

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

(STP-05-077, October, Program, SA-120)

October 6, 2005

ALL AGREEMENT STATES, MINNESOTA, PENNSYLVANIA

OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON DRAFT REVISION TO STP PROCEDURE SA-120, "AGREEMENT STATE PARTICIPATION AS IMPEP TEAM MEMBERS" (STP-05-077)

Enclosed for your review and comment is the draft revision to the Office of State and Tribal Programs (STP) Procedure SA-120, *Agreement State Participation as IMPEP Team Members*. This procedure describes the process for the coordination, recruitment and participation of Agreement State staff as IMPEP team members. We would appreciate receiving your comments within 30 days from the date of this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this communication, please contact me at 301-415-3340 or the individual named below.

POINT OF CONTACT: Aaron T. McCraw INTERNET: ATM@NRC.GOV TELEPHONE: (301) 415-1277 FAX: (301) 415-3502

/RA by Rosetta O. Virgilio for/

Paul H. Lohaus, Director Office of State and Tribal Programs

Enclosure: As stated

_

This information request has been approved by OMB 3150-0029, expiration 06/30/07. The estimated burden per response to comply with this voluntary collection is approximately 8 hours. Send comments regarding the burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Services Branch (T-5F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by Internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0029), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.



STP Procedure Approval

Agreement State Participation as IMPEP Team Members

SA-120

Issue Date:	
Review Date:	
Paul H. Lohaus Director, STP	Date:
Dennis K. Rathbun Deputy Director, STP	Date:
Aaron T. McCraw Procedure Contact, STP	Date:

NOTE

The STP Director's Secretary is responsible for the maintenance of this master copy document as part of the STP Procedure Manual. Any changes to the procedure will be the responsibility of the STP Procedure Contact. Copies of STP procedures will be distributed for information.

Procedure Title:

Agreement State Participation as IMPEP Team Members

Procedure Number: SA-120

Page: 1 of

Issue Date:

I. INTRODUCTION

This document describes the procedure for the coordination, recruitment and participation byof Agreement State staff as Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) team members by the Office of State and Tribal Programs (STP) and the Organization of Agreement States (OAS).

II. OBJECTIVES

- A. To provide guidance to both the STP and OAS on the recruitment of Agreement State participants for IMPEP teams.
- B. To provide guidance to the OAS and STP staff on coordination of Agreement State participants for IMPEP reviews.

III. BACKGROUND

It is the policy of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to evaluate the NRC's regional materials programs and Agreement States' radiation control programs in an integrated manner. Approximately Tten to twelve IMPEP reviews are conducted in most yearsannually. Agreement State staff participate as team members of the IMPEP team in accordance with Management Directive (MD) 5.6, Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) and MD 5.10, Formal Qualifications for Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Team Members.

IV. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A. OAS Executive Board:

- 1. Designates an OAS member to be responsible for coordination with the SeniorIMPEP Project Manager for IMPEP Coordination, STP, for the participation byof Agreement States team members in IMPEP reviews.;
- 2. Sets a year by year term of service given approval of the volunteer's management and the OAS Executive Board;

Page: 2 of Issue Date:

- 3. Coordinates any interest by Agreement States staff to participate in IMPEP with STP; and,
- 4. Identifies candidates attendees for the annual IMPEP teams prior to annual new team member/refresher training.

B. STP Director:

- 1. Designates the SeniorIMPEP Project Manager for IMPEP Coordination or alternate designee to be responsible for coordination with the OAS designee on Agreement State participation in IMPEP reviews;
- 2. Provides annual training for new team members, which will include travel support;.
- 3. Maintains a list of team members and provides coordination for IMPEP reviews.

C. OAS Designee:

- 1. Provides recruitment guidance to interested Agreement States, including unsolicited interest by Agreement States, outside of the normal recruitment cycle;
- 2. Determines the timing of recruitment of new Agreement State team members.

D. IMPEP Project Manager:


- 1. Coordinates the annual IMPEP schedule and resource needs for Agreement State participation in IMPEP reviews with the OAS designee;
- 2. Provides details on the timing, funding, and expectations of annual training;
- 3. Maintains a list of eligible Agreement State team members and their IMPEP qualifications.

CE. Individual Agreement State team members:

Page: 3 of Issue Date:

- 1. Will usually be available for 1-2 IMPEP reviews per year;
- 2. Will-Aattends IMPEP training when required, and submits IMPEP qualifications to the SeniorIMPEP Project Manager for IMPEP Coordination per MD 5.10.

V. GUIDANCE



CA. Participation in IMPEP reviews by Agreement State Participants

- 1. Specific guidance given in STP Procedure SA-100, *Implementation of the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP)*, should be utilized for preparing, conducting and reporting results of the IMPEP reviews.
- 2. Specific guidance on reviewing individual common and non-common

Page: 4 of Issue Date:

performance indicators can be found in STP Procedure SA-101, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #1, Status of Materials Inspection Program; STP Procedure SA-102, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #2, Technical Quality of Inspections; STP Procedure SA-103, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #3, Technical Staffing and Training; STP Procedure SA-104, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #4, Technical Quality of Licensing Actions; and STP Procedure SA-105, Reviewing Common Performance Indicator #5, Response to Incidents and Allegations; and STP Procedure SA-107, Reviewing Non-Common Performance Indicator #1, Legislation and Program Elements Required for Compatibility.

- 3. Specific guidance on reviewing individual non-common performance indicators can be found in STP Procedure SA-107, Reviewing Non-Common Performance Indicator #1, Legislation and Program Elements Required for Compatibility; STP Procedure SA-108, Reviewing the Non-Common Performance Indicator, Sealed Source and Device Evaluation Program; STP Procedure SA-109, Reviewing the Non-Common Performance Indicator, Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Program; and STP Procedure SA-110, Reviewing the Non-Common Performance Indicator, Uranium Recovery Program.
- 34. Normally, the expected time commitment from an Agreement State participant is 4 weeks per review (significant problemsweaknesses identified in specific reviews may take additional resources).

VI. APPENDICES

Not Applicable

VII. REFERENCES

- 1. Management Directive (MD) 5.6, *Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program*.
- 2. Management Directive (MD) 5.10, Formal Qualifications For Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program Team Members.
- 3. STP Procedure SA-100, *Implementation of the Integrated Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP)*.
- 4. STP Procedure SA-101, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #1,

Page: 5 of Issue Date:

- Status of Materials Inspection Program
- 5. STP Procedure SA-102, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #2, Technical Quality of Inspections.
- 6. STP Procedure SA-103, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #3, Technical Staffing and Training.
- 7. STP Procedure SA-104, Reviewing the Common Performance Indicator #4, Technical Quality of Licensing Actions.
- 8. STP Procedure SA-105, Reviewing Common Performance Indicator #5, Response to Incidents and Allegations.
- 9. STP Procedure SA-106, Management Review Board.
- 10. STP Procedure SA-107, Reviewing Non-Common Performance Indicator #1, Legislation and Program Elements Required for Compatibility.
- 10. STP Procedure SA-108, Reviewing the Non-Common Performance Indicator, Sealed Source and Device Evaluation Program.
- 11. STP Procedure SA-109, Reviewing the Non-Common Performance Indicator, Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Program.
- 12. STP Procedure SA-110, Reviewing the Non-Common Performance Indicator, Uranium Recovery Program.