¹GFDL/NOAA, Princeton, NJ

Abstract We have developed a global three-dimensional chemical transport model to simulate tropospheric ozone and its precursors. The model, called MOZART-2 (Model for OZone and Related chemical Tracers, version 2), includes a detailed representation of ozone-NO_x-NMHC chemistry. The model is built on the framework of the NCAR MATCH transport model, and can be run using a variety meteorogical input datasets. Surface emissions are based on recent emission inventories. We have extensively evaluated the results of MOZART-2 by comparison with observations. MOZART-2 successfully simulates most features of the observed distributions of ozone, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and other related species. We present an analysis of the global budget of tropospheric ozone in MOZART-2, including estimates of the in situ chemistry, transport from the stratosphere, and surface deposition. **Model Description**

Resolution: 2.8° lat x 2.8° long; 34 hybrid vertical levels (surface-5 mb) **Timestep:** 20 minutes for all processes Meteorology: From MACCM3, every 6 hours Photochemistry: 58 chemical species, 132 kinetic + 31 photolysis rxns Surface emissions: Anthropogenic emissions, EDGAR [Olivier et al., 1996] Biomass burning [Hao and Liu, 1994; Müller, 1992; Granier et al., 1999] Biogenic emissions GEIA [Guenther et al., 1995] Soil emissions [Yienger and Levy, 1995] Oceanic emissions [Brasseur et al., 1998] **Lightning:** NO_x source in convective clouds (4 TgN/y) [Price et al., 1997; Pickering et al., 1998] Advection: Flux-form semi-Lagrangian scheme [Lin and Rood, 1996]

Convection: Rediagnosed using *Zhang & MacFarlane* [1995] and *Hack* [1994] Dry deposition: Velocities calculated using Gao and Wesely [1995], based on 10 years of 6-hourly NCEP meteorological data Wet deposition: Based on Giorgi and Chameides [1985]

Boundary layer diffusion: Based on *Holtslag and Boville* [1993]



0.1 1 2 3 5 10 15 10¹⁰ molec cm⁻² s⁻¹

Annual mean NO_x source from fossil fuel combustion and industrial activities, biomass burning, soil emissions, and lightning (above). Summary of surface emission sources in MOZART-2 (below).

Species	Fossil fuel / Industrial	Biomass burning	Biogenic / Soil	Oceans	Total
NO (TgN/y)	23.1	8.7	6.6	0	38.4
CO (Tg/y)	306.9	711.2	181.0	10.0	1209.1
C_2H_6 (TgC/y)	6.4	4.5	0.8	0.1	11.7
C ₃ H ₈ (TgC/y)	10.0	2.2	1.6	0.1	14.00
C_2H_4 (TgC/y)	2.0	12.3	4.3	2.1	20.7
C ₃ H ₆ (TgC/y)	0.9	5.6	0.9	2.5	9.8
C ₄ H ₁₀ (TgC/y)	22.2	23.0	21.4	6.3	72.9
CH ₃ COCH ₃ (Tg/y)	1.0	10.0	20.0	19.8	50.8
Isoprene (TgC/y)	0	0	411.6	0	411.6
C ₁₀ H ₁₆ (TgC/y)	0	0	129.1	0	129.1









Tg O_3 /y. Terms included are: chemical production in the the extratropics.

References

Brasseur, G.P., D.A. Hauglustaine, S. Walters, P.J. Rasch, J.-F. Müller, C. Granier, and X.X. Tie, MOZART, a global chemical transport model for ozone and related chemical tracers, 1, Model description, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 28, 265-28, 289, 1998 Gao, W., and M.L. Wesely, Modeling gaseous dry deposition over regional scales with satellite observations, 1, model development, Atmos. Environ., 29, 727-737, 1995. Giorgi, F., and W.L. Chameides, The rainout parameterization in a photochemical model, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 7872-7880, 198 Granier, C., J.F. Müller, and G. Brasseur, The impact of biomass burning on the global budget of ozone and ozone precursors, Proceedings of the Wengen Conference on Global Change Research: Biomass Burning and its Inter-Relationships with the Climate System, in press, 1999 Guenther, A., C.N. Hewitt, D. Erickson, R. Fall, C. Geron, T. Graedel, P. Harley, L. Klinger, M. Lerdau, W.A. McKay, T. Pierce, B. Scholes, R. Steinbrecher, R. Tallamraju, J. Taylor, and P. Zimmerman, A global model of natural volatile organic carbon emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 8873-8892, 1995. Hack, J.J., Parameterization of moist convection in the NCAR community climate model (CCM2), J. Geophys. Res., 99, 5551-5568, 1994 Hao, W.M., and M.-H. Liu, Spatial and temporal distribution of tropical biomass burning, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 8, 495-503, 1994.

Lin, S.-J., and R.B. Rood, Multidimensional flux-form semi-lagrangian transport schemes, Mon. Wea. Rev., 124, 2046-2070, 1996. Logan, J.A., An analysis of ozonesonde data for the troposphere: Recommendations for testing 3-D models and development of a gridded climatology for tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 16,115-16,149, 1999 Müller, J.-F., Geographical distribution and seasonal variation of surface emissions and deposition velocities of atmospheric trace gases, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 3787-3804, 1992. Novelli, P.C., K.A. Masarie, and P.M. Lang, Distributions and recent changes of carbon monoxide in the lower troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 19,015-19,033, 1998. Olivier, J.G.J., A.F. Bouwman, C.W.M. van der Maas, J.J.M. Berdowski, C. Veldt, J.P.J. Bloos, A.J.H. Visschedijk, P.Y.J. Zandveld, and J.L. Haverlag, Description of EDGAR version 2.0: A set of global emission inventories of greenhouse gases and ozone-depleting substances for all anthropogenic and

most natural sources on a per country basis and on a 1x1 degree grid, RIVM report 771060 002/TNO-MEP report R96/119, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, the Netherlands, 1996. Pickering, K.E., Y. Wang, W.-K. Tao, C. Price, and J.-F. Müller, Vertical distributions of lightning NOx for use in regional and global chemical transport models, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 31,203-31,216, 1998. Price, C., J. Penner, and M. Prather, NOx from lightning, 1, Global distribution based on lightning physics, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 5929-5941, 1997.

Yienger, J.J., and H. Levy II, Empirical model of global soil-biogenic NO_x emissions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *100*, 11,447-11,464, 1995. Zhang, G.J., and N.A. McFarlane, Sensitivity of climate simulations to the parameterization of cumulus convection in the Canadian Climate Centre general circulation model, Atmos. Ocean, 33, 407-446, 1995.

³NCAR, Boulder, CO

⁴MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg Germany

Budget of ozone in the troposphere calculated by MOZART-2, in units of

advective fluxes, chemical production nd loss, dry deposition to surface, convection and vertical diffusion, and mass consistency correction in the advection scheme. The "net" source from transport is 401 Tg O₃/y. Net troposphere is 468 Tg/y. For this budget, the troposphere was defined as extending up to 100 mb in the tropics (30°S-30°N) and 250 mb in





Conclusions

- seasonality generally agree well with observations.
- at many locations.
- in many earlier modelling studies.

- troposphere) indicates a significant problem for offline chemical transport models.



⁵CNRS, Paris, France

• MOZART-2 successfully simulates the major features of the observed distributions of ozone, CO, NO_x, PAN, and related species (including peroxides and carbonyls, not shown). Horizontal and vertical gradients and

• Discrepancies with observations include an underestimate of CO at tropical surface sites, and an overestimate of PAN in the upper troposphere at some sites. In addition, nitric acid (not shown) is overestimated by the model

• The calculated budget of tropospheric ozone is within the range found in previous global chemical transport model studies. The photochemical production and loss rates of ozone in the troposphere are higher than found

• The net influx of ozone from the stratosphere is at the low end of the range found in recent chemical transport modelling studies. This influx shows significant hemispheric asymmetry, especially in the extratropics. • The large source of ozone due to the mass consistency correction in the advection scheme (88 Tg/y in the