

**U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission
LOG OF MEETING**

CPSC 6(b)(1) CLEARED for PUBLIC
ACCESS TO MEMS, PRIVATE LABELS OR
PRODUCTS IDENTIFIED
EXCEPTED BY: PETITION
RULEMAKING ADMIN. PROCG
WITH PORTIONS REMOVED

SUBJECT: Meeting of ISO 181 - WG 7 Age determination guidelines

DATE OF MEETING: 26 October 2011

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Celestine T. Kiss, ESHF

DATE OF LOG ENTRY: 02 November 2011

LOCATION: Ottawa Marriott, Ottawa, Canada

CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Celestine T Kiss, ESHF

NON-CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Contact ISO for attendee list

SUMMARY OF MEETING: WG 7 - Age Determinations Guidelines - The chair of the working group gave a brief overview of the how and why the working group was formed. He explained that the output from the group will be a technical report, not a standard. He gave an overview of current approaches used by Brazil, Canada, EU, CPSC, and ASTM.

CPSC was asked to give overview of how/why the Age Determination Guidelines were created. I explained original intent was to address age grading for all toys, but especially small parts. I explained that the Division of Human Factors used the guidelines primarily to focused on under 3 and 3 years and older, but the

information goes up to 12 years of age. Now that CPSIA is in effect, the guidelines are very helpful because it does go up to 12 years. Next, the Canadian representative, Cylvia Weiher, was asked to give an overview of how/why Canada conducts age determinations. She explained that they have made about 600 decisions on classifications of toys for under 3 or over 3 years and they have a document explaining each decision.

Brazilian representatives were asked to describe the proposal they brought to the meeting. They explained that they made a chart based on the CPSC guidelines and their own experiences with toys and age labeling. They are proposing the chart be used as a quick reference guide and the CPSC guidelines be used as more detailed background information, when needed.

After their presentation, there was a lot of discussion about what exactly the group was looking for as the end product. Members questioned if we were looking to replace the CPSC guidelines or supplement them.

The consensus of the group seems to be that the end product should be a quick guide/chart (similar to Brazil's proposal) with CPSC guidelines as the background more detailed reference.

The working group leader asked for a small group of volunteers to work with Brazil's chart to have a draft document the task group could work with. I volunteered to be on the working group.

Meeting with adjourned.