

22 March 1994

R G Johnson, LP 2G-C

KINGSTON FOSSIL PLANT - COAL YARD RAINFALL RUNOFF STUDY

Please proceed with Phase I of the subject project and assist Engineering Project Services in developing a Level IV schedule.

PWD (Project Work Document)	KIF009
Parent Short Code (Travel, etc.)	000QWMT
Cost Estimate (Issued 1/28/94)	94126R1
CER (Request for Phase I Costs, 12/21/93)	KIF93-1218-PO
Problem Assessment and Resolution Request	9/2/93

Project Manager	Darrell Howard
Project Engineer	Dan Scott
Lead Engineer	Ken Burnett

Activity numbers for Fossil Engineering personnel can be obtained from Gary Garret, extension 7693.

Attached is a copy of the form, "Project Authorization Summary," prepared by Project Management. Please note that work is scheduled to begin April 4, 1994.

If you have any questions please call me at 4446 or Chuck Bohac at 7319.



Dan Scott
Project Engineering Services
BR 2G-C

Attachment

cc: K W Burnett, LP 2G-C
C H McFall, BR 4A-C
D A Howard, BR 3D-C
R W Clevenger, SP 3F-C
R M Cole, Kingston
J K Watts, LP 5D-C
RIMS, CST 13B-C

FOSSIL ENGG Receipt			
MAR 23 '94			
Referred	H	INFO	Date
RGJ		✓	23
RAB			
KWB	✓		30
RCC			
VWD			
RWJ			
LAN			
LFN			
WLP			
EBR			
PNF			

APPROVED
Pre PAB
3/11/94

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
FOSSIL AND HYDRO POWER
PROJECT AUTHORIZATION SUMMARY

Project ^{WORK Document} Control Number KIF 009

P.R. Loc. Symbol SE-24
Org(s) to Perform Work 70
Org(s) to Perform Engg 68

(X) New () Revised
Addition Account # _____
Retirement Account # _____

PLANT/AREA: Kingston - Yard UNIT: Yard
PROJECT NAME: Coal Yard Runoff PHASE: I

MAJOR BENEFIT CATEGORY: (Check only one)
 Plant Performance Asbestos Work Space Improvement
 Fuel Handling Environmental Other (specify)
 Ash Handling Safety

PRIORITY RANKING WITHIN CATEGORY: _____ OF _____ PRIORITY LIST DATE: _____

REASON FOR IMPROVEMENT (Identify Problem Area and the Quantifiable Impact):
 Heavy rain conditions caused an NPDES Noncompliance from the coal yard drainage pond in FY 1991. TVA committed to the state of Tennessee to upgrade the system in the "Notice of Noncompliance" for this event. The coal yard drainage system is inadequate to manage a "100-year rainfall event" of 5 inches in 24 hours. Resolution to this problem is required to avoid another violation.

PROBLEM DEFINITION (State the Problem and the Target/Goal to be Achieved):
 The coal yard runoff pond should contain any drainage during a heavy rain event of 5 inches during any 24-hour period. After a 5.24-inch rainfall in FY 1991, it became necessary to divert flood waters from the coal yard drainage area to the Clinch River. Heavy rains resulted in the coal yard drainage pond level rising several feet over its banks to flood the pumphouse, thereby rendering the pumps inoperable. The discharge piping has also deteriorated to a point where replacement is required.

ANALYSIS (Verification of the Root Cause and the Cause-and-Effect Relationship):
 The drainage basin has "silted up" significantly since it was last maintained and no longer has adequate storage volume to contain a significant rainfall event. The existing pumps have no automatic start mechanism, often have to be manually primed, are maintenance intensive, and no longer deliver nameplate discharge rates. The discharge piping is also maintenance intensive, and may not be adequately sized.

SOLUTIONS (Description of Project and Quantification of Benefits Expected):
 Because of frequent maintenance problems, the coal yard drainage pond discharge equipment was marked for upgrade before this rainfall event. It is the intent to install higher capacity pumps and possibly installing larger diameter discharge piping; however a Phase I study might calculate other alternatives. In the study phase, the inadequacy of the system will be evaluated. The system includes the drainage basin, pumps, and discharge piping.

RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL (Signatures Required):

<u>Daniel Howard</u> Project Manager	<u>3/1/94</u> Date	<u>Kenneth E. Lewis</u> for Plant Manager	<u>3-3-94</u> Date
PAB Approval		Approvals Outside the PAB	
<u>Shawn L. Wilson</u> Pre-PAB Secretary (Proj < 250K)	<u>3/15/94</u> Date	_____ Vice President	_____ Date
_____ PAB Secretary (Proj > 250K)	_____ Date	_____ Senior Vice President	_____ Date
		_____ Generating Design & Development	_____ Date

PRESIDENT, GENERATING GROUP APPROVAL: _____ Date _____

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
FOSSIL AND HYDRO POWER
PROJECT AUTHORIZATION SUMMARY

PLANT/AREA: Kingston Yard
PROJECT NAME: Coal Yard Runoff

Project Control Number

KIF 009

PREVIOUS APPROVAL

COST SUMMARY (\$000)

PROJECT PHASE ACTIVITY/SCHEDULE	PRIOR YEARS	1994	1995	FUTURE YEARS	TOTAL PROJECT
1-Study					
Start _____					
Complete _____	0	0	0	0	0
2-Design					
Start _____					
Complete _____	0	0	0	0	0
Long-Lead Procurement	0	0	0	0	0
Total Phase 2	0	0	0	0	0
3-Implementation					
Start _____					
Complete _____	0	0	0	0	0
Total Project	0	0	0	0	0

EXPLANATION OF COST OR SCHEDULE REVISION:

Amount Included in Current Budget		0		0	
-----------------------------------	--	---	--	---	--

CURRENT APPROVAL:

COST SUMMARY (\$000)

PROJECT PHASE ACTIVITY/SCHEDULE	PRIOR YEARS	1994	1995	FUTURE YEARS	TOTAL PROJECT	ACTUAL TO-DATE
1-Study						
Start <u>04/04/94</u>						
Complete <u>06/10/94</u>	0	28	0	0	28	0
2-Design						
Start _____						
Complete _____	0	TBD	0	0	0	0
Long-Lead Procurement	0	TBD	0	0	0	0
Total Phase 2	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-Implementation						
Start _____						
Complete _____	0	TBD	0	0	0	0
Total Project	0	TBD	0	0	TBD	0

ECONOMIC EVALUATION:*

Rate of Return	NA
Net Present Value (NPV)	NA
(NPV) Payback (Years)	NA
(NPV) Deferral**	NA

RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE: NA

*15% Medium Load Forecast
15 Yr. Eval. **Next Avail.
Period Period

OPTIONS CONSIDERED/ECONOMIC EVALUATION:

NA

RISK ASSUMPTIONS/SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS:

NA

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Implementation During Outage # NA Start Date: _____ Finish Date: _____

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: This project is a routine improvement of existing TVA facilities, and it has been determined that this project falls within categorical exclusion Section 5.2.1 of the TVA Instruction IX ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW and requires neither environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement.