



# **Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)**

Subsidiary System

## **Producer Eligibility System (PES)**

Revision: *Final*

**Farm Service Agency**

Date: *June 4, 2010*







## Document Information

Business Owner Details	
Name	Sandy Bryant
Contact Number	202-720-4380
E-mail Address	<a href="mailto:Sandy.Bryant@wdc.usda.gov">Sandy.Bryant@wdc.usda.gov</a>

Document Revision and History			
Revision	Date	Author	Comments
1.01	June 4, 2010	Anita Trader, ISO DR	Populated from 2009 PIA
1.02	June 14, 2010	E. Shaner, ISO C&A	Reviewed for standard responses
1.03	June 15, 2010	Judy Sobbing, ECS	Review and Update
	June 28, 2010	C. Niffen	Made changes per John Underwood on questions 4.4
Final	June 30, 2010	S. Timbrook	Marked final, added signatures



Privacy Impact Assessment for  
Producer Eligibility System (PES)




### Privacy Impact Assessment Authorization Memorandum

I have carefully assessed the Privacy Impact Assessment for the  
Producer Eligibility System

This document has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the E-Government  
Act of 2002.

We fully accept the changes as needed improvements and authorize initiation of work to  
proceed. Based on our authority and judgment, the continued operation of this system is  
authorized.

  
Sharon Lovelace, Information System Owner  
Date: 6-24-10

  
Robin W. Underwood  
John Underwood, Chief Privacy Officer  
Date: 6/25/10

  
James G. Smith, FSA CIO  
Date: 6/25/10

## Table of Contents

<b>1 PURPOSE OF DOCUMENT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 SYSTEM INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 DATA INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.1 Data Collection .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.2 Data Use .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3.3 Data Retention.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3.4 Data Sharing .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3.5 Data Access .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3.6 Customer Protection.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4 SYSTEM OF RECORD .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5 TECHNOLOGY.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6 COMPLETION INSTRUCTIONS .....</b>	<b>11</b>





## 1 Purpose of Document

USDA DM 35 15-002 states: “Agencies are responsible for initiating the PIA in the early stages of the development of a system and to ensure that the PIA is completed as part of the required System Life Cycle (SLC) reviews. Systems include data from applications housed on mainframes, personal computers, and applications developed for the Web and agency databases. Privacy must be considered when requirements are being analyzed and decisions are being made about data usage and system design. This applies to all of the development methodologies and system life cycles used in USDA.

Both the system owners and system developers must work together to complete the PIA. System owners must address what data are used, how the data are used, and who will use the data. System owners also need to address the privacy implications that result from the use of new technologies (e.g., caller identification). The system developers must address whether the implementation of the owner’s requirements presents any threats to privacy.”

The Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) document contains information on how the Subsidiary System FISMA Child: **Producer Eligibility System** affects the privacy of its users and the information stored within. This assessment is in accordance with NIST SP 800-37 *Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Systems*.

Privacy Impact Assessment for  
 Producer Eligibility System (PES)

## 2 System Information

### System Information

System Information	
Agency:	Farm Service Agency.
System Name:	Producer Eligibility System (PES).
System Type:	Major Application General Support System Non-major Application
System Categorization (per FIPS 199):	High Moderate Low
Description of System:	This system tracks producer eligibility to receive payments under FSA programs.
Who owns this system? (Name, agency, contact information)	Sharon Lovelace ITSD/ADC/PARMO/FRG 6501 Beacon Drive Kansas City MO 64133 (816) 926-2115 <a href="mailto:sharon.lovelace@kcc.usda.gov">sharon.lovelace@kcc.usda.gov</a>
Who is the security contact for this system? (Name, agency, contact information)	Brian Davies Information System Security Program Manager (IS SPM) USDA/FSA/ITSD/ISO 1400 Independence Avenue SW Washington, D.C. 20250 (202) 720-2419 <a href="mailto:brian.davies@wdc.usda.gov">brian.davies@wdc.usda.gov</a>



Privacy Impact Assessment for

Producer Eligibility System (PES)

Who completed this document? (Name, agency, contact information)	P. Siemiatkowski PARMO/FRG 6501 Beacon Drive Kansas City MO 64133 (816) 926-2117 <a href="mailto:Pamela.Siemiatkowski@kcc.usda.gov">Pamela.Siemiatkowski@kcc.usda.gov</a>
--	--



Privacy Impact Assessment for  
 Producer Eligibility System (PES)

### 3 Data Information

#### 3.1 Data Collection

No.	Question	Response
1	Generally describe the data to be used in the system.	Core Customer ID, eligibility criteria for FSA programs.
2	Does the system collect Social Security Numbers (SSNs) or Taxpayer Identification Numbers (TINs)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 3.
2.1	State the law or regulation that requires the collection of this information.	The Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act (15 U.S.C. 714 et seq.) and Executive Order 9397.
3	Is the use of the data both relevant and necessary to the purpose for which the system is being designed? In other words, the data is absolutely needed and has significant and demonstrable bearing on the system’s purpose as required by statute or by Executive order of the President.	Yes No
4	Sources of the data in the system.	Farm Service Agency (FSA). Original data was entered by County Office Employees IBM System 36 files. Ongoing data is entered by authorized USDA Service Center employees.
4.1	What data is being collected from the customer?	Eligibility criteria for FSA programs.
4.2	What USDA agencies are providing data for use in the system?	FSA is the source agency.
4.3	What state and local agencies are providing data for use in the system?	None.
4.4	From what other third party sources is data being collected?	None.
5	Will data be collected from sources outside your agency? For example, customers, USDA sources (i.e., NFC, RD, etc.) or Non-USDA sources.	Yes No – If NO, go to question 6.
5.1	How will the data collected from customers be verified for accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness?	Data collected from customers is required by policy to be reviewed for accuracy, relevancy, timeliness, and completeness upon initial entry into the system and then again when any required updates are made.

Privacy Impact Assessment for

Producer Eligibility System (PES)

No.	Question	Response
5.2	How will the data collected from USDA sources be verified for accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness?	Data collected from USDA sources is required by policy to be reviewed for accuracy, relevancy, timeliness, and completeness upon initial entry into the system and then again when any required updates are made.
5.3	How will the data collected from non-USDA sources be verified for accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness?	N/A.

### 3.2 Data Use

No.	Question	Response
6	Individuals must be informed in writing of the principal purpose of the information being collected from them. What is the principal purpose of the data being collected?	Data will be used to determine payments to producers and payment relationships and how the payment relationships affect payment eligibility.
7	Will the data be used for any other purpose?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 8.
7.1	What are the other purposes?	N/A.
8	Is the use of the data both relevant and necessary to the purpose for which the system is being designed? In other words, the data is absolutely needed and has significant and demonstrable bearing on the system's purpose as required by statute or by Executive order of the President	Yes s No
9	Will the system derive new data or create previously unavailable data about an individual through aggregation from the information collected (i.e., aggregating farm loans by zip codes in which only one farm exists.)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 10.
9.1	Will the new data be placed in the individual's record (customer or employee)?	Yes s
9.2	Can the system make determinations about customers or employees that would not be possible without the new data?	Yes s No
9.3	How will the new data be verified for relevance and accuracy?	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for

Producer Eligibility System (PES)

No.	Question	Response
10	Individuals must be informed in writing of the routine uses of the information being collected from them. What are the intended routine uses of the data being collected?	Information maintained in this system will be used by all automated payment systems to assist in the delivery and administration of FSA programs (i.e., to determine payment eligibility).
11	Will the data be used for any other uses (routine or otherwise)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 12.
11.1	What are the other uses?	N/A.
12	Automation of systems can lead to the consolidation of data – bringing data from multiple sources into one central location/system – and consolidation of administrative controls. When administrative controls are consolidated, they should be evaluated so that all necessary privacy controls remain in place to the degree necessary to continue to control access to and use of the data. Is data being consolidated?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 13.
12.1	What controls are in place to protect the data and prevent unauthorized access?	N/A.
13	Are processes being consolidated?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 14.
13.1	What controls are in place to protect the data and prevent unauthorized access?	N/A.

### 3.3 Data Retention

No.	Question	Response
14	Is the data periodically purged from the system?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 15.
14.1	How long is the data retained whether it is on paper, electronic, in the system or in a backup?	N/A.
14.2	What are the procedures for purging the data at the end of the retention period?	N/A.
14.3	Where are these procedures documented?	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for

Producer Eligibility System (PES)

No.	Question	Response
15	While the data is retained in the system, what are the requirements for determining if the data is still sufficiently accurate, relevant, timely, and complete to ensure fairness in making determinations?	Fairness in making determinations is assured when customer/producer information including election information is reviewed for accuracy, relevancy, timeliness, and completeness upon initial entry into the system and when any required updates are made.
16	Is the data retained in the system the minimum necessary for the proper performance of a documented agency function?	Yes s No

### 3.4 Data Sharing

No.	Question	Response
17	Will other agencies share data or have access to data in this system (i.e., international, federal, state, local, other, etc.)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 18.
17.1	How will the data be used by the other agency?	N/A.
17.2	Who is responsible for assuring the other agency properly uses the data?	N/A.
18	Is the data transmitted to another agency or an independent site?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 19.
18.1	Is there appropriate agreement in place to document the interconnection and ensure the PII and/or Privacy Act data is appropriately protected?	N/A.
19	Is the system operated in more than one site?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 20.
19.1	How will consistent use of the system and data be maintained in all sites?	Administrative controls and procedures are established to maintain consistent system use.

### 3.5 Data Access

No.	Question	Response
20	Who will have access to the data in the system (i.e., users, managers, system administrators, developers, etc.)?	Only authorized FSA Users, FSA System Administrators, and FSA DBAs.
21	How will user access to the data be determined?	Access must be requested through FSA- 1 3A security forms with justification and approval.
21.1	Are criteria, procedures, controls, and responsibilities regarding user access documented?	Yes s No

Privacy Impact Assessment for

Producer Eligibility System (PES)

No.	Question	Response
22	How will user access to the data be restricted?	Physical access restrictions as well as password restrictions on the System 36/AS400.
22.1	Are procedures in place to detect or deter browsing or unauthorized user access?	Yes
23	Does the system employ security controls to make information unusable to unauthorized individuals (i.e., encryption, strong authentication procedures, etc.)?	Yes No

**3.6 Customer Protection**

No.	Question	Response
24	Who will be responsible for protecting the privacy rights of the customers and employees affected by the interface (i.e., office, person, departmental position, etc.)?	FSA Privacy Act Officer/FSA PII Officer.
25	How can customers and employees contact the office or person responsible for protecting their privacy rights?	FSA National Help Desk at (800)-255-2434 or the Centralized Help Desk at 800-457-3642 or By contacting John W. Underwood, Privacy Officer, at FSA Privacy Act Officer / FSA PII Officer USDA - Farm Service Agency Beacon Facility - Mail Stop 8388 9240 Troost Avenue Kansas City, Missouri 64131-3055 Phone: 816-926-6992 Cell: 816-564-8950 Fax: 816-448-5833 <a href="mailto:john.underwood@kcc.usda.gov">mailto:john.underwood@kcc.usda.gov</a>
26	A “breach” refers to a situation where data and/or information assets are unduly exposed. Is a breach notification policy in place for this system?	Yes – If YES, go to question 27. No
26.1	If NO, please enter the Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M) number with the estimated completion date.	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for

Producer Eligibility System (PES)

No.	Question	Response
27	Consider the following: Consolidation and linkage of files and systems Derivation of data Accelerated information processing and decision making Use of new technologies Is there a potential to deprive a customer of due process rights (fundamental rules of fairness)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 28.
27.1	Explain how this will be mitigated?	N/A.
28	How will the system and its use ensure equitable treatment of customers?	By providing a centralized and standardized method of developing program decisions.
29	Is there any possibility of treating customers or employees differently based upon their individual or group characteristics?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 30.
29.1	Explain	N/A.



Privacy Impact Assessment for

Producer Eligibility System (PES)

## 4 System of Record

No.	Question	Response
30	Can the data be retrieved by a personal identifier? In other words, does the system actually retrieve data by the name of an individual or by some other unique number, symbol, or identifying attribute of the individual?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 31.
30.1	How will the data be retrieved? In other words, what is the identifying attribute (i.e., employee number, social security number, etc.)?	Retrieved by Tax ID & Type.
30.2	Under which Systems of Record (SOR) notice does the system operate? Provide number, name and publication date. (SORs can be viewed at <a href="http://www.access.GPO.gov">www.access.GPO.gov</a> .)	USDA/FSA-2 - Farm Records File (Automated)
30.3	If the system is being modified, will the SOR require amendment or revision?	Yes

Privacy Impact Assessment for  
Producer Eligibility System (PES)

## 5 Technology

No.	Question	Response
31	Is the system using technologies in ways not previously employed by the agency (e.g., Caller-ID)?	Yes No – If NO, the questionnaire is complete.
31.1	How does the use of this technology affect customer privacy?	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for  
Producer Eligibility System (PES)

## 6 Completion Instructions

Upon completion of this Privacy Impact Assessment for this system, the answer to OMB A-1 1, Planning, Budgeting, Acquisition and Management of Capital Assets, Part 7, Section E, Question 8c is:

**1. Yes.**

PLEASE SUBMIT A COPY TO THE OFFICE OF THE ASSOCIATE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICE FOR CYBER SECURITY.