
Counties With Monitors Violating the March 2008 Ground-Level Ozone Standards 0.075 parts per million




322 of 6751 monitored counties violate the standard

- 1. Counties with at least one monitor with complete data for 2006 2008
- 2. To determine compliance with the March 2008 ozone standards, the 3-year average is truncated to three decimal places.

Counties With Monitors Violating Proposed Primary 8-hour Ground-level Ozone Standards 0.060 - 0.070 parts per million

(Based on 2006 – 2008 Air Quality Data)

EPA will not designate areas as nonattainment on these data, but likely on 2008 – 2010 data which are expected to show improved air quality.




- 1. No monitored counties outside the continental U.S. violate.
- 2. EPA is proposing to determine compliance with a revised primary ozone standard by rounding the 3-year average to three decimal places.

Counties With Monitors Violating Proposed Secondary Seasonal Ground-Level Ozone Standards 7 – 15 parts per million - hours


(Based on 2006 – 2008 Air Quality Data)

EPA will not designate areas as nonattainment on these data, but likely on 2008 – 2010 data which are expected to show improved air quality.




No monitored counties outside the continental U.S. violate.

Counties With Monitors Projected to Violate Proposed Primary 8-hour Ground-Level Ozone Standards in 2020



- 1. The modeled emissions in 2020 reflect the expected emissions reductions from federal programs by 2020 including: the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, the proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine Vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines, and an estimate of State-level mobile and stationary source controls that were projected to be needed to attain pre-existing PM 2.5 and ozone standards.
- 2. Controls applied are illustrative. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.
- 3. EPA did not model future violations outside the continental U.S.
- 4. EPA is proposing to determine compliance with a revised primary ozone standard by rounding the 3-year average to three decimal places.

Counties With Monitors Projected to Violate the Proposed Secondary Seasonal Ground-level Ozone Standards in 2020



- The modeled emissions in 2020 reflect the expected emissions reductions from federal programs by 2020 including: the Clean Air Interstate Rule, the Clean Air Mercury Rule, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, the Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule, the Light-Duty Vehicle Tier 2 Rule, the Heavy Duty Diesel Rule, the proposed rules for Locomotive and Marine Vessels and for Small Spark-Ignition Engines, and an estimate of State-level mobile and stationary source controls that were projected to be needed to attain pre-existing PM 2.5 and ozone standards.
- 2. Controls applied are illustrative. States may choose to apply different control strategies for implementation.
- EPA did not model future violations outside the continental U.S.