:5,9,18
weaker 141:12	209:4 312:15	12:6 17:22 18:4	198:2 201:1,9	186:18 187:1,5
wealth 291:6	319:14	18:11,17,18 19:15	204:1,20 206:6,15	190:2 197:20
wealthier 291:6	weren't 31:9 269:5	20:22 23:11,12	208:5,10 210:9	203:10 209:2,9
wear 155:1,9	327:21,21	24:2,7,17 25:14	211:3,4,10,11	223:3 224:7
weather 23:6,14	west 41:14 57:18	25:17 26:1 28:11	213:22 214:7	225:11 228:7
24:3 55:2 197:1	68:20 69:10 84:10	28:22 30:14,19	216:4,14 219:17	229:11 232:10,18
208:17	120:8 157:19	32:13 37:17,18	223:21 224:1	236:7 237:1
weather-ready	187:19 308:4	41:1,11 42:6,7,18	225:18 227:2,7	242:19 244:15
202:3	Western 57:17	44:1,15 45:11,16	229:10,12,18	251:6 254:11,12
webinar 63:21,22	58:3,7	45:17 46:21 47:13	232:10 233:19	256:17 261:22

262 0 260 16 20	207.10	1 21 12 21 22 4	202.14	147 11 140 7
262:9 269:16,20	307:19	21:12,21 22:4	303:14	147:11 149:7
272:22 273:2,5,12	wood 274:11 276:2	26:11 27:3 40:4	worst 273:13	152:12 161:10
277:19 278:15	woods 91:8 124:3	41:11 42:22 44:1	Worth 56:15	166:3,6 167:11,16
282:18 284:14	125:15 173:9	46:12,13 49:15	wouldn't 40:11	169:4,15 173:20
290:11 310:4	word 142:4 261:22	55:7 72:10 73:14	42:12 46:1 164:21	176:13 182:11
319:3 325:11	329:4	78:22,22 79:4,4	193:22 261:7	184:15 198:3
326:2	words 135:15	79:20 80:21 81:4	273:18,19 298:21	227:18 240:9
whale 153:2	work 22:2 24:2,5,8	81:8,13,17 82:1	wrapping 101:20	252:9 257:15
whales 130:20	24:20 25:6,12,18	82:12,18 83:3,8	wrestling 45:3,5	265:9,12 269:10
wheel 152:18	26:14,16 29:10	86:6 100:10	writers 79:10	270:4,7,20 271:16
whim 111:2	30:17,21 33:5,5	101:19 102:6,10	wrong 30:13	277:18 278:19
white 140:21	43:2 45:9,13 51:1	105:16 118:13	114:11 119:4	280:22 281:13,21
white's 272:9	55:17 59:14 60:5	123:9 129:10	164:7	286:14 287:15
wide 161:1 243:18	60:14 64:13,14	138:18 148:3	wrote 61:18	300:10,14 317:18
widely 77:12	65:17 69:13,15	171:6,20,22	X	322:3
wife 173:1	75:15 77:17 82:3	175:10,12 177:13	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 253:20	year's 6:14 8:7
wiggle 235:11	82:8 85:11 86:2	179:11 197:12	A 233.20	yell 211:20
wilderness 173:8	88:21 89:3 96:13	205:6,9 206:16,17	Y	yelling 215:6
wildlife 15:12	97:7 109:6 111:4	209:3 218:15	year 6:6,18 7:2	yesterday 44:14
136:17 166:2	115:7,13 117:4	223:4 228:6,12	17:21 22:5 25:11	58:14 144:6
183:7 236:15	118:9 119:13	231:17 233:14,16	26:13 29:1,5 47:7	155:12
291:16 296:7	123:18 125:17	233:18,22 234:14	47:9,15,17 73:1,7	yield 40:22
318:14,21 320:2	131:12 134:1,8	240:3,10 242:14	73:13,21 82:11	young 36:15 167:1
320:14	168:2 174:16	248:8 255:3	83:14,19,20 90:11	\$
Williams 78:8	178:16 181:20	258:13 265:14,16	99:5 100:9,17	\$10 191:6
willing 119:19 282:6	187:3 190:6	319:4,16 323:1	103:7 141:15	\$100 302:6
	191:15 192:8	327:19 328:5,18	170:17,21 173:22	\$15 192:11
win 259:15 260:12	193:9,11,21	works 32:1 48:10	174:3,21 176:6	\$16 194:11
260:18 wind 25:7	197:16 206:19	74:22 114:8 153:13 178:11	187:6 188:17	\$2 194:18
	207:9 208:6 214:13 221:18	184:8 261:6 279:3	196:10 197:9,10	\$4 29:3
window 11:19,22 winners 138:5	222:5 225:5		198:3,7,7 199:19	\$6 194:18
317:13	236:16 246:18	workshop 90:14 227:19 241:2	200:5 201:14	\$600 46:21 49:7
winnows 49:22	251:5 261:3,4,11	workshops 111:21	224:2 230:11	\$65 196:6
wintows 49.22 wipes 299:7	263:4 315:2	workspace 328:8	240:21 241:1	\$8 196:1
wiping 290:4	317:16 319:20	world 24:15 38:14	242:22 249:15	\$800 187:10
wiring 202:14	320:18 328:19	103:7 135:5 156:2	252:16 255:1	
wiring 202:14 wisdom 61:1	worked 39:13 40:2	158:11 238:18	265:13 270:1	0
wiser 91:11	41:1 43:20 45:22	266:2,13,19 277:9	307:15 312:19	08 194:6 197:8
wish 54:22 60:7	46:3 99:10 107:5	290:16 305:1	318:18	
65:15 176:5	123:11 124:7,7	307:2	years 23:18 25:10	1
wishes 27:10 60:12	136:14 152:12	worry 31:10 138:15	32:8 37:21 39:14	1 110:13 129:3
witness 107:3	166:7 184:15	163:7	73:3 93:19 103:15	1st 7:13
111:14	200:2 257:1 263:7	worrying 129:19	104:13 111:1	1,000 99:19 270:1
wonder 250:1	workforce 99:17	129:22	122:17 124:8	1-1/2 188:1
wondering 106:11	100:4 181:2	worse 113:22	125:12 126:18	1.3 139:22
121:8 148:14	working 20:20 21:6	123:21,22 287:20	127:13,14 131:6	1.6 139:22
121.0 170.17	working 20.20 21.0	123.21,22 201.20	139:10 144:21	1:43 211:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

10 277:18	200 269:10 270:7	48 143:17	97 124:3	
100 48:6,12 161:20	2000 271:1 272:11		98 3:5	
162:20 163:6,9,14	286:20 288:13	5	70 3.3	
270:7,20 280:22	2004 77:22	5 74:1		
281:13 284:21	2005 289:2	50 189:16 271:16		
296:15,16	2006 21:17	300:10,14 317:18		
100-year 307:10	2010 201:14	50's 271:3		
11 187:7,22 191:2	2010 201.11 2011 1:8 43:3	500 253:4		
192:17 194:20	110:10 139:13			
286:14	213:20	6		
12 110:14 126:22	2012 3:6 8:2 9:5	6th 166:13,22		
139:13 141:22	10:9 25:11 44:15	6.5 40:20		
187:17 188:3	90:11 198:7 320:8	6:30 5:15		
189:1 196:10	2017 25:11	6:45 5:16 210:16		
201:2,4	2020 64:6 65:13,18	60 149:14		
12:25 210:20	88:21	61 3:4		
12.23 210.20 122 3:7	21 104:13	654 196:19 197:5		
13 80:14 198:4	211 3:10			
201:4 204:18	22 193:15	7		
209:3	24 110:12	7 31:2 190:6		
14 80:17,18 201:5,7	25 1:8 186:18	70 188:7 189:16,16		
204:2,12,21 209:7	195:14 300:10	70's 271:5		
209:18,20,21	25-30 196:2	710 196:14 197:5		
144 189:21	26-slide 170:20	746 197:6		
15 80:18 170:22	265 3:11	76 290:6		
182:4 192:5	29 166:3 167:11	78 162:17		
150 189:8 319:4	169:15	8		
16 110:6 203:13		-		
265:9	3	8:45 4:2		
165 3:8	3 9:19 67:11 230:13	8:46 4:12		
166 188:5	232:21	8:53 4:13		
17 188:5	3.6 194:3	80 162:18 197:4		
17-year 177:8	3:30 331:21	311:3		
177 190:15	30 49:4 195:5,14	80's 271:5		
18 38:12 176:13	30% 49:2	800 188:4		
201:2	31st 166:12,21	811 196:14		
18th 187:21 197:14	35th 103:6	83 123:6 235:7		
186 3:9	395 191:1	9		
1880 271:1		9th 118:21		
1940's 271:3	4	9:00 12:18		
	4 3:2 246:8	9:30 27:22		
2	4,000 99:18	9:40 28:1		
2 110:13	40 32:7 57:18	90 53:4 169:18		
2,000 270:4	40th 100:17	311:3		
2.5 41:1	40,000 99:15	90s 168:9		
20 3:3 54:1 186:19	400 1:11 90:13	90% 48:9		
277:18	43 191:2	910 196:14		
	45 169:6	/ I V 1/0.11		
	1	ı	ı	

${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee

Before: NOAA

Date: 10-25-11

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

+ + + + +

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

MARINE FISHERIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

ECOSYSTEM SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2011

The Marine Ecosystem Subcommittee Meeting met at 3:56 p.m. in the Hyatt Regency Washington on Capitol Hill, 400 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, Tom Raftican, Chair, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

TOM RAFTICAN, Chair
ANTHONY CHATWIN
PAUL CLAMPITT
JULIE MORRIS
KEITH RIZZARDI
VA'AMUA HENRY SESEPASARA
DAVID H. WALLACE

STAFF PRESENT:

JOSHUA STOLL

ALSO PRESENT:

ROGER GRIFFIS HEATHER MCMILLAN BRIAN PAWLAK

PROCEEDINGS

3:55 p.m.

MR. RAFTICAN: We're actually going to meet today, and I think we have to meet again tomorrow. Then we'll have to present some kind of an output from this going down the line on Thursday.

But right now, we're in charge of taking a look at Habitat Blueprint, which we just covered a little while ago, blue carbon and then also follow-up from the Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Task Force recommendations. If we get through half of this, we'll be lucky.

Does everybody know everybody?

Good. With habitat, let me ask Hawaii to kind of take the lead on this. You know, as close as I can see, you've got three areas and you're looking for recommendations out of the subcommittee. Basically, the subcommittee will put together recommendations. We'll go back, bring that back to the entire committee.

But actually NOAA Fisheries approach, they've done it by priority areas with habitat, with the potential implications and pitfalls for key external stakeholders which engage in developing priority areas. We have to engage them with the key policy opportunities within MSA, in order to -- what are the policy barriers. It's a pretty comprehensive look at that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Anybody here have questions or insight into this, right off from the get-go?

MS. MORRIS: Well, the first question is sort of a criteria question about process, just one about how should you proceed.

MR. PAWLAK: We're headquarters office, first off. Folks kind of look at what is the process, high level to put representatives. We're talking internal now NOAA Fisheries. to Do put in we representatives from every region and every science center? Do we get just one or two

from that? It's also in that process, meaning
I'm not sure that we can pull it out, is it
public. It's first internally getting our
ducks in a row. But what will be the
overarching criteria, and it's easy to say
important managed species, well protects
important managed species.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I think it's almost the criteria of what do we look at. Do we look at a little bit of what scientific level of certainty do we want that species, and know that it's habitat-limited and think we can address? Was that that it's not rebuilding because of its habitat limitations or the cause for not rebuilding?

So it's a bit of both and what those are, and how much of screens the think the screening do we -- I process questions how much of that screening do we do internally within Fisheries. I would say in a public engagement way, this is we used and this is kind of the sidebars for that, or do

we open that more up and say give us your thoughts and then we'll use that in our criteria as well?

We have just started. So we're open to all of that. I think one of the challenges, I think you raised the question earlier, is an understanding of how to engage externally once we start picking these areas, because once you pick an area, and Roger was saying this is sort of his presentation, you have the winners and losers.

Once you pick an area to focus on, someone's going to go "hey, does that mean you're not working in my area?" That can be challenging pretty quickly, and that was some of the things we've struggled with the most, in kind of putting this together. What are the implications going to be as we start really managing?

So I think it's both process and criteria, and I think a big question for us from the external -- this is the first time

we've talked externally about this at all.

Not that we're keeping a secret or talks about this in theory, but as the real plans for talking to people outside of NOAA, and hearing how that might be received by those are either within or without that geographic area would be helpful, I think.

MR. CLAMPITT: Just an inside baseball question. This is kind of our -- I don't want to get off track, but this is just the start of ecosystems and its management. It just seems to me like this is sort of the ground floor. Am I right?

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, I would think so. I mean our science centers have already been doing a lot to build up that already.

But from a management side --

MR. CLAMPITT: The management side.

MR. PAWLAK: Sure, and I think

Eric even made a point in saying he doesn't

want to call this ecosystem-based management,

1 because right now he wants to focus on the

2 habitat component of that, because that still

3 could mean so many things to so many people.

4 He was purposeful in saying let's pick the

5 habitat portion of other ecosystem and focus

6 on that.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But yes, that's the idea. It's putting in those different influences and factors.

MR. RAFTICAN: Okay, Tony.

DR. CHATWIN: Yes. This is a complex question, picking geographic priorities. The first thing that comes to mind is that we need to clarify what scale we are, or if we're approaching this from multiple scales. We just need to clearly pick one scale and make recommendations on that scale. Pick a different scale, make recommendations at the other scale.

So if you're talking nationwide, the way I would approach it is from a biogeographical perspective. The challenge is

for management there, because two different,

two adjacent biogeographical areas have more

-- have ecological differences. There are

more ecological differences between them than

within them, right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So if you're choosing priority areas, you want to choose within the areas of, that are similar. Because if you choose. For example in Florida, there's a point at which mangroves give way to marshland, right. So if you want to pick your priority marshland areas, you might not pick them right there where the transition is, because they're at the margins of their natural extents.

So you need to look at the range of them and look at the scale of that that's one dimension to distribution. So If you go biogeographically, then that might create a conflict with what the managers see as the management regions. So be useful to managers, they might need to have that within management boundaries of the the

1 councils, for example.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I'll just put them on the table and then I'll yield.

MR. PAWLAK: Take your time.

DR. CHATWIN: But the other perspective is I'm thinking that is this something that's going to be built incrementally and built upon clearly successes. It makes me think of, again, the priority species, and choosing priority areas for those species.

One way to choose between species is to look at the level of information that was used to define their EFH, because really what we're talking about ultimately is to understand the role, if I'm -- correct me if I'm wrong from what I understood, but it's to understand the role of habitat in rebuilding and managing fish populations for fisheries productivity.

In EFH, you already have in the regs four levels of information, and the

higher you go within those levels, 1-2-3-4, the stronger the connection between the habitat type and productivity. So while the vast majority of species are defined, the EFH is defined by Level 1, which I think is presence/absence, and then Level 2 is maybe density or abundance, I don't know, there may be species within these broader geographies for which you already have Level 3 information, or maybe I don't think there are any with Level 4.

But that might be one way to start to take small bites at the apple, is finding the species for which the existing knowledge is already close to the point where you want to get to, and push that one over the edge, or use that to identify, to prove the concept.

MR. PAWLAK: Right.

DR. CHATWIN: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

MR. RAFTICAN: You're welcome.

22 Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. WALLACE: Well, the other question, the question that I had that I didn't ask after your presentation is your presentation is mostly about the interstate waters, you know, in the mangroves and the salt marshes.

I guess the question is how much does the federal government, through MAFAC and your own auspices, want to get into state territory, where you have EFH and the Magnuson Act from the three mile on the offshore, gives you all the authority you need to do a whole bunch of things.

And so -- and your presentation really sort of put them all together. There was no delineation between states and the federal zone, and as a practical matter, if you work on the federal, on just the federal side, you'll run into a lot of less pushback from the states. You'll get very little pushback from the states.

But if you think that crossed the

boundary into the state waters, you know, you are going to -- you're going to see pushback, only because then, especially if you start proposing that this be a sanctuary of some kind, you know, whether it's an MPA essentially or what have you.

The states would say well, you know, now you're outside of your jurisdiction.

So it's a question of not what you should do, it's expediency.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, I think in this conversation we haven't drawn any line, any boundary around it. The idea was not to be, I mean the whole leveraging and partnering was not to go and say gee, we know it's most important here in this state water. We're going to go tell them what to do. It's to ask the question of if we think this area is important, gee, state partners, do you think it's important?

If you say yes as well, then can we drive our science and our restoration

also dollars there to help you, and that importance to that area that you find is important as well. So it's -- I think the idea of looking at it and why it's kind of a different concept is not necessarily drawing that boundary state-federal, and let's just do the federal, because then whatever happens in the state doesn't matter, because we know it does. Whatever happens in federal doesn't happen in the state.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. WALLACE: I didn't say that.

MR. PAWLAK: No, no.

MR. RAFTICAN: I think the question is between near shore and offshore.

MR. WALLACE: Right.

MR. RAFTICAN: I mean we've got varying degrees of habitat, varying, you know. It gets back to what Tony was saying about you know, and they will ecosystems. But change as you get offshore. You know, and the thing that I look at is as we get into an era of marine spatial planning, what the are

compatibilities in addition to just simply
habitat that would start making sense.

You know, I'm asking questions and answering them would help me.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, no. I think it's something we haven't really -- we haven't fleshed out or even thought about it. That's the first time someone's even put it in that context.

MR. RAFTICAN: I know, but if we start talking in terms of marine protected areas, you know, a lot of people think of marine reserves as a key on that. If there are different compatibilities out there, how do you, you know, how do you start looking at drawing that landscape? I mean you can look at habitat, but also look -- you know, it gets right into what you're doing with the stakeholders.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes. No, that's -- those are key points that we haven't fully thought out or fleshed out, but that we have

1 to be looking at here.

MR. GRIFFIS: You know, a lot of that's happening at the state level already. So for me, it's happening in the state conversations. There are lots of conversations about habitat protection and conservation strategies, and the state fish and wildlife programs are doing that.

Interior's doing that with them, as well as the state funding that that agency devises. I would think the natural easy way here would be to tap into the existing efforts and dialogue.

MR. RAFTICAN: Okay. So then limiting most of it to near shore, and I think this would get into where Dave was going, and you get offshore. You know, there's clearly what Tony said, essential fish habitat. There are designations out there. There are opportunities out there. There's information out there.

But you know, if you're looking

for recommendations for us, it's like where do
you want to take this.

MR. CLAMPITT: So the question is, then are -- looking at the presentation here, it looks like are you meaning to focus in on wetlands?

MR. PAWLAK: No. I think we haven't selected that focus area. Again, if you look at the region list, there's some that are not near shore at all, but deep sea coral is one of the areas that's a mandated area. So we haven't picked that area to focus on.

MR. WALLACE: But you know, the estuaries and the marshes and the mangroves are highly productive for some species of fish.

MR. PAWLAK: Right.

MR. WALLACE: And they have no influence on oceanographic fish whatsoever.

And that was really what was driving my question. Have we focused on what groups of fish that we are going to focus on, if you're

going to use Fisheries Service as the focus?

Would you -- is there -- I guess
the Fisheries Service, from my point of view,
looks at species of fish or other animals or
protected species, and the habitat and the
habitat that's essential to reproducing those
fish. So as you know, spiked bass depend on
estuaries to reproduce, where other species of
fish came out.

That's the question that I'm really trying to get at. There is a link, and have you thought out the link and how you're going to partner with the states on certain species. You know, you're spending all this time in the Chesapeake Bay looking at that.

That's a good idea, but the fact is that -- and it's a collapsed ecosystem, and you know, we just have to recognize that and we have to figure out how we can fix it if we can.

But you know, and I'm involved in that business, and that's another one, you know, the only thing that we really need for

the Chesapeake Bay or any of the other tributaries for it's a huge source and part of their life cycle, and phase one into the estuaries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So what I'm trying to do is just more clearly understand. It's easier to build the system incrementally than trying to build it all at one time, because as Sonny says, it's highly complicated.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, and I think there are levels of trying to figure out how that would -- I think that's what we hoped with the prioritization. We would pick the areas, either understanding we picked, whether we picked marsh to offshore because there is the linkages that we know about, or we picked only offshore area because the we think there's not a connection so we can most deal with that.

I think those filters, since we don't know what those filters are yet, is what's going to determine that. But your

caution I'm taking from your statements is

making sure if we pick areas or find areas

that states have interests, go in to the

states saying where are your priorities, why

are they your priorities, and we're not trying

to usurp your authority or take anything away

from you.

But you know, my office does restoration projects. We can do them over here, or if you're a state, or we can do them in your priority area. Can you tell us where your priorities are? We can shift our priorities too. So I'm taking from your messaging that caution.

MR. WALLACE: Well, both Tony and I, Tony's an ex-member and currently I'm still a member of the Marine Protected Areas, MPA.

We're over in ocean, and we're doing a white paper right at the moment on the interface of terrestrial and the water. Well, we're in state waters, and you know, we know that we cross that boundary and now we can be accused

of meddling in other people's backyards, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We're doing it openly, you know, and just we're going to publish the paper and let the chips fall where they may, because NOAA's strategic plan says from the mountains to the ocean. Then there are a number of people who picked up on that.

MR. PAWLAK: So Ι think the attempt is to get to a place, whatever size we pick, I mean we pick a size, is where would draw that linkage. It doesn't need to have the absolute scientific, 100 percent understanding of those linkages. But picked those areas because we think it's important to something, and makes that reasonable. You know, if that goes stream to offshore, I don't know. If that just stays inshore, but that's hard to tell.

I know what we'd like is some of the regional issues that we have is that they are strictly offshore. So we can say that's

1 NOAA Fisheries mandate. That's NOAA's area, 2 and we can clearly go in there and look at what we want and pick what we want and not 3 that we're trying to exclude any input. 4 just that we have -- we're not bumping into 5 6 Fish and Wildlife Service, we're not bumping 7 into states. 8 MR. WALLACE: And I'm not trying to make a big issue out of this. I'm really 9 trying to find out. 10 11 MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes. 12 MS. MORRIS: Are you going to choose more than one area? 13 14 MR. PAWLAK: Yes. I think the idea is within each region, we would pick. 15 16 MS. MORRIS: So you'd be looking 17 for a geographic area within each region? MR. PAWLAK: Right, right, within 18

people have said, it seems like piggybacking on some efforts that are already a

MS. MORRIS: So just like other

our regions, in our six regions.

19

20

21

22

coordinating effort across government boundaries, and the political support is already there and they've already created some citizen support, and that's why the National Estuary Programs seem like a place to look.

If you could overlay the kind of habitat information that Tony's talking about, a list of managed species that you know are dependent on some kind of habitat, and you could get a gain by focusing on habitat restoration in that area, and overlay that with where there's already an existing program like National Estuary Programs that you can piggyback on.

But I also think it's important to not, for it not always to be the Mississippi Delta and Chesapeake Bay. It should be some place that feels like it hasn't gotten as much attention as those other areas. I think that you get some PR value out of that, and you may learn something that you're not learning in the Mississippi Delta and the Chesapeake Bay.

MR. PAWLAK: Well, those are good

points. Yes, because you could easily pick

Puget Sound, San Francisco Harbor.

MS. MORRIS: Yes. You could pick

the ones -
MR. PAWLAK: Whatever shelf break

is your famous, your favorite shelf break.

MS. MORRIS: Right.

MR. RAFTICAN: I just think it's less about the geography and more about what you want to accomplish.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, I think that's the key. I think a key in picking the geography, or I hope it becomes a key and we just started this, is that what you pick, you pick an area where you think you then can demonstrate results, and not just picking it because of that. But if you're uncertain of what's happening in the system and you're not sure if your species --

MR. RAFTICAN: It would occur to me that we want the first one to fly, and low-

hanging fruit is probably the answer on something like this, and that's the advantage of having a state program that you can tie in with, is it is up and running, and probably a lot of the stakeholder engagement has already been fired up to some extent. Does that make any sense to anybody?

MR. CLAMPITT: Yes, I think it does, and I think along that line, you know I think, correct me if I'm wrong, you know, you've still got to work side by side with the fishery. You can't stop growth all the time.

MR. PAWLAK: Right, right.

MR. CLAMPITT: So you've got to find a way to rehabilitate the wetland, and then show how society is next to that wetland part of the project.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes. I think that's part of the -- I think it's part of the intent behind it, and part of -- so you guys are getting more detailed briefs. We haven't even briefed the National Estuary, or our

1 NERRS program within NOAA yet.

I think once we start reaching those other parts of NOAA that deal more with the community side, the coastal zone management side, those interests need to come into here too. But it's not just protecting our development and how you do development.

MR. CLAMPITT: See, you know, we have all these laws on the books and I can walk down the stream beds in the Puget Sound watershed and see houses developed right down there, because they like the view. And you ask well, how could you do that? How do they do that inside the laws?

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes.

MR. CLAMPITT: Then you see at the end of the development, there's a concrete catch basin with two cattails growing on there. No, that's mitigation.

MR. RAFTICAN: The answer is they don't.

MR. CLAMPITT: Yes.

1

MR. RAFTICAN: Tony.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

DR. CHATWIN: It just occurred to me that we're, to some extent, starting by talking about picking geographies, identifying criteria and going through that whole process. It's almost like reinventing the wheel. There's a lot of priority geographies. discussion about estuaries and wildlife refuges, we didn't talk about wildlife refuges, but wildlife refuges and national marine sanctuaries.

There are a lot of important places that have been picked already, and one thing that's sorely lacking is the understanding of their contribution towards management goals, fisheries management goals.

Maybe a recommendation -- we don't have to go to recommendation right now --

MR. RAFTICAN: No. I was just about to ask for a -- I thought we'd kind of gotten along to where somewhere down there I was going to ask Brian to help us lay out that

1

recommendation. Give us some recommendations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. CHATWIN: Okay. So recommendation might be, from MAFAC might say, you know, rather than picking new geographies, please make sure to consider first those geographies that have already been identified important, and use whatever limited as resources might come your way or abundant resources to figure out their contributions towards fisheries management objectives. could list NERRS.

MR. WALLACE: And you could pick from the MPA Centers' list, because these are already established MPAs. So what you then look from those MPAs is, because they're both terrestrial water interface and they're offshore, so we have both, and you can go to look for one of those that meets the other criteria that you have and partner with them, and they have already done the hard work of defining an area that is being studied in some way. So that makes your partnership more

1 streamlined, and moves the process forward. 2 There are 260 of them. MR. PAWLAK: I was just thinking 3 4 of the term MPA, and you're making the link to 5 managed fisheries, and then often those who 6 harvest managed fisheries sure don't like the 7 term MPA. 8 MR RAFTICAN: And t.hat. was 9 exactly what I was about to bring up, is we've 10 got to be very careful here, because we're going to have to -- this has got to pass 11 muster with the rest of the --12 13 MR. PAWLAK: The concept --14 MR. RAFTICAN: Yes, and down the line. 15 There's great deal of а 16 misunderstanding. I suspect Tony and Dave 17 will settle the MPA and tell the Secretary 18 doesn't necessarily mean zero take 19 reserves. 2.0 MR. WALLACE: Just not necessarily 21 a no take, but --22

MR. RAFTICAN: But that there are

special considerations in place, and maybe this helps define some of that.

areas.

DR. CHATWIN: Maybe we should avoid that discussion by just saying the entities that comprise the system, you know, like --

MR. WALLACE: Identify them -DR. CHATWIN: Fishery closed

MR. CLAMPITT: I would say also,
do you intend on like kind of piggybacking
some of this work of the universities? I know
universities, they work on this kind of stuff
regularly, and you know, they could always use
your help.

There's like, like I know like for instance in Bellingham, at the university,
Western Washington University, they just purchased Georgia Pacific's pulp. They've been dumping into the Bay for 50 years.
They're going to tear that down and try to restore that area, you know, things like that.

You know, I mean low-hanging fruit, right. It's already started, the expertise.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, well I think

part of the point of the blueprint, and I'll

just take that one example now that we're

jumping on that, I think fisheries the agency

would normally say well, that's not our role.

We manage fisheries, and why would I be

looking at that?

I think part of Eric's charge here is saying gee, would there be an opportunity, not that they wouldn't have consultation requirements on the legal.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, Chinook salmon.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, drivers that get them there. But rather than just going because they'll be the driver, saying could we. Now we can't do this every place, but just to take your example.

Could we get there while it's

happening, in advance of it happening, and plan, do our legal mandate for consultation on the EFH or ESA whatever, both or whichever one it is?

Then could we also start saying gee, if the state and the university is doing some restoration, would we want to attach some restoration cleanup to it as well, you know, building off of that. So I think the idea of the Blueprint is no, we can't do that every place. We can only do that in very few places, actually to do the extent.

So I think the idea of where those options are and how would we find those and go engage in them. But I think that's getting to

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, I think it's selfish because it's in my backyard.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes. But as an example, there's a big project going on. If that project fixes an area because of the pulp mill, is there something upstream, downstream

that we would fix or address, that would
contribute to that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So in other words, instead of doing the project over here, just south of Bellingham, we'd move the project up right there. It's the concept that Eric was trying to get aligned here. I just went and visited a fishery.

MR. CLAMPITT: Oh you did?

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes, a couple of weeks ago.

MR. CLAMPITT: They've got a challenge.

RAFTICAN:

MR.

trying to get a layout of what's going on.

Are you predominantly interested in enhancement or restoration, or is it -- how do we look at this thing and find out what it's doing?

Ι

think we're

MR. PAWLAK: I think, you know, I don't know if it said it right in my slides, you know. But the Blueprint says that they're

- looking for on the ground conservation
 benefits. So it's protections, restorations
 or enhancements, and so obviously there's
 something --
 - MR. RAFTICAN: On the ground.
- 6 MR. PAWLAK: Right.

5

17

- 7 MR. RAFTICAN: That is a factor in 8 the fisheries, or other --
- 9 MR. PAWLAK: Or other ecosystem 10 services, right.
- MR. RAFTICAN: Exactly.

executed past the Beltway.

- MR. PAWLAK: Yes. So the idea was
 you can do a lot of science and it's valuable
 and it's information that's important to many.

 That's a piece of it. You can do a lot of
 policy stuff here in D.C. that doesn't get
- MR. RAFTICAN: This is actually
 metrics that --
- MR. PAWLAK: Right, the idea is
 you get metrics when you --
- MR. RAFTICAN: You could sit there

and see what's happening and come out with, 1 2 regardless of what they are. MR. PAWLAK: That's the ultimate 3 4 goal, and we don't have those metrics --5 MR. RAFTICAN: Okay. That gives us a better idea of --6 7 MR. PAWLAK: Yes, that would be 8 the idea. It would definitely be metric-9 based. You can go back and point to it, and a 10 bit contrived, because we would create those. We'd say we want to see this out of this area 11 12 and obviously not doing something that's totally measurable. 13 14 MR. RAFTICAN: We just want to 15 make sure that we want to see the same thing. 16 MS. MORRIS: So do you need sort 17 of a summary of all the points that we're 18 making as a recommendation? 19 MR. PAWLAK: Yes. 2.0 (Simultaneous speaking.) 21 MR. RAFTICAN: trying We're

ferret this out and later on this evening,

22

it's doing to be difficult. I keep coming back to Brian. Well, can we find out to writing that recommendation.

MS. MORRIS: Well, one of them certainly is this piggyback on, you know, trying to -- and piggyback might not be the right word. But build on an existing program somewhere that's already built the kind of social network and political support. Another theme seems to have a real clear connection to some managed species or suite or group of managed species that could be, that could benefit from habitat protection or restoration.

Another one seems to be this idea that it's -- it would be, what you would learn there could be applied and exported into --

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, the transferability then.

MS. MORRIS: The transferability of what you learned there to other areas.

What are some of the other big themes?

MR. STOLL: Well, Dave brings up the point of sort of the delicate relationship with states, and sort of, you know, be conscious of that. I don't know if you want to try saying that again in a recommendation format.

MS. MORRIS: Well just recognize that it's federally managed species that are dependent on state near shore water habitats, and that that requires the cooperation and collaboration between entities. Another one was let's choose something where we can be really successful, where there's high probability of success.

MR. STOLL: Tony, you brought something up about scale and needing to clearly define scale when you're talking about geographic areas. Is that a recommendation or

DR. CHATWIN: Well, it's a suggestion for one, that choosing priority geographies needs to be done at different

scales, where you have to recognize the role of scale in doing so.

MR. PAWLAK: Like the fellow here was saying when you first started, because I started triggering it. It sounds like you were saying, but maybe you weren't, but you might pick different level scales and say we're looking at this level, but we're going to act on this level. Is that kind of what you were saying, the hierarchy of scale?

DR. CHATWIN: Be clear about it,

yes.

MR. PAWLAK: I mean like Will Stelle at Puget Sound.

DR. CHATWIN: Puget Sound.

MR. PAWLAK: But he's working in three tributaries. That's where in the next year he's focusing on three. So that rung a bell, if you pick a scale of salmon in Puget Sound scale, focus on salmon and pick three tributaries to try to direct some immediate effort. It's almost like scaling of the

1 geographies.

2 MR. RAFTICAN: An appropriate 3 level scale.

DR. CHATWIN: Yes, and even bigger than Puget Sound is an estuary on the west coast, California Current.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, which could drive -- it could drive an activity, because even though you're working on the three tributaries in Puget Sound, you might want some research on the ocean to understand survivability rates and --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

DR. CHATWIN: Yes. If the Sound is your goal, then you need to --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. PAWLAK: Pacific and west coast, yes.

MR. WALLACE: Well, this is going to need spread out work that they were trying to wherever they respond that it changes every year.

1 MS. MORRIS: Well, for these --

MR. WALLACE: Atlantic salmon too.

DR. CHATWIN: They breed them --

MS. MORRIS: I don't know about striped bass, but we're getting grouper. They use habitats at different stages of the year and different stages of the life cycle, ranging from low salinity, near shore areas, mid-shelf, all the way out to the edge of the

Continental Shelf, and they need all of those.

It's like a crane flyway. They need all of those habitats in order to complete their annual cycle of life. So just doing habitat restoration in state near-shore waters without making sure the habitats are there for the spawning aggregations isn't going to get you anywhere.

MR. WALLACE: Well yes. Summer flounder do the same thing. They migrate into the estuaries in the summer time and migrate offshore in the winter time, and it's just part of the life cycle.

DR. CHATWIN: So if you want to say population response, if that's what success is, then you need to account for the entire life cycle, because you can be very successful with one place and not see the population response.

MR. WALLACE: Well, and that's been the problem all the time. It was okay in the ocean, but when they got into the estuaries is where they got into trouble, because they became so degraded and that's absolutely correct.

MR. STOLL: So is the life cycle part, is that a recommendation?

MS. MORRIS: The habitats needed throughout the life cycle and throughout the annual cycle.

MR. PAWLAK: Well, I like the flyway analogy. It's kind of a different way of thinking about it. You can't just protect the reef and hope for success. You can't just protect the --

MS. MORRIS: Now for some species you can. They spend their whole life cycle on the reef. So maybe some of your geographic areas of focus should be the things that just spend their whole life cycle on the reef. But at least some of them should be these species that need the whole --

MR. RAFTICAN: And I think that was where Tony started off with, you know, looking at species as the delineator of the habitat, you know. If you're talking reef fish, you're talking about many habitats. But if, you know, you're talking about grouper, from mangroves to water.

MR. STOLL: So it sounds like a practical thing would be to physically map out, I mean I don't know if that's feasible, but --

MS. MORRIS: Well, that's what we did in essential fish habitat. We tried to map, but instead we came up with huge tables with everybody's life cycle and habitat --

1 MR. WALLACE: That's the only
2 thing about it. The whole ocean became
3 essential fish habitat.

MS. MORRIS: It is, and you know it is. It just is.

MR. GRIFFIS: So are you all developing a criteria list now. It's starting to sound like the key things to think about when you're prioritizing your conservation.

MR. RAFTICAN: I think that's what we're getting at, how we put some type of a recommendation, how this committee is going back to MAFAC, that will help you along the line of finding this stuff, and being able to -- it's interesting, because you didn't make it easy.

MR. STOLL: Yes, it's complicated.

MR. RAFTICAN: It's complicated

19 stuff.

MR. GRIFFIS: The first one is that you, I just wanted to say, and the first one is developing criteria, and then you all

seem to have been beautifully developing,
helping them think about what some of those
key criteria would be. I mean you've
summarized, I think, beautifully.

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

- MR. PAWLAK: Yes. I think our job would be somewhat to go back and look at whether it be NPAs or suite of NERRS or estuary program sites, and look where those overlay --
- MS. MORRIS: Where they overlay with your managed species.
 - DR. CHATWIN: And just for clarity, what Dave and I were talking about, I didn't use the word MPA, but it's the same thing as NPAs.
- MR. PAWLAK: Right, right.
- MR. CLAMPITT: It's all the same.
- DR. CHATWIN: Yes. So it's not
- 19 that we're thinking of something different.
- MR. PAWLAK: Right.
- MR. CLAMPITT: On your first
- 22 bullet point on your Blueprint overview, the

first one says "Healthy habitat to sustain resilient and threatened marine resources and communities." What do you mean by communities? Do you mean human communities?

MR. PAWLAK: Yes.

MR. CLAMPITT: Okay.

MR. PAWLAK: So the elements there, which is the piece that we're just starting to roll out outside of fisheries is National Ocean Service and things --

MR. CLAMPITT: Right. So part of this thing is, you know, if you look through it, I don't see -- I really like this document. I like the idea of the whole -- MR. PAWLAK: Yes.

MR. CLAMPITT: I mean but one of the things I don't see on here is, you know, you've got to get people to realize that swamps aren't swamps.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes. I heard you made a comment when I took a phone call, about educating the fishermen, and what one's going

to see is the North Carolina Fishing

Association bumper sticker or the North

Carolina tag. But yes, North Carolina Fishing

Association. I don't know the industry group,

but it's more of a local --

MR. STOLL: Isn't that the Coastal Federation?

MR. PAWLAK: No, no. It would not have been them. This is definitely the industry side of folks, not the NGOs, environmental side. It's the industry side.

MR. STOLL: Okay.

MR. PAWLAK: They had a bumper sticker that said "No wetlands, no seafood."

Yes, but the essential piece is it's definitely fisheries, because obviously fisheries is essential fishing communities and we take fisheries regulatory actions. We impact on --

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, I just didn't
-- I wasn't sure if you meant -- I just want
to clarify, you know, that marine resources

1 and marine communities.

MR. PAWLAK: Right. No, no, no.

It meant to be, and anyway that language is

not set in stone. I'd like to clarify that.

It is people and populations as well, and our

NOS counterparts, they have more of a focus.

They have a different focus on communities. They're looking at port development and coastal zone access and those kind of things, which is the Coastal Zone management side of the house.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, that's interesting, you know. If you look at Puget Sound, for instance, if you could just get a little bit of knowledge, or just have a little bit of foresight, we could have done everything to save the resources.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes, yes.

MR. CLAMPITT: Those are great.

This is -- since I've been on MAFAC, to me

it's one of the best programs that we've seen.

Hopefully, it will get implemented.

1 MR. PAWLAK: Good, and I hope it 2 does. Congress keeps letting us go.

MR. RAFTICAN: Do you have a question?

MR. GRIFFIS: So I don't know how detailed to do you want to actually get into this criteria. But they've probably mentioned threat level. It might be something you might want to --

MR. RAFTICAN: Policy barriers.

I'm still trying to get down the list of questions. Are there policy barriers, policy opportunities and/or barriers to those programs.

MR. GRIFFIS: Can I ask a question maybe of Brian or you all.

MR. RAFTICAN: Shoot.

MR. GRIFFIS: It seems like so much of this prioritization process, thinking about putting ourselves in Brian's shoes. Where would I spend my two nickels on habitat protection and conservation every year?

That's kind of what this is trying to help you all do, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PAWLAK: Yes. That's a good simple way to put it.

MR. GRIFFIS: Part of that is thinking about that bang for the buck. There's a number of metrics for bang, right; leverage, you said. But when it comes down to benefit of the services, the value of restored place to a community or a fishery something, it seems to me that's where we also always, where the information is just very weak.

So my question is if there's anything about, any guidance that MAFAC might want to provide on it. It seems to me that our ability to talk about services and value those services from these coastal habitat is still in its infancy, and that prioritization and talking about the importance of protecting here versus there or any of these, could be having more information about the value and

benefits of that, to go into a -- one has to do a cost/benefit type analysis. It could be a really important underpinning for all of this, this structure.

MR. RAFTICAN: It's true. I like where you're going. The words of Ronald Reagan always kind of ring in my ears, you know, those curious words in the American language, I'm here from the government and I'm here to help you. When you talk in terms of doing something that affects all of us directly as fishermen, and it's like the government's coming in to help you.

You know, we don't have a way of putting a value of those services to the community. I mean, you know, it's generally measured in terms of net loss. I'm trying to be very frank about this. In fact, a well-restored ecosystem makes a hell of a lot of sense. In the long run, you're probably going to improve property values.

I mean there are real, genuine

things that you can take out of this. I mean the reason the guy built the house on top of the hill because it was a damn beautiful place to live. The trick is just simply doing it well, and is there a way of restructuring the way that we think and act on things like this.

I know when Dave and Tony start saying "marine protected areas," it's like, "Oh, you know, I've been through this." I mean we call them ocean parks after a while simply just to change the debate. I mean we had to change the debate. But is there a way to change the debate on some of this stuff, and I think the way that we present this thing to the entire MAFAC is the ground floor first step in the way that we do that, and is there a way we can do that. I'll throw that one out for discussion.

MR. WALLACE: Well, I want to respond to your hypothetical content, in how do we put all the pieces together and find out what its real value is. Actually there's, for

the last four years, the Woods Hole, the NMFS
Northeast Science Center, three or four
universities and the New England Fisheries
Management Council have been working on a
model they call SASSI and it's the value of
the habitat and the fish and all the other
factors that go into it, and the cost of
extracting fish with the least amount of
environmental damage, toward the maximum
return.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

They've had this peer review two or three times, and they keep going back and they'll never stop changing it, because it's just a work in progress, you know. But it's getting to the point where they can start using this information. So you may want to talk to them, because they have taken they've only worked on federal zones. they've done a lot of work in state and local sanctuary, and a whole series of other places that are EFH or HAPCs in New England, and they have already evaluated them and, you know, the academics keep coming up with now minor flaws.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So and they just keep working on it, and once they finish the models, you'll be able to go in and plug in others, you know, and just use the model to do the evaluation, and so you may want to talk to the Northeast Science Center, because they're the ones that actually load it on their computers.

MR. GRIFFIS: That's а great I think the field -- I quess I suggestion. was trying to make maybe two points, and you illustrated one of them. One, I think this field is changing quickly, and I think there are tools and knowledge available now that --I'm not sure -- that we could be using or effectively talk about the benefits perhaps, restoration value of habitat and and protection.

So getting those into the hands of the folks that have to do that would be one, and the second, just promoting the continued

investment in that kind of work, because I still think that we're relatively unable to articulate even benefits that we know pretty well, from habitats to communities and things like that. We're just not very good at articulating that, and there are great new tools. That one. There's the Natural Capital Project that was a similar model base, that's pulled together all the information on what we know about valuing these things. Actually allows a manager to kind of play out different options of what it does. Some really cool stuff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. CHATWIN: So I agree with you guys. I just think that what would bring most recognition of value in the fisheries arena is to focus on the fisheries value of habitat.

Because when you start to prioritize using all services provided by coastal habitats, that the message starts to get diluted, and there are some known values that are much higher in certainty of return and much higher value,

known value. So then you get into mitigating against coastal hazards, hurricanes, that sort of thing.

MALE PARTICIPANT: Well, the SASSI will do that for you.

DR. CHATWIN: Yes. But I think what's lacking is the information of the value to the fish population, which ultimately is what's going to bring benefits to the fishing community, the human fishing community.

MR. RAFTICAN: Yes. We're asking for what are the benefits. You know, how do you -- what are the metrics of this, and specifically the metrics to the fishing community. I mean you're asking the fishing community here for recommendations, and those metrics that are important to the folks in this room, but also the people who it will go back to across the country.

Anything else as far as on a criteria list that we need to add in here?
We've got a pretty good copy list, and we're

going to sit down afterwards and try to put
something together.

MR. STOLL: Yes, got it.

MR. RAFTICAN: And actually probably it would be a good idea to run that off right now, so that -- from everybody here, if we've got everything or if we've missed anything.

MR. CLAMPITT: I don't know. You know, to come up with a particular -- to put a value on a wetland or on a near-shore estuary, and say well, we're going to equate this to the fact that salmon is going to do really well here. I mean it's much larger than that. It should be easy. I don't know that we have to -- were you suggesting that we need to equate the value of these fish to be produced? That's almost impossible.

DR. CHATWIN: Well yes, but not in terms of dollars. In terms of how important it is as an industry for the population. I mean if fish is producing to that population.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, I don't think

22

it's that much of a hard sell. It's just we've got to put the value, but the value is pretty apparent. Like I said, we're going to have to educate some people.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PAWLAK: Well, in large part, the value's apparent, I think. The little piece I'm destroying or degrading. It's not, that little piece can't be that important, can So I think part of it is, what we hope to it? get through this is the fishing community will look back and start being able to talk about the value of protecting that as well, not just us, not just the regulators, not just the They can look back and say this green NGOs. is a valuable from an industrial perspective, industry being fishing, and that Ι think NOAA's interested in making it more than just fishing too. They want to be able to say that's valuable to the industry of fishing, but the way, what's in that barrier island is important for storm protection as well.

MR. RAFTICAN: I think one of the

things we're kind of overlooking, and we've
got fairly knowledgeable folks in this room,
but education is a key component of what we're
doing, not just the local communities but the
fishing communities. I mean most of them get
it, but they don't get it, and actually the
education coming back through folks in this
room --

MR. CLAMPITT: One of the reasons why I brought that up is just because, I mean a lot of times it amazes me that fishermen who depend on these estuaries aren't standing up on their feet and screaming, throwing rocks about what are you doing to my livelihood? If they could understand that, because we're starting to see a little bit of that up in Alaska, the Pebble Mind.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes.

MR. CLAMPITT: But even there it's fairly subdued.

MR. PAWLAK: Well, I heard some of the politics behind that, why people don't

1 raise their hand, the Pebble Mine 2 specifically.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, there's a lot of gold there.

MR. PAWLAK: Yes.

MR. RAFTICAN: Julie.

MS. MORRIS: So in my experience, recreational and commercial fishermen really come together on habitat issues, and if there's some big energy or big dam or there's some big project that's going to influence habitat, they're all together. They're all on the same side.

So I don't think it's a hard sell, and I think it is a really unifying issue, and I think you'll find a lot of support for it.

But where things break down is at the local government level, where the local government are talking to the big developers in their town. They're concerned about jobs or they've got a wealthy homeowner who owns a waterfront piece of property and they want to buy a

1 house.

So it's all these little projects that are decided by the local government, and the state governments have trouble putting together a regulatory program that really affects the single family home or the small projects and that's where we lose the habitat. We lose the habitat, I think, at the local government decision level.

MR. RAFTICAN: It's right in communities, it's how you manage the whole picture.

MS. MORRIS: Another thing to look at is property that's owned by Department of Defense, because they can do a lot of restoration there without having to collaborate with anybody.

DR. CHATWIN: And they have a mandate for certain species.

MS. MORRIS: And they have a mandate to do it. So that might be a criteria to look for.

1 MR. CLAMPITT: Right in Key West, 2 they're spending unbelievable amounts of money to remove coral off a bulkhead. 3 (Simultaneous speaking.) 4 5 MR. PAWLAK: Coming from the Bay, 6 I mean the Chesapeake Bay -- it's not like 7 it's just "the Bay," he's very in tune with 8 the local communities, thinking about some of 9 those successes in the Bay when he was state 10 director, and he could influence local community activity on water quality issues. 11 12 I appreciate you listening. 13 DR. CHATWIN: One thing we haven't 14 talked about is the Opportunities, and maybe 15 that's where Keith can help with us 16 opportunities in the law. 17 MR. RIZZARDI: Sure. DR. CHATWIN: But I don't know if 18 19 you want to discuss that today. 20 MR. RAFTICAN: The opportunity to 21 what?

Well, one of the --

DR. CHATWIN:

22

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. RIZZARDI: -- the next step, I

think the next step in the evolution of this thing getting in, right?

MR. PAWLAK: You know, if you've

got ideas on the top of your head now, we'd be glad to listen now. But yes, those are longer-term, and it's going to take longer to do that obviously than some of this other stuff.

MR. GRIFFIS: Okay. Is it useful here to have MAFAC make a general statement of interest and support for these steps, separate from getting into the how. Now I just want to clarify that. I think, I thought I heard you say you were interested in feedback on the steps of the plan and the history.

MR. RAFTICAN: I think our charge is to come out with a general statement on, you know, this is what we feel is important, you know. This is what's important to MAFAC, the Ecosystem Subcommittee, and this is our

recommendation, to have the entire MAFAC adopt this and that's really what we do.

MR. GRIFFIS: That could be a general statement about yes, we think, and I'm going to your point of that third question.

Yes, we think looking at the key policy opportunities, within legislative or other, would be a good idea.

MR. RAFTICAN: Consider areas where work has started, and that includes special consideration of the habitat and fisheries, yes. I mean I think those are probably the first steps that we put down here.

MS. MORRIS: Well, so when I thought about that question, it seemed like the essential fish habitat program is a policy framework that has to be helpful in some way, even though we're all kind of frustrated by how it didn't really lead anywhere, and the habitat area is of particular concern. At least look at those as, you know, established

policy, rule and law that might be a place to hang this.

MR. RAFTICAN: It's a good place to start.

MS. MORRIS: And all the EPA work that's going on. Even the ecosystem species and the annual catch limits, somehow that might be, might contribute to your policy framework.

MR. PAWLAK: But also I'm hearing on some of the policy and legal discussions -- it will probably be a year working to build something maybe toward Managing Our Nation's Fisheries 3. I don't know if you guys -- do you guys meet quarterly or how often do you --

MS. MORRIS: Twice a year.

MR. RAFTICAN: Twice a year.

MR. PAWLAK: But I mean I think one of the things that this group can do -- (Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. RIZZARDI: We can take it off the list of taboo topics and move it onto the

list of something that can be discussed, then that's progress. And Julie, I mean I really think we are looking at the beginning of repeal of environmental law. If we don't get realistic about it and if we don't find ways to allow ourselves to prioritize, while accepting that humanity has impacts on the planet, the counter thinkers are going to simply repeal the laws, rather than trying to work within that framework. That's what's happening.

So is that going to be our official MAFAC statement? I doubt it.

(Laughter.)

MR. RIZZARDI: But can the point be made? You know, and to what extent are we willing to really start hashing out the need for reform of our own environmental laws?

MR. RAFTICAN: Yes, and I think that's where we're going with this. How do you generate positive programs to make sense, and pick one that you've got a high degree of

success on, so that we can start moving the needle forward. I think the other thing is it seems very much like -- I mean everybody's done a little work on this before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Whether it's essential fish habitat, the HAPCs or how -- don't use the name, but marine protected areas that actually are moving, you know. There are political land mines along the way with all this stuff. But you know, how you start tying this stuff together and actually start to make sense and get it down in to the program. The thing I love about this is you're looking to show positive results on this. I mean, you know, this is not about a negative going out there. This is about how we do something well. It's wonderful.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. CLAMPITT: I'll throw this out there. You know, down through the economy, there's actually quite a few industrial sites that have sat on wetlands that are now shut

down and can't be sold. I mean if you can get
some company like Boeing to donate it, you
know, or give it to a university. That's
what's going to happen in Bellingham. I mean
the Georgia Pacific plant, they didn't want
it. Give it to the university. They said
okay.

MR. PAWLAK: Take the liability.

MR. CLAMPITT: Yes. And then it's good for the community, you know. Nobody loses on the deal. Otherwise, you get not in my backyard. You want to do a project? Go do it over there. It's like the mosquitoes, you know.

MR. RAFTICAN: They come. That's part of the deal.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CLAMPITT: And it smells when the tide goes out.

MR. CLAMPITT: Yes. It's like,
yes, that's what the waterfront's like.
Monterey. We want to get a working
waterfront. Okay. Do you remember what it

smelled like? Well, we're not sure we want an actual working waterfront.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PAWLAK: And that's an ecosystem service. I like the smell of marsh.

A lot of people don't.

MR. RAFTICAN: Let me see if I've got everything down here. We're trying to move on a little bit into blue carbon. Consider the areas where work has started and where it includes special circumstances to habitat and fisheries, like essential fish habitat, marine areas of special concern, HAPCs. Look for social network and political support, tie in with a special group or a group of species. Transferability of issues.

Look for an area with high probability of success. Look for areas of appropriate levels of scale. Value of NOAA services to the communities. Talk to Woods Hole and SASSI about their work in bundling resources and Highlight the returns. fisheries value of habitat, and include a

segment on education. Anything else that we need to include in that as a recommendation?

Are you now comfortable with those?

MR. PAWLAK: Yes. No, those are good.

MR. RAFTICAN: I'm very happy to do that. I just want to make sure that the rest of the committee's good with what I've got down here.

MALE PARTICIPANT: That sounds right.

MR. RAFTICAN: Okay. Are we good?

MS. MORRIS: We're good.

MR. PAWLAK: Things we weren't thinking about before was the whole point of this.

MR. RAFTICAN: Blue carbon, the value of salt marsh, sea grass and mangroves coming down the line. Roger, I'm going to turn this over to you. Are you looking for a recommendation out of this? You know, what do you need from us on this, and we're going to

discuss it. I want to let you kind of kick this off.

MR. GRIFFIS: You know, I think to start simply, what would be, I think, very useful would be some feedback from MAFAC on whether or not NOAA should keep looking into this topic. So here's the current situation.

NOAA is looking at this, for all the reasons I told you.

We have a small team that's been set up and tasked by NOAA leadership to assess the state of the state of the practice. That is, are we, as I mentioned, are we currently including carbon services in our consultations and valuing of habitats, and I told you we're not.

So we've served that up to leadership, and they've said well, come back to us with some ideas about what to do about it. What should NOAA's role be in advancing the science of understanding this? How might we start valuing carbon services in our

regular consultations and planning and that kind of thing?

That's where the team is. So, a very simple response from MAFAC would be we think this sounds interesting, and think NOAA should continue to look at what your role can be to promote this. The bigger issue is, as we said, most of where the valuing of this service needs to happen is not -- is in what other agencies are proposing as well.

For this to actually have an impact, we need the Army Corps, and our brother and sister agencies across the federal family, to also be considering carbon services, as they do the cost/benefit of their projects as well.

MR. RAFTICAN: Roger, would it help if we suggested that this program would be a good place to see the value of carbon services and integrate them in there? I mean you're looking at habitat. You're looking at essentially estuary and habitat moving out,

you know. It would give you a vehicle to work with.

MR. GRIFFIS: The Habitat Office has been one of the lead champions for trying to promote thinking about carbons services, along with everything, all the other services. So absolutely. It fits nicely here. So it seems to me, and I'm just going to speak very frankly, because I've got to run because I'm a single dad this week.

One would be some general sense of, you know, good job NOAA, looking into this. Continue to flesh it out, what it means for you, both as a science agency and in practice. You know, a next step would be to think about what role either MAFAC can play, or encourage NOAA to help get other agencies to be thinking about this as well.

Again, you guys hold -- as a fact, you guys hold the magic card of being able to bring people together, experts or others, to think about it. One of the steps that we're

taking or thinking about taking is getting

some of the other agencies to come together

and begin thinking about this together, Army

Corps, Fish and Wildlife Service, EPA.

MS. MORRIS: So why are you focused on Army Corps? Is it because of their cost/benefit analysis?

MR. GRIFFIS: One, they're really good at that.

MS. MORRIS: Yes.

MR. GRIFFIS: And this isn't on their ledger sheet.

MS. MORRIS: So they don't think about carbon sequestration when they do their cost/benefit analysis?

MR. GRIFFIS: It's not a unit.

It's not part of the ledger sheet. Two,

they're really good at it. So if we got it

into their system that would ripple across.

Because all your proposals are starting to

come in from the Army Corps that we just

consult on, and all of the sudden they're

including it? Then we all start thinking
about it in the ledger sheet.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. MORRIS: Well, that seems easy.

MR. RAFTICAN: Yes, and maybe we can throw that in too. My thought is just throw this back, and when we start doing, you know, we're looking at, you know, habitat, simply start bringing in, as part of what you're doing, the carbon value, carbon sequestration value. I mean it's a value to a local community, and this is not necessarily a for sale value. Here's the value you have living here in a very, very nice place, and instead of somebody saying down in the swamp, it's like yes, that swamp actually is working to help ameliorate the greenhouse gases that you've spent driving.

NOAA, I'm thinking that it becomes a piece of this, but an enlarged piece, and this becomes part -- it becomes part of the metrics and part of the value system of what

the habitat actually is. I mean whether it's the value not of the fish, it's the value of the fisherman, you know, except the ones using sail boats, which aren't too many of them.

MS. MORRIS: But Tom, I think the specific suggestion, that we investigate whether the Army Corps and other permitting authorities, which we are not -- which NOAA Fisheries really is not, look into adding carbon sequestration of coastal habitats as a benefit and a cost, would be a great suggestion.

MR. RAFTICAN: I just thought this is a practical one. That's kind of wish list.

Yes, we'll definitely include it.

MR. CLAMPITT: I'm not doubting anything about global warming or that these marshes sequester a lot of carbon. There's no doubt. But to coach that we had to do this because it's going to sequester carbon that we could charge or that we could put a value on, I don't think so.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Because I don't think it amounts to a spit in the bucket or amounts to a spit in the ocean, compared to how much is being put in the atmosphere. The current Congress you have right now, if you're trying to get funding for this kind of program and you're saying we're doing it because it's going to have carbon sequestration, they're going to -no way. They're not going to give you a penny for that. You're better off going with the idea that listen, we're going to fix this habitat, and we're going to increase the productivity of our fisheries. Now that might sell.

I mean going to Congress and say yes, we're going to put a price tag and we're going to sell the carbon credits, I mean the Chicago Carbon Exchange collapsed completely. It's gone. So that didn't work out, and the one in England and Europe is failing also. I mean so you're dealing in an economy that's collapsing worldwide, and nobody has any

interest in putting a charge on CO2 or carbon,
and I just think it's, I don't think it will
work.

MR. GRIFFIS: I think you've characterized the current U.S. representative from some perspective. I think there are a whole lot of people thinking that it's just a matter of time before we actually get to some kind of carbon market basis. So I agree with you. You characterized the current situation well, and I think we shouldn't tie ourselves to that.

But I'm just going to go back to federal law, that our mandate is and underneath that everything else, Army Corps -- everybody. We are charged to consider federal actions, the impacts, pros, cons, costs/benefits of that. It's pretty obvious recently that there is this little sliver of services that we're not -- that are not part of the calculation at all.

So all we're saying, we're not --

and I'm intentionally not proposing that NOAA band leader come out as some for carbon think markets. But Ι do have we responsibility, as we think about services of these habitats, to at least get the math right, because there is a service here that we should be taking into account. I also agree with you. It is not going to change the world. Making fish from these habitats can help protect them much more strongly. just a matter of do we want to add this to those list of things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. RAFTICAN: Paul, I'm going to agree with you to a very large extent. I'm not the scientist. I'm basically a political hack and I understand exactly where you're at as far as what the Congress is going to say. What I was alluding to was not sitting down and going hey look, we're putting this together because it's going to change the value of your real estate, because of the carbon credits.

But I think it gets back to what I

said about education, the fact that these

weapons are valuable because this is what

helps clean up the atmosphere. I think that

makes a tremendous amount of sense. If you

can get to the level of the Army Corps of

Engineers, where you're doing something on a grand scale like that, the scale may change and we might be able to do something. But

even that starts with small steps.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You know, what we do. You know, the jury's out, and I agree with you on a lot of the things that are going on right now.

But the thing is it shouldn't preclude us from making small steps along the way. I agree with you. It shouldn't be this is it and we're saving the world.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, you know what I would say, I mean I get your point. But to me I would say and, besides this being productive, it also is a great pollution abater. I mean and it's not just atmospheric

pollution. It's also runoff and nutrients and everything else.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PAWLAK: Right on.

MR. CLAMPITT: I mean I would sign onto that. But once you start talking about oh yes, we're going to solve global warming.

MR. RAFTICAN: Did you hear?
We're agreeing with you on the overall
concept. But the thing is, it is a component,
you know. Are you good with it?

MR. CLAMPITT: My problem, personal one, maybe I should be honest, is I feel the government is wasting a tremendous amount of resources in the study of anthropogenic, catastrophic global warming studies. I think it's a red herring. I think there's so much better ways to spend our money on just basic marine research, on fisheries research, on wetlands research.

To go with this exercise of how much CO2 is being put in the air and how much it's going to warm the planet in 100 years,

and the data that comes out now is contradictory sometimes. I mean it depends on who you read. You can read anything you want, and the interesting thing about it, on top of that, is that half the public thinks it's bunk anyway.

You know, you can say well, they're ignorant, or you can say that they think the other way. I mean you know. I just disagree with it. I just disagree with spending the resource and time on this. I think there's better things to spend it on, and I guess I've said my piece.

MR. GRIFFIS: So we're talking about the habitat, and there are only two things that we're probably suggesting really, was some indication of whether or not you think this is a useful area for NOAA Fisheries in particular, to continue to look at from a research perspective. That's one thing. Is it, should we try and help find out and fill some of the science gaps on how much carbon

these places suck up. Because it's part of
that then next step, which is should we be
considering that as one of those services, as
we try and protect these places and restore
them down the road. Because right now it's
not part of the currency, it's not part of the
conversation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. RAFTICAN: Julie.

MS. MORRIS: Okay. So we know that people in Congress and Republican legislatures and governors don't want to hear anything about climate change. But we know that NOAA is the agency that is managing and researching climate, and that they're like the center of the science about all of this. as part of advising NOAA Fisheries, it seems like we have to say Ι can't see why wouldn't -- I mean just because people who are outside of the climate science community have doubts and reasons to oppose it, I don't see why that constrains us from saying --

It's not just --

MR. CLAMPITT:

1 you assume they're people outside of that.

MS. MORRIS: Right. But it seems, it doesn't seem like there's a lot of disagreement within NOAA about climate change and the climate findings.

MR. CLAMPITT: The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

MS. MORRIS: Exactly. I mean that's what they're about.

MR. CLAMPITT: And I realize that.

11 | I mean --

MR. GRIFFIS: Can I pick up on one thing Paul said, though. Again, I'm trying to be very frank here. There is very little, I mean I'm talking like three projects, very little investment. One of the questions well, how much is NOAA investing in this now? Very little.

MR. CLAMPITT: Oh, and this thing you're doing right here.

MR. GRIFFIS: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm understanding. So you were raising issues

about funding for other parts of the climate thing. But to understand the role of these little slivers of wetlands and habitats, very little going on. So the NERRS program doing a little bit, there's a little bit here or there. USGS actually has a little bit of funding.

So and again, I'm not talking about you guys saying put some money here.

But just, you know, I think all the statement of interest about NOAA, hey this seems like an interesting area because it is about services of these important habitats that make fish.

All that does is help leadership say well maybe I should consider putting, doing a little bit more on it, because we're doing almost zero on this right now.

MR. RAFTICAN: And probably more at least to ask the questions, and see where this is going. That's what I was going to suggest, that I think a lot of people are on the same page. But I want to make sure that

you're comfortable, that you look at the value of blue carbon sequestration, and this is not asking for millions. This is asking staffing, and then along with that, Julie's suggestion, ask the Army Corps of Engineers to look at carbon sequestration. Roger's going to get in touch with them on that after, at least he's got a card that gets him in the door, and then the other thing is incorporate in, blue carbon in the blueprint to extent, and you know, you guys can work that out. Are you comfortable with something like that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CLAMPITT: No. Don't let me hold you up.

MR. RAFTICAN: Well, I'm trying not to spend any money, but also to make sure that they've got at least a green light from here to examine the stuff. I mean we're really here about what happens to fisheries, you know. I'm going to trade off, you know, my diesel engine versus some part of the

sequestration, if it makes sense, and again, said I don't think we're going to break the bank on this one.

MR. CLAMPITT: Well, I guess one of the things, you know it's like, I mean there's just no arguing with the presentation. I mean it's, you know, I mean these areas are just unbelievably valuable and we need more of them. Why you have to coach -- I mean here's your black. Well, the CO2 coming out of the stack is the same CO2 coming out of the peat moss that's rotting over here.

So we've got black carbon and blue carbon. I mean it seems like, almost like a propaganda film, you know, in a lot of ways.

I mean I don't think it's necessary. But if somehow that sells it to the other half of Congress, I guess it's a good idea, because there's nothing bad about more wetlands. I mean absolutely.

MS. MORRIS: Right. So does it seem bad if the Army Corps adds to their

1 columns and their analysis of carbon
2 sequestration and that ends up protecting
3 wetlands? Is that such a bad outcome?
4 MR. CLAMPITT: No, no, no. But I

guess my objection in the long run, and the overarching thing is only that I don't like the idea of being charged for CO2.

MS. MORRIS: Charged?

MR. CLAMPITT: Yes. You know, the whole idea that, you know, the EPA is having an endangerment finding that CO2 is -- and then of course that will end up costing me.

My electricity's going to go up. I mean I don't like that idea.

MS. MORRIS: But you don't have trouble with the idea that helping to protect coastal wetlands --

MR. CLAMPITT: No, no, I don't.

MS. MORRIS: Okay, because that's what we're talking about here.

MR. PAWLAK: Let's just do -(Simultaneous speaking.)

1 MR. RAFTICAN: We'll only do it to 2 protect coastal wetlands.

MR. CLAMPITT: All right, that's fine. I'll go along with that propaganda.

That will be fine.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. RAFTICAN: It is time to adjourn. One other thing is the Gulf of Mexico, and I was just turning around to give Keith the entire thing on this, since he's the expert on that, and he's gone.

MR. GRIFFIS: Can I just do the -Mr. Chairman, the second thing on my, what I
was supposed to deliver to you all, I'll do it
very quickly. Tomorrow, you're going to talk
about the National Ocean Policy strategic
action plan. There's one on there, one of
those strategic action plans is about
strengthening the resiliency and adaptation of
coastal and ocean resources, i.e., how do we
help make fisheries and ensure fisheries
continue into the future, as things begin to

change. Fish, protected species, the whole system, whatever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I didn't see that So one, listed on what you're going to talk about tomorrow. I see this one on EBM, the ecosystem-based management. There's one on -you have several. There are a bunch of SAPs, that will strategic action plans be interest to you all.

But if nothing else, be sure you ask about that one. I want to make sure that that one's on your radar screen because that one addresses this issue of are we ready? we have the science? Do have we observations, and do we have our management in order, as things start changing, house because they're already changing. Resiliency and adaptation of ocean and coasts. I want to make sure that it's one of the SAPs you all look at.

Because public comment period is coming. If you all need a virtual brief on it

or something like that, I was on the team that put that one together. You should be asking is this what the federal agencies should be doing for resiliency of ocean and coasts in a changing climate.

That public comment period is coming up. You'll hear tomorrow, whenever it is. I don't know, it's a month or something like that. So get ready for that one. That's a first step prelude to the one that I want you to look forward to in January, this fish, wildlife and plant adaptation strategy.

MS. MORRIS: Yes, we talked about that.

MR. GRIFFIS: That's the big -this first one is oceans and coasts. It's
just a federal strategy, and I'm not trying to
demean it, but it's only federal in contrast
to the other one coming in January, which is
federal, state, tribal. It will have a bigger
scope and a longer time frame.

So stepping stones and your

1 comments on the ocean policy, resiliency and 2 adaptation strategy is a good stepping stone beginning to perhaps what you all might want 3 4 to say or comment on the one coming in 5 January.

MR. RAFTICAN: Okay. We'll probably get that keyed up for us.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. GRIFFIS: Okay.

MR. PAWLAK: On wetlands loss, ocean policy recommendations -- Mark, and your thought came under these too, I guess.

MR. RAFTICAN: All right. With blue carbon, let's make sure we're all still on the same page. I had three suggestions.

One, we look at the value of blue carbon sequestration or that you look at the value of blue carbon.

Ask in a report to include -- look at including a measure of carbon sequestration and then incorporate blue carbon in the Blueprint.

MR. PAWLAK: As a potential policy

1 approach?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2 MR. RAFTICAN: Or as a potential value. I wouldn't say policy approach.

MS. MORRIS: Just that it supports. Just that it supports what you're trying to do with habitat.

MR. RAFTICAN: It supports what you're trying to do.

MS. MORRIS: Particularly for managed species that rely on coastal wetlands.

MR. CLAMPITT: So are you going to give a presentation tomorrow on the resiliency of species and ecosystems in the face of changing climate?

MR. GRIFFIS: You know, I had that one queued up for today, but they took me off the -- yes.

MR. CLAMPITT: Because, you know, I'm not too clear on that.

MR. GRIFFIS: So, you know, I'd love to at some point. It doesn't seem -
MR. CLAMPITT: Or maybe we --

MR. CLAMPITT: Or maybe we --

	Page 94
1	MR. GRIFFIS: Thank you.
2	MR. RAFTICAN: Thank you.
3	MS. MORRIS: Thank you.
4	MR. RAFTICAN: Keith, do you have
5	anything to follow up on Gulf Coast Ecosystem
6	Restoration Task Force recommendations?
7	MR. RIZZARDI: Do I have it? No,
8	I was hoping that NOAA staff had something for
9	us.
10	MR. PAWLAK: I haven't been
11	MR. STOLL: We followed up with
12	the Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Task
13	Force, and they received the comments, and
14	that's as far as they have gone. You know,
15	there was there's a Gulf Coast Ecosystem
16	Restoration Task Force preliminary findings
17	document that's been published on the
18	Restoration Task Force website, and that's
19	where things are right now.
20	MR. RIZZARDI: One of the things
21	that I've asked Mark to work up the chain was

to what extent could MAFAC play a greater

22

Page 95

role? Can we make any appearances? Was there anything else we could do in here, being followed up before the Biloxi meeting? Could I go -- so there's a little bit of frustration on my part about NOAA -- or MAFAC playing a role.

As far as I know, all that happened is a letter was mailed off with the comments from MAFAC attached, end of story.

MR. RAFTICAN: Okay. Let's check with Mark. We've actually got another meeting coming up. This is unusual for them to meet twice, but on Wednesday, I think, we get together Wednesday right 12:15, 12:30 -- 1:30 to 2:45, with Protected Resources.

So we'll follow up with that at that point. I'll check with Mark in between to see if there's something else we can come up with. But I think we're good with that.

So we've just got these two sets of recommendations. We're all set with that.

Josh, can you help me put this down, get it

together and get it on paper, and I'll get it
back to everybody, so that -- or you'll get it
back to everybody.

I'll get it back -- at least Josh is probably going to get it back to everybody.

But we'll get it back, we'll take a look at it and I want to make sure everybody's comfortable with what we get down on paper.

One other thing --

MR. RIZZARDI: One follow-up on the Gulf Coast thing.

MR. RAFTICAN: Yes.

MR. RIZZARDI: There is, if we want, an opportunity for us -- there is an opportunity for us to comment on the Gulf Coast document. The Restoration Task Force has now come out with their document, which is being circulated right now for public review and feedback.

So if we wanted to spend any time looking at that document, providing comments on that, we could do that. It's -- we played

a role in Step 1 of the process. Now here's
the next step of the process. They heard us,
supposedly. They developed whatever they
developed, and now we can look at it and say
hey, you know, we told you these things.
Where are they reflected in this document?

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

Or, oh, we really like the changes that you made. Thank you, you know. We can take whatever position we want. The point is we've got to look at it again and go through that process.

- MR. RAFTICAN: Where's that document?
- MR. RIZZARDI: It's come out.

 15 It's online.
- MR. STOLL: I can send it to you.

 I'll send it to this group.

MR. RAFTICAN: One final note before we adjourn. Comments will be due tomorrow. If we're going to make comments, they're due tomorrow.

MR. RIZZARDI: Okay.

1 MR. RAFTICAN: Does anyone want to 2 take that on? Keith.

MR. RIZZARDI: Of course.

MR. RAFTICAN: Write a comment draft by tomorrow. Run comments by us tomorrow morning, and can we look at that -- is it close of business tomorrow?

MR. RIZZARDI: Yes, midnight tomorrow.

MR. RAFTICAN: Oh. Well, we'll just throw that on in this hour and 15 minute meeting we'll have tomorrow along with Protected Resources. But if you can put something together, let's examine it then.

All right. Everybody good with that?

MR. RIZZARDI: Yes.

MR. RAFTICAN: One more thing.

This is my last MAFAC meeting, so you're going to need a new chairperson. You've got a couple of folks that would be really good.

Julie, you would fit in really -- not that any of you wouldn't, but -- our leader is key. I

Page 99

don't know. But if anybody's interested, why
don't you see me afterwards. I don't have -I'd be happy to put in a recommendation.
Paul, you can -- no, okay.

MR. CLAMPITT: No. I don't have the wordsmithing ability that these two have.

MR. RAFTICAN: Well, the other thing is, and I apologize. I have been -running a for-profit business right now, as any fishermen know, is very difficult in these times. Running a non-profit business in times right now can even be more difficult. I've had a really tough year, and actually if I'm going off MAFAC, this is a really good time to do it. I've got work at home to take care of.

So some of you have done an incredible amount -- everybody has done homework, and I thank you for that. Thanks for the support on the committee. Some have done extraordinary amounts of homework. Really and truly thanks for that.

MR. CLAMPITT: I'm going to miss

	Page 100
1	you, believe it or not.
2	MR. RAFTICAN: I'm not leaving
3	quite yet.
4	MR. STOLL: We've got dinner
5	tonight.
6	MR. WALLACE: Well, he'll be here
7	for a couple more days.
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	MR. WALLACE: You've got until
10	Thursday.
11	MR. STOLL: You might be sick of
12	him by Thursday.
13	MR. RAFTICAN: Helen and Brian,
14	thank you.
15	(Whereupon, at 5:30 p.m., the
16	committee meeting was adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	aggregations 39:16	16:11,12 18:17	43:6 51:12 54:19	bigger 38:4 71:7
A 70.22	ago 2:10 32:11	19:11 21:1,13,17	57:11,14 58:7	90:20
abater 79:22	agree 53:14 77:9	22:11 23:16 27:21	70:18 74:7 77:13	Biloxi 95:3
ability 48:17 99:6	78:7,14 79:12,15	29:22 31:21 34:11	79:1 96:2,3,4,5,6	biogeographical
able 42:14 52:5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	63:21 68:16 81:18		7:22 8:2
57:11,18 72:20	agreeing 80:8 air 80:21	84:12	backyard 31:18 67:12	
79:9		= '		biogeographically 8:18
absolute 20:13	Alaska 58:17	areas 2:18 3:2,5 5:8	backyards 20:1	
absolutely 40:12	aligned 32:7	8:2,7,7,12 9:10 14:12 16:11 18:14	bad 86:19,22 87:3	bit 4:10,16 34:10
72:7 86:20	allow 65:6		band 78:2	46:15,16 58:16
abundance 10:7	allows 53:11	19:2,2,17 20:15	bang 48:6,7	68:8 84:5,5,6,16
abundant 27:8	alluding 78:18	22:19 29:9 35:21	bank 86:3	95:4 bites 10:13
academics 52:1	amazes 58:11	36:18 39:8 41:4	barrier 57:20	
accepting 65:7	ameliorate 74:17	50:8 63:9 66:7	barriers 3:8 47:10	black 86:10,13
access 46:9	American 49:8	68:9,12,17 86:7	47:12,13	blue 2:10 68:8
accomplish 23:11	amount 51:8 79:5	arena 53:16	base 53:8	69:17 85:2,10
account 40:3 78:7	80:14 99:17	arguing 86:6	baseball 6:9	86:13 91:13,15,17
accused 19:22	amounts 61:2 76:1	Army 71:12 73:3,6	based 34:9	91:20 93:21
act 11:11 37:9 50:6	76:2 99:20	73:21 75:7 77:15	basic 80:18	blueprint 2:9 30:5
action 88:17,18	analogy 40:19	79:6 85:5 86:22	basically 2:20	31:10 32:22 43:22
89:8	analysis 49:2 73:7	articulate 53:3	78:15	85:10 91:21
actions 45:18 77:17	73:15 87:1	articulating 53:6	basin 25:18	boats 75:4
activity 38:8 61:11	and/or 47:13	asked 94:21	basis 77:9	Boeing 67:2
actual 68:2	animals 17:4	asking 14:3 54:11	bass 17:7 39:5	booked 93:1
adaptation 88:19	annual 39:13 40:17	54:15 85:3,3 90:2	Bay 17:15 18:1	books 25:9
89:18 90:12 91:2	64:7	assess 70:11,12	22:17,22 29:20	boundaries 8:22
add 54:21 78:11	answer 24:1 25:20	Association 45:2,4	61:5,6,7,9	22:2
adding 75:9	answering 14:4	assume 83:1	beautiful 50:3	boundary 12:1,13
addition 14:1	ANTHONY 1:15	Atlantic 39:2	beautifully 43:1,4	13:6 19:22
address 4:13 32:1	anthropogenic	atmosphere 76:4	beds 25:10	break 23:6,7 59:17
addresses 89:13	80:15	79:4	beginning 65:3	86:2
adds 86:22	anybody 3:10 24:7	atmospheric 1:3	91:3	breed 39:3
adjacent 8:2	60:17	79:22 83:7	believe 100:1	Brian 1:22 26:22
adjourn 88:8 97:19	anybody's 99:1	attach 31:7	bell 37:19	35:2 47:16 100:13
adjourned 100:16	anyway 46:3 81:6	attached 95:9	Bellingham 29:17	Brian's 47:20
Administration 1:3	apologize 99:8	attempt 20:10	32:5 67:4	brief 89:22
83:7	apparent 57:3,6	attention 22:19	Beltway 33:17	briefed 24:22
adopt 63:1	appearances 95:1	auspices 11:9	benefit 35:13 48:9	briefs 24:21
advance 31:1	apple 10:13	authorities 75:8	75:11	bring 2:22 28:9
advancing 70:20	applied 35:17	authority 11:12	benefits 33:2 49:1	53:15 54:9 72:21
advantage 24:2	appreciate 61:12	19:6	52:17 53:3 54:9	bringing 74:9
advising 82:16	approach 3:2 7:21	available 52:15	54:12	brings 36:1
ADVISORY 1:5	92:1,3	Avenue 1:12	best 46:21	broader 10:8
agencies 71:10,13	approaching 7:15	avoid 29:4	better 34:6 76:10	brother 71:13
72:17 73:2 90:3	appropriate 38:2		80:17 81:12	brought 36:15
agency 15:10 30:7	68:18	<u>B</u>	big 5:21 21:9 31:20	58:10
72:14 82:13	area 5:9,12,14 6:6	back 2:22,22 13:18	35:22 59:10,10,11	buck 48:6
agenda 93:9,12	12:18 13:2 16:8	34:9 35:2 42:13	59:19 90:15	bucket 76:2
·- ,				
	-	-	.	•

build 6:16 18:6,7	centers 6:15 27:13	21:13 36:12	92:10	compatibilities
35:7 64:12	certain 17:13 60:19	choosing 8:6 9:10	coasts 89:18 90:4	14:1,14
building 31:9	certainly 35:5	36:21	90:16	complete 39:13
built 9:7,8 35:8	certainty 4:11	circulated 96:18	collaborate 60:17	completely 76:18
50:2	53:22	circumstances	collaboration	complex 7:12
bulkhead 61:3	chain 94:21	68:10	36:11	complicated 18:9
bullet 43:22	Chair 1:12,14	citizen 22:4	collapsed 17:17	42:17,18
bumper 45:2,13	Chairman 10:20	CLAMPITT 1:15	76:18	component 7:2
bumping 21:5,6	88:13	6:8,18 16:3 24:8	collapsing 76:22	58:3 80:9
bunch 11:13 89:7	chairperson 98:19	24:14 25:8,16,22	columns 87:1	comprehensive 3:9
	_	29:10 30:15 31:17	come 25:5 27:8	_
bundling 68:20	challenge 7:22 32:13			comprise 29:5
bunk 81:5		32:9,12 43:17,21	34:1 55:10 59:9	computers 52:9
business 17:21 98:7	challenges 5:6	44:6,11,16 45:20	62:19 67:15 70:18	concept 10:17 13:5
99:9,11	challenging 5:15	46:12,19 55:9	73:2,21 78:2	28:13 32:6 80:9
buy 59:22	champions 72:4	56:2,22 58:9,19	95:18 96:17 97:14	concern 63:21
buzz 93:15	change 13:20 50:11	59:3 61:1 66:19	comes 7:13 48:8	68:12
	50:12,13 78:8,20	67:9,17,19 75:16	81:1	concerned 59:20
$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C} 2:1}$	79:8 82:12 83:4	79:18 80:4,11	comfortable 69:3	concrete 25:17
	89:1	82:22 83:6,10,19	85:1,12 96:8	conflict 8:19
calculation 77:21	changed 56:15,18	85:14 86:4 87:4,9	coming 35:1 49:13	Congress 47:2 76:4
California 38:6	changes 38:21 97:7	87:18 88:3 92:11	52:1 58:7 61:5	76:15 78:17 82:10
call 6:22 44:21	changing 51:13	92:18,22 93:3,7	69:19 86:10,11	86:18
50:10 51:5	52:14 89:16,17	93:12 99:5,22	89:22 90:7,19	connection 10:2
Capital 53:7	90:5 92:14	clarify 7:14 45:22	91:4 95:12	18:18 35:10
Capitol 1:11	characterized 77:5	46:4 62:15	comment 44:21	cons 77:17
carbon 2:10 68:8	77:10	clarity 43:13	89:21 90:6 91:4	conscious 36:4
69:17 70:14,22	charge 2:8 30:11	clean 79:4	96:15 98:4	conservation 15:7
71:14,19 73:14	62:18 75:21 77:1	cleanup 31:8	comments 91:1	33:1 42:9 47:22
74:10,10 75:10,18	charged 77:16 87:7	clear 35:10 37:11	94:13 95:9 96:21	consider 27:5 63:9
75:20 76:8,17,18	87:8	92:19	97:19,20 98:5	68:9 77:16 84:15
77:1,9 78:2,22	CHATWIN 1:15	clearly 7:16 9:8	COMMERCE 1:1	consideration
81:22 85:2,6,10	7:11 9:5 10:19	15:17 18:6 21:2	commercial 59:8	63:11
86:13,14 87:1	26:2 27:2 29:3,8	36:17	committee 1:5 2:22	considerations
91:13,15,17,19,20	36:20 37:11,15	climate 82:12,14,19	42:12 99:19	29:1
93:21	38:4,14 39:3 40:1	83:4,5 84:1 90:5	100:16	considering 71:14
carbons 72:5	43:12,18 53:14	92:14	committee's 69:8	82:3
card 72:20 85:8	54:6 55:19 56:4	close 2:17 10:15	communities 44:3	constrains 82:21
care 99:15	56:13 60:18 61:13	98:7	44:4,4 45:17 46:1	consult 73:22
careful 28:10	61:18,22	closed 29:8	46:8 53:4 58:4,5	consultation 30:13
Carolina 45:1,3,3	check 95:10,17	coach 75:19 86:9	60:11 61:8 68:19	31:2
catastrophic 80:15	Chesapeake 17:15	coast 2:11 38:6,18	community 25:4	consultations 70:15
catch 25:18 64:7	18:1 22:17,22	94:5,12,15 96:11	48:10 49:16 54:10	71:1
cattails 25:18	61:6	96:16	54:10,15,16 57:10	content 50:20
cause 4:15	Chicago 76:18	coastal 25:4 45:6	61:11 67:10 74:12	context 14:9
caution 19:1,14	Chinook 30:15	46:9,10 48:18	82:19	Continental 39:10
center 3:22 51:2	chips 20:5	53:19 54:2 75:10	company 67:2	continue 71:6
52:8 82:15	choose 8:7,8 9:12	87:17 88:2,20	compared 76:3	72:13 81:19 88:22
	0.17,0 7.12	07.17 00.2,20	compared 10.5	, 2.13 01.17 00.22
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

cross 19:22 **demean** 90:18 97:6,13 **EBM** 89:5 continued 52:22 contradictory 81:2 crossed 11:22 demonstrate 23:17 **doing** 6:16 14:18 ecological 8:3,4 contrast 90:18 curious 49:8 density 10:7 15:8,9 19:18 20:3 economic 56:3 contribute 32:2 **Department** 1:1 currency 82:6 31:6 32:4,19 **economy** 66:20 64:8 **current** 38:6 70:7 60:14 34:12 35:1 37:2 76:21 **depend** 17:7 58:12 contribution 26:15 76:4 77:5,10 39:14 49:11 50:4 **ecosystem** 1:7,10 contributions 27:9 currently 19:16 dependent 22:9 58:4,14 74:7,10 2:12 7:5 17:17 36:9 contrived 34:10 70:14 76:7 79:7 83:20 33:9 49:19 62:22 conversation 12:12 **cycle** 18:3 39:7,13 depends 81:2 84:4.15.16 90:4 64:6 68:4 94:5.12 82:7 39:22 40:4,13,16 designations 15:19 dollars 13:1 55:20 94:15 conversations 15:5 40:17 41:2,5,22 destroying 57:7 **donate** 67:2 ecosystems 6:11 **detailed** 24:21 47:6 don't 58:22 13:19 92:13 15:6 D ecosystem-based **cool** 53:12 determine 18:22 **door** 85:9 **D** 2:1 developed 25:11 6:22 89:6 cooperation 36:10 **doubt** 65:13 75:19 **dad** 72:10 coordinating 22:1 97:3,4 doubting 75:16 **edge** 10:16 39:9 dam 59:10 **copy** 54:22 developers 59:19 **doubts** 82:20 educate 57:4 **damage** 51:9 developing 3:5 downstream 31:22 coral 16:10 61:3 educating 44:22 damn 50:3 **Corps** 71:12 73:4,6 42:7,22 43:1 **DR** 7:11 9:5 10:19 education 58:3,7 data 81:1 73:21 75:7 77:15 development 25:7 69:1 79:2 26:2 27:2 29:3,8 **Dave** 15:16 28:16 79:6 85:5 86:22 25:7.17 46:9 36:20 37:11.15 effectively 52:17 36:1 43:13 50:7 **correct** 9:16 24:10 devises 15:11 38:4,14 39:3 40:1 effort 22:1 37:22 **DAVID** 1:17 dialogue 15:13 43:12.18 53:14 **efforts** 15:12 21:22 40:12 days 100:7 **cost** 51:7 75:11 **diesel** 85:22 54:6 55:19 56:4 **EFH** 9:14,21 10:4 **DC** 1:12 **costing** 87:12 differences 8:3.4 56:13 60:18 61:13 11:10 31:3 51:21 deal 18:18 25:3 costs/benefits **different** 7:8,18 8:1 either 6:5 18:14 61:18.22 28:15 67:11,16 77:18 13:5 14:14 36:22 draft 98:5 72:16 93:18 **dealing** 76:21 cost/benefit 49:2 37:7 39:6,7 40:19 draw 20:12 electricity's 87:13 **debate** 50:11.12.13 71:15 73:7,15 elements 44:7 43:19 46:7 53:11 **drawing** 13:5 14:16 decided 60:3 Council 51:4 difficult 35:1 99:10 drawn 12:12 encourage 72:17 decision 60:9 councils 9:1 99:12 drive 12:22 38:8.8 endangerment **deep** 16:10 counter 65:8 **diluted** 53:20 **driver** 30:19 87:11 **Defense** 60:15 counterparts 46:6 dimension 8:17 **drivers** 30:17 **ends** 87:2 **define** 9:14 29:2 **country** 54:19 dinner 100:4 **driving** 16:20 **energy** 59:10 36:17 **couple** 32:10 98:20 **direct** 37:21 74:18 **engage** 3:5,6 5:7 **defined** 10:4,5 100:7 direction 56:21 ducks 4:4 31:15 defining 27:21 **course** 87:12 98:3 directly 49:12 due 97:19,21 engagement 4:21 **definitely** 34:8 45:9 24:5 covered 2:10 director 61:10 dumping 29:20 45:16 75:15 **D.C** 33:16 CO2 77:1 80:21 **disagree** 81:10,10 **engine** 85:22 degraded 40:11 86:10,11 87:7,11 disagreement 83:4 Engineers 79:7 \mathbf{E} degrading 57:7 **crane** 39:11 **discuss** 61:19 70:1 85:5 **degree** 65:22 E 2:1,1 **create** 8:19 34:10 discussed 65:1 **England** 51:3,21 degrees 13:17 earlier 5:7 created 22:3 discussion 26:8 76:20 delicate 36:2 ears 49:7 **credits** 76:17 78:22 29:4 50:18 enhancement delineation 11:16 easier 18:6 **criteria** 3:13 4:5.9 discussions 64:11 32:17 delineator 41:10 easily 23:2 5:3,21 26:5 27:19 distribution 8:17 enhancements 33:3 deliver 88:14 easy 4:5 15:11 42:7.22 43:3 47:7 document 44:14 enlarged 74:20 **Delta** 22:17.22 42:16 55:15 74:4 54:21 60:21 94:17 96:16,17,21 **ensure** 88:21

entire 2:22 40:4	executed 33:17	feet 58:13	99:10	frank 49:18 83:14
50:15 63:1 88:10	exercise 56:3 80:20	fellow 37:3	fishery 24:12 29:8	frankly 72:9
entities 29:5 36:11	existing 10:14	ferret 34:22	32:8 48:10	fruit 24:1 30:2
environmental	15:12 22:12 35:7	field 52:11,14	fishing 45:1,3,17	frustrated 63:19
45:11 51:9 65:4	expediency 12:10	figure 17:19 18:11	54:9,10,14,15	frustration 95:4
65:18	experience 59:7	27:9	, , ,	fully 14:21
EPA 64:5 73:4	experience 39.7 expert 88:11	fill 81:21	57:10,16,18,19 58:5	funding 15:10 76:6
87:10	expert 88.11 expertise 30:3	film 86:15	fit 98:21	84:1,7
equate 55:12,17	experts 72:21	filters 18:20,21	fits 72:7	future 88:22
era 13:21	experts 72.21 exported 35:17	final 97:18	fix 17:19 32:1	1utu1 € 00.22
Eric 6:21 32:6	extent 24:6 26:3	find 13:2 19:2	76:11	G
Eric 0.21 32.0 Eric's 30:11	31:12 65:16 78:14	21:10 24:15 31:14	fixes 31:21	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 2:1
ESA 31:3	85:11 94:22	32:18 35:2 50:21	flaws 52:2	gain 22:10
especially 12:3	extents 8:14	59:16 65:5 81:21	flesh 72:13	gaps 81:22
essential 15:18	external 3:4 5:22	finding 10:13 42:14	fleshed 14:7,22	gases 74:17
17:6 41:20 42:3	externally 5:8 6:1	87:11	floor 6:13 50:15	gee 12:15,19 30:12
45:15,17 63:17	extracting 51:8	findings 83:5 94:16	Florida 8:9	31:6
66:5 68:11	extraordinary	fine 88:4,5	flounder 39:19	general 62:12,19
essentially 12:6	99:20	finish 52:4	fly 23:22	63:4 72:11
71:22	ex-member 19:16	fired 24:6	flyway 39:11 40:19	generally 49:16
established 27:14		first 3:12,17 4:3	focus 5:12 7:1,5	generate 65:21
63:22	\mathbf{F}	5:22 7:13 14:8	16:5,8,12,22 17:1	genuine 49:22
estate 78:21	face 92:13	23:22 27:5 37:4	37:20 41:4 46:6,7	geographic 6:6
estuaries 16:14	fact 17:16 49:18	42:20,21 43:21	53:17	7:12 21:17 36:18
17:8 18:4 26:8	55:13 72:19 79:2	44:1 50:15 63:13	focused 16:21 73:6	41:3
39:20 40:10 58:12	factor 33:7	90:10,16	focusing 22:10	geographies 10:8
estuary 22:5,13	factors 7:9 51:7	fish 9:19 15:7,18	37:18	26:4,7 27:4,6
24:22 38:5 43:8	failing 76:20	16:16,19,22 17:4	folks 3:17 45:10	36:22 38:1
55:11 71:22	fairly 58:2,20	17:7,9 21:6 41:12	52:21 54:17 58:2	geography 23:10
Europe 76:20	fall 20:5	41:20 42:3 51:6,8	58:7 98:20	23:14
evaluated 51:22	family 60:6 71:14	54:8 55:17,22	follow 94:5 95:16	Georgia 29:19 67:5
evaluation 52:6	famous 23:7	63:17 66:5 68:11	followed 94:11	getting 4:3 24:21
evening 34:22	far 54:20 78:17	73:4 75:2 78:9	95:3	31:15 39:5 42:11
everybody 2:15,15	94:14 95:7	84:13 89:1 90:11	follow-up 2:11	51:15 52:20 62:4
55:6 77:16 96:2,3	favorite 23:7	fisheries 1:5 3:1,20	96:10	62:14 73:1
96:5 98:15 99:17	feasible 41:17	4:20 9:19 17:1,3	Force 2:12 94:6,13	get-go 3:11
everybody's 41:22	federal 11:8,17,18	21:1 26:16 27:10	94:16,18 96:16	give 5:1 8:10 27:1
66:3 96:7	11:18 13:7,9	28:5,6 30:7,9 33:8	foresight 46:16	67:3,6 72:1 76:9
evolution 62:3	51:18 71:13 77:14	44:9 45:16,17,18	format 36:6	88:9 92:12 93:11
exactly 28:9 33:11	77:16 90:3,17,18	51:3 53:16,17	forward 28:1 66:2	93:14
78:16 83:8	90:20	63:12 64:14 68:11	90:11	gives 11:11 34:5
examine 85:19	federally 36:8	68:22 75:9 76:13	for-profit 99:9	glad 62:7
98:14	Federation 45:7	80:18 81:18 82:16	four 9:22 51:1,2	global 75:17 80:6
example 8:9 9:1	feedback 62:16	85:20 88:21,21	frame 90:21	80:15
30:6,21 31:20	70:5 96:19	fisherman 75:3	framework 63:18	go 2:21 5:13 8:18
Exchange 76:18	feel 62:20 80:13	fishermen 44:22	64:9 65:10	10:1 12:15,17
exclude 21:4	feels 22:18	49:12 58:11 59:8	Francisco 23:3	19:3 21:2 26:17

27.17 21.14 24.0	22.1 40.0 50.19	H 1:17	Howe: 2.16	Honofully 46.00
27:17 31:14 34:9	22:1 49:9 59:18		Hawaii 2:16	Hopefully 46:22
43:6 47:2 49:1	59:18 60:3,9	habitat 2:9,16 3:3	hazards 54:2	hoping 94:8
51:7 52:5 54:18	80:13	4:14 7:2,5 9:18	head 62:6	hour 98:11
67:12 77:13 80:20	governments 60:4	10:3 13:17 14:2	headquarters 3:16	house 46:11 50:2
87:13 88:4 93:21	government's	14:17 15:6,18	Healthy 44:1	60:1 89:16
95:4 97:10	49:13	17:5,6 22:7,9,10	hear 80:7 82:11	houses 25:11
goal 34:4 38:15	governors 82:11	35:13 39:14 41:11	90:7	huge 18:2 41:21
goals 26:16,16	grand 79:8	41:20,22 42:3	heard 44:20 58:21	human 44:4 54:10
goes 20:17 67:18	grass 69:18	44:1 47:21 48:18	62:15 97:2	humanity 65:7
going 2:4,6 5:13,18	great 28:15 46:19	51:6 52:18 53:17	hearing 6:4 64:10 HEATHER 1:22	hurricanes 54:2
9:7 12:2,2,17	52:10 53:6 75:11	59:9,12 60:7,8		Hyatt 1:11
15:16 16:22 17:1	79:21	63:11,17,21 66:6	Helen 100:13	hypothetical 50:20
17:13 18:22 20:4	greater 94:22	68:11,12,22,71:21	hell 49:19	I
21:12 26:5,22	green 57:14 85:18	71:22 72:3 74:8	help 13:1 14:4 26:22 29:15 42:13	idea 7:7 12:13 13:4
28:11 29:21 30:18 31:20 32:15 37:8	greenhouse 74:17	75:1 76:12 81:15 92:6		17:16 21:15 31:9
	GRIFFIS 1:21 15:2		48:1 49:10,13	31:13 33:12,20
38:19 39:17 42:12	42:6,20 47:5,15	habitats 36:9 39:6	61:15 71:18 72:17	34:6,8 35:15
44:22 49:6,20	47:18 48:5 52:10	39:12,15 40:15	74:17 78:10 81:21	44:14 55:5 63:8
51:12 54:9 55:1	62:11 63:3 70:3	41:12 53:4,19	84:14 88:21 95:22	76:11 86:18 87:7
55:12,13 56:10	72:3 73:8,11,16	70:16 75:10 78:5	helpful 6:7 63:18	87:10,14,16
57:3 59:11 62:8	77:4 81:14 83:12	78:9 84:3,13	helping 43:2 87:16	ideas 62:6 70:19
63:5 64:6 65:8,12	83:21 88:12 90:15	habitat-limited	helps 29:2 79:4	identified 27:6
65:20 66:15 67:4	91:8 92:15,20	4:12	HENRY 1:17	identify 10:17 29:7
69:19,22 72:8	93:1,5,8,10,13	hack 78:16	herring 80:16	
75:20 76:7,8,9,10	94:1	half 2:13 81:5	hey 5:13 78:19	identifying 26:4 ignorant 81:8
76:11,12,15,16,17	ground 6:13 33:1,5	86:17	84:11 97:5	illustrated 52:13
77:13 78:8,13,17	50:15	hand 59:1	he'll 100:6	immediate 37:21
78:19,20 79:13	group 35:11 45:4	hands 52:20	hierarchy 37:10	
80:6,22 84:4,20	64:19 68:14,15	hang 64:2	high 3:18 36:13	impact 45:19 71:12
84:20 85:6,21	97:17	hanging 24:1	65:22 68:16	impacts 65:7 77:17
86:2 87:13 88:15	grouper 39:5 41:13	HAPCs 51:21 66:6	higher 10:1 53:21	implemented 46:22
89:4 92:11 93:11	groups 16:21	68:13	53:22	implications 3:3
96:5 97:20 98:18	growing 25:18	happen 13:10 67:4	Highlight 68:21	5:18
99:14,22	growth 24:12	71:9	highly 16:15 18:9	importance 13:2
gold 59:4	guess 11:7 17:2	happened 95:8	hill 1:11 50:3	48:20
good 2:16 17:16	52:11 81:13 86:4	happening 15:3,4	history 62:17	important 4:6,7
23:1 47:1 48:3	86:18 87:5 91:11	23:19 31:1,1 34:1	hold 72:19,20	12:16,19,20 13:3
53:5 54:22 55:5	guidance 48:15	65:11	85:15	20:16 22:15 26:12
56:13 63:8 64:3	Gulf 2:11 56:9,17	happens 13:7,9	Hole 51:1 68:20	27:7 33:14 49:3
67:10 69:5,8,12	88:8 94:5,12,15	85:20	home 60:6 99:15	54:17 55:20 57:8
69:13 71:19 72:12	96:11,15	happy 69:6 93:15	homeowner 59:21	57:21 62:20,21
73:9,18 80:10	guy 50:2	99:3	homework 99:18	84:13
86:18 91:2 93:20	guys 24:20 53:15	Harbor 23:3	99:20	impossible 55:18
95:19 98:15,20	64:14,15 72:19,20	hard 20:19 27:20	honest 80:12	improve 49:21
99:14	84:9 85:11	57:1 59:14	hope 23:14 40:21	include 68:22 69:2
gotten 22:18 26:21		harvest 28:6	47:1 57:9	75:15 91:18
government 11:8	Н	hashing 65:17	hoped 18:12	includes 63:10

68:10	investigate 75.6	52.1 11 50.1	landsoons 14:16	limits 64:7
	investigate 75:6	53:1,11 58:1	landscape 14:16	line 2:7 12:12 24:9
including 70:14 74:1 91:19	investing 83:17	63:19 70:1 71:2	language 46:3 49:9	
	investment 53:1	75:14 76:6 77:9	large 57:5 78:14	28:15 42:14 69:19
incorporate 85:9	83:16	know 2:15,17 4:11	larger 55:14	link 17:11,12 28:4
91:20	involved 17:20	10:7 11:5 12:1,5,8	Laughter 65:14	linkage 20:12
increase 76:12	island 57:20	12:15 13:8,17,19	law 61:16 64:1 65:4	linkages 18:16
incredible 99:17	issue 21:9 59:15	13:20 14:3,10,12	77:14	20:14
incrementally 9:8	71:7 89:13	14:15,17 15:2,17	laws 25:9,14 65:9	list 16:9 22:8 27:11
18:7	issues 20:21 59:9	15:22 16:13 17:7	65:18	27:13 42:7 47:11
indication 81:17	61:11 68:15 83:22	17:14,17,20,22	lay 26:22	54:21,22 64:22
industrial 57:15	It's 80:1	18:16,21 19:8,21	layout 32:15	65:1 75:14 78:12
66:21	i.e 88:20	19:21 20:2,3,17	lead 2:17 63:20	listed 89:4
industry 45:4,10,11	J	20:18,20 22:8	72:4	listen 62:7 76:11
55:21 57:16,19	January 90:11,19	24:9,10 25:8 27:4	leader 78:2 98:22	listening 61:12
infancy 48:19	91:5	29:5,12,14,16,22	leadership 70:11	little 2:10 4:10
influence 16:19	Jersey 1:11	30:1 31:8 32:20	70:18 84:14	11:20 46:15,15
59:11 61:10	job 43:5 72:12	32:21,22 35:5	learn 22:21 35:16	57:6,8 58:16 60:2
influences 7:8	jobs 59:20	36:3,4 39:4 41:9	learned 35:21	66:4 68:8 77:19
information 9:13	Josh 95:22 96:4	41:11,13,17 42:4	learning 22:21	83:14,16,18 84:3
9:22 10:10 15:20	JOSHUA 1:19	44:12,17 45:4,22	leaving 100:2	84:4,5,5,6,16 95:4
22:7 33:14 48:12	Julie 1:16 59:6 65:2	46:13 47:5 49:8	ledger 73:12,17	live 50:4
48:22 51:16 53:9	82:8 98:21	49:14,16 50:7,9	74:2	livelihood 58:14
54:7	Julie's 85:4	51:14 52:1,5 53:3	legal 30:14 31:2	living 74:14
input 21:4	jumping 30:7	53:10 54:12 55:9	64:11	load 52:9
inshore 20:19	jurisdiction 12:8	55:10,15 56:20	legislative 63:7	local 45:5 51:19
inside 6:8 25:14	jury's 79:12	61:18 62:5,20,21	legislatures 82:11	58:4 59:17,18
insight 3:11	July 8 79.12	63:22 64:14 65:16	letter 95:8	60:3,8 61:8,10
instance 29:17	K	66:8,10,14,20	letting 47:2	74:12
46:14	keep 35:1 51:12	67:3,10,14 69:21	let's 7:4 13:6 36:12	long 49:20 87:5
integrate 71:20	52:1,3 70:6	70:3 72:1,12,15	87:21 91:13 95:10	longer 62:8 90:21
intend 29:11	keeping 6:2	74:8,8 75:3 79:11	98:14	longer-term 62:8
intent 24:20	keeps 47:2	79:11,18 80:10	level 3:18 4:10 9:13	look 2:9 3:9,17 4:9
intentionally 78:1	Keith 1:16 61:15	81:7,9 82:9,12	10:5,6,9,11 15:3	4:9 8:15,16 9:13
interest 62:13 77:1	88:10 94:4 98:2	84:10 85:11,21,21	37:7,8,9 38:3 47:8	13:21 14:16,17
84:11 89:9	key 3:4,6 14:13,21	86:5,7,15 87:9,10	59:18 60:9 79:6	16:9 21:2 22:5
interested 32:16 57:17 62:16 99:1	23:13,13,14 42:8	90:8 92:15,18,20 93:15 94:14 95:7	levels 9:22 10:1 18:11 68:18	27:15,18 32:18
	43:3 58:3 61:1		leverage 48:8	43:6,8 44:12 46:13 57:11,14
interesting 42:15 46:13 71:5 81:4	63:6 98:22	97:5,8 99:1,10 knowledge 10:14	leverage 48.8 leveraging 12:14	60:13,22 63:22
84:12	keyed 91:7	46:15 52:15	0 0	· ·
interests 19:3 25:5	kick 70:1	knowledgeable	liability 67:8 life 18:3 39:7,13,22	68:13,16,17 71:6 75:9 78:19 81:19
interface 19:19	kid 93:22	58:2	40:4,13,16 41:2,5	85:1,6 89:20
27:16	kind 2:6,16 3:17	known 53:21 54:1	41:22	90:11 91:15,16,18
Interior's 15:9	4:22 5:17 6:9	MIIUWII 33.41 34.1	light 85:18	96:6 97:4,10 98:6
internal 3:19 93:9	12:5 13:4 22:6,9	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	limitations 4:14	looking 2:19 13:4
internally 4:3,20	26:20 29:11,13	lacking 26:14 54:7	limited 27:7	14:15 15:1,22
internally 4.5,20	35:8 37:9 40:19	land 66:9	limiting 15:15	16:4 17:15 21:16
mici state 11.4	46:10 48:1 49:7		mining 15.15	10.4 17.13 41.10
	l		l	l

20 10 22 1 27 0	6 17 10 22 0 1 20	65.0.66.0.14.67.1	22.22	02 12 24 22 26 10
30:10 33:1 37:8	6:17,18,22 8:1,20	65:2 66:3,14 67:1	22:22	22:13 24:22 26:10
41:10 46:8 63:6	8:22 25:5 26:16	67:4 71:20 74:11	misunderstanding	44:10 83:6 88:16
65:3 66:13 69:20	26:16 27:10 46:11	75:1 76:15,17,21	28:16	nationwide 7:20
70:6,8 71:21,21	51:4 89:6,15	79:19,22 80:4	mitigating 54:1	Nation's 64:13
72:12 74:8 96:21	manager 53:11	81:2,9 82:18 83:8	mitigation 25:19	natural 8:14 15:11
looks 16:5 17:4	managers 8:19,21	83:11,15 85:19	model 51:5 52:6	53:7
lose 60:7,8	managing 5:19	86:5,7,7,9,14,16	53:8	near 13:14 15:15
losers 5:11	9:19 64:13 82:13	86:20 87:13	models 52:4	16:10 36:9 39:8
loses 67:11	mandate 21:1 31:2	meaning 4:1 16:5	moment 19:19	near-shore 39:14
loss 49:17 91:9	60:19,21 77:14	means 72:13	money 61:2 80:17	55:11
lot 6:16 11:19	mandated 16:11	meant 45:21 46:3	84:9 85:17	necessarily 13:5
14:12 15:2 24:5	mangroves 8:10	measurable 34:13	Monterey 67:21	28:18,20 74:12
26:7,12 33:13,15	11:5 16:14 41:14	measure 91:19	month 90:8	necessary 86:16
49:19 51:19 58:11	69:18	measured 49:17	morning 98:6	need 7:14,16 8:15
59:3,16 60:15	map 41:16,21	meddling 20:1	MORRIS 1:16	8:21 11:12 17:22
68:5 75:18 77:7	margin 56:10	meet 2:4,5 64:15	3:12 21:12,16,20	20:12 25:5 34:16
79:12 83:3 84:21	margins 8:14	95:12	23:4,8 34:16 35:4	38:15,20 39:10,12
86:15	marine 1:5,10	meeting 1:7,11	35:20 36:7 39:1,4	40:3 41:7 54:21
lots 15:5	13:22 14:11,13	93:16 95:3,11	40:15 41:1,19	55:16 65:17 69:2
love 66:13 92:21	19:17 26:11 28:18	98:12,18 100:16	42:4 43:10 59:7	69:22 71:12 86:8
low 23:22 39:8	44:2 45:22 46:1	meets 27:18	60:13,20 63:15	89:22 98:19
low-hanging 30:1	50:8 66:7 68:12	member 19:17	64:5,16 69:13	needed 40:15
lucky 2:14	80:18	MEMBERS 1:13	73:5,10,13 74:3	needing 36:16
M	Mark 91:10 94:21	mentioned 47:7	75:5 82:9 83:2,8	needle 66:2
	95:11,17	70:14	86:21 87:8,15,19	needs 36:22 71:9
MAFAC 11:8 27:3	market 77:9	message 53:20	90:13 92:4,9	negative 66:15
42:13 46:20 48:15	markets 78:3	messaging 19:14	93:18 94:3	NERRS 25:1 27:11
50:15 62:12,21	marsh 18:15 68:4	met 1:11	mosquitoes 67:13	43:7 84:4
63:1 65:13 70:5	69:18	metric 34:8	moss 86:12	net 49:17
71:4 72:16 93:7	marshes 11:6 16:14	metrics 33:19,21	mountains 20:6	network 35:9 68:13
94:22 95:5,9	75:18	34:4 48:7 54:13	move 32:5 64:22	never 51:13
98:18 99:14	marshland 8:10,11	54:14,17 74:22	68:8	new 1:11 27:4 51:3
magic 72:20	material 93:3	Mexico 56:10,17	moves 28:1	51:21 53:6 98:19
Magnuson 11:10	math 78:5	88:9	moving 66:1,8	NGOs 45:10 57:14
mailed 95:8	matter 11:17 13:8	midnight 98:8	71:22	nice 74:14
majority 10:4	77:8 78:11	mid-shelf 39:9	MPA 12:5 19:17	nicely 72:7
making 14:2 19:2	maximum 51:9	migrate 39:19,20	27:13 28:4,7,17	nickels 47:21
28:4 34:18 39:15	MCMILLAN 1:22	mile 11:11	43:14	NMFS 51:1
57:17 78:9 79:15	mean 5:13 6:15 7:3	mill 31:22	MPAs 27:14,15	NOAA 3:1,20 6:4
MALE 54:4 56:19	12:14 13:16 14:16	millions 85:3	MSA 3:7	21:1 25:1,3 56:11
69:10	20:11 28:18 30:1	mind 7:14 58:17	multiple 7:16	68:18 70:6,8,11
manage 30:9 60:11	37:13 41:17 43:3	Mine 59:1	muster 28:12	71:5 72:12,17
managed 4:6,7	44:3,4,16 49:16	mines 66:9	N	74:19 75:8 78:1
22:8 28:5,6 35:11	49:22 50:1,10,11	minor 52:2	-	81:18 82:13,16
35:12 36:8 43:11	54:15 55:14,22	minute 98:11	N 2:1	83:4,17 84:11
92:10	56:16 58:5,10	missed 55:7	name 66:7	94:8 95:5
management 6:11	61:6 63:12 64:18	Mississippi 22:16	national 1:3 22:4	NOAA's 20:6 21:1

57 17 70 20	02 0 07 10 01 6 0		14 10 46 5 54 10	60 17 00 17
57:17 70:20	82:9 87:19 91:6,8	73:17 74:9,21,21	44:18 46:5 54:18	62:17 88:17
non-profit 99:11	93:7 95:10 97:22	74:22 77:20 82:1	57:4 58:22 68:5	planet 65:8 80:22
normally 30:8	99:4	82:6,6,16 85:22	72:21 77:7 82:10	planning 13:22
North 45:1,2,3	once 5:8,9,12 25:2	95:5	82:18 83:1 84:21	71:1
Northeast 51:2	52:4 80:5	PARTICIPANT	people's 20:1	plans 6:3 88:18
52:7	ones 23:5 52:8 75:3	54:4 56:19 69:10	percent 20:13	89:8
NOS 46:6	one's 44:22 56:16	particular 55:10	period 89:21 90:6	plant 67:5 90:12
note 97:18	89:12	63:21 81:19	permitting 75:7	play 53:11 72:16
NPAs 43:7,15	online 93:6 97:15	Particularly 92:9	personal 80:12	94:22
number 20:7 48:7	open 5:1,5	partner 17:13	perspective 7:22	played 96:22
nutrients 80:1	openly 20:3	27:19	9:6 57:15 77:6	playing 95:5
NW 1:12	opportunities 3:7	partnering 12:14	81:20	please 27:5
	15:20 47:13 61:14	partners 12:19	phase 18:3	plug 52:5
0	61:16 63:7	partnership 27:22	phone 44:21	point 6:21 8:9
O 2:1	opportunity 30:12	parts 25:3 84:1	physically 41:16	10:15 17:3 30:5
objection 87:5	61:20 96:14,15	pass 28:11	pick 5:9,12 7:4,16	34:9 36:2 43:22
objectives 27:10	oppose 82:20	Paul 1:15 78:13	7:18 8:11,12	51:15 63:5 65:15
observations 89:15	options 31:14	83:13 99:4	18:13 19:2 20:11	69:15 79:19 92:21
obvious 77:18	53:12	PAWLAK 1:22	20:11 21:3,15	95:17 97:9
obviously 33:3	order 3:7 39:12	3:16 6:14,20 9:4	23:2,4,15,16	points 14:21 23:2
34:12 45:16 62:9	89:16	10:18 12:11 13:12	27:12 37:7,19,20	34:17 52:12
occur 23:21	outcome 87:3	14:5,20 16:7,17	65:22 83:12	policy 3:6,8 33:16
occurred 26:2	output 2:6	18:10 20:9 21:11	picked 16:12 18:14	47:10,12,12 63:6
ocean 19:18 20:7	outside 6:4 12:8	21:14,18 23:1,6	18:15,16 20:8,15	63:17 64:1,8,11
38:11 40:9 42:2	44:9 82:19 83:1	23:12 24:13,18	26:13	88:16 91:1,10,22
44:10 50:10 76:3	overall 80:8	25:15 28:3,13	picking 5:8 7:12	92:3
88:16,20 89:18	overarching 4:5	30:4,17 31:19	23:13,17 26:4	political 22:2 35:9
90:4 91:1,10	87:6	32:10,20 33:6,9	27:4	66:8 68:13 78:15
Oceanic 1:3 83:7	overlay 22:6,11	33:12,20 34:3,7	picture 60:12	politics 58:22
oceanographic	43:9,10	34:19 35:18 37:3	piece 33:15 44:8	pollution 79:21
16:19	overlooking 58:1	37:13,16 38:7,17	45:15 57:7,8	80:1
oceans 90:16	overview 43:22	40:18 43:5,16,20	59:22 74:20,20	population 40:2,6
OCTOBER 1:9	owned 60:14	44:5,7,15,20 45:8	81:13	54:8 55:21,22
office 3:17 19:8	owns 59:21	45:13 46:2,18	pieces 50:21	56:5
72:3		47:1 48:3 57:5	piggyback 22:14	populations 9:19
official 65:13	P	58:18,21 59:5	35:5,6	46:5
offshore 11:11	P 2:1	61:5 62:5 64:10	piggybacking	port 46:8
13:14,20 15:17	Pacific 38:17 67:5	64:18 67:8 68:3	21:21 29:11	portion 7:5
18:15,17 20:18,22	Pacific's 29:19	69:4,14 80:3	pitfalls 3:4	position 97:9
27:17 39:21	page 84:22 91:14	87:21 91:9,22	place 20:10 22:5,18	positive 65:21
oh 32:9 50:9 80:6	paper 19:19 20:4	94:10	29:1 30:20 31:11	66:14
83:19,21 97:7	96:1,8	peat 86:11	40:5 48:10 50:3	potential 3:3 91:22
98:10	parks 50:10	Pebble 58:17 59:1	64:1,3 71:19	92:2
okay 7:10 15:14	part 18:2 24:17,19	peer 51:11	74:14	PR 22:20
27:2 34:5 40:8	24:19,20 30:5,11	penny 76:9	places 26:13 31:12	practical 11:17
44:6 45:12 62:11	39:22 40:14 44:11	people 6:4 7:3	51:20 82:1,4	41:16 75:14
67:7,22 69:12	48:5 57:5,9 67:16	14:12 20:8 21:21	plan 20:6 31:2	practice 70:13
			_	
L	1	I	1	1

72:15	productivity 9:20	96:18	Raftican 1:12,14	81:16 85:20 97:7
preclude 79:14	10:3 76:13	publish 20:4	2:3 7:10 10:21	98:20,21 99:13,14
preclude 79.14 predominantly	program 22:12	published 94:17	13:13,16 14:10	99:21
32:16	24:3 25:1 35:7	Puget 23:3 25:10	15:14 23:9,21	reason 50:2
preliminary 94:16	43:8 60:5 63:17	37:14,15,19 38:5	25:20 26:1,19	reasonable 20:17
prelude 90:10	66:12 71:18 76:6	38:10 46:13	28:8,14,22 32:14	reasons 58:9 70:8
presence/absence	84:4	pull 4:2	33:5,7,11,18,22	82:20
10:6	programs 15:8	pull 4.2 pulled 53:9	34:5,14,21 38:2	rebuilding 4:13,15
present 1:13,18,20	22:5,13 46:21	pulp 29:19 31:21	41:8 42:10,18	9:18
2:6 50:14	47:14 65:21	purchased 29:19	47:3,10,17 49:5	received 6:5 94:13
presentation 5:10		-	54:11 55:4 56:15	
11:3,4,14 16:4	progress 51:14 65:2	purposeful 7:4	57:22 59:6 60:10	recognition 53:16 recognize 17:18
86:6 92:12 93:5	project 24:17 31:20	push 10:16 pushback 11:19,21	61:20 62:18 63:9	36:7 37:1
93:10		12:2		recommendation
presiding 1:12	31:21 32:4,5 53:8 59:11 67:12		64:3,17 65:19 67:15 68:6 69:6	
		put 2:21 3:18,20		26:17,18 27:1,3
pretty 3:8 5:15 53:3 54:22 57:2	projects 19:9 60:2 60:7 71:16 83:15	9:2 11:15 14:8 42:11 48:4 50:21	69:12,17 71:17 74:5 75:13 78:13	34:18 35:3 36:5 36:18 40:14 42:12
77:18			80:7 82:8 84:18	
· · · · ·	promote 71:7 72:5	55:1,10 57:2 63:13 75:21 76:4		63:1 69:2,21 99:3 recommendations
price 76:16	promoting 52:22	76:16 80:21 84:9	85:16 88:1,7 91:6	
priorities 7:13 19:4 19:5,12,13	propaganda 86:15 88:4	90:2 95:22 98:13	91:12 92:2,7	2:13,19,21 7:17 7:19 16:1 27:1
* *		99:3	93:20 94:2,4	
prioritization	property 49:21 59:22 60:14		95:10 96:12 97:12	54:16 91:10 94:6 95:21
18:13 47:19 48:19		putting 5:17 7:8	97:18 98:1,4,10	
prioritize 53:18	proposals 73:20	47:20 49:15 60:4	98:17 99:7 100:2	recreational 59:8
65:6	proposing 12:4	77:1 78:19 84:15	100:13	red 80:16
prioritizing 42:9	71:10 78:1	p.m 1:11 2:2	raise 59:1	reef 40:21 41:3,5
priority 3:2,5 8:6	pros 77:17	100:15	raised 5:6	41:11
8:11 9:10,10	protect 40:20,22	Q	raising 83:22	reflected 97:6
19:11 26:7 36:21	78:10 82:4 87:16	quality 61:11	range 8:15	reform 65:18
probability 36:14	88:2	quarterly 64:15	ranging 39:8	refuges 26:9,10,10
68:17	protected 14:11	quarterly 04.13 question 3:13,13	rates 38:12	regardless 34:2
probably 24:1,4 47:7 49:20 55:5	17:5 19:17 50:8	5:6,21 6:9 7:12	reaching 25:2 read 81:3,3 93:4	Regency 1:11
	66:7 89:1 95:15	11:2,2,7 12:9,18	,	region 3:21 16:9
63:13 64:12 81:16	98:13	13:14 16:3,21	ready 89:13 90:9	21:15,17
84:18 91:7 96:5	protecting 25:6	17:10 47:4,15	Reagan 49:7	regional 20:21
problem 40:8	48:20 57:12 87:2	48:14 63:5,16	real 6:3 35:10	regions 8:20 21:19 21:19
80:11	protection 15:6	questions 3:10 4:19	49:22 50:22 78:21	
proceed 3:15	35:13 47:22 52:19	14:3 47:12 83:16	realistic 65:5	regs 9:22
process 3:14,18 4:1	57:21	84:19 93:14	realize 44:18 83:10	regular 71:1
4:18 5:20 26:5	protections 33:2	queued 92:16	really 5:19 9:14	regularly 29:14
28:1 47:19 97:1,2	protects 4:6	quickly 5:15 52:14	11:15 14:6 16:20	regulators 57:13
97:11	prove 10:17	88:15	17:11,22 21:9	regulatory 45:18
produced 55:17	provide 48:16	quite 66:21 100:3	36:13 44:13 49:3	60:5
producing 55:22	provided 53:19	quite 00.21 100.3	53:12 55:13 59:8	rehabilitate 24:15
production 56:5	providing 96:21	R	59:15 60:5 63:2	reinventing 26:6
productive 16:15	public 4:3,21 81:5	$\mathbf{R} 2:1$	63:20 65:2,17	relationship 36:2
79:21	89:21 90:6 93:9	radar 89:12	73:8,18 75:9	relatively 53:2
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

rely 92:10	review 51:11 96:18	runoff 80:1	screaming 58:13	1:17
remember 67:22	right 2:8 3:11 6:13	Tulion 60.1	screen 89:12	set 46:4 70:11
remove 61:3	7:1 8:5,10,12	S		95:21
repeal 65:4,9	10:18 13:15 14:18	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ 2:1	screening 4:18,19 screens 4:17	sets 95:20
report 91:18	16:17 19:19 21:18	sail 75:4	sea 16:10 69:18	sets 93.20 settle 28:17
_	21:18 23:8 24:13	sale 74:13	seafood 45:14	sheet 73:12,17 74:2
representative 77:5 representatives	24:13 25:11 26:18	salinity 39:8	second 52:22 88:13	shelf 23:6,7 39:10
3:19,21	30:2 32:5,21 33:6	salmon 30:16 37:19	second 32:22 88:13 secret 6:2	shift 19:12
,	· /	37:20 39:2 55:13		
reproduce 17:8 reproducing 17:6	33:10,20 35:7 43:16,16,20 44:11	56:16	Secretary 28:17 see 2:18 8:20 12:2	shoes 47:20 Shoot 47:17
•	, , ,	salt 11:6 69:18		
Republican 82:10	46:2 48:2,7 55:6	San 23:3	25:8,11,16 34:1	shore 13:14 15:15
requirements	56:20 60:10 61:1	sanctuaries 26:11	34:11,15 40:5	16:10 36:9 39:8
30:14	62:4 69:11 76:5	sanctuary 12:4	44:13,17 45:1	show 24:16 66:13
requires 36:10	78:6 79:13 80:3	51:20	56:6 58:16 68:6	shut 66:22
research 38:11	82:5 83:2,20	SAPs 89:7,19	71:19 82:17,20	sick 100:11
80:18,19,19 81:20	84:17 86:21 88:3	SASSI 51:5 54:4	84:19 89:3,5	side 6:17,19 11:19
researching 82:14	91:12 94:19 95:14	68:20	95:18 99:2	24:11,11 25:4,5
reserves 14:13	96:18 98:15 99:9	sat 66:22	seen 46:21	45:10,11,11 46:11
28:19	99:12	sat 66.22 save 46:17	segment 69:1	59:13
resiliency 88:19	ring 49:7		selected 16:8	sidebars 4:22
89:17 90:4 91:1	ripple 73:19	saving 79:17	selfish 31:18	sign 80:4
92:12	RIZZARDI 1:16	saying 5:10 6:21	sell 57:1 59:14	similar 8:8 53:8
resilient 44:2	61:17 62:2 64:21	7:4 13:18 19:4	76:14,17	simple 48:4 71:4
resource 81:11	65:15 94:7,20	29:4 30:12,19	sells 86:17	simply 14:1 50:4,11
resources 27:8,9	96:10,13 97:14,22	31:5 36:5 37:4,6	send 56:20 97:16	65:9 70:4 74:9
44:2 45:22 46:17	98:3,8,16	37:10 50:8 74:15	97:17	Simultaneous
68:21 80:14 88:20	road 82:5	76:7 77:22 82:21	sense 14:2 24:7	34:20 38:13,16
95:15 98:13	rocks 58:13	84:9	49:20 65:21 66:11	56:1,8 61:4 62:1
respond 38:21	Roger 1:21 5:9	says 18:8 20:6	72:11 79:5 86:1	64:20 66:18 87:22
50:20	69:19 71:17 93:21	32:22 44:1	separate 62:13	88:6 93:19 100:8
response 40:2,6	Roger's 85:6	scale 7:14,17,18,18	sequester 75:18,20	single 60:6 72:10
71:4	role 9:16,18 30:8	7:19 8:16 36:16	sequestration	sister 71:13
responsibility 78:4	37:1 70:20 71:6	36:17 37:2,10,19	73:14 74:11 75:10	sit 33:22 55:1 56:3
rest 28:12 69:8	72:16 84:2 95:1,6	37:20 38:3 56:16	76:8 85:2,6 86:1	sites 43:8 66:21
restoration 2:12	97:1	56:18 68:18 79:8	87:2 91:16,19	sitting 78:18
12:22 19:9 22:11	roll 44:9	79:8	series 51:20	situation 70:7
31:7,8 32:17	Ronald 49:6	scales 7:16 37:1,7	served 70:17	77:10
35:14 39:14 52:18	room 54:18 58:2,8	scaling 37:22	service 17:1,3 21:6	six 21:19
60:16 94:6,12,16	rotting 86:12	science 3:22 6:15	44:10 68:4 71:9	size 20:10,11
94:18 96:16	row 4:4	12:22 33:13 51:2	73:4 78:6	slides 32:21
restorations 33:2	rule 64:1	52:8 70:12,21	services 33:10 48:9	sliver 77:19
restore 29:22 82:4	run 11:19 49:20	72:14 81:22 82:15	48:17,18 49:15	slivers 84:3
restored 48:9 49:19	55:5 72:9 87:5	82:19 89:14	53:19 68:19 70:15	small 10:13 60:6
restructuring 50:5	98:5	scientific 4:10	70:22 71:15,20	70:10 79:10,15
results 23:17 66:14	rung 37:18	20:13	72:5,6 77:20 78:4	smell 68:4
return 51:10 53:22	running 24:4 99:9	scientist 78:15	82:3 84:12	smelled 68:1
returns 68:21	99:11	scope 90:21	SESEPASARA	smells 67:17
	·	·	1	ı

society 24:16 sold 67:1 spent 74:18 spent 74:18 spent 74:18 spent 74:18 sold 67:1 spent 74:18 spent 74:15 someone's 5:13 spent 94:15 someone's 5:13 spent 94:15 someone's 5:13 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stack 86:11 stack 86:12 start 5:10 6:12 tilt:15 34:16 36:2 sound 23:3 25:10 37:14,15,20 38:5 start 5:8,18 6:11 10:12 12:3 14:2 store 90:22 start 5:8,18 6:11 10:12 12:3 14:2 store 95:2 store 18:2 sound 23:3 25:10 57:15 source 18:2 sound 23:3 25:10 50:15 50:7 51:15 source 18:2 sound 23:3 25:10 50:15 50:7 51:15 source 18:2 sound 23:2 4 80:5 89:16 started 5:4 23:15 spawning 39:16 spawning 39:1	social 35:9 68:13	sponding 17:14	stonning 00.22	suck 82:1	taken 51:17
sold 67:1 spent 74:18 steps 62:13,17 suggest 84:21 49:10 51:17 52:7 52:17 57:11 68:19 somebody 74:15 spiked 17:7 63:13 72:22 79:10 suggesting 55:16 81:16 58:15 89:4 talk 86:11 59:16 62:13 37 52:17 57:11 68:19 52:17 57:11 68:19 58:15 89:4 talked 6:1 61:14 48:15 89:16 88:15 89:4 talked 6:1 61:14 90:13		spending 17:14	stepping 90:22		
solve 80:6 spiked 17:7 63:13 72:22 79:10 suggesting 55:16 52:17 57:11 68:19 somehody 74:15 spir 76:22 79:15 suggesting 55:16 88:15 89:4 somewhat 43:6 staff 1:18 94:8 staff 1:18 94:8 36:15 40:13 41:15 36:15 40:13 41:15 52:11 75:61:2 88:15 89:4 somewhat 43:6 staff 1:18 94:8 staffing 85:4 42:17 45:6,12 85:5 52:11 75:6,12 88:15 89:4 sorly 26:14 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 3:4 100:4,11 sticker 45:2,14 85:5 suggestion 36:21 suggestion 36:21 90:13 sorly 3:13 5:10 6:12 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 3:4 tone 46:4 91:2 sty 11 75:6,12 summary 34:17 summary	•				
somebody 74:15 spit 76:2.2 spread 38:20 stack 86:11 sticker 45:2.14 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 sticker 45:2.14 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stages 39:6,7 stack 91:24 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stages 39:6,7 stack 91:25 stack 85:5 synch 46:49 91:2 stack 86:13 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:11 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:12 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86:13 stack 86:14 stack 86		_		00	
someome's 5:13 spread 38:20 stack 8:11 sticker 45:2,14 stages 39:6 STOLL 1:19 36:1 stagestion 36:21 stagestion 36:21 stages 39:6,7 stakeholder 24:5 stages 39:6,7 stakeholder 3:4 stages 39:10 stages 3:5 stages 3:2 s		_		00	
14:8 somewhat 43:6 stack 86:11 staff 1:18 94:8 staffing 85:4 42:17 45:6,12 85:5 39:11 97:16 100:4,11 55:3 45:16 36:2 36:3 54:2 start 5:8,18 6:11 staff 1:18 94:8 stackholders 3:4 100:4,11 starkholders 3:4 stones 90:22 start 5:8,18 6:11 start 5:18 5:11 43:7 41:11,12,13 43:13 41:15 36:12 start 5:8,18 6:11 start 5:18 6:17 6:1,10,11 start 9:19 38:12 start 5:20 79:10 start 5:32 0.79:10 start 1:19 12:1,16 63:10 12,14 start 1:19 12:1,16 63:19 10:18 start 1:19 12:1,16 63:19 36:3 42:7 start 1:19 12:3,16 63:4 65:13 84:10 start 1:19 12:1,16 63:19 10:18 start 1:19 12:1,16 63:19 10:18 start 1:19 12:1,16 63:15 89:19 2:10 start 6:13 8:13 start 6:13 8:19 start 8:11:16 20,21 start 6:12 3:13 start 6:13 8:19 start 8:11:16 20,21 s	•	· '			
somewhat 43:6 staff 1:18 94:8 staffing 85:4 36:15 40:13 41:15 52:11 75:6,12 talking 3:19 6:4 7:20 9:15 14:11 sorty 3:13 5:10 6:12 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 3:4 stone 46:4 91:2 stone 90:22 stone 46:4 91:2 stone 90:22 store 59:2 store 59:2 store 59:2 store 75:1 stone 90:22 store 75:1 store 90:22 store 75:1 store 90:22 store 75:2 store 75:2 store 90:22 store 75:2		-	· '		
Sonny 18:8 sordy 26:14 sordy 26:14 sordy 26:14 stages 39:6.7 sorty 83:21 sort 3:13 5:10 6:12 11:15 34:16 36:22 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 24:5 stakeholder 3:4 14:19 stakeholder 3:4 14:19 36:36:3 54:2 standing 58:12 stard 5:8;18 6:11 10:12 12:3 14:2 store 59:22 standing 58:12 stard 5:8;18 6:11 10:12 12:3 14:2 store 59:22 stard 5:8;18 6:11 10:12 12:3 14:2 store 59:22 stard 5:8;18 6:11 10:12 12:3 14:2 store 59:22 stard 5:4:15 53:18 57:11 64:4 69:10 71:5 source 18:2 spawing 39:16 speak 17:28 store 59:12 store 59:16 62:13 68:14 stardy 58:16 59:18 63:10 68:9 started 5:4 23:15 speaking 34:20 starts 53:20 79:10 start 19:20 starts 53:20 79:10 start 19:20 starts 53:20 79:10 start 19:20 starts 53:20 79:10 state 11:9 12:1,16 68:10,12,14 19:21:14 68:10,12,14 19:21:14 68:10,12,14 19:21:14 66:46:16:17 68:1 19:21:14 33:16 store 46:4 91:2 strong 90:12 strong 90:19 supports 22:2,4 35:9 support 22:2,4 35:9 supports 22:5,5,7 supposed 88:14 stake 60:4 61:9 70:12 stream 20:17 25:10 stream 20:17	· =				
sorely 26:14 sory 38:21 sort 3:13 5:10 6:12 11:15 34:16 36:2 36:3 54:2 sound 23:3 25:10 38:10,14 42:8 46:14 46:14 59:10 71:5 spawning 39:16 specifically 54:14 59:2 38:10,11 12:10:4,8 69:10 71:5 spawning 39:16 specifically 54:14 59:2 38:10,11 12:10:4,8 69:10 71:5 spawning 39:16 spack 72:8 spack 72:8 spawning 39:16 spack 72:8 spack 72:1 spack 72:1 spa				· ·	C
sorry 83:21 stakeholder 24:5 100:4,11 suite 35:11 43:7 41:11,12,13 43:13 sort 3:13 5:10 6:12 stakeholders 3:4 14:19 stone 46:4 91:2 summarized 43:4 48:20 59:19 80:5 48:14 83:15 84:8 36:3 54:2 standing 58:12 stone 90:22 summary 34:17 summary 34:17 48:14 83:15 84:8 38:10,14 42:8 46:14 31:5 50:7 51:15 store 90:22 summary 34:17 support 22:2,4 35:9 tapport 22:2,4 35:9 tapport 22:2,4 35:9 48:14 83:15 84:8 87:20 alp 15:12 Task 2:12 94:6,12 48:10 8:14 87:20 alp 15:12 Task 2:12 94:6,12 48:10 43:13 87:20 48:16 18:8:15 87:20 48:16 18:8:15 87:20 48:16 18:9:12 48:11 48:15 99:19 99:19 99:19 48:26 20:19:29 48:62 21:09:29 48:62 21:09:29 48:16 18:9:12 48:10 4:20 48:10 4:20 48:10 4:20 48:10 4:20 48:10 4:20 48:11 4:		\mathbf{c}	· ·		
sort 3:13 5:10 6:12 11:15 34:16 36:2 31:15 34:16 36:2 36:3 54:2 sound 23:3 25:10 37:14,15,20 38:5 38:10,14 42:8 46:14 50:17 56:1,10,11 50:17 53:18 57:11 64:4 65:17 66:1,10,11 50:18 65:18 59:16 53:18 57:11 64:4 5pack 72:8 speak 72:				00	
11:15 34:16 36:2 36:3 54:2 standing 58:12 standing 58:12 standing 58:12 start 5:8,18 6:11 10:12 12:3 14:2 story 95:9 59:16 62:13 68:14 42:8 46:14 31:5 50:7 51:15 53:18 57:11 64:4 69:10 71:5 69:10 71:5 source 18:2 70:4,22 74:1,7,9 south 32:4 spaniing 39:16 speaking 34:20 starting 26:3 42:7 38:13,16 56:1,8 8 speaking 34:20 53:18 67:18 19 20:10 8 starting 26:3 42:7 44:9 58:16 73:20 8 starting 26:3 42:7 44:9 58:16 73:20 50:10 starting 26:3 42:7 50:10 starting 49:10 starting 49:10 starting 49:10 starting 49:10 studies 80:16 50:10			,		
36:3 54:2 sound 23:3 25:10 start 5:8, 18 6:11 37:14, 15, 20 38:5 38:10, 14 42:8 46:14 sounds 37:5 41:15 50:7 51:15 sounds 37:5 41:15 53:18 57:11 64:4 69:10 71:5 source 18:2 spatial 13:22 spatial 13:24 store 13:14 spatial 13:24 store 13:14 spatial 13:25 spatial 13:25 spatial 13:25 spatial 13:24 store 13:14 spatial 13:25 spatial 13:25 spatial 13:25 spatial 13:24 store 13:14 spatial 13:25 spatial 13					
sound 23:3 25:10 start 5:8,18 6:11 storm 57:21 support 22:2,4 35:9 talks 6:2 talks 6:2 tap 5:12 talks 6:2 tap 5:12 talks 6:2 tap 5:12 tap 5:12 talks 6:2 tap 5:12 tap 5:12 tap 5:12 support 22:2,4 35:9 talks 6:2 tap 5:12					
37:14,15,20 38:5 10:12 12:3 14:2 story 95:9 59:16 62:13 68:14 tap 15:12 Take 11,1,5 25:2 Take 21:0:16 Take 21:0:16 tap 15:12 Take 21:0:16 Take 21:12 94:1.12 Take 21:19 94:16.18 96:16 Take 21:09 94:16.18 96:16 Take 20:10 11 Ta		O	_	,	
38:10,14 42:8 14:11,15 25:2 strategic 20:6 88:16,18 89:8 99:19 Task 2:12 94:6,12 94:6,18 96:16 294:6,18 96:16 48:16,18 89:8 supports 92:5,5,7 Task 2:12 94:6,12 94:16,18 96:16 48:16,18 89:8 supports 92:5,5,7 supposed 88:14 48:14 stategies 15:7 supposed 88:14 supposed 89:5,5,7 supposed 89:19 supposed 89:15 supposed 89:15 supposed 89:15 supposed 89:16 supposed 89:18 supposed 89:18 supposed 89:18 supposed 89:18 supposed 89:18 supposed 89:18 supposed 89:19 supposed 89:19 s		′			
46:14 sounds 37:5 41:15 69:10 71:5 69:10 71:5 65:17 66:1,10,11 70:4,22 74:1,7,9 80:5 89:16 spatial 13:22 spawing 39:16 speak 72:8 38:13,16 56:1,8 61:4 62:1 64:20 66:18 87:22 88:6 93:19 100:8 special 29:1 63:11 68:10,12,14 special 29:1 63:11 69:10,11,12 10:4,8 10:14 16:15 17:4 17:5,8,14 22:8 23:20 35:11,12 36:8 41:1,6,10 43:11 60:19 64:6 68:15 89:19 2:10 92:13 specific 75:6 specifically 54:14 59:2 80:17 81:12 85:17 88:16,18 89:8 strategies 15:7 strategy 90:12,17 supposed 88:14 supposedly 97:3 sure 4:2 6:20 19:2 32:20 27:5 28:6 34:15 39:15 45:21 52:16 61:17 68:1 52:16 61:17 68:1 52:16 61:17 68:1 52:16 61:17 68:1 52:16 61:17 91:11 20:19 28:17 term 28:4,7 term 28:4,7 supposed 88:14 supposedly 97:3 sure 4:2 6:20 19:2 33:20 27:5 28:6 34:15 39:15 45:21 52:16 61:17 68:1	7 7		•		_
sounds 37:5 41:15 53:18 57:11 64:4 strategy 90:12,17 supposed 88:14 tasked 70:11 team 70:10 71:3 supposed 88:14 sup		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
69:10 71:5 source 18:2 70:4,22 74:1,7,9 south 32:4 80:5 89:16 stream 20:17 25:10 stream 20:11 25:10 stream 20:11 25:10 stream 20:11 25:10 stream 20:11 25:10 stream 20:10 stream 20:17 2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
source 18:2 70:4,22 74:1,7,9 91:2 sure 4:2 6:20 19:2 90:1 spatial 13:22 started 5:4 23:15 stream 20:17 25:10 stream 20:17 25:10 34:15 39:15 45:21 tear 29:21 spawning 39:16 sizerted 5:4 23:15 strendhening 52:16 61:17 68:1 52:16 61:17 68:1 20:19 28:17 speaking 34:20 starting 26:3 42:7 strengthening 88:19 69:7 84:22 85:17 term 28:4,7 61:4 62:1 64:20 starting 26:3 42:7 strictly 20:22 strictly 20:22 special 39:1 90:10 strictly 20:22 strictly 20:22 strictly 39:5 stronger 10:2 sturvivability 38:12 ctrem 28:4,7 term 28:1,7 term 28:4,7 term 28:4,7 term 28:4,7 term 28:4,7					
south 32:4 spatial 13:22 started 5:4 23:15 stream 20:17 25:10 23:20 27:5 28:6 tear 29:21 tear 29:21 spaxing 39:16 started 5:4 23:15 streamlined 28:1 34:15 39:15 45:21 tell 12:17 19:11 speak 72:8 63:10 68:9 starting 26:3 42:7 strictly 20:22 88:19 69:7 84:22 85:17 term 28:4,7 term 28:1,0 term 28:1,0 27:16 suspect 28:16 sustain 44:1 swamp 74:15,16 swamp 74:15,16 swamp 74:15,16 term 29:1		, ,	,		
spatial 13:22 started 5:4 23:15 streamlined 28:1 34:15 39:15 45:21 tell 12:17 19:11 speak 72:8 63:10 68:9 starting 26:3 42:7 strictly 20:22 88:19 69:7 84:22 85:17 term 28:4,7 term 28:4,2 term 28:4,2 4					
spawning 39:16 30:2 37:4,5 41:9 strengthening 88:19 52:16 61:17 68:1 20:19 28:17 term 28:4,7 t					
speak 72:8 63:10 68:9 88:19 69:7 84:22 85:17 term 28:4,7 38:13,16 56:1,8 44:9 58:16 73:20 strictly 20:22 strictly 20:22 speaking 34:20 44:9 58:16 73:20 strictly 20:22 special 29:16 3:12 44:9 58:16 73:20 strictly 20:22 strictly 20:22 special 29:16 3:12 49:17 55:20,20 terms 14:11 49:10 49:17 55:20,20 terrestrial 19:20 27:16 49:17 55:20,20 terrestrial 19:20 27:16 27:16 49:17 55:20,20 terrestrial 19:20 27:16 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 terrestrial 19:20 27:16 49:17 55:20,20 27:16 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:17 55:20,20 49:18 59:18 49:21 56:16 49:17 55:20,20	_				
speaking 34:20 starting 26:3 42:7 strictly 20:22 89:10,11,1991:13 terms 14:11 49:10 38:13,16 56:1,8 44:9 58:16 73:20 starts 53:20 79:10 starts 53:20 79:10 starte 11:9 12:1,16 stronger 10:2 survivability 38:12 survivability 38:12 terrestrial 19:20 93:19 100:8 12:19 13:8,10 structure 49:4 structure 49:4 sustain 44:1 territory 11:10 8pecial 29:1 63:11 15:3,4,7,10 19:10 struggled 5:16 swamp 74:15,16 swamp 7		, and the second			
38:13,16 56:1,8 44:9 58:16 73:20 striped 39:5 96:7 49:17 55:20,20 66:18 87:22 88:6 93:19 100:8 state 11:9 12:1,16 stronger 10:2 survivability 38:12 27:16 93:19 100:8 12:19 13:8,10 structure 49:4 sustain 44:1 sustain 44:1 sustain 44:1 sustain 44:1 swamp 74:15,16 territory 11:10 thank 10:19 94:1,2 94:3 97:8 99:18 99:7 99:7 99:7 10:16 10:10 10:11 10:10					*
61:4 62:1 64:20 starts 53:20 79:10 stronger 10:2 survivability 38:12 terrestrial 19:20 66:18 87:22 88:6 93:19 100:8 12:19 13:8,10 structure 49:4 sustain 44:1 sustain 44:1 territory 11:10 special 29:1 63:11 15:3,4,7,10 19:10 19:21 24:3 31:6 studied 27:21 swamp 74:15,16 thank 10:19 94:1,2 species 4:6,7,11 36:9 39:14 51:19 studies 80:16 swamps 44:19,19 94:3 97:8 99:18 9:10,11,12 10:4,8 60:4 61:9 70:12 70:12 90:20 stuff 29:13 33:16 89:2 thanks 99:18,21 17:5,8,14 22:8 statement 62:12,19 63:4 65:13 84:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 89:2 thar's 41:17 23:20 35:11,12 36:8 41:1,6,10 states 11:16,20,21 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:		\mathbf{c}		89:10,11,19 91:13	
66:18 87:22 88:6 state 11:9 12:1,16 strongly 78:10 suspect 28:16 27:16 93:19 100:8 12:19 13:8,10 structure 49:4			_		49:17 55:20,20
93:19 100:8 special 29:1 63:11 68:10,12,14 species 4:6,7,11 9:10,11,12 10:4,8 10:14 16:15 17:4 17:5,8,14 22:8 23:20 35:11,12 36:8 41:1,6,10 43:11 60:19 64:6 68:15 89:1 92:10 92:13 specific 75:6 specifically 54:14 59:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 80:17 81:12 85:17 12:19 13:8,10 15:3,4,7,10 19:10 15:4 studied 27:21 10:14 5:19,19 100:14 100:14 100:14 100:14 100:14 100:14 100:14 100:14 110:19 94:1,2 94:3 97:8 99:18 100:14 100:14 110:19 94:1,2 94:3 97:8 99:18 100:14 100:14 110:19 94:1,2 94:3 97:8 99:18 100:14 100:14 110:19 94:1,2 94:3 97:8 99:18 100:14 10:14 61:5 17:4 10:14 61:5 17:4 10:14 16:15 17:4 110:14 18:10 10:14 110:14 110:14 110:14 110:14 110:14 110:14 110:14 110:15 110:14 110:19 14:16 15:10 2:20,20 15:13 56:14 62:10 16:9,10 8:19 100:14 110:14 110:14 110:19 10:14 110:14 110:19 10:14 110:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19 10:14 110:19				_	
special 29:1 63:11 15:3,4,7,10 19:10 struggled 5:16 swamp 74:15,16		,			
68:10,12,14 19:21 24:3 31:6 studied 27:21 swamps 44:19,19 94:3 97:8 99:18 species 4:6,7,11 36:9 39:14 51:19 studies 80:16 system 18:7 23:19 100:14 9:10,11,12 10:4,8 60:4 61:9 70:12 study 80:14 29:5 73:19 74:22 thanks 99:18,21 10:14 16:15 17:4 70:12 90:20 statement 62:12,19 42:14,19 50:13 89:2 that's 41:17 theme 35:10 theme 35:10 theme 35:10 theme 35:22 theory 6:3 thing 7:13 13:21 thing 7:13 13:21 thing 7:13 13:21 17:22 26:14 32:18 thing 7:13 13:21 16:2 19:6 28:18 34:15 39:19 41:16 take 2:17 9:4 10:13 42:2 43:15 44:12 42:2 43:15 44:12 50:14 54:3 60:13 50:14 54:3 60:13 60:13 62:4 66:2 66:12 71:2 79:14 40:21 66:1 68:17 40:21 66:1 68:17 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 60:13 62:4 66:2 66:12 71:2 79:14 60:4 20:16 60:9,10 85:19 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 10:0 2:20,20 <t< td=""><td></td><td>12:19 13:8,10</td><td>structure 49:4</td><td>sustain 44:1</td><td></td></t<>		12:19 13:8,10	structure 49:4	sustain 44:1	
species 4:6,7,11 36:9 39:14 51:19 studies 80:16 system 18:7 23:19 100:14 9:10,11,12 10:4,8 60:4 61:9 70:12 70:12 90:20 stuff 29:13 33:16 29:5 73:19 74:22 thanks 99:18,21 17:5,8,14 22:8 statement 62:12,19 63:4 65:13 84:10 42:14,19 50:13 53:13 56:14 62:10	special 29:1 63:11	15:3,4,7,10 19:10		swamp 74:15,16	thank 10:19 94:1,2
9:10,11,12 10:4,8 10:14 16:15 17:4 17:5,8,14 22:8 23:20 35:11,12 36:8 41:1,6,10 43:11 60:19 64:6 68:15 89:1 92:10 92:13 specific 75:6 specifically 54:14 59:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 80:17 81:12 85:17 9:10,11,12 10:4,8 70:12 90:20 statement 62:12,19 63:4 65:13 84:10 66:9,10 85:19 subcommittee 1:7 1:10 2:20,20 62:22 subdued 58:20 success 36:14 40:3 40:21 66:1 68:17 success 9:9 61:9 80:2 thanks 99:18,21 that's 41:17 theme 35:10 theme 35:22 theory 6:3 thing 7:13 13:21 17:22 26:14 32:18 34:15 39:19 41:16 take 2:17 9:4 10:13 16:2 19:6 28:18 50:14 54:3 60:13 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:9 81:4,20	* *				
10:14 16:15 17:4 70:12 90:20 stuff 29:13 33:16 89:2 that's 41:17 17:5,8,14 22:8 33:20 35:11,12 63:4 65:13 84:10 53:13 56:14 62:12 53:13 56:14 62:12 53:13 56:14 62:	species 4:6,7,11	36:9 39:14 51:19	studies 80:16	system 18:7 23:19	100:14
17:5,8,14 22:8 statement 62:12,19 42:14,19 50:13 T theme 35:10 theme 35:22 23:20 35:11,12 36:8 41:1,6,10 statements 19:1 53:13 56:14 62:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 table 9:3 theory 6:3 theory 6:3 43:11 60:19 64:6 states 11:16,20,21 12:7 17:13 19:3,4 12:7 17:13 19:3,4 1:10 2:20,20 tables 41:21 17:22 26:14 32:18 92:13 state-federal 13:6 state-federal 13:6 subdued 58:20 take 2:17 9:4 10:13 34:15 39:19 41:16 specifically 54:14 stays 20:18 success 36:14 40:3 16:2 19:6 28:18 50:14 54:3 60:13 59:2 Stelle 37:14 40:21 66:1 68:17 28:21 30:6,21 61:13 62:4 66:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 step 50:16 62:2,3 successes 9:9 61:9 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:17 81:12 85:17 72:15 82:2 90:10 successful 36:13 64:21 67:8 96:6 80:9 81:4,20	9:10,11,12 10:4,8	60:4 61:9 70:12	study 80:14	29:5 73:19 74:22	thanks 99:18,21
23:20 35:11,12 36:8 41:1,6,10 43:11 60:19 64:6 68:15 89:1 92:10 92:13 specific 75:6 specifically 54:14 59:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 80:17 81:12 85:17 63:4 65:13 84:10 statements 19:1 statements 19:1 states 11:16,20,21 12:7 17:13 19:3,4 21:7 36:3 state-federal 13:6 specifically 54:14 59:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 80:17 81:12 85:17 63:4 65:13 84:10 66:9,10 85:19 subcommittee 1:7 1:10 2:20,20 62:22 subdued 58:20 subdued 58:20 success 36:14 40:3 40:21 66:1 68:17 successes 9:9 61:9 80:17 81:12 85:17 72:15 82:2 90:10 53:13 56:14 62:10 66:9,10 85:19 subcommittee 1:7 1:10 2:20,20 62:22 tag 45:3 76:16 take 2:17 9:4 10:13 16:2 19:6 28:18 28:21 30:6,21 45:18 50:1 62:8 64:21 67:8 96:6 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:9 81:4,20	10:14 16:15 17:4	70:12 90:20	stuff 29:13 33:16	89:2	that's 41:17
Signature Sign	17:5,8,14 22:8	statement 62:12,19	42:14,19 50:13		theme 35:10
43:11 60:19 64:6 68:15 89:1 92:10 92:13 specific 75:6 specifically 54:14 59:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 80:17 81:12 85:17 states 11:16,20,21 12:7 17:13 19:3,4 21:7 36:3 specific 75:6 state-federal 13:6 specific 81:0 2:20,20 62:22 subdued 58:20 success 36:14 40:3 40:21 66:1 68:17 80:17 81:12 85:17 states 11:16,20,21 12:7 17:13 19:3,4 62:22 tag 45:3 76:16 take 2:17 9:4 10:13 16:2 19:6 28:18 28:21 30:6,21 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:9 81:4,20	23:20 35:11,12	63:4 65:13 84:10	53:13 56:14 62:10		themes 35:22
68:15 89:1 92:10 92:13 specific 75:6 specifically 54:14 59:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 80:17 81:12 85:17 80:17 81:12 85:17 state-federal 13:6 state 2:17 9:4 10:13 16:2 19:6 28:18 28:21 30:6,21 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:9 81:4,20	36:8 41:1,6,10	statements 19:1	66:9,10 85:19		theory 6:3
92:13	43:11 60:19 64:6	states 11:16,20,21	subcommittee 1:7		thing 7:13 13:21
specific 75:6 state-federal 13:6 subdued 58:20 take 2:17 9:4 10:13 42:2 43:15 44:12 specifically 54:14 stays 20:18 success 36:14 40:3 16:2 19:6 28:18 50:14 54:3 60:13 spend 41:2,5 47:21 step 50:16 62:2,3 successes 9:9 61:9 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:17 81:12 85:17 72:15 82:2 90:10 successful 36:13 80:9 81:4,20	68:15 89:1 92:10	12:7 17:13 19:3,4	1:10 2:20,20		17:22 26:14 32:18
specifically 54:14 stays 20:18 success 36:14 40:3 16:2 19:6 28:18 50:14 54:3 60:13 spend 41:2,5 47:21 step 50:16 62:2,3 successes 9:9 61:9 40:21 66:1 68:17 40:21 66:1 68:17 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:17 81:12 85:17 72:15 82:2 90:10 successful 36:13 80:9 81:4,20	92:13	21:7 36:3	62:22	tag 45:3 76:16	34:15 39:19 41:16
59:2 Stelle 37:14 4 0:21 66:1 68:17 successes 9:9 61:9 80:17 81:12 85:17 72:15 82:2 90:10 successful 36:13 28:21 30:6,21 61:13 62:4 66:2 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:9 81:4,20	specific 75:6	state-federal 13:6	subdued 58:20	take 2:17 9:4 10:13	42:2 43:15 44:12
59:2 Stelle 37:14 40:21 66:1 68:17 28:21 30:6,21 61:13 62:4 66:2 spend 41:2,5 47:21 step 50:16 62:2,3 successes 9:9 61:9 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:17 81:12 85:17 72:15 82:2 90:10 successful 36:13 64:21 67:8 96:6 80:9 81:4,20	_	stays 20:18	success 36:14 40:3	16:2 19:6 28:18	
spend 41:2,5 47:21 step 50:16 62:2,3 successes 9:9 61:9 45:18 50:1 62:8 66:12 71:2 79:14 80:17 81:12 85:17 72:15 82:2 90:10 successful 36:13 64:21 67:8 96:6 80:9 81:4,20		•		28:21 30:6,21	
80:17 81:12 85:17	spend 41:2,5 47:21			45:18 50:1 62:8	
	_	_ ·		64:21 67:8 96:6	
, 33.33,17 92				97:9 98:2 99:15	· '
		,			
			I	l ————————————————————————————————————	I

05.0.07.6.00.0.10	41.1 . 01.5	1.05.7	14	01.16.02.2	
85:9 87:6 88:8,10	thinks 81:5	touch 85:7	ultimate 34:3	91:16 92:3	
88:13 96:9,11	third 63:5	tough 99:13	ultimately 9:15	values 49:21 53:21	
98:17 99:8	thought 14:7,22	town 59:20	54:8	value's 57:6	
things 5:16 7:3	17:12 26:20 62:15	track 6:10	unable 53:2	valuing 53:10	
11:13 29:22 41:4	63:16 74:6 75:13	trade 85:21	unbelievable 61:2	70:15,22 71:8	
42:8 44:10,17	91:11	transferability	unbelievably 86:8	varying 13:17,17	
46:10 50:1,6 53:4	thoughts 5:2	35:19,20 68:15	uncertain 23:18	vast 10:4	
53:10 58:1 59:17	threat 47:8	transition 8:13	underneath 77:15	VA'AMUA 1:17	
64:19 69:14 78:12	threatened 44:2	tremendous 79:5	underpinning 49:3	vehicle 72:1	
79:13 81:12,16	three 2:18 11:11	80:13	understand 9:16	versus 48:21 85:22	
86:5 88:22 89:16	37:17,18,20 38:9	tribal 90:20	9:18 18:6 38:11	view 17:3 25:12	
94:19,20 97:5	51:2,12 83:15	tributaries 18:2	58:15 78:16 84:2	virtual 89:22	
think 2:4 4:8,12,18	91:14	37:17,21 38:10	understanding 5:7	visited 32:7	
5:5,6,20,21 6:7,14	throw 50:17 66:19	trick 50:4	18:14 20:14 26:15	\mathbf{W}	
6:20 9:9 10:5,10	74:6,7 98:11	tried 41:20	70:21 83:22		
11:22 12:11,18,19	throwing 58:13	triggering 37:5	understood 9:17	walk 25:10 WALLACE 1:17	
13:3,13 14:5,12	Thursday 2:7	trouble 40:10 60:4	unifying 59:15		
15:11,15 16:7	100:10,12	87:16	unit 73:16	11:1 13:11,15	
18:10,12,17,20	tide 67:18	true 49:5	universities 29:12	16:13,18 19:15	
20:9,15 21:14	tie 24:3 68:14 77:11	truly 99:21	29:13 51:3	21:8 27:12 28:20	
22:15,19 23:9,12	time 5:22 9:4 14:8	try 29:21 36:5	university 29:17,18	29:7 38:19 39:2	
23:13,16 24:8,9	17:15 18:8 24:12	37:21 55:1 81:21	31:6 67:3,6	39:18 40:7 42:1	
24:10,18,19 25:2	39:20,21 40:8	82:4	unusual 95:12	50:19 56:6,9	
30:4,7,11 31:9,13	77:8 81:11 88:7	trying 17:11 18:5,7	upstream 31:22	100:6,9	
31:15,17 32:14,20	90:21 96:20 99:14	18:11 19:5 21:4,8	use 5:2 10:17 17:1	want 4:11 6:10,22	
41:8 42:8,10 43:2	times 51:12 58:11	21:10 32:6,15	27:7 29:14 39:6	8:7,11 10:15 11:9	
43:4,5 50:6,14	99:11,11	34:21 35:6 38:20	43:14 52:6 66:6	16:2 21:3,3 23:11	
52:11,13,14 53:2	today 2:4 61:19	47:11 48:1 49:17	useful 8:20 62:11	23:22 31:7 34:11	
53:15 54:6 56:22	92:16	52:12 65:9 68:7	70:5 81:18	34:14,15 36:4	
57:6,9,16,22	told 70:9,16 97:5	72:4 76:5 83:13	USGS 84:6	38:10 40:1 45:21	
59:14,15,16 60:8	Tom 1:12,14 75:5	85:16 90:17 92:6	usurp 19:6	47:6,9 48:16	
62:3,15,18 63:4,6	tomorrow 2:5	92:8	U.S 1:1 77:5	50:19 51:16 52:7	
63:12 64:18 65:3	88:15 89:5 90:7	TUESDAY 1:9	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	57:18 59:22 61:19	
65:19 66:2 70:3,4	92:12 93:2 97:20	tune 61:7		62:14 67:5,12,21	
71:5,5 72:16,22	97:21 98:5,6,7,9	turn 69:20	valuable 33:13	68:1 69:7 70:1	
73:13 75:5,22	98:12	turning 88:9	57:15,19 79:3	78:11 81:3 82:11	
76:1 77:2,2,4,6,11	tonight 100:5	twice 64:16,17	86:8	84:22 89:11,18	
78:3,4 79:1,4	Tony 7:10 13:18	95:13	value 22:20 48:9,17	90:10 91:3 96:7	
80:16,16 81:9,12	15:18 19:15 26:1	two 3:22 8:1,2	48:22 49:15 50:22	96:14 97:9 98:1	
81:18 84:10,21	28:16 36:15 41:9	25:18 47:21 51:11	51:5 52:18 53:16	wanted 42:21 96:20	
86:2,16 95:13,19	50:7	52:12 73:17 81:15	53:17,22 54:1,7	wants 7:1	
thinkers 65:8	Tony's 19:16 22:7	95:20 99:6	55:11,17 56:5	warm 80:22	
thinking 9:6 28:3	tools 52:15 53:7	tying 66:10	57:2,2,12 68:18	warming 75:17	
40:20 43:19 47:19	top 50:2 62:6 81:4	type 10:3 42:11	68:22 69:18 71:19	80:6,15	
48:6 61:8 69:15	topic 70:7	49:2	74:10,11,11,13,13	Washington 1:11	
72:5,18 73:1,3	topics 64:22	U	74:22 75:2,2,21	1:12 29:18	
74:1,19 77:7	totally 34:13		78:21 85:1 91:15	wasn't 45:21	

wasting 80:13	12:16 19:5,18,18	68:9,20 72:1	3 10:9 64:14	
water 12:16 19:20	19:20 20:3,4 21:4	76:19 77:3 85:11	3:55 2:2	
27:16 36:9 41:14	21:5,6 26:3 28:10	94:21 99:15	3:56 1:11	
61:11	30:6 32:14 34:17	worked 51:18		
waterfront 59:21	34:21 37:8,8 39:5	working 5:14 37:16	4	
67:22 68:2	42:11 43:19 44:8	38:9 51:4 52:3	4 10:11	
waterfront's 67:20	53:2,5 54:11,22	64:12 67:21 68:2	400 1:11	
waters 11:5 12:1	55:12 57:3 58:1,3	74:16		
19:21 39:15	58:15 63:19 65:20	world 78:9 79:17	5	
watershed 25:11	68:1,7 69:13,22	worldwide 76:22	5:30 100:15	
56:16	70:16 72:22 74:8	worth 56:11	50 29:20	
way 4:21 7:21 8:10	76:7,11,12,16,16	wouldn't 30:13		
9:12 10:12 15:11	77:20,22,22 78:19	82:18 92:3 98:22		
24:15 27:8,22	79:17 80:6,8	Write 98:4		
39:9 40:19 48:4	81:14,16 84:16	writing 35:3		
49:14 50:5,6,12	85:19 86:2 87:20	wrong 9:17 24:10		
50:14,16,17 57:20	91:13 93:20 95:19			
63:18 66:9 76:9	95:21 97:20	Y		
79:15 81:9	we've 5:16 6:1	year 37:18 38:22		
ways 65:5 80:17	13:16 28:9 46:21	39:6 47:22 64:12		
86:15	54:22 55:7,7	64:16,17 99:13		
weak 48:13	56:15,17 57:1	years 29:20 51:1		
wealthy 59:21	58:1 70:17 86:13	80:22		
weapons 79:3	95:11,20 97:10	yield 9:3		
website 94:18	100:4			
Wednesday 95:13	whatsoever 16:19	Z		
95:14	wheel 26:6	zero 28:18 84:17		
week 72:10	whichever 31:3	zone 11:17 25:4		
weeks 32:11	56:21	46:9,10		
welcome 10:21	white 19:18	zones 51:18		
went 32:7	wildlife 15:8 21:6	1		
weren't 37:6 69:14	26:8,9,10 73:4	1		
west 38:5,17 61:1	90:12	1 10:5 97:1		
Western 29:18	willing 65:17	1-2-3-4 10:1		
wetland 24:15,16	winners 5:11	1:30 95:14		
55:11	winter 39:21	100 20:13 80:22		
wetlands 16:6	wish 75:14	12:15 95:14		
45:14 66:22 80:19	wonderful 66:17	12:30 95:14		
84:3 86:19 87:3	Woods 51:1 68:19	15 98:11		
87:17 88:2 91:9	word 35:7 43:14	2		
92:10	words 32:3 49:6,8	2 10:6		
we'll 2:5,14,21 5:2	wordsmithing 99:6	2:45 95:15		
75:15 88:1 91:6	work 11:18 24:11	2.45 93:13 2011 1:9		
95:16 96:6,6	27:20 29:12,13	2011 1:9 25 1:9		
98:10,12	38:20 51:14,19	260 28:2		
we're 2:3,8 3:16,19	53:1 56:12 63:10	400 40.4		
5:4 6:2 7:15 9:15	64:5 65:10 66:4	3		
	1	<u> </u>	ı	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: MAFAC Ecosystem Subcommittee

Before: NOAA

Date: 10-25-11

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &