Guy Cable Design and Damping for Vertical Axis Wind Turbines

Thomas G. Carne

Sandia National Laboratories

energy report

SAND80-2669

GUY CABLE DESIGN AND DAMPING FOR VERTICAL AXIS WIND TURBINES

Thomas G. Carne
Sandia National Laboratories
Division 5523
Albuquerque, New Mexico   87185

ABSTRACT

Guy cables are frequently used to support vertical axis wind turbines since guying the turbine reduces some of the structural requirements on the tower. The guys must be designed to provide both the required strength and the required stiffness at the top of the turbine. The axial load which the guys apply to the tower, bearings, and foundations is an undesirable consequence of using guys to support the turbine. Limiting the axial load so that it does not significantly affect the cost of the turbine is an important objective of the cable design. The lateral vibration of the cables is another feature of the cable design which needs to be considered. These aspects of the cable design are discussed in this paper, and a technique for damping cable vibrations is mathematically analyzed and demonstrated with experimental data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ILLUSTRATIONS

4

ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont.)

Introduction

Most vertical axis wind turbines use guy cables to support the top of a single, fully rotating central tower. Other designs, such as a cantilevered tower or two concentric towers (one stationary to react cable loads) have been found to be, generally, less cost effective. The guy cables serve two primary functions while supporting the turbine. They provide the strength necessary to hold the turbine during hurricane winds, and they provide the stiffness at the top of the rotor. The strength and stiffness requirements are not competing design objectives, however, one or the other may "drive" the various parameters involved in the cable design.

There are two important consequences of using guy cables to support the rotor. First, since guys have an initial tension, the vertical component of the tension is reacted through the tower, the foundation and, in this case, the bearings. This axial load imposed by the guys impacts the cost of these components. The second consequence of the guy support is lateral vibrations of the cables. Cable vibration will be excited by the motion of the top of the turbine while it is operating. There is always excitation to the cables as long as the turbine is operating in wind. If the excitation frequency is near one of the cable natural frequencies, then the amplitude of vibration can be quite large. Large amplitude cable vibrations need to be avoided in order to reduce fatigue

in the cables and their terminations and also to maintain a reasonable blade/cable clearance distance. Other aspects of the cable design, which include the cable sag, the required blade/cable clearance distance, thermal expansion effects, and cable anchors, are discussed in some detail in Ref. 1-5.

Design Guidelines

There are a number of cable parameters which have to be chosen in order to establish the design for the guys. These parameters are the cross-sectional area of a cable, the number of cables, the length of the cables, the pretension of the cables, the cable elevation angle, and the cable material (density and modulus). All of these parameters affect the two key design requirements on the guys, their support strength and the stiffness they create at the top of the turbine [Ref. 3]. These cable parameters also affect the consequences of the cable design which include lateral cable vibrations, cable sag, and the resulting axial load on the turbine due to the tension in the guys [Ref. 3].

The strength requirement on the guys is the easiest to specify. The cross-sectional area of the cables must be sufficiently large to support the turbine during parked survival in hurricane winds without the tension in the cables exceeding their ultimate strength divided by a factor of safety. A factor of safety of at least three is recommended for cables with an expected life of thirty years. This factor

of safety is not conservative when compared to other cable applications and should be increased if the turbine will be placed in an environment which is particularly harsh for corrosion. The tension in cables during the parked survival condition will be the initial tension plus the increase (or decrease) due to the wind drag on the turbine. Thus the value of the initial tension will affect the cable tension during parked survival unless the initial tension is sufficiently low that the downwind cable goes slack during the hurricane winds.

The stiffness requirement for the guys is impossible to generalize for all turbine designs. The required stiffness will have to be chosen interactively with the rest of the turbine design. This is due to the fact that the stiffness at the top of the turbine affects the frequencies of the natural modes of vibration. However, these frequencies are also controlled by the whole turbine structure especially the blades and the tower. Consequently, depending on the desirable values for these modal frequencies, the operational frequency of the turbine, and the structural properties of the turbine, the guy cable stiffness will have to be chosen accordingly.

Figure 1 shows the variation in the modal frequencies with the guy stiffness for the 17-Meter Low Cost Turbine. Examining this figure, one can see that some of the modes are quite sensitive to the guy stiffness. Further, we can see that, in order to keep the modal frequencies away from the excitation frequencies, the guy stiffness is forced to be greater than three k.

FIGURE 1 - MODAL FREQUENCIES VERSUS CABLE STIFFNESS

K = 4440 LBS/IN, SPEED = 48.1 RPM

Another consideration for choosing the cable stiffness is the allowable angle change for the bearings at the bottom of the rotor. If the bearing can only allow a small angle change of the rotor, then the cable stiffness will have to be sufficiently high to restrain the rotor from leaning. However, if a universal joint is used to protect the bearing or some more tolerant bearing design is used, then this constraint on the stiffness will not apply.

The frequencies of lateral vibrations of the guy cables are a consequence of the guy design, and they can place a constraint on the design. The natural frequencies of vibration of the cables are $f_n = n(T/\rho A)^{1/2}/2L$ [Ref. 3] where n is the mode number, L is the cable length, T is the guy tension, A is the cable cross-sectional area, and ρ is the mass density. In many designs these parameters cannot be chosen so that the first cable frequency is above the excitation frequency of 2.0/rev (for a two bladed turbine) since that would force the tension to be larger than would otherwise be desirable. Further, since the tensions in the cables vary with the wind velocity and temperature, it may not be possible to completely avoid all cable resonances in any case. The cable vibration problem is discussed in the last section of this paper and a technique for restraining the vibrations is analyzed and demonstrated.

The sag of the guy cables is another consequence of the guy design, and it must be evaluated and compared with the

blades/cable clearance distance. If the sag is too large for available clearance, then the cable design will have to be changed. More discussion of the clearance requirements can be found in Ref. 3.

The axial load which is applied to the turbine by the guys can be the most serious consequence of the guy design. Reducing the axial load within the constraints of the design will reduce the cost of the turbine. Nellums in Ref. 6 has shown that reductions in the costs of the bearings and tower result from reducing the cable imposed axial load for a 150 foot tall turbine. Foundation costs would also be reduced.

The axial load is simply the total of the guy tensions times the sine of the cable elevation angle at the top of the turbine. Reducing either the tension or the elevation angle will reduce the axial load. However, both of these changes also decrease the guy stiffness, so the required stiffness will restrict the design choices. It can be shown that to obtain a minimum axial load, for a given stiffness, the elevation angle should be 35.3 degrees.

The axial load on the turbine will change as the guy tensions change, so during hurricane survival the axial load may increase. Depending on the tower and bearings designs, an increase in the axial load during the parked survival condition may or may not affect the cost of these components.

In view of the requirements and consequences of the cable design, it is clear that the design process must be iterative and interactive with the total turbine design. The starting point for the cable design must be the strength requirement. Then, using an elevation angle of thirty-five degrees, determine a tension and an area for the stiffness requirement. This new area and tension will then be factored back into the strength requirment, and then the stiffness reevaluated, and during this design iteration the axial load, cable sag, and cable natural frequencies need to be computed and evaluated for acceptability.

Cable Vibrations

If the design of the guys results in the first cable frequency being less than n per rev where n is the number of blades, then lateral vibrations of the cables can result. Excessive cable vibration could cause a blade strike or fatigue the cable terminations or anchors. Excitation of the cables always exists since the top of the rotor moves while it is operating, and the cables have exceptionally low inherent damping (less than 0.2 percent of critical), so very high resonant responses can result. Experience has shown that if the first cable frequency is above the primary excitation frequency, n per rev, then there is no problem with cable vibrations. However, keeping cable frequencies that high can be costly, particularly for large turbines.

12

There are two direct solutions to alleviate the cable
vibration problem. One is to constrain the cable so that the
cable modes are shifted to a higher frequency. This can be
done by forcing one or more nodal points along the span of the
cable. The other solution would be to add damping to the cable
so that resonant responses, when they occur, would be limited
in magnitude.

The rest of this paper will discuss a cable damping system
which was developed for this purpose.

The concept for the dampers utilizes Coulomb friction to
dissipate the energy. It is simply a pair of weights which are
suspended from the cable and slide on two inclined surfaces
whenever the cable moves. The two surfaces are at right angles

FIGURE 2 - DIAGRAM OF CABLE DAMPING CONCEPT

to each other and at right angles to the cable, thus they can damp the motion of any lateral cable vibration. The dampers can be placed near the anchors so that they are out-of-the-way. They can be built inexpensively and require no power to operate them. They could be designed to require very little maintenance and be very reliable. Figure 2 shows a diagram of the damping concept with just one friction surface shown, omitting the other for clarity purposes.

FIGURE 3. DIAGRAM OF CABLE WITH DAMPER

In order to determine the size, weights, and spacing of this damping concept, an analysis for the damping was performed and is described very briefly below. Figure 3 shows a diagram of the physical system that will be anaylzed with the indicated notation. We will consider motion in only one plane since the out-of-plane motion is uncoupled. Our interest is the modal damping in the cable, so we can consider the homogeneous differential equation and boundary conditions.

$$\rho \ddot{u}(x, t) - T u''(x, t) = 0$$

for $0 < x < \ell_1$ and $\qquad$ (1)

$$\rho \ddot{v}(x, t) - T v''(x, t) = 0$$

for $\ell_1 < x < L$, $\qquad$ (2)

where ρ is the mass per unit length, T is the tension, u and v are the displacments, and the dots represent differentiation with respect to time and the primes with respect to x. The boundary conditions and continuity conditions are

$$u(0, t) = v(L, t) = 0 \quad , \qquad (3)$$
$$u(\ell_1, t) = v(\ell_1, t) \quad , \qquad (4)$$

and

$$T[v'(\ell_1, t) - u'(\ell_1, t)] = c_o \dot{u}(\ell_1, t) , (5)$$

where c_o is the viscous damping coefficient. If we take ℓ_2 to be much less than ℓ_1, then v" can be approximated by zero; and the coupled differential equations can be reduced to one differential equation with an inhomogeneous boundary condition. Using separation of variables and assuming

sinusoidal motion in the standard way leads to a transcendental equation for the eigenfrequencies, except in this case, the frequencies are complex because of the damping.

$$\frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2} \frac{\tan\beta}{\beta} + i\, c \tan\beta + 1 = 0 \quad , \qquad (6)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\omega}{\frac{1}{\ell_1} \sqrt{T/\rho}} \quad ,$$

$$c = c_0 / \sqrt{T\rho} \quad ,$$

and ω is the natural frequency of vibration. Equation (6) can be solved approximately for the damping coefficient in the first mode, and we find

$$\zeta = \frac{\pi\, c\, \lambda^2}{1 + \pi^2 c^2 \lambda^2} \qquad (7)$$

where

$$\lambda = \ell_2/L \quad .$$

Equation (7) reveals some reassuring behavior. When c is zero or when c is infinity, the damping coefficient is zero as one would expect physically. Consequently ζ is a maximum at some intermediate value of c. This is easily computed and

$$\zeta_{max} = \lambda/2 \text{ at } c = 1/\pi\lambda \qquad (8)$$

Figure 4 shows a series of plots of the damping coefficient ζ as a function of c for various values of λ. One can see the maxima of ζ shift as λ is increased. Also note that the curves become steeper near the maximum ζ with increasing λ.

$$\zeta = \frac{c\,\pi\,\lambda^2}{1 + c^2\,\pi^2\,\lambda^2}$$

FIGURE 4 – THE VARIATION IN DAMPING FACTOR WITH VISCOUS DAMPING COEFFICIENT

The magnitude of the damping coefficient that can be obtained with this system appears quite attractive. With the damping system connected to the cable one-tenth of the distance along the cable ($\lambda = 0.1$), damping values as high as five percent of critical can be obtained. Even if only two percent damping were achieved, this still represents an increase by a factor of ten over the damping inherently in the cables.

The analysis of the cable damping was performed assuming viscous damping, but the actual damping mechanism is Coulomb friction. By equating the energy dissipated in one cycle of the viscous damper to that of the friction damper, a relationship between the viscous coefficient and friction coefficient can be obtained. The energy dissipated in one cycle is

$$U_D = \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} F_D \cdot \dot{u} \, dt$$

where F_D is the damping force. Evaluating U_D for both the viscous damping and the friction damping and equating the results, we find that the sliding weight W which must be suspended by the cable for the same energy dissipation is

$$W = \frac{c_o \pi \omega u(x_o)}{4 \mu \cos \gamma} \tag{9}$$

where μ is the friction coefficient, γ is the elevation angle of the friction surface, and $u(x_o)$ is the displacement of the

cable at the attachment point. Equation (9) reveals the basic
nonlinearity of friction damping as opposed to viscous damping;
the weight required for equivalent damping is proportional to
the displacement $u(x_o)$. Thus, the equivalent c_o goes down
with increasing amplitude and goes up with decreasing
amplitude. Consequently, an anticipated displacement $u(x_o)$
must be known before an equivalent weight W can be calculated.
This nonlinearity also reveals itself when the cable motion is
small, then the equivalent c_o is large, and the damper
creates a large force relative to the elastic force at the
connection. This force just drives a node point and the energy
dissipation goes to zero.

This damping scheme was tested on the 17-Meter Research
Turbine at Sandia National Laboratories in order to determine
the effect of the damping on the cable vibrations. A single
pair of friction dampers at right angles were connected to just
one of the four guy cables four meters from the cable end (λ =
0.1). A pair of 18 kg weights were used as the sliding
elements. The surfaces were inclined at thirty-five degree
from the horizontal and had a friction coefficient μ = 0.20.
The size, mass, and location of these dampers were quite
reasonable and should have yielded between two to five percent
damping depending on the amplitude of the cable motion.

Acceleration measurements were taken on the cable in the
horizontal and vertical directions while the turbine was
operating in a variety of wind speeds. The acceleration data

was analyzed using the Method of Bins [Ref. 7] so that the rms acceleration amplitude could be plotted as a function of wind speed. One would expect the cable vibrations to increase with wind speed since the excitation increases. Measurements were taken with and without the damping system connected and at three different cable tensions, T_1, T_2, and T_3.

The highest tension, T_1 = 80 kN, caused the first cable frequency to be about twenty percent higher than two per rev; the second tension, T_2 = 54 kN, produced a cable frequency very near two per rev; and the third tension, T_3 = 27 kN, created a cable frequency at about seventy percent of two per rev. The Bins data for the horizontal and vertical accelerations with the three tensions are shown in Figures 5-14. The first five figures are the horizontal acceleration plots: Tension 1 without damping, Tension 2 without damping, Tension 2 with damping, Tension 3 without damping, and Tension 3 with damping. The last five figures are the vertical acceleration plots in that same order. Note the tremendous difference in the acceleration levels between Figs. 5 and 6, and then adding the damper in Fig. 7 brings the acceleration levels back down to the levels of Fig. 5. Figs. 8 and 9 are the undamped and damped cases for tension T_3. There is hardly any difference between the plots. This is the expected result since this case is not a resonant response, but merely a forced response, and damping does very little unless a resonance exists. Figs 10-14 show the same data but for the vertical direction. The same trends are exhibited in these figures.

FIGURE 5 - CABLE HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION VERSUS
WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 1, UNDAMPED

FIGURE 6 - CABLE HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION
VERSUS WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 2, UNDAMPED

FIGURE 7 - CABLE HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION VERSUS
WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 2, DAMPED

FIGURE 8 - CABLE HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION
VERSUS WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 3, UNDAMPED

FIGURE 9 - CABLE HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION
VERSUS WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 3, DAMPED

22

FIGURE 10 – CABLE VERTICAL ACCELERATION VERSUS
WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 1, UNDAMPED

FIGURE 11 – CABLE VERTICAL ACCELERATION VERSUS
WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 2, UNDAMPED

FIGURE 12 – CABLE VERTICAL ACCELERATION VERSUS
WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 2, DAMPED

23

FIGURE 13 - CABLE VERTICAL ACCELERATION VERSUS
WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 3, UNDAMPED

FIGURE 14 - CABLE VERTICAL ACCELERATION VERSUS
WIND SPEED FOR TENSION 3, DAMPED

24

Conclusions

The design of the guy cables is not a straightforward process. It must be iterative since there are multiple requirements and constraints, and it must be interactive with the rest of the structural design since the cable stiffness affects the modal frequencies.

The cable damping concept demonstrated here appears to work quite well in reducing resonant vibrations of the cables. The system appears simple to design and install. Consequently, this system or something similar can be used to eliminate the constraint of cable vibrations on the design of the guys.

Acknowledgements

References

1. Reuter, R. C., Jr., Tie-Down Cable Selection and Initial Tensioning for the Sandia 17-Meter Vertical Axis Wind Turbine, SAND 76-0616 (Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 1977).

2. Reuter, R. C., Jr., Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Tie-Down Design with an Example, SAND77-1919 (Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 1977).

3. Carne, T. G., "Guy Cable and Foundation Design Techniques," SAND80-0984, Proceedings of the Vertical Axis Wind Turbine (VAWT) Design Technology Seminar for Industry,(Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 1980).

4. Auld, H. E. and Lodde, P. F., A Study of Foundation/Anchor Requirements for Prototype Vertical-Axis Wind Turbines, SAND78-7046 (Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 1979).

5. Lodde, P. F., Wind Turbine Foundation Parameter Study, SAND80-7015 (Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 1980).

6. Nellums, R. O., "Economic Assessment of the Darrieus Wind Turbine," AIAA Paper 80-0614, Proceedings of the AIAA/SERI Wind Energy Conference, April 9-11, 1980, Boulder, Colorado.

7. Sullivan, W. N., "5-Meter Turbine Field Testing," SAND76-5586, Proceedings of the Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Technology Workshop, May, 1976 (Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 1976).

DISTRIBUTION:

TID-4500-R66   UC-60 (283)

Aero Engineering Department (2)
Wichita State University
Wichita, KS   67208
Attn: M. Snyder
       W. Wentz

R. E. Akins, Assistant Professor
Department of Engineering Science
  and Mechanics
Virginia Polytechnic Institute &
  State University
Blacksburg, VA   24060

Alcoa Laboratories (5)
Alcoa Technical Center
Aluminum Company of America
Alcoa Center, PA   15069
Attn: D. K. Ai
       A. C. Craig
       J. T. Huang
       J. P. Jombock
       P. N. Vosburgh

Mr. Robert B. Allen
General Manager
Dynergy Corporation
P.O. Box 428
1269 Union Avenue
Laconia, NH   03246

American Wind Energy Association
1609 Connecticut Avenue NW
Washington, DC   20009

E. E. Anderson
South Dakota School of Mines
  and Technology
Department of Mechanical Eng.
Rapid City, SD   57701

Scott Anderson
318 Millis Hall
University of Vermont
Burlington, VT   05405

G. T. Ankrum
DOE/Office of Commercialization
20 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Mail Station 2221C
Washington, DC   20585

Holt Ashley
Stanford University
Department of Aeronautics and
  Astronautics Mechanical Eng.
Stanford, CA   94305

Kevin Austin
Consolidated Edison Company of
  New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY   10003

B. H. Barksdale, Jr.
Hayes, Seay, Mattern, and Mattern
1315 Franklin Road SW
Roanoke, VA   24016

Dr. F. J. Baum
Institute of Geophysics
 and Planetary Physics
University of California
Riverside, CA   92521

Gaston Beaulieu, M.Sc.
Instit de recherche
d'Hydro-Québec
1800 Montée Ste-Julie
Varennes, Qué.
Canada J0L2P0

F. K. Bechtel
Washington State University
Department of Electrical Eng.
College of Engineering
Pullman, WA   99163

M. E. Beecher
Arizona State University
Solar Energy Collection
University Library
Tempe, AZ   85281

Leon Bjervig
Civilingenior, MCIF
"Osterbyhus", 6990 Ulfborg
DK6990
DENMARK

K. Bergey
University of Oklahoma
Aero Engineering Department
Norman, OK   73069

Steve Blake
Wind Energy Systems
Route 1, Box 93-A
Oskaloosa, KS   66066

Robert Brulle
McDonnell-Douglas Aircraft Corp.
P.O. Box 516
Department 341, Building 32/2
St. Louis, MO   63166

R. Camerero
Faculty of Applied Science
University of Sherbrooke
Sherbrooke, Quebec
CANADA J1K 2R1

CERCEM
49 Rue du Commandant Rolland
93350 Le Bourget
FRANCE
Attn: G. Darrieus
        J. Delassus

Professor V. A. L. Chasteau
School of Engineering
University of Auckland
Private Bag
Auckland, NEW ZEALAND

Howard T. Clark
McDonnell Aircraft Corporation
P.O. Box 516
Department 337, Building 32
St. Louis, MO   63166

Dr. R. N. Clark
USDA Agricultural Research Svc.
Southwest Great Plains
    Research Center
Bushland, TX   79012

Joan D. Cohen
Consumer Outreach Coordinator
State of New York
Executive Department
State Consumer Protection Board
99 Washington Avenue
Albany, NY   12210

Dr. D. E. Cromack
Associate Professor
Mechanical and Aerospace Eng.
  Department
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA   01003

Gale B. Curtis
Tumac Industries
650 Ford Street
Colorado Springs, CO   80915

DOE/ALO (2)
Albuquerque, NM   87115
Attn: G. P. Tennyson

DOE Headquarters/WESD (20)
600 E Street NW
Washington, DC   20545
Attn: D. F. Ancona
        C. E. Aspliden
        L. V. Divone
        W. C. Reddick

C. W. Dodd
School of Engineering
Southern Illinois University
Carbondale, IL   62901

D. D. Doerr
Kaiser Aluminum and
    Chemical Sales, Inc.
6177 Sunol Blvd.
P. O. Box 877
Pleasonton, CA   94566

Dominion Aluminum
    Fabricating Ltd. (2)
3570 Hawkenstone Road
Mississauga, Ontario
CANADA L5C 2U8
Attn: L. Schienbein
        C. Wood

J. B. Dragt
Nederlands Energy Research
    Foundation (E.C.N.)
Physics Department
Westerduinweg 3 Patten (nh)
THE NETHERLANDS

C. E. Elderkin
Battelle-Pacific Northwest Lab.
P.O. Box 999
Richland, WA   99352

Frank R. Eldridge, Jr.
The Mitre Corporation
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd.
McLean, VA   22102

Electric Power Research Institute
3412 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, CA   94304
Attn: E. Demeo

Richard G. Ferreira, Chief
The Resources Agency
Department of Water Resources
Energy Division
1416 9th Street
P.O. Box 388
Sacremento, CA   95802

D. R. Finley
New England Geosystems
P.O. Box 128
East Derry, NH   03041

James D. Fock, Jr.
Department of Aerospace
    Engineering Sciences
University of Colorado
Boulder, CO   80309

Dr. Lawrence C. Frederick
Public Service Company
    of New Hampshire
1000 Elm Street
Manchester, NH   03105

H. Gerardin
Mechanical Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Sciences & Eng.
Universite Laval-Quebec
CANADA G1K 7P4

E. Gilmore
Amarillo College
Amarillo, TX   79100

Paul Gipe
Wind Power Digest
P.O. Box 539
Harrisburg, PA   17108

Roger T. Griffiths
University College of Swansea
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.
Singleton Park
Swansea SA2 8PP
UNITED KINGDOM

Richard Haddad
101 Arizona
P.O. Box 530
El Paso, TX   79944

A. A. Hagman
Kaiser Aluminum and
    Chemical Sales, Inc.
14200 Cottage Grove Ave.
Dolton, IL   60419

Martin L. Hally, Section Manager
Project Department
Electricity Supply
18 St. Stephen's Green
Dublin 2, IRELAND

Professor N. D. Ham
Massachusetts Institute of Tech.
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA   02139

C. F. Harris
Wind Engineering Corporation
Airport Industrial Area
Box 5936
Lubbock, TX   79415

W. L. Harris
Aero/Astro Department
Massachusetts Institute of Tech.
Cambridge, MA   02139

Terry Healy (2)
Rockwell International
Rocky Flats Plant
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO   80401

Helion
P.O. Box 4301
Sylmar, CA   91342

Don Hinrichsen
Associate Editor
AMBIO
KVA
Fack, S-10405
Stockholm
SWEDEN

Sven Hugosson
Box 21048
S. 100 31 Stockholm 21
SWEDEN

O. Igra
Dept. of Mechanical Eng.
Ben-Gurion University
    of Negev
Beer-Sheva, ISRAEL

Indian Oil Corporation, Ltd.
Marketing Division
254-C, Dr. Annie Besant Road
Prabhadevi, Bombay-400025
INDIA

JBF Scientific Corportion
2 Jewel Drive
Wilmington, MA   01887
Attn: E. E. Johanson

Dr. Gary L. Johnson, P.E.
Electrical Engineering Department
Kansas State University
Manhattan, KS   66506

B. C. Kaddy, Jr.
Box 353
31 Union Street
Hillsboro, NH   03244

Kaman Aerospace Corporation
Old Windsor Road
Bloomfield, CT   06002
Attn: W. Batesol

R. L. Katzenberg
2820 Upton St. NW
Washington, DC   20008

Robert E. Kelland
The College of Trades and Tech.
P.O. Box 1693
Prince Philip Drive
St. John's, Newfoundland
CANADA A1C 5P7

S. King
Natural Power, Inc.
New Boston, NH   03070

Larry Kinnett
P.O. Box 6593
Santa Barbara, CA   93111

Samuel H. Kohler
272 Old Delp Road
Lancaster, PA   17602

O. Krauss
Michigan State University
Division of Engineering Research
East Lansing, MI   48824

Carol Lamb
2584 East Geddes Avenue
Littleton, CO   80122

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
P.O. Box 808 L-340
Livermore, CA   94550
Attn: D. W. Dorn

M. Lechner
Public Service of
   New Mexico
P. O. Box 2267
Albuquerque, NM 87103

Kalman Nagy Lehoczky
Cort Adelers GT. 30
Oslo 2
NORWAY

George E. Lennox
Industry Director
Mill Products Division
Reynolds Metals Company
6601 West Broad Street
Richmond, VA   23261

J. Lerner
State Energy Commission
Research and Development Division
1111 Howe Avenue
Sacramento, CA   95825

L. Liljidahl
Building 303
Agriculture Research Center
USDA
Beltsville, MD   20705

P. B. S. Lissaman
Aeroenvironment, Inc.
660 South Arroyo Parkway
Pasadena, CA   91105

Olle Ljungstrom
FFA, The Aeronautical Research
   Institute
Box 11021
S-16111 Bromma
SWEDEN

T. H. Logan
U.S. Turbine Corporation
Olde Courthouse Building
Canfield, OH   44406

J. B. Longendyck
Siltex
7 Capitol Drive
Moonachie, NJ   07074

Los Alamos Scientific
    Laboratories
P.O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM   87544
Attn: J. D. Balcomb Q-DO-T

Beatrice de Saint Louvent
Establissement d'Etudes et
    de Recherches Meteorologiques
77, Rue de Serves
92106 Boulogne-Billancourt Cedex
FRANCE

Ernel L. Luther
Senior Associate
PRC Energy Analysis Co.
7600 Old Springhouse Rd.
McLean, VA   22101

L. H. J. Maile
48 York Mills Rd.
Willowdale, Ontario
CANADA M2P 1E4

David J. Malcolm
Structural Dynamicist
DAF Indal Ltd.
3570 Hawkestone Rd.
Mississauga, Ont.,
Canada L5C2V8

E. L. Markowski
Motolola, Inc.
G.E.D.
Mail Drop 1429
8201 E. McDowell Rd.
P.O. Box 1417
Scottsdale, AZ   85252

Jacques R. Maroni
Ford Motor Company
Environmental Research and
    Energy Planning Director
Environmental and Safety
    Engineering Staff
The American Road
Dearborn, MI 48121

Frank Matanzo
Dardalen Associates
15110 Frederick Road
Woodbine, MD   21797

H. S. Matsuda, Manager
Composite Materials Laboratory
Pioneering R&D Laboratories
Toray Industries, Inc.
Sonoyama, Otsu, Shiga
JAPAN 520

J. R. McConnell
Tumac Industries, Inc.
650 Ford St.
Colorado Springs, CO   80915

James Meiggs
Kaman Sciences Corporation
P.O. Box 7463
Colorado Springs, CO   80933

R. N. Meroney
Colorado State University
Department of Civil Engineering
Fort Collins, CO   80521

G. N. Monsson
Department of Economic Planning
    and Development
Barrett Building
Cheyenne, WY   82002

NASA Lewis Research Center (4)
21000 Brookpark Road
Cleveland, OH   44135
Attn: J. Savino
       R. L. Thomas
       W. Robbins
       K. Kaza

Anthony A. Nedd
The Power Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 221
Genesee Depot, WI   53217

V. Nelson
West Texas State University
Department of Physics
P.O. Box 248
Canyon, TX   79016

Leander Nichols
Natural Power, Inc.
New Boston, NH   03070

Ronald Nousain
P.O. Box 111
Rome 1132
Los Angeles, CA   90051

Oklahoma State University (2)
Stillwater, OK   76074
Attn: W. L. Hughes
              EE Department
        D. K. McLaughlin
              ME Department

Oregon State University (2)
Corvallis, OR   97331
Attn: R. E. Wilson
              ME Department
        R. W. Thresher
              ME Department

Pat F. O'Rourke
Precinct 4
County Commissioner
City-County Building
El Paso, TX   79901

H. B. Paalman
Dow Chemical USA
Research Center
2800 Mitchell Drive
Walnut Creek, CA   94598

Ion Paraschivoiu
IREQ
1800 montee Ste-Julie
Varennes, Qeubec
CANADA JOL 2PO

R. A. Parmalee
Northwestern University
Department of Civil Engineering
Evanston, IL   60201

Helge Petersen
Riso National Laboratory
DK-4000 Roskilde
DENMARK

Wilson Prichett, III
National Rural Electric Coop.
   Association
1800 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC   20036

S. Quraeshi, Eng.
Shawinigan Eng. Company Ltd.
620 Dorchester Blvd. We
West, Montreal
Quebec, Canada, H3B1N8

Dr. Barry Rawlings, Chief
Division of Mechanical Eng.
Commonwealth Scientific and
    Industrial Research
    Organization
Graham Road, Highett
Victoria, 3190
AUSTRALIA

Thomas W. Reddoch
Associate Professor
Department of Electrical Eng.
The University of Tennessee
Knoxville, TN   37916

Ray G. Richards
Atlantic Wind Test Site
P.O. Box 189
Tignish P.E.I.
COB 2BC CANADA

A. Robb
Memorial University of
    Newfoundland
Faculty of Engineering and
    Applied Sciences
St. John's Newfoundland
CANADA A1C 5S7

J. R. Rodriguez
Solarwind Energy Corporation
1163 Pomona Road
Unit A
Corona, CA   91720

Dr. -Ing. Hans Ruscheweyh
Institut fur Leichbau
Technische Hochschule Aachen
Wullnerstrasse 7
GERMANY

Gwen Schreiner
Librarian
National Atomic Museum
Albuquerque, NM   87185

Douglas E. Seely, P.E.
U.S. Department of Energy
P.O. Box 3621
102 NE Holladay
Portland, OR   97208

Arnan Seginer
Professor of Aerodynamics
Technion-Israel Institute of
  Technology
Department of Aeronautical
  Engineering
Haifa, ISRAEL

Dr. Horst Selzer
Dipl.-Phys.
Wehrtechnik und Energieforschung
ERNO-Raumfahrttechnik GmbH
Hunefeldstr. 1-5
Postfach 10 59 09
2800 Bremen 1
GERMANY

H. Sevier
Rocket and Space Division
Bristol Aerospace Ltd.
P.O. Box 874
Winnipeg, Manitoba
CANADA R3C 2S4

P. N. Shankar
Aerodynamics Division
National Aeronautical Laboratory
Bangalore 560017
INDIA

David Sharpe
Kingston Polytechnic
Canbury Park Road
Kingston, Surrey
UNITED KINGDOM

D. G. Shepherd
Cornell University
Sibley School of Mechanical and
  Aerospace Engineering
Ithaca, NY   14853

Dr. Fred Smith
Mechanical Engineering
  Department Head
Colorado State University
Ft. Collins, CO   80521

Kent Smith
Instituto Technologico
  Costa Rica
Apartado 159 Cartago
COSTA RICA

Leo H. Soderholm
Iowa State University
Agricultural Engineering,
  Room 213
Ames, IA   50010

Bent Sorenson
Roskilde University Centery
Energy JGroup, Bldg. 17.2
IMFUFA
P.O. Box 260
DK-400 Roskilde
DENMARK

Southwest Research Institute (2)
P.O. Drawer 28501
San Antonio, TX   78284
Attn: W. L. Donaldson,
       Senior Vice President
       R. K. Swanson

Rick Stevenson
Route 2
Box 85
Springfield, MO   65802

Dale T. Stjernholm, P.E.
Mechanical Design Engineer
Morey/Stjernholm and Associates
1050 Magnolia Street
Colorado Springs, CO   80907

G. W. Stricker
383 Van Gordon 30-559
Lakewood, CO   80228

C. J. Swet
Route 4
Box 358
Mt. Airy, MD   21771

John Taylor
National Research Council
ASEB
2101 Constitution Avenue
Washington, DC   20418

R. J. Templin (3)
Low Speed Aerodynamics Section
NRC-National Aeronautical
  Establishment
Ottawa 7, Ontario
CANADA K1A OR6

Texas Tech University (3)
P.O. Eox 4389
Lubbock, TX   79409
Attn: K. C. Mehta, CE Department
        J. Strickland, ME Department
        J. Lawrence, ME Department

Fred Thompson
Atari, Inc.
155 Moffett Park Drive
Sunnyvale, CA   94086

J. M. Turner, Group Leader
Terrestrial Energy Technology
    Program Office
Energy Conversion Eranch
Aerospace Power Division
Aero Propulsion Laboratory
Department of the Air Force
Air Force Wright Aeronautical
    Laboratories (AFSC)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Ease, OH
                              45433

United Engineers and Constructors, Inc.
Advanced Engineering Department
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA   19101
Attn: A. J. Karalis

University of New Mexico (2)
New Mexico Engineering Research
    Institute
Campus, P.O. Eox 25
Albuquerque, N.M.   87131
Attn: G. G. Leigh

University of New Mexico (2)
Albuquerque, NM   87106
Attn: K. T. Feldman
        Energy Research Center
        V. Sloglund
        ME Department

Nishikant R. Vaidya, Ph.D.
Senior Project Engineer
D'Appolonia
Consulting Engineers, Inc.
10 Duff Road, Pittsburgh, VA   15235

Jan Vacek
Eolienne experimentale
C.P. 279, Cap-aux-Meules
Iles de la Madeleine, Quebec
CANADA

Irwin E. Vas
Solar Energy Research Institute
1617 Cole Elvd.
Golden, CO   80401

Otto de Vries
National Aerospace Laboratory
Anthony Fokkerweg 2
Amsterdam 1017
THE NETHERLANDS

R. Walters
West Virginia University
Department of Aero Engineering
1062 Kountz Avenue
Morgantown, WV   26505

E. J. Warchol
Eonneville Power Administration
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR   97225

D. F. Warne, Manager
Energy and Power Systems
ERA Ltd.
Cleeve Rd.
Leatherhead
Surrey KT22 7SA
ENGLAND

G. R. Watson, Project Manager
The Energy Center
Pennine House
4 Osborne Terrace
Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 1NE
UNITED KINGDOM

R. J. Watson
Watson Eowman Associates, Inc.
1280 Niagara St.
Buffalo, NY   14213

R. G. Watts
Tulane University
Department of Mechanical Engineering
New Orleans, LA   70018

W. G. Wells, P.E.
Associate Professor
Mechanical Engineering Department
Mississippi State University
Mississippi State, MS   39762

T. Wentink, Jr.
University of Alaska
Geophysical Institute
Fairbanks, AK   99701

West Texas State University
Government Depository Library
Number 613
Canyon, TX   79015

Wind Energy Report
Box 14
102 S. Village Ave.
Rockville Centre, NY   11571
Attn: Farrell Smith Seiler

Wind Program Manager
Wisconsin Division of State Energy
8th Floor
101 South Webster Street
Madison, WI   53702

Richard E. Wong
Assistant Director
Central Solar Energy Research Corp.
1200 Sixth Street
328 Executive Plaza
Detroit, MI   48226

1000	G. A. Fowler
1200	L. D. Smith
3141	T. L. Werner (5)
3151	W. L. Garner (3)
	For DOE/TIC (Unlimited Release)
3161	J. E. Mitchell (15)
3161	P. S. Wilson
4533	J. W. Reed
4700	J. H. Scott
4710	G. E. Brandvold
4715	R. H. Braasch
4715	J. D. Cyrus
4715	R. L. Grover
4715	E. G. Kadlec
4715	P. C. Klimas
4715	M. T. Mattison
4715	R. C. Nellums
4715	W. N. Sullivan
4715	R. A. Watson
4715	D. F. Wolf
4715	M. E. Worstell
5000	O. E. Jones
5510	D. B. Hayes
5520	T. B. Lane
5523	R. C. Reuter, Jr.
5523	T. G. Carne (20)
5523	D. B. Clauss
5523	D. W. Lobitz
5523	D. A. Popelka
5523	P. S. Veers
5523	File
5530	W. Herrmann
5600	D. B. Schuster
5620	M. M. Newsom
5630	R. C. Maydew
5633	R. E. Sheldahl
5636	J. K. Cole
5636	D. E. Berg
5636	W. H. Curry
8266	E. A. Aas
DOE/TIC (25)	
	(R. P. Campbell, 3172-3)