Kingston Fossil Plant

														1	31	H	٠.									e tu			,		, ,			
			_												1	βı	1		ou	N		C	m L	v	və e	rtu ,	n	. 0	8	e e fi I	ods	y	. ;	
		American Street Control of the Contr											7	hi	o A	Ċ	a	7	th	د .	,	/ ª	1	9	( ) • • • •	ر) م	!	K. In	43/	<del>-</del> -	<i>بلا</i> ن	e for	mai	Z
													/&	m	(-)	it.		/	TI Zasa	ui mo	- ua	` .و	<i>y</i>	,	CE C	ه.	ð	W ł		4	ue	هريها.		
	Prv Yrs												l	,• ~	5				71	,,	7	Tha	n S	L4.										
								_															nh E	))a	~	-								
	Totals	e de la companya de l		250	20	5	0		275																									
																										1" (1) 50 (2)								
	FY08		And the second state of th						0				0		0	-	0	0	CAMBOOK TO THE TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABL	A Part of the Part	0	4000	4000		4000									
	FY07								0				300		30		35	20				4000	4000		4385									
	FY06								0		The second secon		70		25			5					0		100									
	FY05		And the second s	50	20	5			75				0		0		0	0			0	0	0		0									
Storage		— j								Section of the sectio																Wo								
	FY04	5.7		200					200				0		0		0	0			0	0	0 #		0									
1 KIF530: Develop Fly Ash, Gypsum, and Bottom Ash	2 Phase/Activity	1000		neering	C/PS	-8 Plant Support	9 PSS - Inspection		Phase 1		ie 2		neering		C/PS		GUBMK (estimate)	Plant Support		Long Lead Material (LLM)	Turbine Materials and PSS Rehab	blank	total LLM		I Phase 2									
1 KIF	2 Phas	3	5 Phase 1	- 6 Engineering	7 PE/PC/PS	-8 Plant	-9 PSS	10	11 Total Phase 1	12	13 Phase 2	14	15 Engineering	16	17 PE/PC/PS	18	-19 GUB	- 20 Plant	21	22 Long	23	• 24	25	26	27 Total Phase 2	28								

Se S	
Stems	
R	>

Kingston Fossil Plant

Prv Yrs Totals 20 FY08 4000 4000 FY07 FY06 S FY05 20 5 1 KIF530: Develop Fly Ash, Gypsum, and Bottom Ash Storage FY04 blankTurbine Materials and PSS Rehab total LLM .19 GUBMK (estimate) -8 Plant Support -9 PSS - Inspection 2 Phase/Activity 3 . 20 Plant Support 13 Phase 2
14
15 Engineering
16
17 PE/PC/PS 11 Total Phase 1 6 Engineering 7 PE/PC/PS 5 Phase 1 

Kingston Fossil Plant

1 KIF530: Develop Fly Ash, Gypsum, and Bo	ottom Ash Storage	1 1				,	<u> </u>
2 Phase/Activity	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	Totals	Prv Yrs
29 Phase 3							
30							
31 Engineering	0	0	0	200	200	004	
32						1	
33 PE/PC/PS	0	0	0	15	35	50	
34							
-35 Plant Support	0	0	0	20	20	40	
36							
37 Installation (x )	#						
-38 GUBMK		0	0	20	20	40	
	blank 0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Gl	3MK 0	0	0	20	20	40	
41							
42 Turnkey Installation	ution 0	0	0	3360	0	3360	
43 bl	blank 0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total 0	0	0	3360	3725	7085	
46 Asbestos abatement (GUBA	MK) 0	0	0	0	0	0	
47							
48 Total Installation	ntion 0	0	0	3380	3745	7125	
49						1	
Total Phase 3	0	0	0	3615	4000	7615	
51							
52 Total: All Phases	200	75	100	8000	8000	16375	The state of the s
A seumntions:							
installation of existem will be	by turnkey contractor: scone similar to	actor scope s	imilar to CUF	F dry fly ash system	svstem.		
2. Design of BOP interfaces will be by FE&T	TS T	actor, scope					
3. FE&TS Lead will be Civil Department							
An outage will be required for some BOP	interface tie-ins	10				and the state of t	
	*						
	-						
经股票额 经现代证券 化二十二二十二十二十二十二二十二二二十二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1						
				tion of the second			

**Project** 

Outage Date:

Type: Capital

Start Date: 07/30/2003

In-Srvc Date: 09/30/2008

Pram: No Program

**Estimated** 

Cat: ASSET PRESERVATION

**Actual** 

**Project Name** 

KIF--DEVELOP FLY ASH, GYPSUM & BOTTOM ASH DISPOSAL CAPACITY CSF: Achieve excellence in the Asset optimization and production processes.

Project ID

Rev#

KIF530

0

I. Project Description

Organization

Owner: FPG

Lead: Yard Operations

**Location** 

Loc: KIF

**Technical Contact** 

Name: HEDGECOTH, MELISSA A

Phone: 423/751-6426

Responsible Mar

Name: DAVIS, MICHAEL D

**Problem Description** 

Phone: 423/751-7864

Analysis of recent dike failure in the existing dredge cells has raised uncertainties regarding the current long-term disposal plans for fly ash and bottom ash. An emergency cell was developed (O&M) which will provide a maximum of three years of fly ash and bottom ash capacity. In addition, planned scrubbers for Kingston will produce an additional high-volume by-product which may be co-disposed with fly ash and bottom ash beginning in FY 2009.

Project Scope

Expansion of dredge cell adjacent to existing dredge cell by construction of a new dike. Scope will also include development of a waste stack for flyash, bottom ash and gypsum within the existing perimeter dikes of the active ash disposal area.

Perform detailed analysis to determine the overall structural, environmental, and operational viability of continuing to raise and dredge to the existing dredge cells, considering the recent failure along Swan Pond road and the saturation of the lower dikes along the backwaters of the Emory river.

Perform engineering analysis and collect field data as required to develop a detailed design for maximizing the disposal capacity of fly ash, bottom ash and gypsum on the existing ash pond complex at the Kingston Fossil Plant while maintaining the required Free Water Volume. The detailed design should consider economic, structural, environmental and operational issues and impacts associated with long term ash disposal. The engineering suitability of ash currently produced at Kingston for storage in an engineered stack should be verified through testing (if this has not already been satisfactorily completed). A part II permit package is to be submitted to Environmental Affairs.

Scope will also include the design, materials procurement, and installation as necessary to support the engineering study findings.

**Performance Measurement** 

Permitted disposal capacity for fly ash and bottom ash by FY 2007. Permitted disposal capacity for gypsum by FY 2009.

Reduce or discontinue plant operations such that no ash is produced, or locate an existing off-site permitted disposal area and pay a tipping fee to haul all of Kingston's ash there.

Reason For Change

New project.

### **Project Name**

KIF--DEVELOP FLY ASH, GYPSUM & BOTTOM ASH DISPOSAL CAPACITY

Project ID KIF530 Rev#

0

CSF: Achieve excellence in the Asset optimization and production processes.

lews Release		
N/A		

**Project Name** 

KIF--DEVELOP FLY ASH, GYPSUM & BOTTOM ASH DISPOSAL CAPACITY CSF: Achieve excellence in the Asset optimization and production processes.

Project ID

Rev#

KIF530

## II. Project Economic Evaluation

**ECONOMIC INDICATORS** 

**SUNK CAPITAL PROJECTS: \$0** 

NPV: \$8,799.0

**SUNK O&M PROJECTS: \$0** 

PI: 1.865

**REMAINING COST: \$16,375** 

IRR: 52.0

**TOTAL COST:** \$16,375

**SIMPLE PAYBACK: 6** 

ESTIMATE TYPE: Order of Magnitude

BASE YEAR: 2004

Year	Capital Projects	O&M Projects	Benefit	O&M Base	Environ. Cost
SUNK	0	0	0	0	
OUT YEARS	0	. 0	0	0	
2004	200	0	0	0	. 0
2005	75	0	0	0	0
2006	100	0	0	0	0
2007	8,000	0	5,000	0	0
2008	8,000	0	5,000	0	0
2009	0	0	5,000	0	0
2010	0	0	5,000	0	0
2011	0	0	5,000	0	0
2012	0	0	5,000	0	0
2013	0	0	5,000	0	0
2014	0	0	5,000	0	0
2015	0	0	5,000	0	. 0
2016	0	0	5,000	0	0
2017	0	0	0	0	0
2018	0	. 0	0	0	0
2019	0	0	0	0	0
2020	0	0	0	0	0
2021	0	0	0	0	0
2022	0	0	0	0	0
2023	0	0	0	0	0

**Project Name** 

KIF--DEVELOP FLY ASH, GYPSUM & BOTTOM ASH DISPOSAL CAPACITY CSF: Achieve excellence in the Asset optimization and production processes.

Project ID

Rev#

KIF530

0

## II. Project Economic Evaluation

#### **Cost Assumptions**

 Engineering = \$200k in FY 04, \$75k in FY 05, \$100k in FY 06.

#### Risks

Based on similar projects.

Implementation (Develop by-product handling system.)= \$8,000k in FY 07; \$8,000k in FY 08.

Conceptual estimate for turn-key system.

No significant marketing or utilization of ash or gypsum will take place.

Waste production (cubic yards per year): Fly Ash = 410,000 Bottom Ash = 90,000 Gypsum = 750,000 Based on historical data (ash) and similar projects (gypsum).

The existing dredge cells and ponds shall be utilized to the extent possible to obtain an additional ten years of disposal capacity.

Support of plant business plan.

#### **Benefit Assumptions**

 Haul fly ash and bottom ash offsite to an existing permitted disposal site @ \$10/ton for 500,000 tons per year = \$5,000k per year for ten years.

#### <u>Risks</u>

Assumes a disposal site can be found within 30 miles of the plant which could handle 500,000 tons per year.