for identification.)

THE WITNESS: Which part of this?

BY MR. MARTIN:

Q On the second page, and unfortunately due to the Xerox-

- A That is all right; I can read it.
- Q Just above the note 1 there was a note on the original that just said "Mn", meaning Mr. Mardian, so this is the beginning of the portion of the meeting at which Mr. Mardian is present the second page.

A These are the notes of the meeting of Mardian and Ehrlichman? Ehrlichman must have been there, because he made the notes, I suppose.

Q Right, and then Haldeman comes in after the first notation.

A Fine.

Q The first notation is "re National Security taps", as you can see, especially "special coverage taps, Beecher, Sheehan, Hedrick Smith - overhearings would be disclosed," and then the notation Referred Do you recall Mr. Mardian at this meeting giving a list of any of the names of the people who had been wire tapped during this project, such as Mr. Ehrlichman would have written down - these names?

A It is possible that he did mention some names. I don't recall that he did.

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC.
320 Massachusetts Avenue. N.C.
Washington, D.C. 20102.
NWIT CARD. 514

DocId:31442598

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 (CLASSIFIED MATERIAL DELETED)

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 Q Well, these notes, and it does state national security wire taps, but the special coverage taps is the term and I believe you would be familiar with them, that some people in the FBI used to refer to this project of the 17 taps, which is principally what he was discussing. Now do you recall Mr. Mardian listing any additional names, such as Mr. Halperin?

A I don't even recall these names. These are names of newsmen, as I recall,. Beecher, Sheehan and Hedrick Smith were all reporters with the New York Times.

I can't even recall that, and I don't recall his listing any names of National Security staff or NSC staff people, but he might have.

Q Do you recall whether or not he made the statement that overhearings would be disclosed? Do you recall that statement?

A I cannot recall that. I don't know in what connotation he would make it.

Ω Let me explain to you why overhearings would be disclosed. Mr. Ellsberg, among others, and these people listed here, had been overheard during the NSC wire taps.

A In the earlier period, too?

 Ω In the earlier period. Now, as you know, the fact that Mr. Ellsberg was overheard was not disclosed, as in the normal course it should have been, at least to the

7 8

1) 6

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 Court in camera, not necessarily publicly, but at least in camera it would have had to have been disclosed after he was indicted, and again these people could have required over-hearings to be disclosed in the same manner if they were indicted or if they had some contempt hearings with regard to the Grand Jury. So do you recall in that vein anyone mentioning these wire taps and these overhearings would have to be disclosed in connection with court proceeses?

A I don't recall it. It is very possible that they did talk to me about those things. I must say I can't remember Mardian even being here, let alone what he said. I was thinking about other things.

Q As we went through earlier with the July 12 meeting with Mr. Mitchell, where he informs you that the wire tap records are being reviewed, do you recall whether or not Mr. Mardian in raising this subject stated this had come up as part of his review of the wire tap records in connection with the Pentagon Papers investigation?

A No, I have no recollection.

Now, the next note involves a U.S. Attorney's office and various indications that people in that office may be disloyal, and I would like to suggest and see whether this strikes any response as something that may have happened, whether or not that was raised in the context that these wire tap records or the fact that these wire taps had taken place

3

4 5

6

8

9

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

would in the normal course have to be disclosed to various people in the Justice Department bureaucracy dealing with the Pentagon Papers case and that these people may be disloyal and might leak that information?

A Well, let's understand these, of course, are not my notes; they are Mr. Ehrlichman's notes, and when I saw this reference to the U.S. Attorney's office, this is certainly what he thought about --

Q Well, I --

A Now, just a moment. With regard to the possible disloyalty, as you have asked the question about that, summer interns and Whitney North Seymour, Jr. -- I knew his father, but I never knew him -- et cetera, and Lindsay type people -- I don't know what that means. Oh, yes, Lindsay was mayor then, but I don't know what the New York offi-e, what it had on its plate at that time that it would allow it to get in the wire tap area.

Was there a case there with them? There would have been if we brought something on the newspapers, I suppose. Is that what you are referring to?

Q All I mean to suggest is that if people in the bureaucracy were disloyal and if -- this is just given as an example -- whether other people in the Justice Department, in the U.S. Attorney's offices elsewhere that might have to be handling this case, such as in Los Angeles, whether they

wire taps.

3

4 5

6

8

9

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 A Let's stick precisely with the notes. This refers to the New York Attorney's office. What was going on there then?

were viewed as possibly leaking information about these

Q At that time there was nothing further going on there then.

A Then I don't know what the reference is there, why that would be brought up. I would say that would be the last place that you would expect information to be disclosed.

You see, the real point is, as I read these notes, and my asking you about what was going on in New York in the U.S. Attorney's Office bears out what I said earlier, that I just have no independent recollection of the meeting with Mardian and what was discussed at the meeting. I am only relying on, for whatever information I am giving you, on the notes that others have made.

Q Whether or not you remember specifically this meeting as occurring on this date with these people and these exact words being used, do you recall at or about this time this subject matter being discussed concerning the wire tap records?

As we go through you will see that there are various references to gathering these documents together, to destroying the documents, to telling Mr. Hoover to destroy the

.

1)6

documents and later on a reference to having General Haig return all of the documents he has to the FBI and then to request the FBI to destroy all of the documents. Do you recall that subject matter?

A That subject matter was discussed because at the conclusion a decision was made that Mr. Mardian should go back to Washington and get the documents together, as I recall, and collect them from the various plades that they were. The references to destruction are mystifying to me. I can't recall directing that they be destroyed, and if I did the directing was not carried out.

Q Why was Mr. Mardian having all of these records gathered together? Did it relate at all to the Pentagon Papers and the possible disclosure of these wire taps in connection with the Ellsberg trial or other aspects of the Pentagon Papers investigation?

A No, not as far as I was concerned. What I was concerned about was that after the Pentagon Papers case and the, if I may use the term, the enormous positive hullabaloo that developed across the country, where people who steal classified documents are made heroes and those that publish them get Pulitzer Prizes. I was concerned about a massive leaking problem in the State Department, in the CIA, and, frankly, in the Defense Department -- to my great surprise, I learned later I proved to be right in that respect -- and what I was

concerned about was to do everything possible not to have this program basically totally, not only revealed, but by its revelation the capacity removed to do the necessary work that I considered we would have to do if we were going to plug leaks involving the national security and involving highly sensitive negotiations which we were undertaking.

Q If I understand your answer, you are saying that you are concerned about the existence of these wire taps leaking because you felt that it was something that may be necessary, a necessary evil, if you want, to use this type of activity to track down leaks that you were afraid might occur in the future? Is that essentially correct?

A That was my primary concern, yes.

Q I would now like to turn to a later period, in October of 1971, and just to briefly recap what happened:
Mr. Sullivan was fired by Director Hoover at the end of September. At that time Director Hoover learned that these wire taps were no longer at the Bureau and was trying to locate them.

On October 8 you met with Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Ehrlichman and the decision was made to give these wire tap. records to Mr. Ehrlichman since Mr. Mardian had them. What I would like to get to is October 25, and I will mark as the next exhibit two documents. We will mark as Exhibit E-5 a memo from Mr. Liddy to Mr. Krogh, dated October 22, 1971, and

2

3

5

6

7

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

43.5

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 as E-6 a transcript of a meeting between yourself and Mr. Ehrlichman on October 25, 1971, in the Oval Office.

(The documents referred to were marked Exhibits E-5 and E-6 for identification.)

BY MR. MARTIN:

- Q Now there are two specific references which I would like to go to, and on the Liddy memo, at page 7 --
 - A Don't you first want to ask me if I have seen it?
- Q Well, the transcript of the October 25 meeting indicates that you had seen it and were discussing it with Mr. Ehrlichman.
- A Yes. Fine. I just thought you ought to get it in the record.
- Sorry. I have seen it; that is right. It is one of the rare instances where a staff memorandum was brought to my attention. Apparently Mr. Ehrlichman sent it in because he thought it was a rather perceptive memorandum, because he agrees with its recommendation that Mr. Hoover had to go.
- Q On page 7, under arguments against immediate removal, that being immediate removal of Mr. Hoover --
 - A This is Liddy?
- Q This is Liddy. The first argument is that Hoover could resist and make good his threat against the President. Now, in discussing this memorandum with Mr. Ehrlichman, in

3

the transcript of that meeting you state, with regard to Mr.

Hoover, we may have on our hands here a man who will pull down

the temple with him, and that reference is at page 3 of the

transcript, about a quarter of the way down the page.

4 5

A Page 3?

6

Q Yes, page 3.

7

A Yes. Right. Go ahead.

8

Q Do you recall whether or not you were aware that Mr. Hoover had indicated to you or others that he might dis-

9

close these wire taps that we have been discussing?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

23

24

95

A Well, you have read again out of context a very small portion of my statement. We are speculating there about the Liddy memorandum and Mr. Hoover's situation, his problems, and I say, "You are correct, we have on our hands here a man who will pull down the temple with him, including me. I don't think he would want to. I think he considers himself a patriot, but he now sees himself as McArthur did, Benson did, and perhaps Agnew does --

"Mr. Ehrlichman: Yep."

And I say "Yep", and he says, "...himself as an issue greater than the issue which is the great --" and I said "weakness of any political man."

Now what is all this? This is simply a discussion, a free-wheeling discussion between the President and one of his top advisors on some theories that a very bright young

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

man in one way, very stupid in others, Mr. Liddy, who had been in the FBI and had written us about Mr. Hoover.

Let me just recount briefly what my attitude towards him was and what I really believe. I always, in my process of thinking, went down every avenue, considered every option, would even put out to my advisors something that I might not even be for myself in order to drag out of them their best thinking because many times, you know, people who advise the President tell him what he wants to hear and they always wait to find out what they think he wants and what he believes before they talk. I didn't like that. I wanted to find out what they really thought.

Now as far as Mr. Hoover was concerned, my relationship with him goes back many years. It goes back clear to the Hiss case where because of an order issued by Mr. Truman the FBI was prohibited from giving the committee of which I was a member any assistance whatever in uncovering that activity. We did it; we got it done. We didn't need a hundred lawyers.

The second point was that over that 25 years I have considered him to be a patriot; I have considered that he was an intelligent man, in a super-sensitive position. I recall, for example, the last conversation -- I believe it was the last one I had with President Johnson in the White House, in December -- you recall, sir, things that are first are last.

ne

It was in December of 1969, and he told me, very emotionally, that the greatest mistake that he made was after his election in his own right in '64 in not firing all of the people or virtually all of the people whom he had inherited from the previous Administration and getting his own people in, and he said, "You know, many times Edgar Hoover I think is the only man I can talk to. I recall calling President Johnson on the day Hoover died and telling him about it.

I do not mean to digress, but what I am saying is that I met with Mr. Hoover, at his suggestion, in one of our regular meetings at Easter of this year -- I believe it was then. You have the transcript of that conversation.

No, you haven't. You got notes of it or something and recollections as far as that meeting because I recounted that meeting to Mr. Ehrlichman or Mr. Haldeman later. Mr. Hoover on that occasion said that he would leave then, he was over age, he was 75, or he would stay, whatever I want. He said, "My major interest is the country, my major interest"—he was never a partisan — he served President Johnson and President Kennedy, President Eisenhower, just as well as he served me. I mean he was a man who considered the presidency was what was important, not whether he was a Democrat or Republican or Liberal or Conservative, but I remember in that meeting he went on to say he would do anything that would help; if resigning would help, he would get out, if he was a

4 5

7

9

11

10

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2

liability. He didn't think he was a liability; he preferred to stand and fight.

One of the things he was concerned about at that time was a Congressman, who, unfortunately, was killed, Mr. Boggs, from Alaska. Mr. Boggs had launched a rather vicious attack on Mr. Hoover and Mr. Kleindienst, who was then the Deputy Attorney General, had apparently, in an unquarded moment, indicated there should be a congressional investigation This is in regard to Caleb's charges that the of the FBI. FBI were bugging congressmen and senators, which Mr. Hoover has always denied to me, that he has never done this, but in any event what happened was that I reassured him that he ought not to pay any attention to Boggs. Boggs, and no one likes to speak ill of those who are gone, but everybody knows he had a terrible drinking problem and he would say things. He made an ass of himself when he was in China. We sent him there on that delegation and we practically had to drag him out of there or our relations with China might have been seriously jeopardized. But my point is I reassured Mr. Hoover; forget Boggs' attacks, I am going to keep you on. This was in April All right. At no time did Mr. Hoover, directly or indirectly, ever threaten that, look, unless you keep me on I am going to blow the whistle on you. At no time did he ever say, look, unless you keep me on, I am going to pull down the whole temple, including you.

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

3

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

I considered Hoover to be a patriot. I don't question that I talked this way, but as far as what I believed is concerned, it is best indicated by what I did. I kept him on until he died, and delivered a rather good eulogy on his death, and so when we talk about his possibly using the fact he had these taps to blackmail, it was something that was brought to my attention. Mr. Ehrlichman thought he might; Mr. Mitchell apparently thought he might. Obviously Gordon Liddy, whom I didn't know -- I don't believe I ever met him, as far as I can recall -- thought he might, but as far as I was concerned, I had to weigh what my closest advisors thought. I still stuck with him, because with all of his weaknesses, even in his advanced age, I didn't know of a better man for the job.

Back in April of '71 -- this Eastertime that you referred to -- there is some indication in the notes that Mr. Hoover implied that if there was such a congressional investigation, one of the things he might be asked about would be wiretapping and that these wiretaps might have to be disclosed. Do you recall discussing that at that time, the possibility that these wiretaps might have to be disclosed if such a congressional investigation were ever launched?

No, I don't specifically recall that part of it, but he well might have told me that because he always leveled with me on conversations.

7 8

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 Q Do you recall whether or not you had any conversations with Mr. Kleindienst at that time concerning the congressional investigation?

A With Mr. Kleindiesnt? I think the only conver-

sation that I had was more indirect. I think I talked to
Attorney General Mitchell and told him to tell Kleindienst
he was out of his mind to suggest a congressional investigation
of the FBI. As a matter of fact, I can think of nothing
that is more damaging to the national interest than the
current investigation of the CIA. I can think of nothing
that would be more detrimental to the national interest than
an investigation of the FBI.

I don't mean that the CIA and FBI should be engaged in activities without having proper surveillance and the rest, but it can be done without putting everybody out in front of television lights and so forth. Here they have smeared the memories of three former presidents of the United States by suggesting assassinations and by putting all of this out, and as far as the FBI is concerned, if they want to get into them, they will make them impotent, and I am digressing here a moment by pointing out and making the point that it is essential sometimes in government to have intelligence organizations, intelligence organizations that don't run loose and just go off on some kick in Florida where some IRS investigator is looking into homosexuality of people down there -- I don't

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 know what he was doing that for -- but you have to have control, on the other hand, and to have a fullblown investigation
and then to the point that you completely destroy their
ability to do their job, that is not in the interest of this
country.

Q Do you recall any other meetings during this summer and fall of 1971 with Mr. Mardian, other than this one meeting out here in San Clemente?

A No, I don't recall any other meetings. No. One could have occurred, but I don't recall.

BY MR. HOROWITZ:

Q Sir, continuing on the other aspect of this Grand
Jury investigation, which relates to the wire tap project, as
I informed you, relates to the allegations that Mr. Gray --

A Lied?

 Ω -- lied during his confirmation hearings, and I am going to try to cover that material with you over the next 45 minutes or so.

Before we get directly into Mr. Gray's confirmation hearings themselves, there has been evidence before the Grand Jury which is relevant here, and to them only insofar as it relates to the Gray matter which pertains to this other electronic surveillance project which I will dub the Radford project, which commenced in December of 1971 and continued until June of 1972 and involved installing electronic

3

5

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

99

23

24

surveillance on others, including Yoeman Radford. My only questions about that will be a few, and relate to this Gray matter.

Firstly, when that commenced in December of 1971, Mr. Mitchell was Attorney General and Mr. Felt was the Deputy Associate Director, or number two or three in the FBI, and they were the people running it.

- Sullivan had left at that time, I think. A
- That is correct, and in about March, or March 1, or thereabouts, of 1972 Mr. Mitchell left his postion as Attorney General and Mr. Kleindienst took over as Acting Attorney General.

My first question is whether you can tell us about briefings or discussions of Mr. Kleindienst concerning the Radford electronics surveillance project, whether you participated in any or were aware of any.

I don't recall participating in any. I don't recall being aware of any. This project was the most highly sensitive that we had while I was President.

- I understand that and I understand --
- And I am going to describe the project, too, even though you won't ask the question. It is necessary. I mean let me say --
 - I don't want to cut you off.
 - Let me say, Mr. Horowitz, the only reason I must do

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

41

this is that you say why don't you tell the Attorney General and the Secretary of State and all of the rest about your taps. I think the Grand Jurors want to know why didn't I tell Mr. Gray about them when he came in to see me, why didn't I mention the Radford taps.

You are anticipating my questions here.

First, if I might, do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Kleindienst did not know about that Radford project?

A I have no reason to believe that he did or did not know.

Q And going ahead in time, when Mr. Gray was named as Acting Director, following Mr. Hoover's death in early May of 1972, when he came in and I believe met with yourself and then served as Acting Director for a period, do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Gray, while the Radford project was being administered by the FBI itself, did not know about the Radford project?

A I have no reason to believe that he did, and from the conversation that I had with him, I certainly didn't inform him of it. As a matter of fact, if the FBI agent who was involved in the project did not inform him of it, he was doing exactly right because it was so sensitive that I didn't want anybody to know about it.

Q But you don't know that Mr. Ehrlichman, for example,

4 5

did not tell him? You just don't know one way or the other?

A I don't know it, but I would assume -- I want this Grand Jury, before it considers or weighs whether Mr. Gray is guilty or not guilty, to have in mind the facts why this was such a sensitive project and why it is very possible that Mr. Gran, as the Director of the FBI, didn't know about it or was not told about it, because, you see, otherwise the Director of the FBI you would assume would know about every wire tap project. Hooever knew about, I think, all of them, unless somebody was tapping him, but as far as this one was concerned, it was so closel- held that even people in the White House staff who would normally know didn't know about it.

Q You have indicated you wanted to state about the Radford project.

A I want to only because it, to members of the prosecuting team and to members of the Grand Jury, it does sound incredible that the Attorney General and Acting Director of the FBI wouldn't have been informed of a wire tap project. If the record is correct, and I assume that it is, that all taps were stopped in February of 1971, and then this one was the only one that was instituted and that there was no tapping in their investigation of Ellsberg — that is what the record, Mr. Martin, indicates, as far as I can see — the question is why this one and why would we take such risks if we had knocked them off before.

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 I should also point out when you ask why were the taps discontinued in February, it wasn't just the hullabaloo out there, but you gentlemen should know that that was Mr. Hoover's common practice. He told me about it. He said, "You know a month or so before I ever go up to testify before the Appropriations Committee I discontinue all taps."

I said, "Why do you do that?"

He said, "The reason is so that when they ask me the question as to whether we are tapping anybody, I can say no."

Now that was the reason that it was done. I don't recall any order that I gave, let's discontinue taps, although I would have agreed with it in the event that they had come to me, because I didn't think it had been particularly productive up to that time.

(Continued on page 269)

** ** **

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 We will come to the Radford tap. You will all remember that miserable war between two terribly poor countries, India and Pakistan. You will all remember, also, that I issued some orders sending the American Fleet into the Indian Oceas and used every diplomatic and other --

MR. RUTH: Could I interrupt a minute?

Could I speak with Mr. Miller a minute?

(Counsel withdrew from the Conference Room.)

MR. RUTH: We will proceed.

THE WITNESS: All right.

As a result of what we did, we were able to save what was left of Pakistan, west Pakistan. We incurred the, at that time, what appeared to be the undying enmity of India, of the Indians, and, of course, in the United States there are many friends of India and very few of Pakistan, among, particularly, the more liberal people of the press. The net result was that there was very vigorous criticism of the decision that I had made to, the decision that I made —

You don't have to take this; I have to take it;

It doesn't hurt me at all. (Referring to medication)

(Continuing) -- the decision that I made putting

pressure on India. We put pressure on the Soviet Union, as
well.

Now you have to understand why we did it and why American foreign policy was so vitally involved.

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

A

-

_23

2:

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, U.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 (CLASSIFIED MATERIAL DELETED)

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, U.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 (CLASSIFIED MATERIAL DELETED)

...

6)

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 (CLASSIFIED MATERIAL DELETED)

I said "ship him out." We got ahold of the Defense Department and they sent him out to Oregon. His parents, apparently, lived there, so he was willing to go. But it was vitally important that he be tapped to see whether this mania he had developed for leaking was continuing, and so he was tapped and his closest associates were tapped for about six months. They were knocked off in June, on June 20, when the tapping was concluded, and I would say that as far as I am concerned, with all of the talk about wiretapping and the rest -- I mean it is your job and I want the Jury and the Special Prosecutors to kick the hell out of us for wiretapping and for the Plumbers and the rest, because obviously you may have concluded it is wrong, but I want to say this, that if as a result of the secret negotiations that we have had we have changed the world, which we have, if as a result we have saved American lives, which we did in Vietnam by shortening a war -- the secret Cambodian bombings saved at least ten thousand lives, as I have told you -- if as a result we have made some progress in reducing the threat of nuclear destruction by arms limitation with the Russians, and if the other choice is to have what we call total openness, with no security whatever, then the United States is finished as a Maybe a lot of people don't care, but I care great power. a great deal. I think all of you care a great deal.

That is what Yoeman Radford was about, and I would

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

23

94

25

5

7 8

9

18

23

24

25

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

strongly urge the Special Prosecutor don't open that can of worms, because there is even more, because he not only --MR. RUTH: I think it was probably a specific question addressed to you, sir. We are not opening it up.

THE WITNESS: Yoeman Radford was not only there, but he was a direct channel to the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

BY MR. HOROWITZ:

Sir, if I might take us back now to --

This indicates to the members of the Grand Jury, if I might address them for a moment, why it is that, first, it had to be top secret and, second -- for example, particularly 12 I didn't want the Joint Chiefs of Staff involved in this sort of thing--second, that by keeping it top secret, and my orders were this case is to be out only on a need-to-know basis, and by need-to-know, that could have excluded the Attorney General, it could have excluded Mr. Gray, it could have excluded everybody except those that were conducting the investigation.

That is why, Mr. Martin and Mr. Horowitz, when you asked me the question can you say for sure that Mr. Gray didn't know about the tap, my answer is I am not sure that he did. My guess is he probably didn't because of the high sensitivity involved.

(Continued on page 278)

BY MR. HOROWITZ:

4 5

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 Now, as you have focused it back again, we do want to talk about Mr. Gray's knowledge and the knowledge of Radford was one aspect, but a small aspect, so if I can now, I would like to direct your attention, sir, to the month of February, 1973, when Mr. Gray was nominated by yourself to be Director of the FBI, and our specific reference there is that in the days and immediate week before his hearings

Time magazine published an article alleging that there had been wiretapping by the FBI requested or directed by the White House and that that wiretapping had involved White House staffers and newsmen.

The week end of February 23 and 24, which is immediately before Mr. Gray is going up to his hearings, this story is coming out, the White House is aware of it, and the story hits the news stand, I suppose, that Sunday night.

I want to focus our attention on that week end, if I can, and it was a week end when you were in Camp David and Mr. Gray, preparing and anticipating his confirmation hearings, was down at a place called Marco Beach in Florida, and we have notes of a conversation that you had with Mr. Haldeman that Sunday afternoon, which was February 25, so we will mark that in the same E series, as Exhibit E-7.

(The document referred to

for identification.)

was marked Exhibit No. E-7

1

2

3

BY MR. HOROWITZ:

5

4

I will pass a copy of that over to you.

6

Yes, go ahead. A

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

So you have looked over these notes and if I might, 0 for the record, they read - quote - talked to Ehrlichman re Time's latest thing on FBI story. Had names of Brandon and Safire. Ehrlichman told Ziegler just stonewall it."

The next sentence is, "Everything handled", which is abbreviated, "by Dean, Kliendienst, et cetera. He", I believe referring to Ehrlichman" and "I", referring to Haldeman, "stay out of it."

Do you recall this specific conversation with Haldeman?

A No.

Do you recall discussing with Mr. Haldeman the Time magazine article, their latest thing on the FBI stuff?

I probably discussed it with him. He probably brought it to my attention.

What do you remember about that?

Only what thbse notes may reflect, except these are his notes, and whether his ideas and what are his ideas and what are mine, I can't tell.

2

3

5

.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

0

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 Q Well, for example, sir, do you recall discussing with Mr.Haldeman or perhaps with someone else, like Ehrlichman, that Time had the names of Mr. Brandon and Mr. Safire? Do you recall that aspect of it?

- A I recall it only when I read the notes.
- Q Does that bring it back to you, that you --
- A Like I am saying -- I am telling you the truth -- I said I don't recall the conversation. I just recall it when I read the notes. In other words, you've got it.

What is the next question?

Q You don't recall discussing Safire or Brandon or these names with Mr. Ehrlichman at that time?

A I don't recall discussing names that Time had. I do recall discussing a Time magazine article with Haldeman in which they are supposed to have names of various people that were supposed to have been tapped. As far as what specific names were involved, I don't recall that those specific names were in the discussion. I mean if you want me to lie about it, I will be glad to.

- Q I think I understand, but I was a little confused.
- A Better strike that last.
- Ω In other words, do you recall discussing with Mr. Haldeman that they did have some names, but you don't recall these specific names?
 - A What?
 - Q Is that it, that you recall that they did have

2

4

5

-

10

11

13

14

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 some names, but you don't recall these specific names?

A I don't recall a discussion of specific names, but it could have happened.

I just want to say with regard to my earlier point,
Mr. Horowitz, -- I mean I am trying to be a cooperative
witness, and I believe you should press me, but don't put
words in my mouth and make me lie about something.

Q I am certainly not trying to do that.

A I am not going to lie about something. If I don't remember something, I have to say I don't remember.

Q But I also have to try to understand exactly. I might be a little unclear as to your testimony.

Let me ask you this: Are you distinguishing between Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Ehrlichman, that is, do you recall discussing this matter with Mr. Ehrlichman, as presumably you reported on it to --

A No, I have no recollection of that. I very well might have.

Q As I noted, Mr. Gray was that week end, when this matter was coming to light, and apparently, according to the notes, there was some conversation about it, but Mr. Gray was away in Florida preparing for his confirmation hearings and, therefore, not available up here in Washington to discuss this matter in person. Do you recall calling Mr. Gray or causing Mr. Gray to be called to ask him or talk to him about the

3

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Time article?

A No, I have no recollection of a call by me or one directed by me. One could have been made. I don't recall it at this point.

In an effort to help refresh your recollection, earlier when you had discussed with Gray his impending appointment, which was a meeting you had some week prior to this time, you had emphasized to him, among other things, your concern with leaks and it appeared fairly clear from this Time magazine article that some leaks had taken place to Time magazine.

Now, does that bring back conversations with Ehrlichman or Mr. Haldeman, when it was apparent there was this lead, that they should instruct Gray to do something about it?

A No.

Now, sir, staying with these notes, and again I appreciate you don't remember the specific conversation, but if I can capture the ambiance surrounding the possible conversation, perhaps it will help us. You indicate here or Haldeman indicates that you state, "Everything handled by Dean, Kliendienst, et cetera; "E" and I stay out of it." Do you recall any conversations concerning how the Time magazine article or allegations were to be handled in that respect?

I don't recall it. I can speculate as to what

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

I might have said, if you want me to.

But you don't recall it?

No, I can't recall it, no. I mean I can speculate

Exactly. I felt that Haldeman and Ehrlichman were

why I might have said that, if you want to know what my specu-

lation is, about Haldeman and Ehrlichman staying out of it.

I assume because they had other duties?

spending too much time on some of these things. Incidentally,

they weren't spending enough, it turns out. None of us were.

But my view was I wanted them to stay out and let Dean and

people that were responsible take care of it. That was my

Let me ask you when you refer to having said

"Everything handled by Dean, Kliendiesnt, et cetera; Ehrlichman

and I", - Haldeman - "stay out of it," do you recall what there

was to be handled insofar as this Time magazine story was

I understand that qualification.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.6

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

concerned, other than putting out a press response to it? Do

thing of that nature?

policy, but I don't understand that.

A No, I have no recollection of my talking about briefing Gray on this article or, as I have earlier said, no

you recall discussions as to Gray had to be briefed or any-

recollection of my briefing or having anybody else brief Gray on the Yoeman Radford wiretaps.

Ω On the following day, sir, just after this

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

conversation with Mr. Haldeman, but at this time Time publishes its article and Time does not publish the names of any persons allegedly subject to wiretapping.

Now in view of a lot of the things you have told us

4

They didn't? Okay. A

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

here about the concerns for the wiretapping and that type of thing, I wonder whether you had conversations with others directed toward making entreaties at the time that they not publish specific names or, alternatively, of conversations about why Time hasn't published the names of who had been tapped?

No, I don't recall any such thing. Let me say that my attitude toward the press and their attitude toward me is well known.

Turning to February 27, that was a day when you had a lengthy meeting with Mr. Dean in the afternoon, and the transcript of your conversation which was taped refers to or includes references to various subjects, but of relevance here are the references to the general subject of wiretapping, and so forth. Most directly relevant here is the following dialog:

Let me, sir, hand to you what we have marked as Exhibit E-8, which is a transcript of this particular meeting.

> (The document referred to was marked Exhibit E-8 for identification. X

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

94

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

THE WITNESS: What page would you like me to look

You don't really need to find the page. Just ask the question.

BY MR. HOROWITZ:

This is a directly relevant reference, and if we can locate it for you and direct your attention to it, we will.

It is the bottom of page 11, sir.

It commences with "Dean's last full statement there - quoting - the way it is postured now, uh, we can stonewall it, ah, Gray can go up there in his confirmation hearings and he's not gonna have to bother with it, because they'd accused him in the article of being, sitting on top of the bugs.

"President: Yea

"Dean: . . . it was there once he came in, which is not factual.

"President: Well, there wasn't any.

"Dean: There were none there when he came in.

"President: Well, three years ago that this happened.

"Dean: That's right.

"President: . . . and there hasn't been a God damn thing since.

"Dean: That's right. Correct.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2!

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 "President. Right."

Now, directing your attention to that, sir, Mr.

Dean apparently is telling you that Gray is going to stonewall this article and by that, I take it, you understood
that he was not going to admit the allegations made in Time
magazine?

A Correct.

Q Do you recall discussing either with Mr. Dean or with others at about this time exactly how he was going to stonewall it, how Gray was going to do that, what type of responses he was going to give?

A No, I didn't discuss how he would testify.

Q Did you understand he was going to testify untruthfully?

A You can play that trick all you want, all day.

We can take all day on that. You are not going to put words in my mouth. Ask the question properly.

 Ω Did you understand that he was going to testify untruthfully?

A No, I didn't understand that.

Q How did you understand the stonewalling? What did you understand that to mean, the refereence to stonewalling?

A Look, the Time magazine article contained a number or covered a number of subjects and I didn't feel that Gray should be up there trying to respond to a lot of subjects in

.

which he might not have had any knowledge, but I did not understand that he was going to testify untruthfully, if that is what you meant. I am not telling you today what he knew. All that I have told you today is that when he did testify, contrary to what the assumptions of your earlier questions were, it is very possible, more possible than not, that Gray did not know that there was a wiretap still in existence when he came into office, and there were none in existence when he came in in the first instance.

Q Sir, if I can, just to make my last question clear, when Dean says "we can stonewall it" and then refers to how the article had some inaccuracies on the bottom of page 11 and top of page 12, he is referring, is he not, to these National Security wiretaps? Those are the ones that Time had alleged. They weren't concerned with this Radford matter. They had alleged the wiretaps of the news reporters and the White House staffers and Dean is referring to stonewalling that. Do you recall taat, the stonewalling reference in that respect?

A Well, the stonewall in that respect -- trying to remember what I might have been thinking three years ago, or two years ago -- would be that if Dean is asked a question, as Mr. Hoover was asked for the fifty years he was Director of the Bureau, as to whether or not there had been wiretapping and there were none at that time, that is a stonewall, yes.

Q I am sorry; you mean if Gray were asked that question?

0.0

A That is right, if Gray were asked it. I am sorry.

Mr. Hoover, over a period of fifty years, always stonewalled that question and he was technically truthful.

O Sir, do you recall -- Well, let me strike that.

In the course of this meeting with Mr. Dean, did you have occasion to discuss with Mr. Dean several of the subjects of these wiretaps, and I refer to Mr. Brandon, to whom you referred earlier today, and Mr. Kraft and Mr. Lake and Mr. Halperin. Now, do you recall whether you knew that anyone was sent to or went to discuss with Mr. Gray those subjects of these wiretaps, those particular ones, that is, Brandon, Kraft, Lake and Halperin?

A I don't recall it, no. I have no recollection of it. I don't believe the record shows in any place that I instructed Dean to go over and tell Pat Gray that you had this -- If you have something to the contrary, I would like to see it.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$ No. That is why I am asking. I was just curious if you could help us on that.

A I would tell you if I knew, but I don't recall it.

Q Now the other matter which is discussed at some length during this meeting you had with Dean which is relevant to the Grand Jury investigation is you touch upon who might have leaked this story to Time and you talk about — when I say "you", I am referring to both you and Dean, to a

4 5

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 certain extent, but I am paraphrasing -- you discuss about Mr. Felt and Mr. Sullivan and so forth. Now in that vein, did you at this time direct that any investigation be done by the FBI as to who the leak was on this Time magazine article, again as to the National Security taps; that is, the seventeen?

A No, I don't recall that.

Q Do you recall learning that Gray had himself directed an investigation of who had leaked this information to Time magazine?

A I don't recall that Gray told me. I think I remember that in a conversation that I had with Gray --- that is the only one I had with -- I expressed my general views about the FBI and the relationship of the Director to the President, but I don't recall any reference to conducting an investigation of, a specific investigation, because your question is specific.

Q Right. I think we are merging together two things.

A I did refer to the fact that I thought the morale of the Bureau was low; I thought there were leakers at the Bureau, et cetera.

Q I think we are merging together two things, and maybe this will help focus my question: During your meeting with Mr. Gray, when you told him you were appointing him, you had occasion to raise with him your concern for leaks in Time magazine, particularly. My question is when, roughly

3

1

4

5

7

9

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22 23

24

a week and a half after that, you had a leak to Time magazine from the FBI which went to one of the sensitive National Security projects, in view of having raised that specifically as a concern with Gray, my question is whether you directed there be any investigation or whether you learned that Gray on his own conducted an investigation.

A I don't recall learning that he had conducted one and I don't recall directing him to do so. I think he knew he was going to have a rough confirmation session and I imagine he was spending most of his time on that.

Q Do you recall hearing or discussing with others, sir, in the days after Gray's relevant testimony on this subject, which was March 1, 1973, when he was asked about the wiretaps and in fact did at least parry those questions, or stonewall them, or what you will, do you recall hearing about his testimony, how he had testified on this issue?

A The only recollection I have, and it is probably something that I read that you furnished to me or that we furnished to you and you furnished to me, is that someone told me that Gray had done well on one occasion and somebody told me on another occasion he hadn't done very well, and I didn't know which way he had done or what they were referring to, actually.

Q To make my question a little more specific, and this might or might not be of assistance, Gray's testimony, when

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

2

4

5

7

9

8

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

asked about the subject, was not to pointblank deny that there had or had not been such wiretapping, but, rather, to testify that there were no records at the FBI which was, of course, literally a true statement?

- A That is correct.
- Q Those records are the records to which we earlier referred in Mr. Martin's questioning.
- A The ones Mr. Mardian delivered to Mr. Ehrlichman and who were, therefore, in the White House, and I don't even know that Gray knew where they were.
- Q But Gray's testimony related to the record aspect of it. My question is whether you recall discussing how Gray had, if you will, couched his testimony in that language, rather than speaking to the allegation directly.
 - A That I suggested he testify a certain way?
- Q Whether you knew that he had so testified and discussed it with others?
- A No, not the specifics of his testimony. I would only get a general -- with all of the things that had to come across my desk and people that came through -- I would only get a general appraisal of how the man did.
- Ω Do you recall any general appraisal beyond what you have already told us is what I am asking.
 - A Well, you have the tape of Dean of the 28th.
 - Q Well, that was prior to his testimony on this

subject.

A Oh, it was?

Q Yes.

A Anything with regard to his testimony, I think
I would have, that it was probably at some meeting that we
had, but I don't recall at this time.

You mean as to how he testified?

Q How he testified.

A As to whether he lied or not?

Q As to whether he couched it in a literally truthful fashion?

A Let me say, first, I never directed him, and I don't know anybody else that could have directed him to go in and lie. I certainly didn't, and I have used this term, as you will note, in one of the tapes, want to give the store away as far as turning over raw FBI files to the Congress, and I think all of you gentlemen would agree that is a horrible practice because the raw files that we get — the FBI doesn't necessarily do work in the area — the raw file we get when people apply for jobs contain the most outlandish quotes and most of it is totally irrelevant — what a kid might have done or a man have done when he is five years old.

MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: That is not only outlandish; that is

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

1)

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666 just irrelevant.

MR. HOROWITZ: I think you have answered that, and we will check with the Grand Jurors as to whether there are any questions.

THE WITNESS: Let me say first, and I will put this on the record, I first met him when he was Admiral Radford's top assistant and he attended a National Security Council meeting. This was back in the '50s. I didn't know him well. My relationship with Gray was not personal, as it was with Hoover. He was never my personal guest, for example.

With Mr. Hoover, I would see him quite often, with my wife, the two of us together, and her family, on a personal basis, going back over 25 years, and my relations with Hoover were that close.

With Mr. Gray, while he had always been a supporter, apparently, since the Radford days and had been a good friend, I understood, it was more on an official basis, but not on a personal basis. But I would say this with regard to Gray, and I realize the place for this is not before the Grand Jury, but it bears on it because it would be so easy for me to sit here and try to nail Pat Gray to the mast, and I would if, first, if there were any evidence; second, if there was even a suggestion which I might turn into evidence if I thought he was a basically untruthful,

appointed him, as not being particularly the best qualified man to be head of the Bureau — actually we were looking for a judge or former prosecutor or any number of people, including Judge Byrne, as you may recall, who I shook hands with out here, and incidentally Mr. Kleindiesnt thought so well of Judge Byrne that he was the one that recommended him to be considered as head of the Bureau. I hope some day he does get it, even though it is a little late now. He is too old.

But what I was going to say with regard to Gray,
my answers on Gray and what he may have known and what he has
testified to are, of coursae, colored to a certain extent
by my knowledge of the man. He was a decent man; he was an
upright man; he had a relatively high level of intelligence,
but he was sometimes rather, in my view, too military and straighlaced in his thinking. He didn't have the subtlety that Edgar
Hoover had or that I hoped that Chief Kelly has now. But in
terms of whenever I would hear something about Gray, first I
would never have appointed him if I thought he was basically a
man who was untruthful. I wouldn't have appointed him unless
I thought he was honorable.

I believe that in his life he did the best job he could. I believe it is tragic that at this time of this silly, incredible Watergate break-in, he took the papers from

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

Hunt's safe and burned them, rather than hold them, which led. of course, to his withdrawing his nomination for the FBI, but when you come down to the key point, you see you have asked me. Mr. Horowitz, and very properly -- I wasn't really needling you before, you understand -- it is your job to needle me, but, very properly, when you pressed me on the point, well, do you think that Gray was telling the truth or was he asked to lie or this, that or the other thing, that all of the information I have and with all of the discussion that I have, my answer is I believe Pat Gray is an honorable man. I do not believe he would deliberately lie. I don't not believe that if he was told that national security was involved, if he knew about the Brandon tap and it was so sensitive that he could not reveal it, that he would not reveal it, but I don't think he even knew about that. That is my belief.

MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. We are going to ask the Grand Jurors if they have additional questions, and I think that will take all of 30 seconds, and we will be back.

(Counsel and jurors withdraw from the Conference

(Counsel and jurors withdraw from the Conference Room.)

MR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. No further questions.

(Whereupon, at 12:50 p.m., the taking of the deposition was concluded.)

7 8

9!

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

CERTIFICATE

I, Mary S. Smith, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing pages was first duly sworn by the Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of California, that the testimony given by said witness was taken stenographically by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me, or under my direction, that the transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.

Mary S. Smith, Reporter

Memorandum

TO : Peter Kreindler

DATE: July 10, 1975

FROM : Henry L. Hecht 1)

SUBJECT: Typographical Errors in the Nixon Transcript

In my review of the questioning of Richard Nixon concerning alleged harassment of Larry O'Brien by the IRS, I found the following typographical errors.

- (1) Page 170, line 11, nwxt should read next.
- (2) Page 173, Claud De Sautels should read Claude DeSautels.
 - (3) Page 184, line 3, type should read tip.
 - (4) Page 184, line 8, type should read tip.
 - (5) Page 184, line 25, type should read tip.
- (6) Page 197, <u>Internal Report</u> should read internal report.

Because of the sensitivity of this deposition, I have not made a chron or file copy of this memorandum.