

August 18, 2011

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Esq.
Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation: *In re Applications of AT&T Inc. and Deutsche Telekom AG for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations*, WT Dkt No. 11-65

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On August 17, 2011, William Hogg, William R. Drexel, Joan Marsh, James Meza III, and Mark Austin of AT&T Inc. (“AT&T”); Richard L. Rosen, Maureen R. Jeffreys, Donna Patterson, Michael Bernstein, and Brett Farley of Arnold & Porter LLP; Wm. Randolph Smith of Crowell & Moring LLP; and David Lawson of Sidley Austin LLP, representing AT&T; and R. Michael Senkowski and Thomas Dombrowsky of Wiley Rein LLP; and George Cary and Alex Sistla of Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP, representing Deutsche Telekom AG; and Mark A. Israel of Compass Lexecon, met via teleconference with Renata Hesse, the FCC’s Senior Counsel to the Chairman for Transactions; Jim Bird, Michael Steffen, and Virginia Metallo of the Office of the FCC’s Office of the General Counsel; James Schlichting, Tom Peters, Patrick DeGraba, Paul Murray, Susan Singer, Chris Helzer, Ziad Sleem, Charles Mathias, Thuy Tran, Weiren Wang, and Peter Trachtenberg of the FCC’s Wireless Telecommunications Bureau; Paul De Sa, Jack Erb, and Paul Lafontaine of the FCC’s Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis; Gregory Rosston and Jonathan Baker, the FCC’s Senior Economists for Transactions; Katherine Forrest, Fiona Scott-Morton, Robert Majure, Laury Bobbish, Kenneth Heyer, Lawrence Frankel, Hillary Burchuk, Claude Scott, Robert Lepore, Wayne Dunham, Nathan Miller, and Joseph Matelis of the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division; and Kenneth Dintzer of the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division.

On the call, Mr. Hogg discussed the purpose, logic and underlying assumptions and data inputs used in the Engineering Analysis that has been submitted to the Commission and the Department of Justice, all as set forth in the presentation dated August 3, 2011 and the letters of July 25, 2011 and August 11, 2011. He explained how the assumptions, data inputs and logic are consistent with the ordinary course engineering practices of the company and with internal and third party documents. He also explained that the markets that were modeled in the Engineering Analysis represented a cross-section of markets by size, geography, demographics and network and demand characteristics. In response to questions, he discussed how data inputs, including

Marlene H. Dortch, Esq.
August 18, 2011
Page Two

those regarding spectral efficiency and peak-to-average traffic distributions, were based on actual observations of the performance of the AT&T network.

In accordance with Commission rules, this letter is being filed electronically with your office for inclusion in the public record.

Please contact us regarding any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Richard L. Rosen
Counsel for AT&T Inc.

/s/

Nancy J. Victory
Counsel for Deutsche Telekom AG

cc: Best Copy and Printing, Inc.
Kate Matraves
Kathy Harris
Renata Hesse
Chris Helzer
Tom Peters
Charles Mathias
Ziad Sleem
Greg Rosston
Michael Steffen
Jonathan Baker
Jim Bird
Jim Schlichting
Susan Singer
Paul Murray
Paul Lafontaine
Paul de Sa
Thuy Tran
Peter Trachtenberg
Jack Erb
Patrick DeGraba
Weiren Wang
Virginia Metallo