UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION OFFICE OF NEW REACTORS WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001

November 15, 2010

NRC REGULATORY ISSUE SUMMARY 2010-10 PROCESS FOR SCHEDULING ACCEPTANCE REVIEWS OF NEW REACTOR LICENSING APPLICATIONS AND PROCESS FOR DETERMINING BUDGET NEEDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013

ADDRESSEES

All holders of, and applicants for, an early site permit (ESP), limited work authorization (LWA), standard design certification (DC), or combined license (COL) for construction and operation of a nuclear power plant under the provisions of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants."

INTENT

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing this regulatory issue summary (RIS) (1) to assist the NRC in determining fiscal year (FY) 2013 resource and budget needs with respect to future construction-related activities and other anticipated 10 CFR Part 52 licensing actions, (2) to communicate to stakeholders the agency's process for scheduling its acceptance reviews, (3) to communicate to stakeholders that the NRC has expanded its scheduling process to include all potential 10 CFR Part 52 licensing actions and related activities (e.g., license amendments, topical report submissions, changes to applications other than revision changes that result in responses to requests for additional information (RAIs), ESP modification requests, and ESP transfer requests), and (4) to request that addressees consider submitting their construction plans and schedules for fabrication of large components and modules to the NRC, when available. The submission of advance notice of addressee plans or changes to previously announced plans is strictly voluntary; therefore, no specific action or written response is required.

This RIS is intended to promote early communication between the NRC and addressees about potential 10 CFR Part 52 licensing actions and related activities submission dates and plans for construction activities. Some examples of these potential actions and related activities are: Any future applicant that intends to request an amendment to a DC or a DC renewal after January 2011; any current 10 CFR Part 52 licensee (ESP holder) that intends to request a LWA, an amendment to its ESP, or a transfer of its ESP after January 1, 2011; any current 10 CFR Part 52 applicant that intends to submit any change in the future to an application currently under NRC review [excluding chapter revisions resulting from responses to staff RAIs] (i.e., a topical report, a LWA request, a change to a major feature of an emergency plan submittal, or a facility design change); any current 10 CFR Part 52 COL applicant that, if granted a COL, intends to submit amendments to its COL in FY 2013 (i.e., October 1, 2012, to September 30, 2013). This exchange of information will assist the NRC in allocating its FY year 2013 resources for acceptance reviews, anticipated licensing actions, and inspection support.

The concepts described in this RIS are consistent with the NRC policy on standardization as described in the Statement of Consideration for the original proposed rule in 10 CFR Part 52, titled, "Early Site Permits, Standard Design Certifications and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Reactors," (53 Federal Register 32060) and apply to ESP, LWA, DC, and COL applications submitted to the NRC. This RIS does not transmit or imply any new or changed requirements or staff positions. Although no specific action or written response is required, submission of the requested information will enable the NRC to more efficiently and effectively plan its licensing and inspection activities.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The NRC staff issued RIS 2006-06, "New Reactor Standardization Needed To Support the Design-Centered Licensing Review Approach," on May 31, 2006, to explain the NRC's design-centered review approach (DCRA) for DC and COL applications and the level of standardization of a particular design needed to make the DCRA effective. The staff issued RIS 2007-08, "Updated Licensing Submittal Information To Support the Design-Centered Licensing Review Approach," on April 16, 2007, to obtain updated information on the scheduling of ESP, COL, and DC application submissions and on the extent to which applicants would support the NRC's DCRA. RIS 2007-08 promoted the standardization of COL applications and facilitated the establishment of a predictable and consistent method for reviewing applications. In addition, the NRC sought updated information on addressee activities related to safety and quality assurance requirements, environmental reviews, construction plans and preparation, and other preapplication activities.

To complement the DCRA approach and to support regulatory stability and predictability, each year since calendar year 2006, the staff issues a RIS to communicate to stakeholders the process that the NRC intends to use to enhance the agency's scheduling and resource allocation stability for 10 CFR Part 52 licensing actions. These RISs request the voluntary provision of expected application submission dates over the next fiscal year for NRC planning and budgeting purposes.

SUMMARY OF ISSUE

The NRC has received 18 COL applications since 2007, and it anticipates the submission of approximately two additional COL applications through 2012. The NRC is reviewing three DC applications (for the economic simplified boiling-water reactor, U.S. Evolutionary Power Reactor, and U.S. Advanced Pressurized-Water Reactor), one amended DC application (for the Advanced Passive 1000 (AP1000)), and one DC rule amendment (for the Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR)). Potentially, NRC may receive two DC renewals for the ABWR and one ESP applications in FY 2011. To enable the NRC to effectively schedule resources to review any new applications, modifications to current applications under its review (excluding chapter revisions resulting from RAI responses), ESP and license amendments, and DC amendments and renewals, it is highly beneficial for applicants and licensees to provide to the NRC their best estimate of the number of planned submissions, the complexity of those submissions, and their submission dates. The accuracy of these estimates will likely affect both the start date and the duration of the acceptance reviews. Furthermore, any requests for modifications or submittals of modifications to current applications that are under NRC review may significantly affect the established project schedule because of the possibility that new issues may raise potential technical discussion.

Similarly, the NRC staff will be able to more effectively and efficiently plan, schedule, and implement construction-related inspection activities in accordance with applicable NRC inspection manual chapters if applicants and future licensees submit construction plans and schedules for the fabrication of modules and large components and submit inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria review schedules to the NRC in a timely manner.

The advance notification of application submission dates will facilitate the likelihood of acceptance reviews requiring no more than 60 calendar days. The staff's goal is to identify and obligate resources 45 days before the date it expects to receive an application. RIS 2009-03, "Process for Scheduling Acceptance Reviews of New Reactor Licensing Applications after April 2009 and Process for Determining Budget Needs for Fiscal Year 2011," dated February 12, 2009, presented the staff's process for scheduling application reviews with respect to expected submission dates and other pertinent information related to the commencement of the staff's review. The process is reiterated below to remind addressees of its steps and to emphasize its importance to the NRC's project planning and budgeting process for 10 CFR Part 52 application reviews. In addition, the staff has added clarifying comments based on the conduct of recent 10 CFR Part 52 acceptance reviews.

<u>Declaration of the Expected Application Submission Date</u>

The NRC expects applicants to declare in writing their anticipated application submission date no later than 90 days in advance of the arrival of its submission. This expectation is consistent with the information the staff communicated to the design-centered working groups throughout 2007. Based on this expectation, the following criteria will apply:

The NRC will schedule its acceptance review to start on the next business day following the applicant's expected application submission date (month, day, and year).

When applicants specify a month rather than a specific date, the NRC will assume that the application will arrive on the last day of the month, and the review will begin on the next business day.

Schedule Changes

The NRC will allocate resources to accomplish an acceptance review based on the applicant's declaration of an expected submission date. Therefore, given the workload, the staff will be unable to readily accommodate a late notice of schedule changes made by the applicant. The following will result from schedule changes:

¹As stated in RIS 2007-25, "Combined License Application Acceptance Review Process," dated December 18, 2007, the Commission approved the COL Task Force's recommendation to extend the 30-day acceptance review to a 60-day acceptance review for COL applications. Because DC applications require extensive reviews, the staff is also scheduling a 60-day acceptance review for DCs.

If the applicant submits an application early, the start and completion dates for the acceptance review will not change. However, if resources are available, the staff will begin its review of the application ahead of the scheduled start date.

If the applicant is late in submitting its application, the staff will discuss the start date with the applicant based on the availability of staff resources needed to perform the review.

If a potential applicant has projected a submission date beyond FY 2013, then the NRC asks that it revisit its estimated projected submission date on an annual basis and inform the NRC, in writing, of the projected application submission date.

Advance Issuance of Acceptance Review Schedule and Start of Application Review

The staff will make its schedule for acceptance reviews publicly available approximately 30 days before the projected start date.

The NRC will not project any delays in scheduling review completions. There may be a delay between the scheduled completion of the acceptance review and the scheduled start of the application review to accommodate potential minor delays in the acceptance review schedule in a manner that does not result in rescheduling extensive resources. Furthermore, for COL applications, it should be understood that the start of a detailed review is dependent upon docketing and other considerations, such as the applicant's intended construction and operation plans and whether the NRC staff or NRC contractors will conduct the review. The NRC's goal is to focus on those COL applications with plans for construction and operation designated for completion by FY 2017 or sooner, in the event a COL is issued.

Testing of an Application for Automatic Uploading

Applicants or licensees are strongly encouraged to test the ability of NRC systems to automatically upload their applications for distribution before actual submission. Failure to pretest this feature could delay the start date of the acceptance review if problems are encountered that prevent the NRC from electronically distributing the application to the technical reviewers.

VOLUNTARY RESPONSE

The staff is seeking notification on the number and the degree of complexity of ESP, LWA, DC, and COL applications, and any other licensing requests that applicants expect to submit in FY 2013, no later than 30 days from the date of this RIS. This information will assist the staff in determining FY 2013 budget needs.

The staff is seeking notification of any changes to previously announced or submitted schedules concerning the construction of power reactors under 10 CFR Part 52. In support of staffing and planning, this includes projected submission dates beyond FY 2013.

To ensure that the NRC can effectively schedule resources and to facilitate the achievement of an acceptance review of 60 calendar days, the staff requests that, 90 days before the expected submission date, an applicant or licensee (as applicable) declare the expected submission date (month, day, and year) and the degree of complexity of each of its ESP, LWA, DC, and partial or complete COL applications or licensing action request that it intends to submit to the NRC.

In addition, the NRC staff is requesting the voluntary submission to the NRC of addressee construction plans and schedules for the fabrication of large components and modules, when available.

Addressees that choose to provide a voluntary response should send it to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

BACKFIT DISCUSSION

This RIS is being issued to inform stakeholders of a revision to existing internal NRC procedures applicable to power reactor applications under 10 CFR Part 52 and to request the voluntary submission of information. These internal processes do not affect licensee procedures required for constructing or operating a power plant. The information requested will be used for internal NRC planning and budgeting. This RIS requires no action or written response.

Any action on the part of an addressee to provide information on advance notice of its intent to pursue an ESP, LWA, DC, or COL application or licensing action, in accordance with the requests contained in this RIS is for the purpose of aiding the NRC in planning the use of its resources and is strictly voluntary. Therefore, it does not constitute a backfit under 10 CFR 50.109, "Backfitting," and a backfit analysis is not required.

FEDERAL REGISTER NOTIFICATION

The NRC did not publish a notice of opportunity for public comment on this RIS in the *Federal Register* because it pertains to an administrative aspect of the regulatory process that involves the voluntary submission of information on the part of addressees and does not represent a departure from current regulatory requirements.

CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT

The NRC has determined that this RIS is not a rule as designated by the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808) and, therefore, is not subject to the Act.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT

This RIS contains information collection requirements that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved these information collections under OMB control number 3150-0151. The burden to the public for this voluntary information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time necessary for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the information collection. Send comments on this burden estimate or any other aspects of these information collections, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Records and Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Services Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the

Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (OMB 3150-0051), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

PUBLIC PROTECTION NOTIFICATION

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

CONTACTS

Please direct any questions about this matter to the technical contacts listed below.

/RA/ /RA by MShuaibi for/

Timothy J. McGinty, Director

Division of Policy and Rulemaking

Division of Construction Inspection and

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Operational Programs
Office of New Reactors

Technical Contacts: Steven Bloom Amy M. Snyder (301) 415-2431 (301) 415-6822

Steven.Bloom@nrc.gov Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov

Note: NRC generic communications may be found on the NRC public Web site, http://www.nrc.gov, under Electronic Reading Room/Document Collections.

Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (OMB 3150-0051), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

PUBLIC PROTECTION NOTIFICATION

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

CONTACTS

Please direct any questions about this matter to the technical contacts listed below.

/RA/ /RA by MShuaibi for/

Timothy J. McGinty, Director
Division of Policy and Rulemaking
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Glenn M. Tracy, Director Division of Construction Inspection and Operational Programs Office of New Reactors

Technical Contacts: Steven Bloom Amy M. Snyder

(301) 415-2431 (301) 415-6822

Steven.Bloom@nrc.gov Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov

Note: NRC generic communications may be found on the NRC public Web site, http://www.nrc.gov, under Electronic Reading Room/Document Collections.

DISTRIBUTION: NRO Distribution

ADAMS Accession Number: ML102720901			*via email		ME3047	
OFFICE	NRO/DNRL	NRO/DNRL/NPLS	Tech Editor*	NRO/DNRL	NRR/DORL	OE
NAME	ASnyder	SBloom	KAzariah- Kribbs	DMatthews (forFAkstulewicz)	JGiitter	RZimmerman
DATE	09/29/2010	10/01/2010	09/30/2010	11/4/2010	11/4/2010	10/25/010
OFFICE	OGC/NLO	OGC/CRA	OIS	PGCB/LA	NRR/DPR/ GCB	NRR/DPR/GCB
NAME	MZobler	SCroston	TBoyce	CHawes	TMensah	SRosenberg
DATE	11/01/2010	10/4/2010	10/1/2010	11/3/2010	11/4/2010	11/5/2010
OFFICE	NRR/DPR	NRO/DCIP	NRO/DCIP			
NAME	TMcGinty	JTappert (for MShuaibi)	GTracy (for MShuaibi)			
DATE	11/ /2010	9/6//2010	10/29/2010			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY