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## BACKGROUND

Purse seine fishing in the central-western Pacific by U.S. registered vessels began in the mid-1970s and quickly developed into a profitable year-around operation for yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares), skipjack tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis) and bigeye tuna (T. obesus). The most productive fishing areas were within the 200-mile exclusive economic zones (EEZs) of the Pacific island nations and required permission from the nations. In June 1988, the U.S. and 16 Pacific Island countries negotiated a South Pacific Regional Tuna Treaty (SPTT) that gave U.S. fishermen access to tuna fishing areas in the EEZs and adjoining waters, which amounted to a 26 million $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ area of the central-western Pacific (Figure 1). In exchange for access, the Pacific Island nations receive licensing and other fees and a commitment to record and report fishery information from the United States government and U.S. fishermen.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is responsible for administering the requirements of the SPTT for the U.S. and the Forum Fisheries Agency (FFA), for the Pacific island countries. NMFS cooperates with the FFA in executing data collection requirements. Licensed vessels are required to maintain and submit logbooks (Regional Purse Seine Logbooks), and landings data (Unloading Logs). Other information about the landed catch are also required. The NMFS operates a port sampling program to gather that information, particularly on sizes of fish landed and to verify species composition of the landings. All required data are collected computerized and submitted bimonthly by the NMFS, to the FFA. Summarized data are also made available to authorized scientists for conducting research on the fisheries and tuna stocks of the region.

Besides monitoring the fishery, the FFA administers an observer program. Trained technicians are assigned to vessels. A minimum of $20 \%$ of the fishing trips are targeted for sampling per year. The observers collect a suite of information used to monitor vessel compliance and to augment information from logbooks and other sources. Observer records
include information on sizes of fish caught in single sets and by-catch and discards of undersized tunas.

This report summarizes statistics and reviews data on the 1998 U. S. purse seine fishery in the central-western Pacific. At the time of this report, complete landing statistics and observer data were not available for the entire fishing season (e.g., 73\% of the logbook data were available and about $50-60 \%$ of the observer data). Available logbook data were extrapolated, except for by-catch, to provide preliminary estimates for the entire season. Observer data were not extrapolated. Procedures used to summarize the data are similar to those used in previous reports (Coan et al 1997).

## COLLECTION OF FISHERY DATA

In 1998, 39 U.S. purse seiners fished in the central western Pacific and completed 200 fishing trips (Table 1). This was four more vessels participating in the fishery than in 1997. The number of trips per vessel was approximately 5, similar to the 1997 average. The average number of days per trip was 47.5 and sets per trip, 28.0, a decrease of $18 \%$ and $21 \%$ respectively from 1997 levels.

Biological sampling for size and species composition was conducted in Pago Pago, American Samoa, where approximately $89 \%$ of the 1998 catch was landed. The remainder, $11 \%$ of the catch, was landed in other locations. A stratified area-time scheme (Coan et al. 1988) was followed in sampling the 1998 landings. Strata used were NMFS sample areas and month (Figure 1). Sampling was from vessel wells at the time of unloading and with a minimum of 50fish per sample. NMFS scientific technicians measured approximately 18,000 yellowfin tuna, 22,700 skipjack tuna and 8,100 bigeye tuna for fork length (FL) (Table 2). The number of fish sampled was $9 \%$ less than in 1997. The maximum number of fish measured in an area-time stratum was 2,796 skipjack tuna in NMFS sampling Area II in March and the minimum was 50 yellowfin tuna in Area III in December (Table 2).

Species composition samples of 100 - fish each were also collected. A total of 20,000 fish were examined for species identification. Samples were taken whenever a mixture of species was encountered while drawing fish for fork length measurements. Sampling was stratified by type of set and size of fish. In 1998, 13\% of the landings labeled as yellowfin tuna were bigeye tuna (Table 3). The highest percentages of bigeye tuna were encountered in log sets of small fish. These results are similar to those for 1997 landings.

FFA observer program data were available for 35 trips made in 1998, with a total of 872 days fished. Among their duties, observers drew approximately five tuna at random from each brail of catch and measured the fish for fork length. A total of 45,969 fish were measured: 29,169 skipjack tuna, 14,422 yellowfin tuna and 2,378 bigeye tuna (Table 2). The maximum number of fish measured was 6,396 skipjack tuna in NMFS sampling Area II in April, and the minimum was 4 bigeye tuna in NMFS Area I in March (Table 2).

The FFA observers also recorded detailed information on by-catch and discards of undersized tunas. Data were available for observations on 616 sets: 186 free-swimming school sets, 378 floating-object sets and 52 other sets (Table 4).

## FISHING AREA AND EFFORT

In 1998, the U.S purse seine fleet spent approximately 6,400 days fishing, mainly between $165^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $155^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ longitude and between $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude (Figure 2). Approximately $58 \%$ of the effort was east of $180^{\circ}$ longitude, mainly concentrated in the TuvaluGilbert Islands, Kiribati area. Approximately 5,600 sets were made, $55 \%$ on floating objects (logs and FADs) and the remainder on free-swimming schools (Figure 3). This was the second consecutive year that floating-object sets exceeded free-swimming school sets. Approximately $39 \%$ of the sets on floating objects were on Fish Aggregation Devices (FADs) and $61 \%$ on naturally occurring logs or flotsam.

In comparison to 1997 operations (Figure 4), the 1998 operations were similar, except for a shift in the center of the fishery westward and with significantly less fishing in the area between $150^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Total number of sets in 1997 was 6,300 , which was $12 \%$ more than in 1998. The ratio of drifting-object sets to total sets, however, was about the same: $56 \%$ in 1997 and $55 \%$ in 1998.

## CATCHES AND COMPOSITION

The U.S. fleet caught approximately $176,800 \mathrm{t}$ of yellowfin, skipjack and bigeye tunas in 1998 (Table 5). Skipjack tuna was the dominant species and accounted for $76 \%$ of the catch. Yellowfin and bigeye tunas represented $21 \%$ and $3 \%$ of the catch respectively. The 1998 catch increased $23 \%$ over the 1997 catch, and was the highest recorded since 1994. From 1994 to 1997, catches were on a steady decline. This increase in 1998 catch is attributed mainly to an increase in skipjack tuna catch, $59 \%$. Yellowfin tuna and bigeye tuna catches decreased $28 \%$ and $40 \%$ respectively.

A significant portion (89\%) of the catch was landed directly to canneries in American Samoa (Figure 5a). Second highest portion was landed in the Solomon Islands (6\%) and transshipped to Europe and other ports in the region. Approximately $83 \%$ of the landings were utilized by canneries in American Samoa (Figure 5b) and the remainder (17\%), by canneries in the Philippines, Puerto Rico (U.S.), Spain, and Thailand.

## By-catch and Discards

Vessel captains are required to record by-catch and discards in the Regional Purse Seine Logbook on a set-by-set basis. Seventy-two percent of 1998 trips reported by-catch in weight ${ }^{2}$ and $52 \%$ reported discards of tunas at sea. Approximately 250 t of by-catch were reported (Table 6). Most of the by-catch, along with about 840 t of tuna were discarded at sea. Skipjack tuna ( 745 t ) was the dominant species in the tuna discards and rainbow runner Elagatis bipinnulata), baitfish, sharks and marlin were dominant species in the discarded by-catch. The most frequent reason reported for discards of tunas was fish were too small for profitable delivery to the canneries.

[^1]FFA observers collected by-catch and discard information from 616 sets and the results are summarized in Tables 4 and 7. The 616 sets caught a total of about $19,200 \mathrm{t}$ of tuna ( $75 \%$ skipjack tuna) and about 340 t of by-catch species (Table 4). Approximately $170 \mathrm{t}(<1 \%)$ of the tuna were discarded at sea. The highest percentage of tuna discards was for skipjack tuna with $1 \%$ by weight, followed by yellowfin and bigeye tunas with $0.5 \%$ each. Floating-object sets resulted in a higher percentage ( $1.1 \%$ ) of tuna discards than free-swimming school sets ( $0.5 \%$ ).

About $47 \%$ of the by-catch observed by the FFA observers was discarded ${ }^{3}$ at sea and the percent discarded varied with species (Table 7). This differed from logbook and landing information in which virtually all by-catch was reported as discarded at sea. The most common species in the observed by-catch was rainbow runner, $90 \%$ of which was discarded at sea. Floating-object sets produced a greater by-catch rate than free-swimming school sets (Table 4).

## Size Composition

Sizes of fish in the U.S. purse seine catch for 1998 were estimated from two sources of data: NMFS port sampling and FFA observer sampling. Both sets of data provided nearly identical results. Data from NMFS port sampling indicated that skipjack tuna ranged from 31 to 80 cm fork length and averaged 49 cm FL (Figure 6). Skipjack tuna in free-swimming schools were slightly larger, averaged 54 cm FL, than in floating-object sets, 48 cm FL. Yellowfin tuna ranged from 31 to 146 cm FL and averaged 70 cm FL (Figure 7). Yellowfin tuna caught in freeswimming schools were significantly larger, averaged 116 cm FL, than in floating-object sets, 56 cm FL. Bigeye tuna ranged from 36 to 114 cm FL and averaged 54 cm FL. In both floatingobject and free-swimming school sets the average size of bigeye tuna was the same (Figure 8).

Similar results were obtained from FFA observer data. Observers encountered a wider range of sizes than port samplers probably because observer sampling occurred prior to sorting and discarding of undersized fish at sea (Figures 6, 7 and 8). Skipjack tuna ranged from 22 to 82 cm FL and averaged 50 cm FL. Average size of skipjack tuna in floating-objects sets ( 50 cm FL) was about the same as in free-swimming school sets ( 52 cm FL ). Yellowfin tuna ranged from 20 cm to 164 cm FL and averaged 73 cm FL. Larger yellowfin tuna were taken in freeswimming school sets (average 107 cm FL ) than in floating-object sets (average 64 cm FL). Bigeye tuna ranged from 30 cm FL to 132 cm FL and averaged 60 cm FL. The average size of bigeye tuna was nearly identical in floating-object sets ( 60 cm FL ) and free-swimming school sets ( 59 cm FL ).

Figures 9 and 10 provide further diagrammatic comparisons of the available port sampling and observer data collected during 1998. For example, these figures clearly show modal progressions in the skipjack and yellowfin sampled from floating object sets over time.

## FISHERY ANALYSIS

In 1998, the oceanographic regime for the central-western Pacific region was in "transition". The year started with classic El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) conditions in the Pacific, a carry over from the ENSO of 1997. By June, there were significant signs that the

[^2]ENSO was breaking down and a non-ENSO regime, or La Niña condition, was forming. This affected the tropical tuna fisheries of the region, including the U.S. purse seine fishery.

For the 1997 season, $56 \%$ of the U.S. fleet's total fishing effort was concentrated in the west longitude areas (east of $180^{\circ}$ longitude) owing to the strong ENSO that dominated the oceanographic regime that year (Figure 4). During the early part of 1998, the U.S. fleet was replicating the 1997 fishing pattern. But as the year progressed and La Niña conditions began taking hold, the fishing pattern changed with fishing reduced east of $170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and effort concentrated in the Gilbert Islands, Kiribati, Tuvalu and Solomon Islands areas (Figure 2). This change resulted in a 1998 pattern with $58 \%$ of the total fishing effort concentrated in the east longitude areas of the region and only $42 \%$ in the west longitude areas.

The 1998 fishing season was the best recorded for the U.S. purse seine fishery since 1994. Overall catch, $176,800 \mathrm{t}$, and catch rate, 27.3 t /day fished, were at the highest levels since 1994 (Table 5). Skipjack tuna catch ( $135,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) and catch rate ( 21.5 t /day fished) led the way. Skipjack tuna catch was up $59 \%$ and catch rate up $78 \%$ from 1997 levels. Yellowfin tuna catch and catch rate, however, were down $29 \%$ and $31 \%$ respectively from 1997 levels. Likewise, bigeye tuna catch decreased by $40 \%$ from $9,100 \mathrm{t}$ in 1997 to 5,500 t in 1998.

The fleet relied heavily on floating objects for its success in 1998 (Figure 3). Sets made on floating objects were $55 \%$ of all sets made and produced $65 \%$ of the total catch. The remaining $45 \%$ were sets on free-swimming schools. In earlier years, only about $20 \%$ of the sets were made on floating objects, which were largely naturally occurring flotsam, such as, tree branches, logs, palm fronds, coconuts, etc. encountered during searching for tuna schools. Beginning in about 1995, the practice of deploying man-made floating objects (FADs) with electronic location devices was introduced to augment the supply of naturally occurring floating objects. Since then, deployment of FADs has become wide spread and routinely practiced especially in areas where there is limited amount of naturally occurring flotsam.

With increased dependence on floating-object sets, the fleet's catch is affected in three ways. First, the average size of tuna caught tend to be smaller. On the average, smaller tuna are caught in floating-object sets than in free-swimming school sets. In 1998, the average length of yellowfin tuna taken in free-swimming schools was 116 cm FL ( 107 cm FL from observer data), and significantly smaller, 56 cm FL ( 64 cm FL from observer data), in floating-object sets (Figure 7). Similar differences are found for skipjack tuna (Figure 6) and bigeye tuna (Figure 8), although the differences were not as significant. Thus, as the fleet relies more heavily on floating-object sets, the proportion of small fish in the overall catch increases. Furthermore, because a high portion of undersized tuna is often caught in floating-object sets, the frequency of discarding of undersized tuna at sea increases (Table 4).

Second, because floating-object sets tend to contain a higher proportion of bigeye tuna than in free-swimming school sets, greater amounts of bigeye tuna are caught (Table 3). For sets made in 1998 that contained fish averaging less than or equal to $9 \mathrm{~kg}, 5.6 \%$ of the catch was bigeye tuna in free-swimming school sets and $51.1 \%$, in floating-object sets. In sets containing fish greater than 9 kg , no bigeye tuna were found in free-swimming school sets, and $6.3 \%$ of the catch was bigeye tuna in floating-object sets.

Third, because floating objects tend to aggregate a large number of species other than the target tuna species, greater numbers of by-catch species are caught in floating-object sets than in free-swimming school sets. For 1998, FFA observer data (Table 4) indicate almost a 13-fold difference in the by-catch rate between floating-object sets ( 0.76 t of by-catch/set) and freeswimming school sets ( 0.06 t of by-catch/set).

As the 1999 fishing season unfolds, preliminary data and information indicate that the fishing pattern will likely be a La Niña-type. If so, the fishing pattern would be similar to that of 1995 or 1996, La Niña years, with fishing effort concentrated in the east longitude areas of the region. For example, in 1996 about $88 \%$ of the fishing effort of the U.S. fleet was concentrated west of $180^{\circ}$. That year, significant catches were made in the Gilbert Islands, Kiribati and in Tuvalu and Papua New Guinea areas. Skipjack tuna was the dominant species and about $41 \%$ of the sets were on floating objects. For the 1999 season, skipjack tuna will most likely be the dominant species in the catch, and floating-object sets will likely be the dominant type of set.
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Table 1. Fleet performance statistics for U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centralwestern Pacific Ocean.

| Year | Vessels $^{\text {Fen }}$ |  |  |  | Days/Trip | Sets/ <br> Trip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Licensed $^{\text {Trips/ }}$ | Fished | Trips <br> Vessel |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 35 | 31 | 71 | 69.42 | 46.07 | 2.29 |
| 1989 | 35 | 35 | 154 | 58.07 | 41.88 | 4.40 |
| 1990 | 51 | 43 | 181 | 47.32 | 34.79 | 4.21 |
| 1991 | 48 | 43 | 229 | 42.38 | 40.40 | 5.33 |
| 1992 | 44 | 44 | 212 | 46.32 | 35.11 | 4.82 |
| 1993 | 42 | 42 | 199 | 51.92 | 37.27 | 4.74 |
| 1994 | 48 | 49 | 241 | 44.11 | 35.21 | 4.88 |
| 1995 | 47 | 44 | 206 | 49.14 | 33.38 | 4.68 |
| 1996 | 40 | 40 | 182 | 50.09 | 33.02 | 4.55 |
| 1997 | 35 | 35 | 177 | 58.05 | 35.60 | 5.06 |
| $1998^{2}$ | 39 | 39 | 200 | 47.53 | 27.96 | 5.13 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of vessels that fished can be different from the number of licensed vessels because vessels are licensed from June 15 of one year to June 14 of the next year; whereas, a vessel fishing in a calendar year is recorded as "fished".
${ }^{2}$ Data for 1998 are preliminary.

Table 2. Number of fish measured by port samplers (Port) and observers (Obsv.) by month and NMFS sampling area strata from catches of U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centra-western Pacific in 1998. Catches for the fleet are stratified from logbook data.

| Month | NMFS SAMPLING AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area I |  |  | Area II |  |  | Area III |  |  | Area IV |  |  |
|  | Catch ${ }^{1}$ Tons | Port | Obsv. | Catch ${ }^{1}$ Tons | Port | Obsv. | Catch ${ }^{1}$ Tons | Port | Obsv. | Catch ${ }^{1}$ <br> Tons | Port | Obsv. |
| SKIPJACK TUNA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 619 | 281 | 0 | 3,959 | 1,219 | 787 | 131 | 51 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 552 | 457 | 0 | 3,176 | 1,239 | 1,785 |  | 0 | 0 | 317 | 101 | 0 |
| 3 | 1,155 | 0 | 477 | 14,856 | 2,796 | 2,795 | 256 | 0 | 450 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 524 | 211 | 163 | 8,072 | 1,746 | 6,396 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1,916 | 103 | 249 | 9,867 | 1,989 | 5,363 | 36 | 0 | 65 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1,062 | 0 | 0 | 6,943 | 1,484 | 3,056 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 11,150 | 2,164 | 4,543 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 5,711 | 1,341 | 14 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 13,135 | 1,992 | 2,372 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 10,949 | 2,402 | 434 | 2,256 | 519 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 3,230 | 2,345 | 220 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 260 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 6,314 | 1,052 | 889 | 91,048 | 20,977 | 27,765 | 2,684 | 570 | 515 | 317 | 101 | 0 |
| YELLOWFIN TUNA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 991 | 478 | 0 | 2,352 | 1,503 | 683 | 12 | 50 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 946 | 516 | 0 | 2,381 | 1,947 | 2,006 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 913 | 0 | 259 | 1,427 | 2,225 | 2,289 | 25 | 0 | 238 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 150 | 200 | 272 | 1,022 | 1,569 | 2,227 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 486 | 117 | 178 | 1,637 | 1,706 | 2,021 | 9 | 0 | 59 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 4,729 | 1,786 | 2,141 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 1,099 | 521 | 749 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 4,582 | 1,257 | 47 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 2,834 | 1,114 | 987 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 666 | 1,507 | 191 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 404 | 1,295 | 75 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 12 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 261 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3,910 | 1,311 | 709 | 23,133 | 16,691 | 13,416 | 48 | 50 | 297 |  | 0 | 0 |
| BIGEYE TUNA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14 | 50 | 0 | 90 | 673 | 147 |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 |  | 102 | 0 | 82 | 304 | 424 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 3 |  | 0 | 4 | 6 | 670 | 361 | - | 0 | 35 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 924 | 492 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 50 | 100 | 26 | 33 | 922 | 383 | - | 0 | 9 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 357 | 232 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 7 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 258 | 110 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 70 | 623 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 73 | 511 | 123 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 60 | 1,103 | 22 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 11 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1,221 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 258 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 64 | 252 | 30 | 429 | 7,824 | 2,304 | - | 50 | 44 | - | 0 | 0 |

[^3]Table 3. Percentage of bigeye tuna in yellowfin tuna landings of U.S. tuna purse seiners in the central-western Pacific Ocean, determined from species composition sampling. Large fish are greater than 9 kg , or 78 cm FL ; small fish are \#9 kg.

| Year | All Set Types <br> and Sizes | Free-Swimming School Sets |  | Floating-Object Log Sets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  | Large Fish | Small Fish | Large Fish |  |
| 1988 | 9.39 | 15.31 | 0.17 | 26.72 | 1.32 |
| 1989 | 5.36 | 4.01 | 0.05 | 17.70 | 14.10 |
| 1990 | 3.30 | 8.17 | 0.16 | 20.28 | 7.34 |
| 1991 | 3.99 | 7.17 | 0.18 | 14.52 | 6.23 |
| 1992 | 7.40 | 6.51 | 0.39 | 22.59 | 10.09 |
| 1993 | 7.52 | 5.24 | 0.51 | 19.84 | 7.53 |
| 1994 | 2.95 | 15.12 | 0.16 | 27.20 | 2.58 |
| 1995 | 9.12 | 5.01 | 0.51 | 26.29 | 9.13 |
| 1996 | 36.36 | 14.87 | 0.84 | 47.87 | 46.31 |
| 1997 | 15.41 | 1.86 | 1.68 | 37.32 | 15.18 |
| $1998^{1}$ | 13.20 | 5.63 | 0.00 | 51.12 | 6.31 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for 1998 are preliminary.
Table 4. Tuna catch and by-catch by set type from observations made aboard U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centra-western Pacific Ocean in 1998.

| Type of Sets | Number of Sets | Species | Catch |  | \% <br> Discarded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tons | Tons/set |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anchored FAD | 19 | Skipjack | 42.53 | 2.24 | 2.6\% |
|  |  | Yellowfin | 4.27 | 0.22 | 26.9\% |
|  |  | Bigeye | 2.55 | 0.13 | 1.7\% |
|  |  | By-catch | 1.41 | 0.07 | 78.3\% |
| Drifting log or flotsam | 200 | Skipjack | 6,473.72 | 32.37 | 0.8\% |
|  |  | Yellowfin | 1,012.57 | 5.06 | 0.8\% |
|  |  | Bigeye | 193.58 | 0.97 | 0.1\% |
|  |  | By-catch | 219.19 | 1.10 | 39.5\% |
| Drifting FAD | 159 | Skipjack | 4,406.07 | 27.71 | 1.4\% |
|  |  | Yellowfin | 642.54 | 4.04 | 2.1\% |
|  |  | Bigeye | 200.40 | 1.26 | 1.0\% |
|  |  | By-catch | 67.30 | 0.42 | 54.9\% |
| Free-Swimming School | 186 | Skipjack | 2,232.51 | 12.00 | 1.0\% |
|  |  | Yellowfin | 2,481.30 | 13.34 | 0.1\% |
|  |  | Bigeye | 11.13 | 0.08 | 20.6\% |
|  |  | By-catch | 11.98 | 0.06 | 0.0\% |
| Others | 52 | Skipjack | 944.60 | 18.89 | 0.8\% |
|  |  | Yellowfin | 533.10 | 10.66 | 0.2\% |
|  |  | Bigeye | 18.40 | 0.37 | 0.4\% |
|  |  | By-catch | 38.03 | 0.76 | 94.9\% |

Table 5. Catches ( t ) and catch-per-unit effort ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{day}$ fished) for the US. tuna purse seine fishery in the central-western Pacific Ocean.

| Year | Catch $^{1}$ |  |  |  | Catch-Per-Unit Effort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yellowfin | Skipjack | Bigeye | Total | Yellowfin | Skipjack | Total |
| 1988 | 18,832 | 93,636 | $1,948^{2}$ | 114,416 | 3.01 | 15.37 | 18.38 |
| 1989 | 42,886 | 95,027 | 2,421 | 140,334 | 7.26 | 14.59 | 21.85 |
| 1990 | 52,089 | 110,044 | 1,762 | 163,895 | 8.91 | 16.66 | 25.57 |
| 1991 | 37,330 | 177,389 | 1,550 | 216,269 | 5.70 | 24.78 | 30.48 |
| 1992 | 43,693 | 155,898 | 3,480 | 203,071 | 6.39 | 21.48 | 27.87 |
| 1993 | 46,011 | 148,419 | 3,731 | 198,161 | 6.46 | 18.29 | 24.75 |
| 1994 | 56,426 | 151,486 | 1,711 | 209,623 | 7.63 | 18.61 | 26.24 |
| 1995 | 31,845 | 132,518 | 3,190 | 167,553 | 4.68 | 17.39 | 22.07 |
| 1996 | 19,417 | 120,127 | 9,860 | 149,404 | 4.13 | 16.93 | 21.05 |
| 1997 | 50,208 | 84,729 | 9,145 | 144,082 | 8.45 | 12.06 | 20.51 |
| $1998^{3}$ | 36,231 | 135,024 | 5,508 | 176,763 | 5.87 | 21.47 | 27.34 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes reported discards in logbooks and cannery rejects.
${ }^{2}$ Estimated from species composition sampling for 6 months (June to December 1988).
${ }^{3}$ Data for 1998 are preliminary.

Table 6. Logbook reports ${ }^{1}$ of by-catch for U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centrat western Pacific Ocean in 1998.

| Species | Weight (t) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Billfishes |  |
| Black marlin | 0.32 |
| Blue marlin | 1.29 |
| Marlin | 10.90 |
| Sailfish | 0.05 |
| Sharks |  |
| Sharks | 21.22 |
| Others |  |
| "Baitfish"2 | 65.51 |
| Dolphinfish | 0.07 |
| Dolphinfish/barracuda | 0.05 |
| Mackerel | 1.04 |
| Mackerel/"baitfish" | 3.80 |
| Mackerel scad/rainbow runner | 8.51 |
| Manta ray | 0.23 |
| Marlin/rainbow runner | 0.02 |
| Marlin/shark ${ }^{3}$ | 0.42 |
| Oceanic whitetip shark/triggerfish ${ }^{3}$ | 0.07 |
| Rainbow runner | 126.83 |
| Rainbow runner/mackerel | 2.00 |
| Rainbow runner/triggerfish | 0.21 |
| Shark/rainbow runner ${ }^{3}$ | 1.39 |
| Shark/rainbow runner/scad mackerel ${ }^{3}$ | 5.09 |
| Sunfish | 0.03 |
| Triggerfish | 0.31 |
| Triggerfish/"baitfish" | 0.01 |
| Unknown species | 1.92 |

${ }^{1}$ Fishermen are instructed to report by-catch in weight or numbers. Reports in numbers were not used and consisted of 19 marlins, 209 sharks and 2,000 rainbow runners caught and discarded.
${ }^{2}$ Included mackerel, bonito, and other species.
${ }^{3}$ Sharks were sometimes reported in combination with other species.

Table 7. Observer reports of by-catch and discard rate for U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the central-western Pacific Ocean in 1998. ${ }^{1}$

| Species | Sets |  | Catch in Weight |  | Catch in Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. | \% Freq. | Tons | \% Discarded | Number | \% Discarded |
| Billfishes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black marlin | 29 | 4.7 | 3.45 | 61.4 | 39 | 48.7 |
| Blue marlin | 33 | 5.3 | 4.54 | 77.2 | 50 | 78.0 |
| Marlins, sailfishes, spearfish | 14 | 2.2 | 1.79 | 42.5 | 16 | 62.5 |
| Sailfish | 3 | 0.4 | 0.04 | 65.1 | 6 | 66.6 |
| Short-billed spearfish | 6 | 0.9 | 0.17 | 67.6 | 16 | 81.2 |
| Striped marlin | 4 | 0.6 | 0.36 | 80.7 | 5 | 80.0 |
| Swordfish | 1 | 0.1 | 0.07 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Sharks ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oceanic white-tip | 47 | 7.6 | 5.09 | 84.2 | 358 | 77.9 |
| Sharks (unidentified) | 168 | 27.2 | 44.37 | 16.2 | 2,456 | 17.3 |
| Silky | 35 | 5.6 | 6.44 | 27.2 | 508 | 25.2 |
| Silver-tip | 1 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Whale | 1 | 0.1 | 2.30 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Other Tunas, Tuna-Like Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albacore | 13 | 2.1 | 0.71 | 0.0 | 27 | 0.0 |
| Bullet tuna | 1 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 |
| Butterfly kingfish | 1 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Frigate and bullet tuna | 4 | 0.6 | 0.07 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 |
| Kawakawa | 3 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 33.3 | 3 | 33.3 |
| Tuna (unidentified) | 2 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 |
| Wahoo | 122 | 19.8 | 5.76 | 55.6 | 666 | 56.7 |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amberjack | 2 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 45.6 | 44 | 45.4 |
| Barracuda ${ }^{3}$ | 65 | 10.5 | 5.04 | 6.6 | 1,074 | 3.9 |
| Dolphinfish | 131 | 21.2 | 20.31 | 23.2 | 5,276 | 19.7 |
| Mackerel scad | 121 | 19.6 | 13.53 | 96.4 | 6,465 | 96.8 |
| Mackerel (unidentified) | 41 | 6.6 | 7.96 | 98.1 | 9,089 | 97.9 |
| Manta ray | 11 | 1.7 | 0.75 | 63.8 | 16 | 62.5 |
| Rainbow runner | 257 | 41.7 | 67.87 | 89.6 | 31,145 | 96.5 |
| Trevally (unidentified - jacks) | 17 | 2.7 | 0.38 | 43.0 | 203 | 44.3 |
| Triggerfish | 213 | 34.5 | 15.29 | 93.9 | 17,496 | 94.1 |
| Unknown species | 40 | 6.4 | 42.24 | 90.1 | 1,756 | 90.6 |
| Dolphin/porpoise | 4 | 0.6 | 0.15 | 79.3 | 12 | 91.6 |
| Loggerhead turtle | 2 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 |

[^4]

Figure 1. Boundaries of the South Pacific Regional Tuna Treaty area and the four NMFS Sampling areas used for length sampling of catches in the Treaty area.


Figure 2. Distribution of fishing effort (days fished) for the 1998 U.S. tuna purse seine fishery in the central-western Pacific Ocean. Proportion of types of sets for east and west of $180^{\circ}$ is shown in pie diagrams.


Figure 3. Percentage of free-swimming school, log and FAD sets for U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centralwestern Pacific Ocean.


Figure 4. Distribution of fishing effort (days fished) for the 1997 U.S. tuna purse seine fishery in the central-western Pacific Ocean. Proportion of types of sets for east and west of $180^{\circ}$ is shown in pie diagrams.
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Figure 5. Percentage of U.S. tuna purse seine landings by landing location (A) and processing location (B) for 1998.


Figure 6. Size-frequency distribution of skipjack tuna caught in floating -object sets and free-swimming school sets by U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centralwestern Pacific Ocean in 1998. Measurements were taken by port samplers (A) and observers (B).


Figure 7. Size-frequency distribution of yellowfin tuna caught in floating-object sets and free-swimming school sets by U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centralwestern Pacific Ocean in 1998. Measurements were taken by port samplers (A) and observers (B).


Figure 8. Size-frequency distribution of bigeye tuna caught in floating-object sets and free-swimming school sets by U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the centralwestern Pacific Ocean in 1998. Measurements were taken by port samplers (A) and observers (B).


Figure 9. Comparison of size-frequency distributions of skipjack tuna sampled by port samplers (shaded) and observers (solid line) for floating-object sets (A) and free-swimming school sets (B). Samples were taken from the catch of U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the central-western Pacific Ocean in 1998.


Figure 10. Comparison of size-frequency distributions of yellowfin tuna sampled by port samplers (shaded) and observers (solid line) for floating-object sets (A) and free-swimming school sets (B). Samples were taken from the catch of U.S. tuna purse seiners fishing in the central-western Pacific Ocean in 1998.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Working document for the $12^{\text {th }}$ Standing Committee on Tuna and Billfish, Papeete, Tahiti, June 16-23, 1999. (Document originally prepared for the annual meeting of parties to the South Pacific Regional Tuna Treaty, 24-30 March 1999, Koror, Republic of Palau.)

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Fishermen are instructed to report all catches in weight or numbers; however, few reported by-catches in numbers and those reports were not used.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Sharks with fins retained and trunks discarded have not been considered as discards of by-catch.

[^3]:    1 "-" indicates incomplete information owing to logbooks not yet processed.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ An estimate of by-catch in weight was determined where catch was reported in numbers only. An estimate of bycatch in number was determined where catch was reported in weight only.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sharks that were finned, with trunks discarded, are considered retained catch.
    ${ }^{3}$ Barracuda were primarily retained by the crew for consumption on return to their home countries.

