

Campbell, Linda F

Subject: FW: KINGSTON DREDGE CELL
Location: PLANT MANAGER'S CONFERENCE ROOM

Start: Tue 03/27/2007 12:30 PM
End: Tue 03/27/2007 2:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

This is the plant meeting to present findings and a recommendation for path forward

Agenda:

1. Introductions - Lynn Petty
2. Study Findings - PowerPoint Presentation - Neil Davies -GeoSyntec

Situation Analysis (November 2006)
Investigation
Findings and Conclusions
Seepage Model
Dredge Cell Restoration
Monitoring and Maintenance

Alternatives Analysis (KT matrix) - Lynn Petty

4. Path Forward

From: Jackson, Beth H
Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2007 2:42 PM
To: Jackson, Beth H; Beckham, Michael T; Campbell, Linda F; Rushing, Finis D; Settles, James Thomas; Petty, Harold L; Poston, James M
Subject: KINGSTON DREDGE CELL
When: Tuesday, March 27, 2007 12:30 PM-2:00 PM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: PLANT MANAGER'S CONFERENCE ROOM

Alternatives Considered

Alternative No. 1 Improve the Drain Along the Entire Length of Swan Pond Road	Alternative No. 2 Dense Investigation to Identify Other Problem Areas and Localized Enhancements	Alternative No. 3 Deep Trench Drain Along the Entire Length of Swan Pond Road	Alternative No. 4 Dense Investigation to Identify Other Problem Areas and Localized French Drain	Alternative No. 5 MSE Buttress Along the Entire Length of Swan Pond Road	Alternative No. 6 Dense Investigation to Identify Other Problem Areas and MSE Buttress in Localized Areas	Alternative No. 7 Dry Ash Disposal	Alternative No. 8 Localized Toe Drain Improvements (Already Implemented in Scept Area) - Return to Normal Dredge Cell Operations with Additional Monitoring, maintenance, surface water improvements, and contingencies
\$2.7M	\$1.1M	\$860K	\$660K	\$1.4M	\$1.02M	\$300K	No additional cost (1)
\$320K	\$320K	\$320K	\$320K	\$320K	\$320K	\$320K	\$320K
\$76K	\$76K	\$126K	\$126K	\$76K	\$76K	\$3M	\$50K

Capital Cost (Internal Drainage)

Capital Cost - Surface Water Drainage Improvements

Annual O&M Cost (2)

Decision Factors

Description	Weight	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
MUSTS													
Return to Long Term Reliable Operations	10	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go
Meets Regulatory Requirements	10	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go
Eliminate Possibility of Catastrophic Failure	10	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go	Go
Possibility of Major but non-catastrophic blow-out	5	8	40	7	35	10	50	7	35	9	45	5	30
Initial Capital Cost	8	6	48	8	64	6	40	8	64	2	16	10	80
Operational and Maintenance Cost	7	8	56	8	56	10	70	8	56	2	14	8	56
Time to Implement	3	8	24	5	15	2	6	3	9	1	3	10	30
OVERALL MUSTS DECISION / WANTS SCORE		Go	188	Go	170	Go	184	Go	166	Go	164	Go	186

OVERALL RANKING

5	4	2	3	6	7	8	1 (Preferred)
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---------------

Notes:
 (1) Improvements already implemented. No additional cost other than addressing surface water drainage monitoring, etc.
 (2) Additional O&M Cost represents cost over and above current O&M e.g. quarterly monitoring of wells and piezometers, inspections, data analysis and reporting.