
PORTABLE SEISMIC PROPERTY ANALYZER

Identification of Asphalt Pavement Layers

Publication No. FHWA-CFL/TD-09-002

July 2009



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Federal Highway
Administration**




Central Federal Lands Highway Division
12300 West Dakota Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80228

FOREWORD

The Federal Lands Highway (FLH) of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) promotes development and deployment of applied research and technology applicable to solving transportation related issues on Federal Lands. The FLH provides technology delivery, innovative solutions, recommended best practices, and related information and knowledge sharing to Federal agencies, Tribal governments, and other offices within the FHWA.

This study evaluates the Portable Seismic Property Analyzer (PSPA) and its effectiveness in identifying pavement thickness. The results of this technique compare accurately to those from cores, and therefore the PSPA is a viable tool for transportation engineers responsible for pavement design and construction quality control.



F. David Zanetell, P.E., Director of Project Delivery
Federal Highway Administration
Central Federal Lands Highway Division

Notice

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in this document. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The U.S. Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the objective of the document.

Quality Assurance Statement

The FHWA provides high-quality information to serve Government, industry, and the public in a manner that promotes public understanding. Standards and policies are used to ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of its information. FHWA periodically reviews quality issues and adjusts its programs and processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. FHWA-CFL/TD-09-002		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle <i>Portable Seismic Property Analyzer Identification of Asphalt Pavement Layers</i>			5. Report Date July 2009		
			6. Performing Organization Code		
7. Author(s) Manuel Celaya, Gary Young, and Soheil Nazarian			8. Performing Organization Report No.		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Geomeia Research and Development 7225 Bosque Road Canutillo, TX 79835			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)		
			11. Contract or Grant No. DTFH68-03-P-00182		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Federal Highway Administration Central Federal Lands Highway Division 12300 W. Dakota Avenue, Suite 210 Lakewood, CO 80228			13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report, October 2003		
			14. Sponsoring Agency Code HFTS-16.4		
15. Supplementary Notes COTR: Matt DeMarco, FHWA CFLHD. Advisory Panel Members: Mike Voth, FHWA-FLH; Khamis Haramy, Michael Peabody, Steve Deppmeier, and Roger Surdahl, FHWA-CFLHD. This project was funded under the FHWA Federal Lands Highway Technology Deployment Initiatives and Partnership Program (TDIPP).					
16. Abstract The focus of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of the Portable Seismic Property Analyzer (PSPA) to rapidly and nondestructively measure thickness and in situ moduli of asphalt pavement layers. These procedures are presented to calibrate and validate the results in the laboratory with simplified seismic tests on extracted cores and determine the design modulus from measured values. Performing these simplified laboratory and field tests along with more traditional tests may result in a database that can be used to smoothly unify the design procedures with pavement evaluation. Specifically, six sites with different pavement conditions and structures throughout Colorado were tested October 2003, and the results summarized in this report. Based on the results, the PSPA is proposed as a viable tool for immediate implemetation by the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Lands Highway.					
17. Key Words NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING, SEISMIC, ASPHALT CONCRETE, PORTABLE SEISMIC PROPERTY ANALYZER			18. Distribution Statement No restriction. This document is available to the public from the sponsoring agency at the website http://www.cflhd.gov .		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 96	22. Price

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS

Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
LENGTH				
in	inches	25.4	Millimeters	mm
ft	feet	0.305	Meters	m
yd	yards	0.914	Meters	m
mi	miles	1.61	Kilometers	km
AREA				
in ²	square inches	645.2	Square millimeters	mm ²
ft ²	square feet	0.093	Square meters	m ²
yd ²	square yard	0.836	Square meters	m ²
ac	acres	0.405	Hectares	ha
mi ²	square miles	2.59	Square kilometers	km ²
VOLUME				
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	Milliliters	mL
gal	gallons	3.785	Liters	L
ft ³	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	m ³
yd ³	cubic yards	0.765	cubic meters	m ³
NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m ³				
MASS				
oz	ounces	28.35	Grams	g
lb	pounds	0.454	Kilograms	kg
T	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or "metric ton")	Mg (or "t")
TEMPERATURE (exact degrees)				
°F	Fahrenheit	5 (F-32)/9 or (F-32)/1.8	Celsius	°C
ILLUMINATION				
fc	foot-candles	10.76	Lux	lx
fl	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m ²	cd/m ²
FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS				
lbf	poundforce	4.45	Newtons	N
lbf/in ²	poundforce per square inch	6.89	Kilopascals	kPa

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS

Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
LENGTH				
mm	millimeters	0.039	Inches	in
m	meters	3.28	Feet	ft
m	meters	1.09	Yards	yd
km	kilometers	0.621	Miles	mi
AREA				
mm ²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in ²
m ²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft ²
m ²	square meters	1.195	square yards	yd ²
ha	Hectares	2.47	Acres	ac
km ²	square kilometers	0.386	square miles	mi ²
VOLUME				
mL	Milliliters	0.034	fluid ounces	fl oz
L	liters	0.264	Gallons	gal
m ³	cubic meters	35.314	cubic feet	ft ³
m ³	cubic meters	1.307	cubic yards	yd ³
MASS				
g	grams	0.035	Ounces	oz
kg	kilograms	2.202	Pounds	lb
Mg (or "t")	megagrams (or "metric ton")	1.103	short tons (2000 lb)	T
TEMPERATURE (exact degrees)				
°C	Celsius	1.8C+32	Fahrenheit	°F
ILLUMINATION				
lx	lux	0.0929	foot-candles	fc
cd/m ²	candela/m ²	0.2919	foot-Lamberts	fl
FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS				
N	newtons	0.225	Poundforce	lbf
kPa	kilopascals	0.145	poundforce per square inch	lbf/in ²

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380.
(Revised March 2003)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 – EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1

CHAPTER 2 – INTRODUCTION 3

 OBJECTIVES 3

 ORGANIZATION 3

CHAPTER 3 – BACKGROUND 5

 METHODOLOGY FOR QUALITY CONTROL BASED ON SEISMIC METHOD 6

 Step 1: Selecting the Most Suitable Material 6

 Step 2: Selecting the Most Suitable Moduli 7

 Step 3: Characterizing the Variation in Modulus with Temperature 7

 Step 4: Determining Design Modulus of Material 7

 Step 5: Field Quality Control 8

 DESIGN MODULUS FROM SEISMIC MODULUS 9

CHAPTER 4 – TEST METHODS 11

 PORTABLE SEISMIC PROPERTY ANALYZER 11

 Ultrasonic Laboratory Test 17

 DIAMETRAL RESILIENT MODULUS 17

CHAPTER 5 – TEST PROTOCOL 21

 SELECTION AND MARKING OF TEST SITES 21

 PSPA TESTS 22

 RETRIEVAL OF CORES 24

 LAB TESTS 27

 RELATING LAB AND FIELD TEST RESULTS 27

CHAPTER 6 – PRESENTATION OF RESULTS 31

 DESCRIPTION OF SITES 31

 Site 1: Tarryall Road 31

 Site 2: Great Sand Dunes National Monument 32

 Site 3: Taylor River Road 32

 Site 4: Mesa Verde National Park 32

 Site 5: Canyonlands National Park – The Needles 32

 Site 6: Colorado National Monument 32

 VOLUMETRIC PROPERTIES 32

 PSPA MODULI 33

 DESIGN MODULI 41

 COMPARISON OF CORE MODULI WITH PSPA MODULI 41

CHAPTER 7 – SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 43

REFERENCES 45

PORTABLE SEISMIC PROPERTY ANALYZER – TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A – PHOTO ALBUM47

APPENDIX B – VOLUMETRIC INFORMATION59

APPENDIX C – PSPA RESULTS61

APPENDIX D – TYPICAL RESULTS FROM PSPA.....67

APPENDIX E – MASTER CURVES79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Graph. Process of Determining Most Suitable Moduli.	7
Figure 2. Graph. Process of Characterizing Variation in Modulus with Temperature.	8
Figure 3. Graph. Process of Estimating Design Modulus.	8
Figure 4. Graph. Process of Field Testing for HMA Materials.	9
Figure 5. Graph. Master Curve Concept for Defining Design Modulus.	10
Figure 6. Photo. Portable Seismic Pavement Analyzer.	12
Figure 7. Graph. Typical Time Records from PSPA.	13
Figure 8. Schematic. Ultrasonic Surface Wave Method.	14
Figure 9. Graph. Typical Dispersion Curve Obtained from Time Records in Figure 7.	15
Figure 10. Graph. Typical Phase Spectra Obtained from Time Records in Figure 7.	15
Figure 11. Schematic. Impact Echo Method.	16
Figure 12. Schematic and Photo. Ultrasonic Test Device for AC Specimens.	18
Figure 13. Photo. Diametral Resilient Modulus Test.	19
Figure 14. Schematic. Specimen Subjected to Diametral Test.	19
Figure 15. Graph. Time Relationships for Repeated-Load Indirect Tension Test (after Roberts et al., 1996).	20
Figure 16. Schematic. Typical Marking of Sites.	21
Figure 17. Screen Shot. Typical Time Records as Demonstrated by PSPA Software.	22
Figure 18. Screen Shot. Typical Interpreted Results as Demonstrated by PSPA Software.	23
Figure 19. Graph. Typical Variation in Modulus along a Site.	25
Figure 20. Photo. Typical Coring Operation by CFLHD Staff.	26
Figure 21. Photo. Typical Core Retrieved from the Site.	26
Figure 22. Graph. Typical Master Curve to Combine all Test Results.	28
Figure 23. Graph. Typical Comparison of PSPA Field Moduli with Ultrasonic Lab Results.	29
Figure 24. Map. Location of Sites.	31
Figure 25. Graph. Variation in Modulus with PSPA along Site 1.	34
Figure 26. Graph. Variation in Modulus with PSPA along Site 2.	35
Figure 27. Graph. Variation in Modulus with PSPA along Site 3.	36
Figure 28. Graph. Variation in Modulus with PSPA along Site 4.	37
Figure 29. Graph. Variation in Modulus with PSPA along Site 5.	38
Figure 30. Graph. Variation in Modulus with PSPA along Site 6.	39
Figure 31. Graph. Comparison of Moduli Obtained by Ultrasonic Device and PSPA at all Sites.	42
Figure 32. Graph. Variation between Moduli Obtained by Ultrasonic Device and PSPA at all Sites.	42
Figure 33. Photo. Measuring with the PSPA on the Side of the Road at Site 1.	47
Figure 34. Photo. Coring at Site 1.	47
Figure 35. Photo. Cores from Site 1.	48
Figure 36. Photo. Coring at Site 2.	48
Figure 37. Photo. Examples of Cracks at Site 2.	49
Figure 38. Photo. View of Site 2.	49
Figure 39. Photo. Cores from Site 2.	50
Figure 40. Photo. View of Site 3.	50
Figure 41. Photo. Coring at Site 3.	51

PORTABLE SEISMIC PROPERTY ANALYZER – TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 42. Photo. Cores from Site 3.	51
Figure 43. Photo. Close up of Site 4.	52
Figure 44. Photo. View of Site 4.....	52
Figure 45. Photo. Coring at Site 4.....	53
Figure 46. Photo. Cores from Site 4.	53
Figure 47. Photo. Close up of Site 5.	54
Figure 48. Photo. View of Site 5.....	54
Figure 49. Photo. Coring at Site 5.....	55
Figure 50. Photo. Cores from Site 5.	55
Figure 51. Photo. Close up of Site 6.	56
Figure 52. Photo. Cracking at Site 6.	56
Figure 53. Photo. View of Site 6.....	57
Figure 54. Photo. Cores at Site 6.	57
Figure 55. Graph. Typical PSPA Results at Site 1.	67
Figure 56. Graph. Typical PSPA Results at Site 2.	69
Figure 57. Graph. Typical PSPA Results at Site 3.	71
Figure 58. Graph. Typical PSPA Results at Site 4.	73
Figure 59. Graph. Typical PSPA Results at Site 5.	75
Figure 60. Graph. Typical PSPA Results at Site 6.	77
Figure 61. Graph. Master Curves for Site 2.....	79
Figure 62. Graph. Master Curves for Site 3.....	80
Figure 63. Graph. Master Curves for Site 4.....	81
Figure 64. Graph. Master Curves for Site 5.....	82
Figure 65. Graph. Master Curves for Site 6.....	83

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Volumetric Information from Cores Retrieved from the Site.....27
Table 2. Volumetric Information from Cores Retrieved from Different Sites.33
Table 3. Seismic Moduli Obtained from PSPA at Different Sites.33
Table 4. Design Moduli Obtained from Integration of Lab and PSPA Tests at Different Sites...41
Table 5. Volumetric Information from Cores Retrieved at Site 2.59
Table 6. Volumetric Information from Cores Retrieved at Site 3.59
Table 7. Volumetric Information from Cores Retrieved at Site 4.59
Table 8. Volumetric Information from Cores Retrieved at Site 5.60
Table 9. Volumetric Information from Cores Retrieved at Site 6.60
Table 10. Seismic Moduli Measured at Site 1.61
Table 11. Seismic Moduli Measured at Site 2.62
Table 12. Seismic Moduli Measured at Site 3.63
Table 13. Seismic Moduli Measured at Site 4.64
Table 14. Seismic Moduli Measured at Site 5.65
Table 15..Seismic Moduli Measured at Site 6.66
Table 16. Master Curve Parameters for Site 2.84
Table 17. Master Curve Parameters for Site 3.84
Table 18. Master Curve Parameters for Site 4.84
Table 19. Master Curve Parameters for Site 5.84
Table 20. Master Curve Parameters for Site 6.85

