

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

Letter of Authorization

The Commander, U.S. Pacific Fleet (CPF), 250 Makalapa Drive, Pearl Harbor, HI 96860-7000, and persons operating under his authority (i.e., Navy), are authorized to take marine mammals incidental to Navy exercises conducted in the Hawaii Range Complex (HRC) in accordance with 50 CFR Part 216, Subpart P--Taking Marine Mammals Incidental to U.S. Navy Training in the Hawaii Range Complex (HRC) subject to the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*; MMPA) and the following conditions:

1. This Authorization is valid for the period January 15, 2010, through January 14, 2011.

2. This Authorization is valid only for the unintentional taking of the species of marine mammals and methods of take identified in 50 CFR § 216.172(c) and Condition (5) of this Authorization incidental to the activities specified in 50 CFR § 216.170(c) and Condition (4)(a) of this Authorization and occurring within the Hawaii Operational Area, which extends from 16 to 43° N. lat. and from 150 to 179° degrees W. long.

3. This Authorization is valid only if the Holder of the Authorization or any person(s) operating under his authority implements the mitigation, monitoring, and reporting required pursuant to 50 CFR §§ 216.174 & 216.175 and implements the Terms and Conditions of this Authorization.

4. (a) This Authorization is valid for the activities and designated amounts of use listed below:

(1) The use of the following mid-frequency active sonar (MFAS) and high frequency active sonar (HFAS) sources for U.S. Navy anti-submarine warfare (ASW) training, maintenance, and research, development, testing and evaluation (RDT&E) in the amounts indicated below (+/- 10 percent):

- (i) AN/SQS-53 (hull-mounted sonar) – 1284 hours
- (ii) AN/SQS-56 (hull-mounted sonar) – 383 hours
- (iii) AN/AQS-22 or AN/AQS-13 (helicopter dipping sonar) – 1010 dips
- (iv) SSQ-62 (sonobuoys) – 2423 sonobuoys
- (v) MK-48, MK-46, or MK-54 (torpedoes) – 313 torpedoes
- (vi) AN/BQQ-10 or AN/BQQ-5 (submarine mounted sonar) – 200 hours
- (vii) AN/SSQ-110A (IEER)/SSQ-125 (AEER) – up to four events (960 buoys) per year combined of either AEER or EER/IEER

(2) The detonation of the underwater explosives indicated in (2)(i) conducted as part of the training exercises indicated in (2)(ii):

(i) Underwater Explosives:

- (A) 5" Naval Gunfire (9.5 lbs)
- (B) 76 mm rounds (1.6 lbs)
- (C) Maverick (78.5 lbs)
- (D) Harpoon (448 lbs)
- (E) MK-82 (238 lbs)
- (F) MK-83 (574 lbs)
- (G) MK-84 (945 lbs)
- (H) MK-48 (851 lbs)
- (I) Demolition Charges (20 lbs)
- (J) EER/IEER (5 lbs)

(ii) Training Events:

- (A) Mine Neutralization – 68 exercises
- (B) Air-to-Surface MISSILEX – 50 exercises
- (C) Surface-to-Surface MISSILEX – 12 exercises
- (D) BOMBEX – 38 exercises
- (E) SINKEX – 6 exercises
- (F) Surface-to-Surface GUNEX – 91 exercises
- (G) Naval Surface Fire Support – 28 exercises
- (H) EER/IEER – up to four events (960 buoys) per year combined of either AEER or EER/IEER

(b) If the number of sonar hours, dips, and sonobuoys, and exercises indicated in Condition 4(a)(1) are exceeded by more than 10 percent, subsequent LOAs issued under the HRC final rule will ensure that the total activities over five years do not result in exceeding the amount of authorized marine mammal takes indicated in 50 CFR 216.172(c).

(c) The sonar hours conducted as described in Condition (4)(a)(1) will be seasonally and spatially distributed such that no additional exposures of humpback whales to MFAS/HFAS would occur beyond those used to estimate take in the years with a RIMPAC.

5. This authorization is valid only for the incidental take of the following marine mammal species, and only by the indicated method and amount of take:

(a) Level B Harassment:

(i) Mysticetes:

- (A) Humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) - 1496
- (B) Minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) – 70
- (C) Sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*) – 1

- (D) Fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*) – 22
- (E) Bryde’s whale (*Balaenoptera edeni*) – 670

(ii) Odontocetes:

- (A) Sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) – 800
- (B) Pygmy sperm whales (*Kogia breviceps*) – 952
- (C) Dwarf sperm whale (*Kogia sima*) – 2334
- (D) Cuvier’s beaked whale (*Ziphius cavirostris*) – 1265
- (E) Blainville’s beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) – 393
- (F) Longman’s beaked whale (*Indopacetus pacificus*) – 116
- (G) Rough-toothed dolphin (*Steno bredanensis*) – 1185
- (H) Bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) – 807
- (I) Pan-tropical dolphins (*Stenella attenuata*) – 2419
- (J) Spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*) – 463
- (K) Striped dolphins (*Stenella coeruleoalba*) - 3530
- (L) Risso’s dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) – 547
- (M) Melon-headed whale (*Peponocephala electra*) – 657
- (N) Fraser’s dolphin (*Lagenodelphis hosei*) – 1372
- (O) Pygmy killer whale (*Feresa attenuata*) – 216
- (P) False killer whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*) – 51
- (Q) Killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) – 51
- (R) Short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*) – 1978

(ii) Pinnipeds: Hawaiian monk seal (*Monachus schauinslandi*) – 121

(b) Level A Harassment and/or mortality of 10 individuals of each of the species listed below over the course of the 5-year regulations: Bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*), Pygmy and Dwarf sperm whales (*Kogia breviceps* and *sima*), Melon-headed whale (*Peponocephala electra*), Pantropical spotted dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*), Pygmy killer whale (*Feresa attenuata*), Short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), Striped dolphin (*Stenella coeruleoalba*), Cuvier’s beaked whale (*Ziphius cavirostris*), Blainville’s beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris*), and Longman’s beaked whale (*Indopacetus pacificus*).

(c) If any of the take in Condition (5)(b) occurs, it will be deducted from the take to be authorized in subsequent LOAs under 50 CFR Subpart P so as to ensure that the total taking over 5 years does not exceed the amounts indicated in Condition 5(b) and 50 CFR § 216.172(c).

6. Mitigation - The Holder of this Authorization, and any individuals operating under his authority, must implement the following mitigation measures when conducting activities identified in 50 CFR § 216.170(c) and Condition 4(a) of this Authorization:

(1) Mitigation Measures for ASW training:

(i) All lookouts onboard platforms involved in ASW training events shall review the NMFS-approved Marine Species Awareness Training (MSAT) material prior to use of mid-frequency active sonar.

(ii) All Commanding Officers, Executive Officers, and officers standing watch on the Bridge shall have reviewed the MSAT material prior to a training event employing the use of mid-frequency active sonar.

(iii) Navy lookouts shall undertake extensive training in order to qualify as a watchstander in accordance with the Lookout Training Handbook (NAVEDTRA, 12968-D).

(iv) Lookout training shall include on-the-job instruction under the supervision of a qualified, experienced watchstander. Following successful completion of this supervised training period, Lookouts shall complete the Personal Qualification Standard program, certifying that they have demonstrated the necessary skills (such as detection and reporting of partially submerged objects).

(v) Lookouts shall be trained in the most effective means to ensure quick and effective communication within the command structure in order to facilitate implementation of mitigation measures if marine species are spotted.

(vi) On the bridge of surface ships, there shall be at least three people on watch whose duties include observing the water surface around the vessel.

(vii) All surface ships participating in ASW exercises shall, in addition to the three personnel on watch noted previously, have at all times during the exercise at least two additional personnel on watch as lookouts.

(viii) Personnel on lookout and officers on watch on the bridge shall have at least one set of binoculars available for each person to aid in the detection of marine mammals.

(ix) On surface vessels equipped with mid-frequency active sonar, pedestal mounted "Big Eye" (20x110) binoculars shall be present and in good working order.

(x) Personnel on lookout shall employ visual search procedures employing a scanning methodology in accordance with the Lookout Training Handbook (NAVEDTRA 12968-D).

(xi) After sunset and prior to sunrise, lookouts shall employ Night Lookouts Techniques in accordance with the Lookout Training Handbook.

(xii) Personnel on lookout shall be responsible for reporting all objects or anomalies sighted in the water (regardless of the distance from the vessel) to the Officer of the Deck.

(xiii) CPF shall distribute the final mitigation measures contained in this Authorization and NMFS' Biological Opinion to the Fleet.

(xiv) Commanding Officers shall make use of marine species detection cues and information to limit interaction with marine species to the maximum extent possible consistent with safety of the ship.

(xv) All personnel engaged in passive acoustic sonar operation (including aircraft, surface ships, or submarines) shall monitor for marine mammal vocalizations and report the detection of any marine mammal to the appropriate watch station for dissemination and appropriate action.

(xvi) During mid-frequency active sonar training activities, personnel shall utilize all available sensor and optical systems (such as Night Vision Goggles) to aid in the detection of marine mammals.

(xvii) Navy aircraft participating in exercises at sea shall conduct and maintain, when operationally feasible and safe, surveillance for marine mammals as long as it does not violate safety constraints or interfere with the accomplishment of primary operational duties.

(xviii) Aircraft with deployed sonobuoys shall use only the passive capability of sonobuoys when marine mammals are detected within 200 yards (182 m) of the sonobuoy.

(xix) Marine mammal detections shall be reported immediately to assigned Aircraft Control Unit for further dissemination to ships in the vicinity of the marine species as appropriate where it is reasonable to conclude that the course of the ship will likely result in a closing of the distance to the detected marine mammal.

(xx) Safety Zones - When marine mammals are detected by any means (aircraft, shipboard lookout, or acoustically) the Navy shall ensure that MFAS transmission levels are limited to at least 6 dB below normal operating levels if any detected marine mammals are within 1000 yards (914 m) of the sonar dome (the bow).

(A) Ships and submarines shall continue to limit maximum MFAS transmission levels by this 6-dB factor until the marine mammal has been seen to leave the 1000-yard safety zone, has not been detected for 30 minutes, or the vessel has transited more than 2,000 yards (1828 m) beyond the location of the last detection.

(B) The Navy shall ensure that MFAS transmissions will be limited to at least 10 dB below the equipment's normal operating level if any detected animals are within 500 yards (457 m) of the sonar dome. Ships and submarines shall continue to limit maximum ping levels by this 10-dB factor until the marine mammal has been seen to leave the 500-yard safety zone, has not been detected for 30 minutes, or the vessel has transited more than 2000 yards (1828 m) beyond the location of the last detection.

(C) The Navy shall ensure that MFAS transmissions are ceased if any detected marine mammals are within 200 yards of the sonar dome. MFAS transmissions will not resume until the marine mammal has been seen to leave the 200-yard safety zone, has not been detected

for 30 minutes, or the vessel has transited more than 2,000 yards beyond the location of the last detection.

(D) Special conditions applicable for dolphins and porpoises only: If, after conducting an initial maneuver to avoid close quarters with dolphins or porpoises, the Officer of the Deck concludes that dolphins or porpoises are deliberately closing to ride the vessel's bow wave, no further mitigation actions are necessary while the dolphins or porpoises continue to exhibit bow wave riding behavior.

(E) If the need for power-down should arise as detailed in "Safety Zones" above, Navy shall follow the requirements as though they were operating at 235 dB – the normal operating level (i.e., the first power-down will be to 229 dB, regardless of at what level above 235 dB sonar was being operated).

(xxi) Prior to start up or restart of active sonar, operators shall check that the Safety Zone radius around the sound source is clear of marine mammals.

(xxii) Sonar levels (generally) - Navy shall operate sonar at the lowest practicable level, not to exceed 235 dB, except as required to meet tactical training objectives.

(xxiii) Helicopters shall observe/survey the vicinity of an ASW Exercise for 10 minutes before the first deployment of active (dipping) sonar in the water.

(xxiv) Helicopters shall not dip their sonar within 200 yards (183 m) of a marine mammal and shall cease pinging if a marine mammal closes within 200 yards (183 m) after pinging has begun.

(xxv) Submarine sonar operators shall review detection indicators of close-aboard marine mammals prior to the commencement of ASW training activities involving active mid-frequency sonar.

(xxvi) Night vision goggles shall be available to all ships and air crews, for use as appropriate.

(xxvii) Humpback Whale Cautionary Area – this area is defined as the area extending 5km (2.7 nm) from a line drawn from Kaunakakai on the island of Molokai to Kaena Point on the Island of Lanai; and an area extending 5 km (2.7 nm) from a line drawn from Kaunolu on the Island of Lanai to the most Northeastern point on the Island of Kahoolawe; and within a line drawn from Kanapou Bay on the Island of Kahoolawe to Kanahena Point on the Island of Maui and a line drawn from Cape Halawa on the Island of Molokai to Lipoa Point on the Island of Maui, excluding the existing submarine operating area. Following are the required measures related to this area:

(A) Should national security needs require MFAS training and testing in the cautionary area between 15 December and 15 April, it must be personally authorized by

the CPF based on his determination that training and testing in that specific area is required for national security purposes. This authorization shall be documented by the CPF in advance of transiting and training in the cautionary area, and the determination shall be based on the unique characteristics of the area from a military readiness perspective, taking into account the importance of the area for humpback whales and the need to minimize adverse impacts on humpback whales from MFAS whenever practicable. Further, the CPF will provide specific direction on required mitigation measures prior to operational units transiting to and training in the cautionary area.

(B) The Navy shall provide advance notification to NMFS of any such activities (listed in xxvii(A), above).

(C) The Navy shall include in its periodic reports for compliance with the MMPA whether or not activities occurred in the Humpback Whale Cautionary Area described above and any observed effects on humpback whales due to the conduct of these activities.

(xxviii) The Navy shall abide by the letter of the final “Stranding Response Plan for Major Navy Training Exercises in the HRC” (Attachment A) to include the following measures:

(A) Shutdown Procedures– When an Uncommon Stranding Event (USE – as defined in 50 C.F.R. § 216.171(b) and Attachment A) occurs during a Major Training Exercise (MTE, including RIMPAC, USWEX, or Multi-Strike Group Exercise) in the HRC, the Navy shall implement the procedures described below.

(1) The Navy shall implement a Shutdown (as defined in 50 C.F.R. § 216.171(b) and Attachment A) when advised by a NMFS Office of Protected Resources Headquarters Senior Official designated in the HRC Stranding Communication Protocol that a USE involving live animals has been identified and that at least one live animal is located in the water. NMFS and Navy will maintain a dialogue, as needed, regarding the identification of the USE and the potential need to implement shutdown procedures.

(2) Any shutdown in a given area shall remain in effect in that area until NMFS advises the Navy that the subject(s) of the USE at that area die or are euthanized, or that all live animals involved in the USE at that area have left the area (either of their own volition or herded).

(3) If the Navy finds an injured or dead animal floating at sea during an MTE, the Navy shall notify NMFS immediately or as soon as operational security considerations allow. The Navy shall provide NMFS with species or description of the animal(s), the condition of the animal(s) including carcass condition if the animal(s) is/are dead, location, time of first discovery, observed behavior (if alive), and photo or video (if available). Based on the information provided,

NMFS will determine if, and advise the Navy whether a modified shutdown is appropriate on a case-by-case basis.

(4) In the event, following a USE, that: a) qualified individuals are attempting to herd animals back out to the open ocean and animals are not willing to leave, or b) animals are seen repeatedly heading for the open ocean but turning back to shore, NMFS and the Navy shall coordinate (including an investigation of other potential anthropogenic stressors in the area) to determine if the proximity of MFAS training activities or explosive detonations, though farther than 14 nm from the distressed animal(s), is likely contributing to the animals' refusal to return to the open water. If so, NMFS and the Navy will further coordinate to determine what measures are necessary to improve the probability that the animals will return to open water and implement those measures as appropriate.

(B) Within 72 hours of the notification of the USE the Navy will inform NMFS where and when they were conducting training (within 80 nm and 72 hours of the event) and whether or not they were operating sonar or detonating explosives. Within 7 days of the completion of any exercises that were being conducted within 80 nm or 72 hours prior to the event, the Navy will further provide information to NMFS (per the HRC Stranding Communication Protocol), as available, regarding the number and types of acoustic/explosive sources, direction and speed of units using MFAS, and marine mammal sightings information associated with those training activities. Information not initially available regarding the 80 nm, 72 hours, period prior to the event will be provided as soon as it becomes available. The Navy will provide NMFS investigative teams with additional relevant unclassified information as requested (or classified information to qualified NMFS staff), if available.

(xxix) While in transit, Naval vessels shall be alert at all times, use extreme caution, and proceed at a "safe speed" so that the vessel can take proper and effective action to avoid a collision with any marine animal and can be stopped within a distance appropriate to the prevailing circumstances and conditions.

(xxx) When marine mammals have been sighted in the area, Navy vessels shall increase vigilance and take reasonable and practicable actions to avoid collisions and activities that might result in close interaction of naval assets and marine mammals. Actions may include changing speed and/or direction and are dictated by environmental and other conditions (e.g., safety, weather).

(2) Mitigation for IEER and AEER

(i) Crews shall conduct aerial visual reconnaissance of the drop area prior to laying their intended sonobuoy pattern. This search should be conducted below 500 yards (457 m) at a slow speed, if operationally feasible and weather conditions permit. In dual aircraft training activities, crews are allowed to conduct coordinated area clearances.

(ii) Crews shall conduct a minimum of 30 minutes of visual and acoustic monitoring of the search area prior to commanding the first post detonation. This 30-minute observation period may include pattern deployment time.

(iii) For any part of the intended sonobuoy pattern where a post (source/receiver sonobuoy pair) will be deployed within 1,000 yards (914 m) of observed marine mammal activity, the Navy shall deploy the receiver ONLY (i.e., not the source) and monitor while conducting a visual search. When marine mammals are no longer detected within 1,000 yards (914 m) of the intended post position, the source sonobuoy (AN/SSQ-110A/SSQ-125) will be co-located with the receiver.

(iv) When able, crews will conduct continuous visual and aural monitoring of marine mammal activity. This shall include monitoring of aircraft sensors from the time of the first sensor placement until the aircraft have left the area and are out of RF range of these sensors.

(v) Aural Detection: If the presence of marine mammals is detected aurally, the aircrew will increase the diligence of their visual surveillance. Subsequently, if no marine mammals are visually detected, then the crew may continue multi-static active search.

(vi) Visual Detection:

(A) If marine mammals are visually detected within 1,000 yards (914 m) of the source sonobuoy (AN/SSQ-110A/SSQ-125) intended for use, then that payload shall not be detonated. Aircrews may utilize this post once the marine mammals have not been re-sighted for 30 minutes, or are observed to have moved outside the 1,000 yards (914 m) safety buffer.

(B) Aircrews may shift their multi-static active search to another post, where marine mammals are outside the 1,000 yards (914 m) safety buffer.

(vii) Aircrews shall make every attempt to manually detonate the unexploded charges at each post in the pattern prior to departing the operations area by using the "Payload 1 Release" command followed by the "Payload 2 Release" command. Aircrews shall refrain from using the "Scuttle" command when two payloads remain at a given post. Aircrews will ensure that a 1,000 yard (914 m) safety buffer, visually clear of marine mammals, is maintained around each post as is done during active search operations.

(viii) Aircrews shall only leave posts with unexploded charges in the event of a sonobuoy malfunction, an aircraft system malfunction, or when an aircraft must immediately depart the area due to issues such as fuel constraints, inclement weather, and in-flight emergencies. In these cases, the sonobuoy will self-scuttle using the secondary or tertiary method.

(ix) The Navy shall ensure all payloads are accounted for. Explosive source sonobuoys (AN/SSQ-110A) that cannot be scuttled shall be reported as unexploded ordnance via voice communications while airborne, then upon landing via naval message.

(x) Marine mammal monitoring shall continue until out of own-aircraft sensor range.

(3) Mitigation for Demolitions (DEMOs) and Mine Countermeasure (MCM) Training (Up to 20 lb).

(i) Exclusion Zones – Explosive charges shall not be detonated if a marine mammal is detected within 700 yards (640 m) of the detonation site.

(ii) Pre-Exercise Surveys - For MCM training activities, the Navy shall conduct a pre-exercise survey within 30 minutes prior to the commencement of the scheduled explosive event. The survey may be conducted from the surface, by divers, and/or from the air. If a marine mammal is detected within the survey area, the exercise shall be suspended until the animal voluntarily leaves the area.

(iii) Post-Exercise Surveys - Surveys within the same radius shall also be conducted within 30 minutes after the completion of the explosive event.

(iv) Reporting - Any evidence of a marine mammal that may have been injured or killed by the action shall be reported immediately to NMFS.

(v) Mine Laying Training – Though mine laying training operations involve aerial drops of inert training shapes on floating targets, measures 1, 2, and 3 for Demolitions and Mine countermeasures (above) will apply to mine laying training. To the maximum extent feasible, the Navy shall retrieve inert mine shapes dropped during Mine Laying Training.

(4) Mitigation for SINKEX, GUNEX, MISSILEX, and BOMBEX.

(i) All weapons firing shall be conducted during the period 1 hour after official sunrise to 30 minutes before official sunset.

(ii) Extensive range clearance operations shall be conducted in the hours prior to commencement of the exercise.

(iii) An exclusion zone with a radius of 1.0 nm (1.85 km) shall be established around each target. An additional buffer of 0.5 nm (0.93 km) shall be added to account for errors, target drift, and animal movements. Additionally, a safety zone, which extends out an additional 0.5 nm (0.93 km), shall be surveyed. Together, the zones extend out 2 nm (3.7 km) from the target.

(iv) A series of surveillance over-flights shall be conducted within the exclusion and the safety zones, prior to and during the exercise, when feasible. Survey protocol would be as follows:

(A) Overflights within the exclusion zone shall be conducted in a manner that optimizes the surface area of the water observed. This may be accomplished through the use of the Navy's Search and Rescue (SAR) Tactical Aid (TACAID).

(B) All visual surveillance activities shall be conducted by Navy personnel trained in visual surveillance. At least one member of the mitigation team shall have completed the Navy's marine mammal training program for lookouts.

(C) In addition to the overflights, the exclusion zone shall be monitored by passive acoustic means, when assets are available. This passive acoustic monitoring shall be maintained throughout the exercise. Potential assets include sonobuoys, which can be utilized to detect any vocalizing marine mammals in the vicinity of the exercise. The sonobuoys shall be re-seeded as necessary throughout the exercise. Additionally, passive sonar onboard submarines may be utilized to detect any vocalizing marine mammals in the area. The Officer Conducting the Exercise (OCE) shall be informed of any aural detection of marine mammals and would include this information in the determination of when it is safe to commence the exercise.

(D) On each day of the exercise, aerial surveillance of the exclusion and safety zones shall commence two hours prior to the first firing.

(E) The results of all visual, aerial, and acoustic searches shall be reported immediately to the OCE. No weapons launches or firing would commence until the OCE declares the safety and exclusion zones free of marine mammals.

(F) If a marine mammal observed within the exclusion zone is diving, firing shall be delayed until the animal is re-sighted outside the exclusion zone, or 30 minutes has elapsed.

(G) During breaks in the exercise of 30 minutes or more, the exclusion zone shall again be surveyed for any marine mammals. If marine mammals are sighted within the exclusion zone, the OCE would be notified, and the procedure described above would be followed.

(H) Upon sinking of the vessel, a final surveillance of the exclusion zone shall be monitored for two hours, or until sunset, to verify that no marine mammals were harmed.

(v) Aerial surveillance would be conducted using helicopters or other aircraft based on necessity and availability. These aircraft shall be capable of (and shall, to the extent practicable) flying at the slow safe speeds necessary to enable viewing of marine mammals with unobstructed, or minimally obstructed, downward and outward visibility. The Navy may cancel the exclusion and safety zone surveys in the event that a mechanical problem, emergency search and rescue, or other similar and unexpected event preempts the use of one of the aircraft onsite for the exercise.

(vi) Where practicable, the Navy shall conduct the exercise in sea states that are ideal for marine mammal sighting, i.e., Beaufort Sea State 3 or less. In the event of a Beaufort Sea State

of 4 or above, the Navy shall utilize additional aircraft (conducting tight search patterns), if available, to increase survey efforts within the zones.

(vii) The exercise shall not be conducted unless the exclusion zone can be adequately monitored visually.

(viii) In the unlikely event that any marine mammals are harmed during the exercise, a detailed description of the animal shall be documented, the location noted, and if possible, photos taken. This information shall be provided to NMFS as soon as practicable.

7. Monitoring and Reporting – When conducting operations identified in 50 CFR § 216.170(c) and Condition 4(a), the Holder of the Authorization and any person(s) operating under his authority must implement the following monitoring and reporting measures. All reports should be submitted to the Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring MD 20910 and a copy provided to the Assistant Regional Administrator for Protected Resources, Pacific Islands Regional Office, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1601 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 1110, Honolulu, HI 96814.

(a) The Navy must notify NMFS immediately (or as soon as clearance procedures allow) if the specified activity identified in Condition (4)(a) is thought to have resulted in the mortality or injury of any marine mammals, or in any take of marine mammals not identified in 50 C.F.R. § 216.172(c) and Condition 5.

(b) The Navy shall implement the 2010 Update to the HRC Monitoring Plan (Attachment B).

(c) The Navy shall comply with the 2009 Integrated Comprehensive Monitoring Program Plan and continue to improve the program, as appropriate, in consultation with NMFS. Any changes and improvements to the program made during 2010 will be described in an updated 2010 ICMP and shall be submitted to NMFS by October 31, 2010 for review. The Navy shall submit a final 2010 ICMP to NMFS by December 31, 2010.

(d) General Notification of Injured or Dead Marine Mammals - Navy personnel shall ensure that NMFS (regional stranding coordinator) is notified immediately (or as soon as clearance procedures allow) if an injured or dead marine mammal is found during or shortly after, and in the vicinity of, any Navy training exercise utilizing MFAS, HFAS, or underwater explosive detonations. The Navy shall provide NMFS with species or description of the animal(s), the condition of the animal(s) (including carcass condition if the animal is dead), location, time of first discovery, observed behaviors (if alive), and photo or video (if available). The Navy shall consult the final HRC Stranding Response Plan (attachment A) to obtain more specific reporting requirements for specific circumstances.

(e) Annual HRC Monitoring Plan Report - The Navy shall submit a report on October 1, 2010 describing the implementation and results (through August 1, 2010) of the HRC Monitoring Plan, described above. The report will also include any analysis conducted or

conclusions reached based on the previous years data that were not completed in time for the previous years monitoring report. Data collection methods will be standardized across range complexes to allow for comparison in different geographic locations. Although additional information will be gathered, the marine mammal observers (MMOs) collecting marine mammal data pursuant to the HRC Monitoring Plan shall, at a minimum, provide the same marine mammal observation data required in condition (7)(F). The HRC Monitoring Plan Report may be provided to NMFS within a larger report that includes the required Monitoring Plan Reports from multiple Range Complexes.

(f) Annual HRC Exercise Report - The Navy shall submit an Annual HRC Exercise Report on October 1, 2010 (covering data gathered through August 1, 2010)). This report shall contain the information identified below.

(1) MFAS/HFAS Major Training Exercises - This section shall contain the following information for Major Training Exercises (MTEs, which include RIMPAC, USWEX, and Multi Strike Group) conducted in the HRC:

(i) Exercise Information (for each MTE):

- (A) Exercise designator
- (B) Date that exercise began and ended
- (C) Location
- (D) Number and types of active sources used in the exercise
- (E) Number and types of passive acoustic sources used in exercise
- (F) Number and types of vessels, aircraft, etc., participating in exercise
- (G) Total hours of observation by watchstanders
- (H) Total hours of all active sonar source operation
- (I) Total hours of each active sonar source (along with explanation of how hours are calculated for sources typically quantified in alternate way (buoys, torpedoes, etc.)).
- (J) Wave height (high, low, and average during exercise)

(ii) Individual marine mammal sighting info (for each sighting in each MTE):

- (A) Location of sighting
- (B) Species (if not possible – indication of whale/dolphin/pinniped)
- (C) Number of individuals
- (D) Calves observed (y/n)
- (E) Initial Detection Sensor
- (F) Indication of specific type of platform observation made from (including, for example, what type of surface vessel, i.e., FFG, DDG, or CG)
- (G) Length of time observers maintained visual contact with marine mammal
- (H) Wave height (in feet)
- (I) Visibility
- (J) Sonar source in use (y/n).

(K) Indication of whether animal is <200yd, 200-500yd, 500-1000yd, 1000-2000yd, or >2000yd from sonar source in (J) above.

(L) Mitigation Implementation – Whether operation of sonar sensor was delayed, or sonar was powered or shut down, and how long the delay was.

(M) If source in use (J) is hullmounted, true bearing of animal from ship, true direction of ship's travel, and estimation of animal's motion relative to ship (opening, closing, parallel).

(N) Observed behavior – Watchstanders shall report, in plain language and without trying to categorize in any way, the observed behavior of the animals (such as animal closing to bow ride, paralleling course/speed, floating on surface and not swimming, etc.).

(iii) An evaluation (based on data gathered during all of the MTEs) of the effectiveness of mitigation measures. This evaluation shall identify the specific observations that support any conclusions the Navy reaches about the effectiveness of the mitigation.

(2) ASW Summary - This section shall include the following information as summarized from both MTEs and non-major training exercises (i.e., unit-level exercises, such as TRACKEXs):

(i) Total annual hours of each type of sonar source (along with explanation of how hours are calculated for sources typically quantified in alternate way (buoys, torpedoes, etc.)).

(ii) Total hours (from December 15, 2009 through April 15, 2010) of hull-mounted active sonar operation occurring in the dense humpback areas generally shown on the Mobley map (73 FR 35510, 35520) plus a 5-km buffer, but not including the Pacific Missile Range Facility. The Navy shall work with NMFS to develop the exact boundaries of this area.

(iii) Total estimated annual hours of hull-mounted active sonar operation conducted in Humpback Whale Cautionary area between December 15, 2009 and April 15, 2010.

(iv) Cumulative Impact Report - To the extent practicable, the Navy, in coordination with NMFS, shall develop and implement a method of annually reporting non-major (i.e., other than RIMPAC, USWEX, or Multi-Strike Group Exercises) training exercises utilizing hull-mounted sonar. The report shall present an annual (and seasonal, where practicable) depiction of non-major training exercises geographically across the HRC. The Navy shall either include (in the HRC annual report) the Cumulative Impact Report, as described above, or provide a brief annual progress update on the status of development of the Cumulative Report.

(3) SINKEXs - This section shall include the following information for each SINKEX completed that year:

(i) Exercise information (gathered for each SINKEX):

- (A) Location
- (B) Date and time exercise began and ended
- (C) Total hours of observation by watchstanders before, during, and after exercise
- (D) Total number and types of rounds expended / explosives detonated
- (E) Number and types of passive acoustic sources used in exercise
- (F) Total hours of passive acoustic search time
- (G) Number and types of vessels, aircraft, etc., participating in exercise
- (H) Wave height in feet (high, low and average during exercise)
- (I) Narrative description of sensors and platforms utilized for marine mammal detection and timeline illustrating how marine mammal detection was conducted

(ii) Individual marine mammal observation (by Navy lookouts) information (gathered for each marine mammal sighting)

- (A) Location of sighting
- (B) Species (if not possible, indicate whale, dolphin or pinniped)
- (C) Number of individuals
- (D) Whether calves were observed
- (E) Initial detection sensor
- (F) Length of time observers maintained visual contact with marine mammal
- (G) Wave height
- (H) Visibility
- (I) Whether sighting was before, during, or after detonations/exercise, and how many minutes before or after
- (J) Distance of marine mammal from actual detonations (or target spot if not yet detonated) – use four categories to define distance: 1) the modeled injury threshold radius for the largest explosive used in that exercise type in that OPAREA (91 m for SINKEX in HRC); 2) the required exclusion zone (1 nm for SINKEX in HRC); (3) the required observation distance (if different than the exclusion zone (2 nm for SINKEX in HRC); and, (4) greater than the required observed distance. For example, in this case, the observer would indicate if < 91 m, from 91 m – 1 nm, from 1 nm – 2 nm, and > 2 nm.
- (K) Observed behavior – Watchstanders will report, in plain language and without trying to categorize in any way, the observed behavior of the animal(s) (such as animal closing to bow ride, paralleling course/speed, floating on surface and not swimming etc.), including speed and direction.
- (L) Resulting mitigation implementation – Indicate whether explosive detonations were delayed, ceased, modified, or not modified due to marine mammal presence and for how long.
- (M) If observation occurs while explosives are detonating in the water, indicate munition type in use at time of marine mammal detection.

(4) IEER/AEER Summary - This section shall include an annual summary of the following IEER information:

- (i) Total number of IEER/AEER events conducted in the HRC
- (ii) Total expended/detonated rounds (buoys)
- (iii) Total number of self-scuttled IEER rounds

(5) Explosives Summary - To the extent practicable, the Navy will provide the information described below for all of their explosive exercises. Until the Navy is able to report in full the information below, they will provide an annual update on the Navy's explosive tracking methods, including improvements from the previous year.

- (i) Total annual number of each type of explosive exercises identified in 50 C.F.R. § 216.170 and in Condition 4(a)(2) that are conducted in the HRC
- (ii) Total annual expended/detonated rounds (missiles, bombs, etc.) for each explosive type

(g) Sonar Exercise Notification - The Navy shall submit to the NMFS Office of Protected Resources (list of email addresses and phone numbers attached) either an electronic (preferably) or verbal report within fifteen calendar days after the completion of any major exercise (RIMPAC, USWEX, or Multi Strike Group) indicating:

- (1) Location of the exercise
- (2) Beginning and end dates of the exercise
- (3) Type of exercise (e.g., RIMPAC, USWEX, or Multi Strike Group)

(h) HRC 5-yr Comprehensive Report - The Navy shall submit to NMFS a draft report that analyzes and summarizes all of the multi-year marine mammal information gathered during ASW and explosive exercises for which annual reports are required (Annual HRC Exercise Reports and HRC Monitoring Plan Reports). This report will be submitted at the end of the fourth year of the rule (November 2012), covering activities that have occurred through June 1, 2012.

(i) Comprehensive National ASW Report - By June 2014, the Navy shall submit a draft Comprehensive National Report that analyzes, compares, and summarizes the active sonar data gathered (through January 1, 2014) from the watchstanders in accordance with the Monitoring Plans for the HRC, the Atlantic Fleet Active Sonar Training, the Southern California (SOCAL) Range Complex, the Mariana Islands Range Complex, the Northwest Training Range, the Gulf of Alaska, and the East Coast Undersea Warfare Training Range.

(j) The Navy shall respond to NMFS comments and requests for additional information or clarification on the HRC Comprehensive Report, the draft National ASW report, the Annual HRC Exercise Report, or the Annual HRC Monitoring Plan Report (or the multi-Range Complex Annual Monitoring Plan Report, if that is how the Navy chooses to submit the information) if


submitted within 3 months of receipt. These reports will be considered final after the Navy has addressed NMFS' comments or provided the requested information, or three months after the submittal of the draft if NMFS does not comment by then.

(k) In 2011, the Navy shall convene a Monitoring Workshop in which the Monitoring Workshop participants will be asked to review the Navy's Monitoring Plans and monitoring results and make individual recommendations (to the Navy and NMFS) of ways of improving the Monitoring Plans. The recommendations shall be reviewed by the Navy, in consultation with NMFS, and modifications to the Monitoring Plan shall be made, as appropriate.

8. This Authorization may be modified, suspended or withdrawn (pursuant to 50 CFR § 216.106(e)(1 or 2) if the Holder or any person operating under his authority fails to abide by the conditions prescribed herein or if the authorized taking is having more than a negligible impact on the species or stock of affected marine mammals.

9. A copy of this Authorization (including Attachment A) and the attached Subpart P of the regulations, or a document containing the equivalent requirements specified in this Authorization and 50 CFR Subpart P, must be in the possession of the on-site Commanding Officer in order to take marine mammals under the authority of this Letter of Authorization while conducting the specified activity(ies).

10. The Holder of this Authorization and any person operating under his authority is required to comply with the Terms and Conditions of the Incidental Take Statement corresponding to NMFS' Biological Opinion as they pertain to listed marine mammals.



James H. Lecky, Director
Office of Protected Resources
National Marine Fisheries Service

JAN 14 2010

Date

Stranding Response Plan for Major Navy Training Exercises in the Hawaii Range Complex **January 2009**

Strandings

Strandings, as defined by the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), have occurred throughout recorded history, although U.S. stranding programs have only been keeping consistent records in some cases as long as the last three decades but more commonly the last decade. Strandings may result from many different causes, including, for example, infectious agents, biotoxins, starvation, fishery interaction, ship strike, unusual oceanographic or weather events, sound exposure, or combinations of these stressors sustained concurrently or in series. In many cases, a cause of stranding or death cannot be unequivocally determined for a number of reasons. Several marine mammal strandings have been associated with mid-frequency active sonar (MFAS), however, scientific uncertainty remains regarding the exact combination of behavioral and physiological responses that link MFAS exposure to strandings (though several mechanisms have been theorized). Available evidence suggests that in some cases it may be the presence of additional specific environmental or physical conditions working in confluence with the exposure of marine mammals to MFAS that can potentially result in a stranding. The National Marine Mammal Stranding Network (created under the Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Program Act (MMHSRPA)) consists of over 100 organizations partnered with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to investigate marine mammal strandings in U.S. waters. NMFS is currently developing (with help anticipated from the Navy, the petroleum industry, and other agencies and entities) a series of studies to correlate long-term stranding patterns and pathologies with all known anthropogenic stressors, such as sound and including seismic surveys and active military sonar. Among other things, the plan discussed below is intended to contribute to the better understanding of why strandings occur.

Introduction to the Stranding Plan

Pursuant to 50 CFR Section 216.105, the plan outlined below will be included by reference and summarized in the regulations and included fully as part of (attached to) the Navy's MMPA Letter of Authorization (LOA), which indicates the conditions under which the Navy is authorized to take marine mammals pursuant to training activities involving MFAS or explosives in the Hawaii Range Complex (HRC). This Stranding Response plan is specifically intended to outline the applicable requirements the authorization is conditioned upon in the event that a marine mammal stranding is reported in the Hawaii Range Complex (HRC) during a *major training exercise* (MTE) (see glossary below). As mentioned above, NMFS considers all plausible causes within the course of a stranding investigation and this plan in no way presumes that any strandings in the HRC are related to, or caused by, Navy training activities, absent a determination made in a Phase 2 Investigation as outlined in Paragraph 7 of this plan, indicating that MFAS or explosive detonation in the HRC were a cause and/or contributed to the stranding. This plan is designed to address the following three issues:

- **Mitigation** – When marine mammals are in a situation that can be defined as a *stranding* (see glossary below), they are experiencing physiological stress. When animals are

stranded, and alive, NMFS believes that exposing these compromised animals to additional known stressors would likely exacerbate the animal's distress and could potentially cause its death. Regardless of the factor(s) that may have initially contributed to the stranding, it is NMFS' goal to avoid exposing these animals to further stressors. Therefore, when live stranded cetaceans are in the water and engaged in what is classified as an *Uncommon Stranding Event* (USE) (see glossary below), the shutdown component of this plan is intended to minimize the exposure of those animals to mid-frequency active sonar (MFAS) and explosive detonations, regardless of whether or not these activities may have initially played a role in the event.

- **Monitoring** – This plan will enhance the understanding of how MFAS or explosive detonations (as well as other environmental conditions) may, or may not, be associated with marine mammal injury or strandings. Additionally, information gained from the investigations associated with this plan may be used in the adaptive management of mitigation or monitoring measures in subsequent LOAs, if appropriate.
- **Compliance** – The information gathered pursuant to this protocol will inform NMFS' decisions regarding compliance with Sections 101(a) (5) (B and C) of the MMPA.

In addition to outlining the necessary procedural steps for the Navy to undertake in the event of a USE during an MTE (as required by the LOA), this document describes NMFS' planned participation in stranding responses in the HRC, as NMFS' response relates specifically to the Navy requirements described here. The NMFS MMHSRP and the participating Pacific Island regional Stranding Networks have specific responsibilities regarding unusual marine mammal mortality events (UMEs) pursuant to Title IV of the MMPA. This document does not serve to replace or preclude any of the procedures currently in place for NMFS' response to UMEs. NMFS will pursue any activities to fulfill obligations relative to UMEs any time that a trigger is reached as determined by the Working Group on Marine Mammal Unusual Mortality Events. This document highlights (or adds to) applicable existing (and developing) protocols and procedures to be used with the specific circumstances and specific subset of strandings addressed here, namely a USE within the HRC during the MTE. This document has been reviewed and approved by the NMFS staff responsible for conducting and overseeing the referenced activities in Hawaii and this plan will be implemented by NMFS to the degree that resources are available and logistics are feasible.

General Notification Provision

If, at any time or place within the HRC, Navy personnel find a *stranded* marine mammal (see glossary below) either on the shore, near shore, or floating at sea, NMFS requests the Navy contact NMFS immediately (or as soon as clearance procedures allow) as described in the HRC Stranding Communication Protocol (currently under development, but subject to incorporation into this plan upon mutual agency approval). NMFS requests the Navy provide NMFS with species or description of animal (s), the condition of the animal (including carcass condition if the animal is dead), location, time of first discovery, observed behaviors (if alive), and photo or video (if available).

Operational Response Plan

This section describes the specific actions the Navy must take in order to comply with the LOA if a USE is reported to the Navy in the HRC coincident to, or within 72 hours of, an MTE. This Stranding Response Plan will include an associated HRC Stranding Communication Protocol (currently under development, but subject to incorporation into this plan upon mutual agency approval), which will indicate, among other things, the specific individuals (NMFS Office of Protected Resources - HQ senior administrators) authorized to advise the Navy that certain actions are prescribed by the Stranding Response Plan. A glossary is included at the end of this document. Words included in the glossary are italicized in this section the first time they are used.

1. Initial Stranding Response - The NMFS regional stranding network will respond to all reports of stranded marine mammals, when feasible. All marine mammals will receive examination appropriate to the condition code of the animal and the feasibility of the logistics. If a *qualified* individual determines that the stranding is a *USE*, NMFS staff (or qualified individual) will initiate a *Phase 1 Investigation*. NMFS will contact appropriate NMFS and Navy personnel (pursuant to the HRC Stranding Communication Protocol). NMFS and Navy will maintain a dialogue, as needed, regarding the identification of the USE and the potential need to implement shutdown procedures.

2. Shutdown Procedures – Shutdown procedures are not related to the investigation of the cause of the stranding and their implementation is in no way intended to imply that MFAS is the cause of the stranding. Rather, as noted above, shutdown procedures are intended to protect cetaceans *exhibiting indicators of distress* and involved in a USE (see glossary) by minimizing their exposure to possible stressors (MFAS or explosive detonations), regardless of the factors that initially contributed to the USE. Only individuals specifically identified in the HRC Stranding Communication Protocol (NMFS Protected Resources – HQ senior administrators) will be authorized to advise the Navy of the need to implement shutdown procedures (pursuant to the Stranding Response Plan/LOA).

a) If no live or freshly dead cetaceans are involved in the USE, NMFS will advise the Navy that shutdown procedures need not be implemented. Aerial surveys will be conducted if feasible (see second bullet under b, below).

b) If live or freshly dead cetaceans are involved in the USE, the Navy will implement the following procedures:

- If live cetaceans involved in the USE are in the water (i.e., could be exposed to sonar), NMFS will advise the Navy of the need to implement shutdown procedures defined in the glossary (pursuant to the Stranding Response Plan/LOA).
- NMFS will coordinate internally, with the Navy, and with other agencies and entities with the intent of obtaining aerial survey arrangements. If an aircraft is

available, a survey will be conducted within 14 nm (on the shore and in the water) to look for additional animals that meet the USE criteria. NMFS will request that the Navy assist with aerial surveys, as resources are available.

- If no additional animals that meet the USE criteria are found (including if no aircraft were available to conduct a survey), and the originally detected animals are not in the water, and will not be put back in the water for rehabilitation or release purposes, or are dead, NMFS will advise the Navy that shutdown procedures need not be implemented at any additional locations.
- If additional cetacean(s) meeting the USE criteria are detected by surveys, the shutdown procedures will be followed for the newly detected animal(s) beginning at 2(a) above.
- If a qualified individual determines that it is appropriate to put live animals that were initially on the beach back in the water for rehabilitation or release purposes, NMFS will advise the Navy of the need to implement shutdown procedures pursuant to the Stranding Response Plan/LOA.

c) If the Navy finds an injured or dead animal floating at sea during an MTE, the Navy shall notify NMFS (pursuant to HRC Stranding Communication Protocol) immediately or as soon as operational security considerations allow. The Navy should provide NMFS with the information outlined in the general notification provision above, as available. Based on the information provided, NMFS will determine if a modified shutdown is appropriate on a case-by-case basis.

d) In the event, following a USE, that: a) qualified individuals are attempting to herd animals back out to the open ocean and animals are not willing to leave, or b) animals are seen repeatedly heading for the open ocean but turning back to shore, NMFS and the Navy will coordinate (including an investigation of other potential anthropogenic stressors in the area) to determine if the proximity of MFAS operations or explosive detonations, though farther than 14 nm from the distressed animal(s), is likely decreasing the likelihood that the animals return to the open water. If so, NMFS and the Navy will further coordinate to determine what measures are necessary to further minimize that likelihood and implement those measures as appropriate. Navy and NMFS will maintain a dialogue regarding the plan to return the animal(s) to the water.

3. Restart Procedures

- If at any time, the subject(s) of the USE at one location die or are euthanized, NMFS will immediately advise the Navy that the shutdown around that location is no longer needed,
- Shutdown procedures will remain in effect until NMFS determines that, and advises the Navy that, all live animals involved in the USE have left the area (either of their own

volition or herded). Leading up to restart, NMFS will coordinate internally, with the Navy, and with other federal and state agencies with the intent of securing arrangements to track the movement of the animals following the dispersal of the USE (aircraft, vessel, or tags). If the Navy has restarted operations in the vicinity of the animals, NMFS and the Navy will further coordinate to determine (based on location and behavior of tracked animals and location/nature of Navy activities) if the proximity of MFAS operations or explosive detonations is likely increasing the likelihood that the animals re-strand. If so, NMFS and the Navy will further coordinate to determine what measures are necessary to minimize that likelihood and implement those measures as appropriate.

4. Information - Within 72 hours of the notification of the USE the Navy will inform NMFS where and when they were operating MFAS or conducting explosive detonations (within 80 nm and 72 hours of the event). Within 7 days of the completion of any exercises that were being conducted within 80 nm or 72 hours prior to the event, the Navy will further provide information to NMFS (per the HRC Stranding Communication Protocol), *as available*, regarding the number and types of acoustic/explosive sources, direction and speed of units using MFAS, and marine mammal sightings information associated with those training activities. Information not initially available regarding the 80 nm, 72 hours, period prior to the event will be provided as soon as it becomes available. The Navy will provide NMFS investigative teams with additional relevant unclassified information as requested (or classified information to qualified NMFS staff), if available.

5. Phase 1 Investigation – Within 4 weeks of a USE (when feasible), NMFS will conduct and complete the Phase 1 Investigation (list of procedures typically included in Phase 1 investigation are included in the Glossary of this document, description of actual procedures are contained in the Biomonitoring Protocols) for all USEs that occur in the HRC coincident with MTEs. Results from the Phase 1 Investigation will be categorized in one of the two ways discussed below and trigger the indicated action:

- If the results of the Phase 1 Investigation indicate that the USE was likely caused by something (such as entanglement or ship strike) other than MFAS or explosive detonations authorized by the Navy's LOA, the USE investigation will be considered complete as related to the MMPA authorization.
- If NMFS cannot conclude that the stranding was likely caused by something other than MFAS or explosive detonations authorized by the Navy LOA, rather, the results of the Phase 1 Investigation range from completely inconclusive to including potential early indicators that acoustic exposure could have played a role, a Phase 2 Investigation will be conducted by qualified individuals, under the direction of NMFS staff, and an individual case report will be prepared for each animal (list of procedures typically included in Phase 2 investigation are included in the Glossary of this document, description of actual procedures are contained in the Biomonitoring Protocols).

6. Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) - The Navy and NMFS will develop an MOA, or other mechanism consistent with federal fiscal law requirements (and all other applicable laws), that

allows the Navy to assist NMFS with the Phase 1 and 2 Investigations of USEs through the provision of in-kind services, such as (but not limited to) the use of plane/boat/truck for transport of stranding responders or animals, use of Navy property for necropsies or burial, or assistance with aerial surveys to discern the extent of a USE. The Navy may assist NMFS with the Investigations by providing one or more of the in-kind services outlined in the MOA, when available and logistically feasible and which do not negatively affect Fleet operational commitments.

7. Phase 2 Investigation - Results from the Phase 2 Investigation (procedures outlined in the Biomonitoring Protocols) will be categorized in one of the three ways discussed below and trigger the indicated action:

- If the results indicate that the USE was likely caused by something (such as entanglement or blunt force trauma) other than MFAS or explosive detonations authorized by the Navy's LOA, the *USE* investigation will be considered complete as related to the MMPA authorization.
- If the results are inconclusive which is, historically, the most likely result, i.e. NMFS can neither conclude that the USE was likely caused by something other than acoustic trauma nor conclude that there is a high likelihood that exposure to MFAS or explosive detonations were a cause of the USE, the USE investigation will be considered complete as related to the MMPA authorization.
- If the results of a comprehensive and detailed scientific investigation into all possible causes of the stranding event indicate that there is a high likelihood that MFAS or explosive detonation were a cause of the USE, one of the following will occur:
 - If the total mortalities determined to be caused by MFAS or explosive detonation do not exceed the number analyzed for the 5-yr period in the regulations (10 and 0, respectively), they will be recorded (to add on to if there is another stranding) and NMFS will take no further action beyond that indicated in 8, below.
 - If the total mortalities determined to be caused by MFAS exceed the number analyzed for the 5-yr period in the regulations, NMFS will begin the process of determining whether or not suspension or withdrawal of the authorization is appropriate.

The Navy will be provided at least ten working days to review and provide comments on NMFS' summary and characterization of the factors involved in the USE. NMFS will consider the Navy's comments prior to finalizing any conclusions and/or deciding to take any action involving any take authorization.

8. **USE Response Debrief and Evaluation** – Within 2 months after a USE, NMFS and Navy staff will meet to discuss the implementation of the USE response and recommend modifications or

clarifications to improve the Stranding Response Plan. These recommendations will feed into the adaptive management strategy discussed below.

9. Adaptive Management - The regulations under which the Navy's LOA (and this Stranding Response Plan) are issued will contain an adaptive management component. This gives NMFS the ability to consider the results of the previous years' monitoring and/or the results of stranding investigations when prescribing mitigation or monitoring requirements in subsequent years. In the event that NMFS concludes that there is a high likelihood that MFAS or explosive detonations were a cause of a USE, NMFS will review the analysis of the environmental and operational circumstances surrounding the USE. In subsequent LOAs, based on this review and through the adaptive management component of the regulations, NMFS may require the mitigation measures or Stranding Response Plan be modified or supplemented if the new data suggest that modifications would either have a reasonable likelihood of reducing the chance of future USEs resulting from a similar confluence of events or would increase the effectiveness of the stranding investigations. Further based on this review and the adaptive management component of the regulations, NMFS may modify or add to the existing monitoring requirements if the data suggest that the addition of a particular measure would likely fill in a specifically important data gap. Additionally, the USE Debrief and Evaluation discussed above (in combination with adaptive management) will allow NMFS and the Navy to further refine the Stranding Response Plan for maximum effectiveness.

Communication

Effective communication is critical to the successful implementation of this Stranding Response Plan. Very specific protocols for communication, including identification of the Navy personnel authorized to implement a shutdown and the NMFS personnel authorized to advise the Navy of the need to implement shutdown procedures (NMFS Protected Resources HQ – senior administrators) and the associated phone trees, etc. (to be included in the document entitled “HRC Stranding Communication Protocols”) are currently in usable draft form and will be finalized for the HRC by March 2009 and updated yearly (or more frequently, as appropriate).

The Stranding Response Plan is dependent upon advance notice to NMFS of the planned upcoming MTE. NMFS and the Navy will develop a mechanism (that conforms with operational security requirements) wherein the Navy can provide NMFS with necessary advance notification of MTEs.

NMFS will keep information about planned MTE's in a confidential manner and will transmit information to NMFS personnel responding to USE's to the minimum necessary to accomplish the NMFS mission under this plan.

Glossary:

Freshly dead – Code 2 carcass condition (2a-as if just died, no bloating; or 2b-slight decomposition, slight bloating, blood imbibitions visible).

Major training exercise (MTE) – An MTE, within the context of this document, means RIMPAC, USWEX, and Multi Strike Group exercises involving MFAS or explosives. These exercises are expected to encompass approximately 40 to 60 days per year.

Exhibiting Indicators of Distress – Animals exhibiting an uncommon combination of behavioral and physiological indicators typically associated with distressed or stranded animals. This situation would be identified by a qualified individual and typically includes some combination of the following characteristics:

- Marine mammals continually circling or moving haphazardly in a tightly packed group – with a member occasionally breaking away and swimming towards the beach.
- Abnormal respirations including increased or decreased rate or volume of breathing, abnormal content or odor
- Presence of an individual of a species that has not historically been seen in a particular habitat, for example a pelagic species in a shallow bay when historic records indicate that it is a rare event.
- Abnormal behavior for that species, such as abnormal surfacing or swimming pattern, listing, and abnormal appearance

Phase 1 Investigation – A Phase 1 Investigation, for the purposes of this document, will typically include the following tests and procedures (which are described in NMFS' Biomonitoring Protocols):

- Demographics of the stranding
- Environmental parameters
- Behavioral assessment of group
- Live animal
 - physical examination
 - blood work
 - diagnostics such as AEP or ultrasound
 - assessment or treatment
- Dead animal
 - External examination and external human interaction evaluation
 - Morphometrics
 - Photographs
 - Diagnostic imaging including CT/MRI scans or ultrasound as appropriate and feasible
 - Necropsy with internal examination, descriptions, photographs and sample collection

Note that several factors will dictate whether all or a subset of these procedures are conducted, including:

- The condition of a carcass
- For live cetaceans - the time it would take necessary personnel and equipment to arrive at the site
- Availability (both in time and space) of resources and feasibility of implementation

Phase 2 Investigation – A Phase 2 Investigation, for the purposes of this document, will typically include the following tests and procedures (which are described in NMFS' Biomonitoring Protocols):

- Analyses and review of diagnostic imaging obtained in Phase I
- Histopathology
- Special stains
- Ancillary diagnostics (e.g., PCR for infections, gas emboli)
- CT of ears
- Additional diagnostic imaging as needed
- Histology of ears
- Case summaries
- Review

Note that several factors will dictate whether all or a subset of these procedures are conducted, including:

- The condition of a carcass
- Logistics for transport
- Available resources
- Validated diagnostic techniques

Qualified – NMFS has a rigorous set of standards and training in place to qualify stranding responders. For the purposes of this document, NMFS will identify (in the Biomonitoring Protocol) the specific qualifications necessary for individuals to be considered qualified for the following activities: 1) identifying a USE; 2) determining if an animal is freshly dead (Code 2); 3) conducting a Phase 1 or Phase 2 Investigation; and, 4) making determinations as to cause of death. These qualifications are currently in development and will be refined and finalized in the Biomonitoring Protocols. Not all qualified individuals (veterinarians, technicians, etc.) will be NMFS employees. However, only specific individuals (NMFS Protected Resources, HQ – senior administrators) indicated in the HRC Stranding Communication Protocol will be empowered to advise the Navy of the need to implement shutdown procedures.

Stranding – an event in the wild in which:

- (a) a marine mammal is dead and is –
 - (i) on the beach or shore of the United States; or
 - (ii) in waters under the jurisdiction of the United States (including any navigable waters); or
- (b) a marine mammal is alive and is –
 - (i) on a beach or shore of the United States and unable to return to the water;
 - (ii) on a beach or shore of the United States and, although able to return to the water, is in apparent need of medical attention; or
 - (iii) in the waters under the jurisdiction of the United States (including navigable waters), but is unable to return to its natural habitat under its own power or without assistance.

Shutdown Procedures – The act of the Navy ceasing operation of sonar or explosive detonations within a designated area for a designated time. The time is designated by the Restart Procedures (# 3, above). The designated area, for the purposes of this document, is an area within 14 nm of any live, in-water animal involved in the USE. This distance (14 nm) is the distance at which sound from the sonar source is anticipated to attenuate to approximately 140-145 dB (SPL). The risk function predicts that less than 1% of the animals exposed to sonar at this level (mysticete or odontocete) would respond in a manner that NMFS considers Level B Harassment. As indicated above in 2(d), if this distance appears too short (i.e, the proximity of sonar use may likely be deterring the animals from returning to the open water), NMFS and the Navy will further coordinate to determine what measures are necessary to further minimize that likelihood and implement those measures as appropriate.

Uncommon Stranding Event (USE)– A stranding event that takes place during an MTE and involves any one of the following:

- Two or more individuals of any cetacean species (not including mother/calf pairs, unless of species of concern listed in next bullet) found dead or live on shore within a two day period and occurring on same shore lines or facing shorelines of different islands.
- A single individual or mother/calf pair of any of the following marine mammals of concern: beaked whale of any species, kogia sp., risso's dolphin, melon-headed whale, pilot whales, humpback whales, sperm whales, blue whales, fin whales, sei whales, or monk seal.
- A group of 2 or more cetaceans of any species exhibiting indicators of distress.

Supplemental Documents in Development

HRC Stranding Communication Protocol – This document, which is currently in development, will include all of the communication protocols (phone trees, etc.) and associated contact information required for NMFS and the Navy to carry out the actions outlined in this Stranding Response Plan. This document is currently in usable draft form and will be finalized by March 2009 and updated yearly (or more frequently, as appropriate).

Biomonitoring Protocols for the HRC – This document (which is currently in a usable draft form, but will be finalized in 2009) will contain protocols for the procedures that are necessary for NMFS staff to implement this Stranding Plan including:

- Qualifications necessary for individuals to implement certain parts of the Stranding Plan, such as: identifying a USE, identifying a Code 2 animal, or conducting a Phase 1 or 2 Investigation
- A protocol for the stranding responders that outlines the actions to take in the event of a stranding during MTEs
- Protocols for the investigators that describe in detail the procedures implemented for Phase 1 and Phase 2 Investigations

Memorandum of Agreement – This document (or other mechanism consistent with federal fiscal law requirements and all other applicable laws), which will be finalized in 2009, will establish a framework whereby the Navy can assist with stranding investigations when feasible. This

document will include a comprehensive list of the specific ways the Navy could provide this assistance.

LOA Stranding Plans in Other Geographic Regions

The frequency and nature of strandings (naturally occurring or otherwise), the nature of military operations, and the NMFS resources and qualified staff available for stranding response, can be very different in different geographic regions. Measures and procedures developed for and implemented in this Stranding Response Plan may not be appropriate, or even possible, in other geographic regions. As the need arises, NMFS and the Navy will work together to develop appropriate Stranding Response Plans for other geographic regions based on available information and resources. This Stranding Response Plan is not intended to serve as a template for other geographic regions, and, in fact, Stranding Plans for other areas may be significantly different.