

Official Transcript of Proceedings
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Advisory Committee on the Medical
 Uses of Isotopes

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: (phone conference)

Date: Wednesday, December 12, 2007

Work Order No.: NRC-1929

Pages 1-22

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.
Court Reporters and Transcribers
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE MEDICAL USES OF ISOTOPES

+ + + + +

TELECONFERENCE

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,

DECEMBER 12, 2007

+ + + + +

The meeting was convened via teleconference at 12:00 noon, LEON S. MALMUD, M.D., ACMUI Chairman, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

- LEON S. MALMUD, M.D. Chairman
- DARRELL FISHER, Ph.D. Member
- RALPH P. LIETO Member
- STEVE MATTMULLER, R.Ph. Member
- SUBIR NAG, M.D. Member
- SALLY WAGNER SCHWARZ, R.Ph. Member
- BRUCE THOMADSEN, Ph.D. Member
- WILLIAM VAN DECKER, M.D. Member
- RICHARD J. VETTER, Ph.D. Member
- JAMES S. WELSH, M.D. Member

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 NRC STAFF PRESENT :

2 CYNTHIA M. FLANNERY (ALT DFO)

3 DONNA-BETH HOWE, Ph.D.

4 ANTHONY HUFFERT

5 DORIS LEWIS

6 ASHLEY TULL

7 SANDRA WASTLER (DFO)

8 DUANE E. WHITE

9

10 ALSO PRESENT :

11 LYNNE FAIROBENT, AAPM

12 CHRIS GALLAGHER, ASNC

13 EMILY GARDNER, ASNC

14 DEBBIE GILLEY, FL/OAS/CRCPD

15 EUGENIA KRIMER, ACR (FOR GLORIA ROMANELLI, ACR)

16 RICHARD MARTIN, ASTRO

17 MIKE PETERS, SNM

18 DOUGLAS PFEIFFER, AAPM

19

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(12:04 p.m.)

MS. WASTLER: Welcome.

CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Good morning. Good afternoon.

MS. WASTLER: Well, I guess we are in the afternoon. Okay. We have everyone now with the exception of Dr. Eggli and Dr. Suleiman, who we knew were not going to be there. So we have a quorum. So I am going to go ahead with the remarks as the designated federal officer. And then I will turn the meeting over to you, sir.

CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you.

MS. WASTLER: All right. As the designated federal officer for this meeting, I am pleased to welcome you to the telephone, I guess I should say, -- I am in Rockville -- for this meeting of ACMUI.

I am Sandra Wastler, the Chief of the Medical Safety and Events Assessment Branch. I have been designated as the federal officer for this Advisory Committee in accordance with 10 CFR part 7.11.

Present today is the alternative designated federal officer, Cindy Flannery. This is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a --

2 (Interruption.)

3 MS. WASTLER: Somebody put us on hold.

4 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Someone has us on hold.

5 MS. WASTLER: Yes. This is an announced
6 meeting of the Committee. It is being held in
7 accordance with the rules and regulations of the
8 Federal Advisory Committee Act and the Nuclear
9 Regulatory Commission.

10 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Someone just joined us.
11 Hello?

12 MEMBER MATTMULLER: This is Steve
13 Mattmuller listening in.

14 MS. WASTLER: All right. Thank you, Mr.
15 Mattmuller.

16 This meeting was announced in the Federal
17 Register notice on -- what was the date, Ashley? I
18 have forgotten. I forgot the --

19 MS. TULL: November 26th.

20 MS. WASTLER: November 26th. The function
21 of the Committee is to advise the staff on issues and
22 questions that arise on the medical use of byproduct
23 materials.

24 The Committee provides counsel to the
25 staff but does not determine or direct the action or

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 decisions of the staff or the Commission. The NRC
2 solicits the reviews of the Committee, and it values
3 their opinions.

4 I request, whenever possible, we try to
5 reach consensus on the procedural issue we will be
6 discussing today and would recognize there may be
7 minority or dissenting opinions. If you have such an
8 opinion, please allow them to be read into the record.

9 At this point I have already gone through
10 and recognized the individuals in attendance at the
11 meeting today. With that, Dr. Leon Malmud will chair
12 today's meeting, and I will turn that meeting over to
13 him.

14 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you.

15 MS. WASTLER: Before we do that, I would
16 just like to point out that there are several people
17 with me here in the room. And I am going to ask them
18 to go around and introduce themselves.

19 MS. HOWE: Donna-Beth Howe.

20 MS. FLANNERY: Cindy Flannery.

21 MS. LEWIS: Doris Lewis.

22 MR. WHITE: Duane White.

23 MR. HUFFERT: Tony Huffert.

24 MS. WASTLER: All right.

25 MS. TULL: This is Ashley Tull. I would

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 also remind anyone who speaks to identify themselves
2 for the court reporter.

3 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you.

4 MS. WASTLER: Are there any members of the
5 public on the line?

6 MS. KRIMER: Eugenia Krimer is sitting in
7 for Gloria Romanelli.

8 MS. FAIROBENT: Lynne Fairobent, AAPM.

9 MR. PFEIFFER: Doug Pfeiffer, AAPM.

10 MR. MARTIN: Richard Martin with ASTRO.

11 MS. GARDNER: Emily Gardner and Chris
12 Gallagher with ASNC.

13 MR. PETERS: This is Mike Peters from SNM.

14 MS. WASTLER: All right. Thank you very
15 much.

16 Dr. Malmud, I will turn the meeting over
17 to you.

18 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you. This is Leon
19 Malmud.

20 The past several years the National
21 Academies has been conducting a study of industrial
22 kind of research and commercial uses for radiation
23 sources.

24 In response to this, this is actually in
25 response to congressional concerns that radiation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 sources could be purchased or stolen for terrorists by
2 use in dirty bomb attacks on the United States.

3 Does someone want to say something? Okay.
4 I'm picking up an echo. All right.

5 The goal of the study was to identify
6 industrial or other processes that could be replaced
7 with economically and technically equivalent or
8 perhaps even improved process that did not require the
9 use of radiation sources or perhaps using a radiation
10 source that would pose a lower risk in the event of an
11 accident or an intentional attack involving a
12 radiation source. The Energy Policy Act of 2005
13 actually directed the NRC to enter into an arrangement
14 with the National Academies to conduct that study.

15 Once the results of that study are issued,
16 the NRC will have only a few days to review the report
17 and to provide comments. The NRC's comments will be
18 focused on the NRC's mission, which is safety and
19 security of radioactive material. The NRC believes
20 that it's vital for Congress to also understand any
21 potential impacts on the practice of medicine, which
22 would result from the report and the recommendations.

23 In other words, the NRC, quite simply,
24 wants Congress to be aware that any restrictions on
25 existing uses of radioactive material could impact the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 actual practice of medicine.

2 So, in order to provide the NRC with
3 ACMUI's comments in the time frame necessary, we
4 really had to do this, which is a slight change in
5 ACMUI's normal procedure.

6 Given our own professional schedules, the
7 requirements of a notice of a meeting for the full
8 Committee, meaning the full ACMUI, which requires ten
9 days' notice prior to the meeting, did not really give
10 us time to have a meeting in Rockville, but we could
11 schedule this teleconference.

12 We need to give the NRC our impressions
13 about what needs to be done in order to assure that
14 whatever changes are made in the availability or
15 restrictions on use of radioactive material do not
16 negatively impact the practice of medicine and the
17 delivery of patient care within the United States.

18 So, as the Chair of the Committee, I
19 thought that Drs. Vetter and Nag by virtue of their
20 technical expertise would adequately represent the
21 views of the full ACMUI in this particular issue.

22 It is also, I believe, our opportunity to
23 voice any "practice of medicine" issues directly to
24 Congress. Therefore, we wanted to brief the full
25 Committee regarding the issue. And this needs to be

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 done in a timely fashion so that when we are asked for
2 our opinion, we can respond with the opportunity to
3 have given it prior thought.

4 So, basically, the purpose of the meeting
5 is to voice our concern on behalf of patients
6 regarding the practice of medicine and possible
7 restrictions that might occur to the practice of
8 medicine with tightening of the availability or the
9 use of certain radioactive materials.

10 Is what I have said clear?

11 PARTICIPANT: Yes.

12 MS. WASTLER: Dr. Malmud, this is Sandra
13 Wastler. Could I clarify a couple of points?

14 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Please do.

15 MS. WASTLER: I think what we want to make
16 clear is that because of schedule requirements by FACA
17 with regards to setting up a meeting of the full
18 Committee and to getting it in the Federal Register
19 notice, that it takes like three weeks to schedule a
20 full Committee meeting if everybody happens to be
21 available.

22 What we're faced with is that when this
23 report comes out -- and in a minute, I'm going to ask
24 Tony Huffert, who is with Research, to give you just
25 an overview of, you know, the requirements or the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 contractual requirements with regards to this
2 document.

3 But we have only a day or two essentially
4 to turn these comments around. So what Dr. Malmud and
5 what we were trying to come up with was a mechanism by
6 which ACMUI as a body could provide their comments.

7 And the only way we could figure to do
8 this was to, as Dr. Malmud said, appoint a
9 subcommittee and get the full Committee to give the
10 subcommittee authorization to speak for them so that
11 when the subcommittee would comment on this report,
12 those comments would be basically the full ACMUI's
13 comment. And then after the fact, the full Committee
14 would be briefed on the report and its
15 recommendations.

16 So that is basically the direction that we
17 are trying to go. You know, we want to provide the
18 members with an opportunity to have their voice heard
19 along with ours to Congress essentially on this topic.
20 And we're just trying to figure out a procedural way
21 of doing so. And this was the approach that we had
22 come up with that was really, we felt, the only viable
23 way to proceed.

24 And, with that, I will ask Tony if he
25 could give you just a very quick overview of the I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 guess contractual side of the NAS report. And maybe
2 he can tell us what the latest is on when the report
3 will be issued.

4 MR. HUFFERT: Thank you, Sandi. I'm Tony
5 Huffert. I work in the Office of Nuclear Regulatory
6 Research at the U.S. NRC here in Rockville. And one
7 thing that the Office of Research does with the
8 National Academies is enter into these types of
9 contractual arrangements with a study.

10 Now, this study was directed by Congress.
11 And the NRC was directed to work with the National
12 Academies on this topic. That direction, as Dr.
13 Malmud said, was from August 2005, the Energy Policy
14 Act. And since that time, I have been working very
15 closely with the National Academies in providing them
16 technical information so they could write a report.

17 They have about a dozen experts, including
18 someone from the medical community, working on this
19 report. And they are looking at a wide variety of
20 source applications. But these are typically the very
21 high-activity sources, not the lower-activity sources.

22 What we do with the National Academies is
23 we provide them with as much technical information as
24 they need. And then they go off by themselves and
25 write a report.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 After the report is prepared, the NRC is
2 offered an opportunity to review it very quickly for
3 information security purposes. Basically we want to
4 make sure that the report does not contain any
5 information that could be useful to a terrorist
6 organization.

7 After we conduct that review, the NRC has
8 completed its job of supplying information to the
9 National Academies. And it's up to them to resolve
10 any comments on the report or come up with a
11 publications schedule.

12 So where we are right now in the process
13 is it's up to the National Academies to prepare a
14 final report and issue it. When they issue it, they
15 would brief the NRC and Congress within 24 hours, and
16 it would be available on their Web site almost
17 immediately.

18 As far as schedule is concerned, I think
19 the National Academies might be rolling out the report
20 in the next several weeks. I don't think that this
21 will happen before the holidays, but with the National
22 Academies, you never know. They only have to give the
23 NRC a very short time before they go forth with their
24 own independent process.

25 MS. WASTLER: We only have a very short

1 time to effect any comments on that document to the
2 National Academy and to Congress after they make the
3 report public. And that is the issue that we are
4 trying to deal with.

5 MR. HUFFERT: And, again, the National
6 Academies is an independent organization. It is not
7 part of the federal government. They report directly
8 to Congress. And they have their own independent
9 process, and they are very careful not to release
10 information about the report prior to briefing the
11 study sponsor, which is the NRC, and Congress.

12 MS. WASTLER: I just wanted to clarify the
13 points from Dr. Malmud and provide you with background
14 as to why we have requested this full ACMUI meeting
15 and why Dr. Malmud is proposing a motion, I believe,
16 or will be that the ACMUI give the subcommittee
17 authority to speak on his behalf on this issue so that
18 we can in the appropriate timely manner provide both
19 ours and your comments on this document when it is
20 released.

21 MEMBER NAG: This is Dr. Nag. May I just
22 interject one comment?

23 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Please do, Dr. Nag.
24 This is Malmud.

25 MEMBER NAG: Yes. Basically this is for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 my fellow ACMUI members. There are two options. In
2 that short time of one or two days, the whole
3 Committee cannot provide the input because we cannot
4 meet in one or two days.

5 So the options are either for the ACMUI
6 not to have any voice or to have one or two select
7 members of ACMUI be the subcommittee members and talk
8 on behalf of the whole ACMUI. And basically the ACMUI
9 members would have selected the one or two members to
10 be its voice. I think that is my summary for the rest
11 of the ACMUI members.

12 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you, Dr. Nag.

13 Are there questions of Dr. Nag or myself
14 regarding this process?

15 MEMBER LIETO: This is Ralph Lieto. I
16 have two questions.

17 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Yes, Ralph?

18 MEMBER LIETO: Is this applying to all
19 radioactive sources or is this just Category I and II
20 sources? That is my first question.

21 MR. HUFFERT: The answer is yes. The way
22 the Congress set up this particular requirement was
23 that the National Academies would only be able to look
24 at Code of Conduct Category I and II sources.

25 MEMBER LIETO: Okay. Which narrows it

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 down, I think, quite a bit from the medical side.

2 A second question is, was there
3 consideration given to other members if other members
4 were interested in viewing this and providing comments
5 to the subcommittee?

6 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Yes, Ralph. This is
7 Malmud again.

8 The turnaround time for us to consider the
9 problem was brief. And it seemed to me that given the
10 membership of the Committee, a radiation physicist and
11 a radiation oncologist, that we would have adequate
12 coverage within these two disciplines to discuss the
13 impact on clinical medicine. My own background, of
14 course, is nuclear medicine. So we add that to it.

15 And we believed that this small
16 subcommittee could deal with the issue on behalf of
17 the whole Committee in the time frame allowed,
18 recognizing that there are security issues involved.

19 No member of the Committee was chosen
20 because of his or her security clearance, but we had
21 to keep the number small. And we're discussing a
22 theoretical issue.

23 Does that answer your question, Ralph?

24 MEMBER LIETO: Yes. Thank you.

25 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Okay. So we understand the process, which
2 is that we're going to be asked to give a quick
3 response to a recommendation that has not yet come
4 forth. And we thought that by addressing it in this
5 manner we could recognize the concerns of all of us,
6 particularly since the radiation physicist crosses
7 disciplines of radiology and nuclear medicine
8 radiotherapy.

9 MS. WASTLER: Dr. Malmud, did you want to
10 make a motion for the Committee?

11 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Yes, I will. In this
12 unusual circumstance, perhaps I should ask either Dr.
13 Vetter or Dr. Nag, who are members of the
14 subcommittee, rather than myself, to make the motion.

15 MS. WASTLER: That would be fine.

16 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you. Either Dr.
17 Nag or Dr. Vetter?

18 MEMBER NAG: I will try. I make the
19 motion that a subcommittee comprising of Dr. Vetter
20 and Dr. Nag review the recommendation when it is
21 released and immediately make its recommendation on
22 behalf of the entire ACMUI in terms of the medical
23 implications of the report.

24 MEMBER VETTER: This is Dick Vetter. I
25 second the motion.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you, Drs. Nag and
2 Vetter. Is there discussion of the motion?

3 MEMBER SCHWARZ: This is Sally Schwarz.
4 I just wanted to clarify Dr. Nag's motion that it's
5 the National Academy document that we're speaking in
6 reference to.

7 MEMBER NAG: Right.

8 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: That is correct.

9 MEMBER NAG: This is the National
10 Academies document that was endorsed by the NRC in
11 response to the Congress.

12 MEMBER SCHWARZ: Right. I just thought
13 that should be part of the motion, --

14 MEMBER NAG: Yes, right.

15 MEMBER SCHWARZ: -- the name of the
16 document.

17 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you. The motion
18 will be amended to include that phrase. Any further
19 discussion?

20 (No response.)

21 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: If not, all in favor?
22 I'm sorry.

23 MEMBER THOMADSEN: Yes.

24 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Who is speaking?

25 MEMBER THOMADSEN: This is Bruce

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Thomadsen.

2 I do have the utmost confidence in that
3 subcommittee. I think that this is a reasonable way
4 to address the issue. If it is going out in the name
5 of the entire ACMUI, I think it would be good if when
6 you get the report that you do send it to the
7 Committee and give them the opportunity should they
8 have it to look at the report and make suggestions to
9 that Committee.

10 MEMBER NAG: The problem that -- I mean,
11 that is what we would have liked also, but the problem
12 is that we have about 24 hours. And in that 24 hours,
13 to look at it, review it, and then get the concurrence
14 of the entire ACMUI is not possible.

15 We looked at it at several different ways.
16 And what you are suggesting would have been the best
17 way, but, unfortunately, this is an unusual
18 circumstance. So we had to find a way to circumvent
19 this unusual circumstance.

20 This is not the way we normally would do
21 it in ACMUI. And I'm sure the other members of ACMUI
22 realize that this is not the way we have ever done it.

23 MEMBER THOMADSEN: This is Thomadsen
24 again. I understand everything you have said. I
25 understood that up to this point. And you have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 changed part of what I was asking.

2 I was not asking for getting any type of
3 a concurrence from the members. I am asking that they
4 get the report and if in the time frame allowed they
5 have comments to send to the subcommittee that that be
6 allowed so that we would have that opportunity to be
7 able to have the input, whether we take it or not.

8 And the subcommittee doesn't have to wait.
9 As a matter of fact, they can't wait.

10 MS. WASTLER: Right. Dr. Thomadsen, Dr.
11 Nag, if I could comment?

12 MEMBER NAG: Sure.

13 MS. WASTLER: What Dr. Thomadsen is
14 suggesting, if I am understanding him correctly, is
15 that when the report becomes public and it goes up on
16 the web site, the subcommittee would come in, do the
17 review as through the motion, would review the report.

18 But it will be on their public web site.
19 And, therefore, if a member chooses, it can read the
20 report and could send comments to you for your
21 consideration.

22 You would not go back to them. You know,
23 if you decide, the subcommittee would decide whether
24 to include those comments or not. But it would be an
25 opportunity at least for them to look at it if they

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 are able and provide you with some views on the issue.

2 MEMBER NAG: Yes. I think that is --

3 MS. WASTLER: That is doable, but it would
4 still be -- you would only have two days. You know,
5 essentially the subcommittee would, you know, be
6 looking at the report and putting together the
7 document we need to go back to Congress.

8 And if other members can read it and have
9 some comments, they could provide them to you. It's
10 just that the subcommittee would be marching on,
11 speaking for the full Committee, and trying to take
12 comments where and if available members want to make
13 them. I mean, I think that's doable.

14 MEMBER THOMADSEN: This is Thomadsen
15 again.

16 Would the NRC staff be so kind as to send
17 out an announcement to the Committee when the report
18 is released? Otherwise --

19 MS. WASTLER: Oh, of course.

20 MEMBER THOMADSEN: -- we're likely to miss
21 that.

22 MS. WASTLER: That would be very easy for
23 us to do.

24 MEMBER THOMADSEN: Thank you.

25 MS. WASTLER: When it is on the web site,

1 we can send you an e-mail saying it's up, the
2 subcommittee is reviewing it, and if you have
3 comments. I mean, we can give you a single point of
4 contact with us where we could get those comments to
5 Dr. Vetter and Dr. Nag.

6 MEMBER THOMADSEN: Wonderful.

7 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: This is Malmud.

8 Dr. Thomadsen, does that satisfy your
9 request?

10 MEMBER THOMADSEN: Yes.

11 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Thank you. Any further
12 discussion of the motion?

13 (No response.)

14 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: If not, all in favor?

15 (Whereupon, there was a chorus of

16 "Ayes.")

17 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Any opposed?

18 (No response.)

19 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Any abstentions?

20 (No response.)

21 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Hearing no opposed and
22 no abstentions, the motion is unanimous.

23 MS. TULL: This is Ashley Tull. I just
24 wanted to thank the Committee for doing this within
25 the 30 minutes allotted. I really appreciate it.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Those of you that I talked to, I told you it was
2 possible.

3 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: Well, you have a
4 Committee that has made it possible.

5 MS. TULL: Yes. Thank you very much.

6 MS. WASTLER: And I just want to thank the
7 members very much for this. We appreciate it. Thank
8 you, and have a good day.

9 CHAIRMAN MALMUD: We thank you all.

10 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter was
11 concluded at 12:30 p.m.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25