SAND78-0014 Unlimited Release UC-60

# Four Aerodynamic Prediction Schemes for Vertical-Axis Wind Turbines: A Compendium

Paul C. Klimas and Robert E. Sheldahl



SE 2900 O(7-73)

Issued by Sandia Laboratories, operated for the United States Department of Energy by Sandia Corporation.

## NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Printed in the United States of America

Available from National Technical Information Service U. S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Price: Printed Copy \$4.00 ; Microfiche \$3.00

Distribution Category UC-60

SAND78-0014 Unlimited Release Printed June 1978

### FOUR AERODYNAMIC PREDICTION SCHEMES FOR VERTICAL-AXIS WIND TURBINES: A COMPENDIUM

Paul C. Klimas Parachute Systems Division 1332

Robert E. Sheldahl Aerothermodynamics Division 1333

> Sandia Laboratories Albuquerque, NM 87185

#### ABSTRACT

Four aerodynamic models/design tools used to predict the performance for the vertical-axis wind turbine (VAWT) are described. These models are all based upon the conservation of momentum, and are either currently being used at Sandia Laboratories or have been recently used there. A number of comparisons both with the experiments and between the mathematical treatments is made.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the support provided by the personnel of the Advanced Energy Projects Division 5715.

•

## CONTENTS

.

	Page
Nomenclature	7
Summary	9
Introduction	9
Model Description	9
SIMOSS	9
DART	10
DARTER	10
PAREP	10
Model Capability	11
Conclusion	13
References	15

,

## Nomenclature

A <sub>s</sub>	Turbine swept area
с	Blade chord
с <sub>р</sub>	Power coefficient, $Q\omega/(1/2)o_{\infty}V_{\infty}^{3}A_{s}$
к <sub>Р</sub>	Power coefficient, $Q\omega/(1/2)\rho_{\infty}A_{s}(R\omega)^{3} = C_{p}/X^{3}$
L	Blade length
N	Number of blades
Q	Turbine torque
R	Turbine maximum radius
Rec	Chord Reynolds number, $ ho_{\infty} \mathrm{R}\omega\mathrm{c}/\mu_{\infty}$
V <sub>∞</sub>	Freestream velocity
Х	Turbine tip-speed ratio, $R\omega/V_{\infty}$
$\mu_{\infty}$	Freestream viscosity
ρ <sub>∞</sub>	Freestream density
ω	Turbine rotational speed
σ	Solidity, NcL/A <sub>s</sub>

.

# FOUR AERODYNAMIC PREDICTION SCHEMES FOR VERTICAL-AXIS WIND TURBINES: A COMPENDIUM

#### Summary

Schemes for predicting aerodynamic performance of vertical-axis wind turbines in use at Sandia Laboratories are described. The power coefficients generated by these models are compared to those measured in a wind tunnel using a 2-metre turbine and free-air data of a 5-metre and a 17-metre turbine. All the prediction schemes are based upon the conservation of momentum and vary in complexity. The simplest aerodynamic model, SIMOSS, yields the poorest agreement with experimental data. Schemes involving more complexity better represent the data. The choice of method will depend upon the intended application.

#### Introduction

A large collection of models exist which predict aerodynamic performance of vertical-axis wind turbines. These models range from the relative simplicity of calculations based on conservation-of-momentum principles to those using rather complex vortex representations and may or may not include unsteady effects. Reference 1 lists and briefly describes many of the quasisteady approaches while Reference 2 discusses some of the dynamic treatments. Sandia Laboratories has used four basic computer models to predict overall aerodynamic performance of vertical-axis wind turbines; each has its physical basis in the conservation-of-momentum principle and is quasisteady. The assumptions and descriptive equations are quite reminiscent of the classical actuator-disc model of propeller aerodynamics. The four models are known as SIMOSS, DART, DARTER and PAREP.

#### Model Description

## SIMOSS (Simple Momentum Single Streamtube)

The simplest momentum model<sup>3</sup> takes the rotor to be enclosed in a single streamtube. Wind velocity across the area swept by the rotor is assumed constant. By choosing some value of this velocity, a combination of the simple actuator-disc momentum model and blade-element theory will give the far-field wind speed, turbine power, torque, and drag for a turbine with given blade characteristics, rotational speed, and geometry. In SIMOSS,<sup>4</sup> an iteration is incorporated which allows the far-field wind speed to be treated as an input while wind velocity across the rotor-swept

area is calculated. The blade planforms that SIMOSS will handle are parabolic, straight blade, and trapezoidal.

## DART (Darrieus Turbine)

DART<sup>5</sup> differs from SIMOSS in that a multiple streamtube system is used; i.e., the swept area is modeled by an arbitrary number of adjacent and aerodynamically independent areas over which the conservation-of-momentum principle is applied. Advantages gained by this refinement stem from allowing disc-area-wind speeds to vary from section to section. Specifically, this enables airfoil-section data based on elemental Reynolds numbers and wind-shear effects to be included. The computational approach is somewhat different from that of the single streamtube version.<sup>3</sup> For a given geometry, rotational speed, and ambient-wind speed, it is necessary to iterate between the conservation-of-momentum expression and the blade-element formulae to obtain the streamtube wind speed at the rotor. This must be done for each individual streamtube. Rotor power, torque, and drag are obtained by summing the contributions of each tube. DART is restricted to sinusoidal blade planforms.

## DARTER (DART, Elemental Reynolds Number)

The differences between DART and DARTER<sup>5, 6</sup> are that in the latter there is a capability of using airfoil data based on elemental Reynolds numbers (as opposed to using data based on a single, a priori-determined Reynolds number in DART) and of examining a number of different blade plan-forms. DARTER may treat (i) troposkein, (ii) straight-line/circular-arc, (iii) parabolic, or (iv) straight-blade geometries.

## PAREP (Parametric Representation)\*

The last model, PAREP, <sup>7</sup> is more of a design tool than a mathematical model. The multiplestreamtube models do a reasonable job of predicting maximum power coefficients ( $C_p$ 's and  $K_p$ 's) and the tip-speed/ambient-wind-speed ratios at which they occur. However, for high blade loadings and high solidities, there is often considerable discrepancy between theory and experiment away from the maximum power coefficients. PAREP is a sequence which combines theory with results of wind-tunnel testing to better represent overall aerodynamic performance. Specifically, PAREP operates by first referencing curve fits of relevant output from DARTER for a given turbine design. This is due to the fact that, over a reasonable range of blade Reynolds number and turbine solidity,  $C_{Pmax}$ ,  $X @ C_{Pmax}$ ,  $K_{Pmax}$ , and  $X @ K_{Pmax}$  can be expressed as simple functions of Re and  $\sigma$ . Next, 2-metre wind-tunnel-test results are used to provide the value of X at "runaway" (high-speed ratio at which zero aerodynamic torque is produced). After the user chooses some low value of the zero aerodynamic torque-speed ratio (between one and two, as the final results are relatively insensitive to a choice in this range), a curve is fit between the two zero-power speed-ratio points which passes through the two power coefficient maxima. The shape of this curve is predicted from the 2-metre wind-tunnel-test results.

<sup>\*</sup> Formerly called CPPARM/TVSV

### Model Capability

Figures 1 through 5 summarize the power coefficient predicting capability of three computer models. The DART model is not compared directly since it is no longer operational at Sandia Laboratories; it was replaced by the improved version known as DARTER. Reference 5 presents a comparison of the DART model with some early wind-tunnel data. The standards of comparison are actual data gathered during the testing of Sandia's 2-, 5- and 17-metre vertical-axis wind turbines with near unit height-to-diameter ratios ( $H/D \approx 1$ ). These turbines cover a broad range of solidities (0.13 to 0.30), rotational speeds (29.6 to 600 rpm), number of blades (2 or 3), and turbine heights (2, 5, and 17 m). In addition, both wind-tunnel<sup>8</sup>, <sup>9</sup> and field-test operations<sup>10, 11</sup> are included.

Figures 1 and 2 present comparisons of the computer models with wind-tunnel data for the 2-metre vertical-axis wind turbine.<sup>8,9</sup> The two figures differ in turbine solidity and rotational speed. Two sets of aerodynamic section data are used. The primary set was taken in the Wichita State University (WSU) wind tunnel for an NACA-0012 airfoil. The section data for Reynolds numbers of  $3.5 \times 10^5$  and  $5.0 \times 10^5$  are listed in Reference 9. The secondary set was recently made available by Sharpe (Reference 12) for the same section. The latter set differs from the WSU data in that it extends to a much lower Reynolds number  $(4.0 \times 10^4 \text{ vs} 3.6 \times 10^5)$  and much of it was gathered in a high-turbulence tunnel. DARTER predictions using Sharpe data show better agreement with experiments than do the DARTER predictions using WSU measurements, indicating the importance of using drag information for the proper Reynolds numbers. The results show the SIMOSS model to overpredict the measured maximum-power coefficients and to grossly overpredict the values of the runaway tip-speed ratio (highest ratio at which zero aerodynamic torque is produced). These calculations were based upon an equatorial blade Re and could be improved by using some average Re. DARTER more closely predicts the maximum-power coefficients but overpredicts the runaway tip-speed ratio in all but the low solidity Sharpe-based calculation. PAREP produces the best overall representation of the actual data.

The comparisons of the models with free-air data obtained for the 5-metre vertical-axis wind turbine  $^{10}$  are presented in Figure 3. The results of SIMOSS and DARTER are similar to the results for the higher  $\sigma$  2-metre turbine. Two curves are presented for PAREP because of the geometry of the 5-metre turbine blades. They were not of continuous cross section from hub-to-hub, but rather each blade was made in three sections  $^{10}$  with the two straight sections near the rotating axis not of aerodynamic cross section. Curve 1 is the PAREP model with the inclusion of the straight sections which had higher aerodynamic drag than the airfoil cross section. Curve 2 is the PAREP model assuming hub-to-hub airfoil cross-section blades. PAREP, as expected, gives the best representation of the actual field-test data.



Figure 1. A Comparison of the Aerodynamic Prediction Schemes with Wind Tunnel Data of a 2-metre Turbine at a Reynolds Number of 2.0 x  $10^5$  and a Solidity of 0.13



Figure 2. A Comparison of the Aerodynamic Prediction Schemes with Wind Tunnel Data of a 2-metre Turbine at a Reynolds Number of 2.8 x 10<sup>5</sup> and a Solidity of 0.25



Figure 3. A Comparison of the Aerodynamic Prediction Schemes with Free-Air Data of a 5-metre Turbine at a Reynolds Number of 4.0 x 10<sup>5</sup> and a Solidity of 0.26

The 17-metre vertical-axis wind-turbine data obtained in free-air testing<sup>11</sup> are presented in Figures 4 and 5 for two different rotational speeds and are compared with the models. The results are similar to the previous low-solidity findings with SIMOSS overpredicting the maxima and the runaway tip-speed ratio. DARTER more closely predicts the maximum-power coefficients and properly predicts the runaway tip-speed ratios only when using the Sharpe compilation of airfoil data.

#### Conclusion

Generally speaking, SIMOSS overestimates both the maximum-power coefficients and the runaway tip-speed ratios, with the latter's disagreement increasing with increasing solidity. This is also a problem with DARTER, but power-coefficient maxima are more closely computed. These uniformly overestimated maxima are the same as those of PAREP, as expected. The improved runaway-ratio predictions seem reasonably good for the free-air running 5- and 17-metre turbines, even though these speeds are based upon wind-tunnel operation of the 2-metre models. For low solidities, runaway ratios are well predicted by Sharpe-based DARTER computations. The tipspeed ratios at which positive aerodynamic torque is first produced are generally between one and two and are so predicted by all computational schemes. The recently acquired NACA-0012 airfoil data of Sharpe used with DARTER best predicts the wind-tunnel and free-air experimental windturbine data for low solidities.



Figure 4. A Comparison of the Aerodynamic Prediction Schemes with Free-Air Data of a 17-metre Turbine at a Reynolds Number of 1.08 x 10<sup>6</sup> and a Solidity of 0.14



Figure 5. A Comparison of the Aerodynamic Prediction Schemes with Free-Air Data of a 17-metre Turbine at a Reynolds Number of 1.35 x 10<sup>6</sup> and a Solidity of 0.14

#### References

- 1. B. F. Blackwell, W. N. Sullivan, R. C. Reuter, and J. F. Banas, "Engineering Development Status of the Darrieus Wind Turbine," <u>Journal of Energy</u>, Vol. 1, No. 1, Jan. -Feb. 1977, pp. 50-64.
- 2. H. Ashley, "Some Contributions to Aerodynamic Theory for Vertical-Axis Wind Turbines," to be published by <u>Journal of Energy</u>.
- 3. R. J. Templin, "Aerodynamic Performance Theory for the NRC Vertical-Axis Wind Turbine," Report LTR-LA-160, National Aeronautical Establishment of Canada, June 1974.
- 4. Users Manual for SIMOSS, Sandia Laboratories (to be published).
- 5. J. H. Strickland, <u>The Darrieus Turbine: A Performance Prediction Model Using Multiple</u> <u>Streamtubes</u>, SAND75-0431, Sandia Laboratory, Albuquerque, NM, Oct. 1975.
- 6. Users Manual for DARTER, Sandia Laboratories (to be published).
- 7. Users Manual for PAREP, Sandia Laboratories (to be published).
- B. F. Blackwell, R. E. Sheldahl, and L. F. Feltz, <u>Wind Tunnel Performance Data for the Darrieus Wind Turbine with NACA-0012 Blades</u>, SAND76-0130, Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, May 1976.
- 9. B. F. Blackwell and R. E. Sheldahl, "Selected Wind Tunnel Test Results for the Darrieus Wind Turbine," Journal of Energy, Vol. 1, No. 6, Nov. -Dec. 1977, pp. 382-386.
- 10. R. E. Sheldahl and B. F. Blackwell, <u>Free-Air Performance Tests of a 5-metre Diameter</u> <u>Darrieus Turbine</u>, SAND77-1063, Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, Dec. 1977.
- 11. 17-m Darrieus Turbine Data, Sandia Laboratories (to be published).
- 12. D. J. Sharpe, "A Theoretical and Experimental Study of the Darrieus Vertical-Axis Wind Turbine," Research Report, Kingston Polytechnic School of Mechanical, Aeronautical, and Production Engineering, Kingston upon Thames, England, Oct. 1977.

#### DISTRIBUTION:

## TID-4500-R66 UC-60 (283)

Wichita State University (2) Aero Engineering Department Wichita, KS 67208 Attn: M. Snyder W. Wentz

Alcoa Laboratories Aluminum Company of America Alcoa Center, PA 15069 Attn: Dr. D. K. Ai Sr. Scientific Associate

Dynergy Corporation P. O. Box 428 1269 Union Avenue Laconia, NH 03246 Attn: R. B. Allen General Manager

American Wind Energy Association 54468 CR31 Bristol, IN 46507

South Dakota School of Mines & Technology Department of Mechanical Engineering Rapid City, SD 57701 Attn: E. E. Anderson

P. Bailey P. O. Box 3 Kodiak, AK 99615

Washington State University Department of Electrical Engineering College of Engineering Pullman, WA 99163 Attn: F.K. Bechtel

Arizona State University Solar Energy Collection University Library Tempe, AZ 85281 Attn: M. E. Beecher

University of Oklahoma Aero Engineering Department Norman, OK 73069 Attn: K. Bergey

Louisiana Tech University Department of Mechanical Engineering Ruston, LA 71270 Attn: Dr. B. F. Blackwell Dr. P. H. Bottelberghs Chemical Conversion and Energy Storage Landelijke Stuurgroep Energie Onderzoek Dutch National Steering Group for Energy Research Laan van Vollenhove 3225 Zeist The Netherlands

McDonnell-Douglas P. O., Box 516 Department 241, Building 32 St. Louis, MO 63166 Attn: R. Brulle

R. Camerero Faculty of Applied Science University of Sherbrooke Sherbrooke, Quebec Canada J1K 2R1

University of Hawaii Wind Engineering Research Digest Spalding Hall 357 Honolulu, HI 96822 Attn: A. N. L. Chiu

USDA, Agricultural Research Service Southwest Great Plains Research Center Bushland, TX 79012 Attn: Dr. R. N. Clark

Lockheed California Co. Box 551-63A1 Burbank, CA 91520 Attn: U. A. Coty

A. G. Craig Alcoa Mill Products Alcoa Center, PA 15069

DOE/ALO (3) Albuquerque, NM 87185 Attn: D. K. Nowlin W. P. Grace D. W. King

DOE Headquarters (20) Washington, DC 20545 Attn: L. V. Divone, Chief Wind Energy Conversion Branch D. D. Teague Wind Energy Conversion Branch

Prof. A. V. da Rosa C.P. 1.170 (UNICAMP) 13.100 Campinas, S.P. Brazil

Southern Illinois University School of Engineering Carbondale, IL 62901 Attn: C. W. Dodd

Hamilton Standard 1730 NASA Boulevard Room 207 Houston, TX 77058 Attn: D. P. Dougan

J. B. Dragt Nederlands Energy Research Foundation (E.C.N.) Physics Department Westerduinweg 3 Patten (nh) The Netherlands

Electric Power Research Institute 3412 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304 Attn: P. Bos

W. J. Ewing, President
Research Dynamics Associates
P. O. Box 211
Menlo Park, CA 94025

J. Fischer F. L. Smidth & Company A/S Vigerslevalle 77 2500 Valby, Denmark

R. E. Fisher Environmental Protection Specialist Department of Environmental Resources 736 West Fourth Street Williamsport, PA 17701

University of Colorado Department of Aerospace Engineering Sciences Boulder, CO 80309 Attn: J. D. Fock, Jr.

Aerophysics Company 3500 Connecticut Avenue NW Washington, DC 20008 Attn: W. F. Foshag

A. Fritzsche Dornier System GmbH Postfach 1360 7990 Friedrichshafen West Germany Tyler & Reynolds & Craig One Boston Place Boston, MA Attn: W. W. Garth, IV Amarillo College Amarillo, TX 79100 Attn: E. Gilmore **TRW Energy Systems** 7600 Colshire Drive McLean, VA 22101 Attn: R. Gorman Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139 Attn: Prof. N. D. Ham DOE/DSE 20 Massachusetts Avenue Washington, DC 20545 Attn: S. Hansen SERI 1536 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401 Attn: D. M. Hardy Massachusetts Institute of Technology Aero/Astro Department Cambridge, MA 02139 Attn: W. L. Harris Rocky Flats Plant (2) P. O. Box 464 Golden, CO 80401 Attn: T. Healy Allegheny Ballistics Laboratory Hercules, Inc. P. O. Box 210 Cumberland, MD 21502 Attn: P. W. Hill Alcoa Laboratories (2) Aluminum Company of America Alcoa Center, PA 15069 Attn: J. T. Huang J. R. Jombock S. Hugosson Box 21048 S. 100 31 Stockholm 21 Sweden O. Igra Ben-Gurion University of the Negev Department of Mechanical Engineering Beer-Sheva, Israel

JBF Scientific Corporation 2 Jewel Drive Wilmington, MA 01887 Attn: E. E. Johanson

Stanford University Department of Mechanical Engineering Stanford, CA 94305 Attn: J. P. Johnston

Kaman Aerospace Corporation Old Windsor Road Bloomfield, CT 06002 Attn: W. Batesol

Michigan State University Division of Engineering Research East Lansing, MI 48824 Attn: O. Krauss

Shell Oil Company P. O. Box 2463 Houston, TX 77001 Attn: S. M. Lambert, Manager Energy Economics and Forecasting Planning and Economics

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory P. O. Box 808 L-340 Livermore, CA 94550 Attn: D. W. Dorn

Public Service Company of New Mexico P. O. Box 2267 Albuquerque, NM 87103 Attn: M. Lechner

Reynolds Metals Company Mill Products Division 6601 West Broad Street Richmond, VA 23261 Attn: G. E. Lennox Industry Director

State Energy Commission Research and Development Division 1111 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825 Attn: J. Lerner

US Department of Agriculture Agriculture Research Center Building 303 Beltsville, MD 20705 Attn: L. Liljdahl

Aeroenvironment, Inc. 660 South Arroyo Parkway Pasadena, CA 91105 Attn: P. B. S. Lissaman O. Ljungstrom Swedish Board for Technology Development FACK S-100 72 Stockholm 43, Sweden Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87544 Attn: J. D. Balcomb Q-DO-T Library L. H. J. Maile 48 York Mills Rd. Willowdale, Ontario Canada M2P 1B4 Dardalen Associates 15110 Frederick Road Woodbine, MD 21797 Attn: F. Matanzo Kaman Sciences Corporation P. O. Box 7463 Colorado Springs, CO 80933 Attn: J. Meiggs Colorado State University Department of Civil Engineering Fort Collins, CO 80521 Attn: R. N. Meroney Department of Economic Planning and Development Barrett Building Cheyenne, WY 82002 Attn: G. N. Monsson D. Myrick 105 Skipper Avenue Ft. Walton Beach, FL 32548 NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665 Attn: R. Muraca, MS317 NASA Lewis Research Center (2) 2100 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135 Attn: J. Savino, MS 509-201 R. L. Thomas W. Robbins West Texas State University Department of Physics P. O. Box 248 Canyon, TX 79016 Attn: V. Nelson

Oklahoma State University (2) Stillwater, OK 76074 Attn: W. L. Hughes EE Department D. K. McLaughlin ME Department Oregon State University (2) Corvallis, OR 97331 Attn: R. Wilson ME Department R. W. Thresher ME Department Dow Chemical USA **Research** Center 2800 Mitchell Drive Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Attn: H. H. Paalman Helion P. O. Box 4301 Sylmar, CA 91342 Attn: J. Park Northwestern University Department of Civil Engineering Evanston, IL 60201 Attn: R. A. Parmelee B. M. Pedersen Department of Fluid Mechanics Building 404, DTH 2800 Lyngby Denmark A. Robb Memorial University of Newfoundland Faculty of Engineering and Applied Sciences St. John's Newfoundland Canada A1C 5S7 Tim P. Romero P. O. Box 2806 Las Vegas, NM 87701 Stanford Electronic Laboratories Radio Science Laboratory Stanford, CA 94305 Attn: A. V. da Rosa H. Sevier Rocket and Space Division Bristol Aerospace Ltd. P. O. Box 874 Winnipeg, Manitoba

Canada R3C 2S4

P. N. Shankar Aerodynamics Division National Aeronautical Laboratory Bangalore 560017 India Cornell University Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Ithaca, NY 14853 Attn: D. G. Shepherd Colorado State University Mechanical Engineering Ft. Collins, CO 80521 Attn: Dr. F. Smith, Dept. Head Iowa State University Agricultural Engineering, Room 213 Ames, IA 50010 Attn: L. H. Soderholm Southwest Research Institute (2) P. O. Drawer 28501 San Antonio, TX 78284 Attn: W. L. Donaldson, Sr. Vice President R. K. Swanson D. T. Stjernholm, P.E. Mechanical Design Engineer Morey/Stjernholm and Associates 1050 Magnolia Street Colorado Springs, CO 80907 Iowa State University Climatology and Meteorology 312 Curtiss Hall Ames, IA 50010 Attn: E. S. Takle R. J. Templin (3) Low Speed Aerodynamics Section NRC-National Aeronautical Establishment Ottawa 7, Ontario Canada K1A OR6 Texas Tech University (3) P. O. Box 4289 Lubbock, TX 79409 Attn: K. C. Mehta, CE Department J. Strickland, ME Department J. Lawrence, ME Department Atari. Inc. 155 Moffett Park Drive Sunnyvale, CA 94086 Attn: F. Thompson

United Engineers and Constructors, Inc. Advanced Engineering Department 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19101 Attn: A. J. Karalis

United Nations Environment Program 485 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 Attn: I. H. Usmani

University of New Mexico (2) Albuquerque, NM 87106 Attn: K. T. Feldman Energy Research Center V. Sloglund ME Department

Illinois Institute of Technology Department of Electrical Engineering 3300 South Federal Chicago, IL 60616 Attn: A. G. Vacroux

Alcoa Allied Products Alcoa Center, PA 15069 Attn: P. N. Vosburgh, Development Mgr.

O. de Vries National Aerospace Laboratory Anthony Fokkerweg 2 Amsterdam 1017 The Netherlands

West Virginia University Department of Aero Engineering 1062 Kountz Avenue Morgantown, WV 26505 Attn: R. Walters

Bonneville Power Administration P. O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97225 Attn: E. J. Warchol

Tulane University Department of Mechanical Engineering New Orleans, LA 70018 Attn: R. G. Watts University of Alaska Geophysical Institute Fairbanks, AK 99701 Attn: T. Wentink, Jr. West Texas State University Government Depository Library Number 613 Canyon, TX 79015 C. Wood Dominion Aluminum Fabricating Ltd. 3570 Hawkestone Road Mississauga, Ontario Canada L5C 2V8 1000 G. A. Fowler L. D. Smith 1200 1260 K. J. Touryan 1280 T. B. Lane R. C. Reuter, Jr. 12841300 D. B. Shuster 1320 M. M. Newsom 1324E. C. Rightley 1324 L. V. Feltz 1330 R. C. Maydew 1331 H. R. Vaughn 1332 C. W. Peterson 1332P. C. Klimas (20) 1333 S. McAlees, Jr. R. E. Sheldahl (20) 13331334D. D. McBride 1335W. R. Barton J. K. Cole 1336 J. E. Mitchell (50) 3161 3161 P. S. Wilson 5333 R. E. Akins J. W. Reed 5333 5700 J. H. Scott 5710 G. E. Brandvold 5715 R. H. Braasch (200) E. G. Kadlec 5715 W. N. Sullivan 5715 M. H. Worstell 57158266 E. A. Aas T. L. Werner (5) 3141 W. L. Garner (3) 3151 For DOE/TIC (Unlimited Release)