

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.3. below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Cole, age 46, of Roland, Arkansas, was employed as an audit partner with Moore Stephens Frost, PLC (“Moore Stephens”) from approximately December 1989 until April 2003. Cole is currently a partner with Huffman Webb Smith & Cole, PLC, an accounting firm located in Little Rock, Arkansas. Cole is licensed as a CPA in Arkansas, Alabama, and Georgia. He registered as a CPA in Oklahoma.

2. At all relevant times, UCAP was a Colorado corporation with its principal place of business in Aurora, Colorado. UCAP operated a mortgage banking business until it ceased operations on approximately April 30, 2004. UCAP’s common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) from 1996 through August 23, 2005, and the company was required to file reports with the Commission on Forms 10-KSB and 10-QSB. At all relevant times, UCAP’s common stock traded in the Pink Sheets (on www.pinksheets.com, a service of Pink Sheets LLC). On August 23, 2005, the Commission revoked UCAP’s securities registration pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act.

3. On _____, 2006, the Commission filed a complaint against Cole in SEC v. Jimmy Lynn Bradley, et al., Civil Action No. 06-____, United States District Court for the District of Colorado. On ____, 2006, the court entered an order permanently enjoining Cole, by consent, from future violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rules 10b-5 and 13b2-1 thereunder, and from aiding and abetting violations of Sections 13(a) and 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20 and 13a-1 thereunder. The court further ordered that Cole pay a \$25,000 civil penalty.

4. The Commission’s complaint alleged, among other things, that Cole knowingly allowed UCAP fraudulently to overstate revenue by recording only half of a revenue adjustment necessary to make UCAP’s financial statements comply with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and to overstate the value of real estate. Together, these actions enabled UCAP to meet falsely a key financial covenant required to save the company’s sole line of

credit. Additionally, the Commission's complaint alleged that Cole knowingly allowed UCAP to record revenue from a real estate transaction with a related party and fail to record an expense for a settlement agreement between UCAP and a third party. Some of the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement were contained in UCAP's 2002 Form 10-KSB, but the financial statements did not contain an accrual for the liability. Moreover, the Commission's complaint alleged that Cole caused Moore Stephens to issue an unqualified audit report, falsely stating that the audit had been performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and that the financial statements were free of material misstatements and were presented in conformity with GAAP. As a result, UCAP's financial statements for the annual period ended September 30, 2002 understated the company's pre-tax net loss by over \$1.8 million, or 26 percent, and were therefore false and misleading.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanction agreed to in Respondent Cole's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, effective immediately, that:

A. Cole is suspended from appearing or practicing before the Commission as an accountant.

B. After five years from the date of this order, Respondent may request that the Commission consider his reinstatement by submitting an application (attention: Office of the Chief Accountant) to resume appearing or practicing before the Commission as:

1. a preparer or reviewer, or a person responsible for the preparation or review, of any public company's financial statements that are filed with the Commission. Such an application must satisfy the Commission that Respondent's work in his practice before the Commission will be reviewed either by the independent audit committee of the public company for which he works or in some other acceptable manner, as long as he practices before the Commission in this capacity; and/or

2. an independent accountant. Such an application must satisfy the Commission that:

(a) Respondent, or the public accounting firm with which he is associated, is registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("Board") in accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and such registration continues to be effective;

(b) Respondent, or the registered public accounting firm with which he is associated, has been inspected by the Board and that inspection did not identify any criticisms of or potential defects in the Respondent's or the firm's quality control system that would indicate that the Respondent will not receive appropriate supervision;

(c) Respondent has resolved all disciplinary issues with the Board, and has complied with all terms and conditions of any sanctions imposed by the Board (other than reinstatement by the Commission); and

(d) Respondent acknowledges his responsibility, as long as Respondent appears or practices before the Commission as an independent accountant, to comply with all requirements of the Commission and the Board, including, but not limited to, all requirements relating to registration, inspections, concurring partner reviews and quality control standards.

C. The Commission will consider an application by Respondent to resume appearing or practicing before the Commission provided that his state CPA license is current and he has resolved all other disciplinary issues with the applicable state boards of accountancy. However, if state licensure is dependant on reinstatement by the Commission, the Commission will consider an application on its other merits. The Commission's review may include consideration of, in addition to the matters referenced above, any other matters relating to Respondent's character, integrity, professional conduct, or qualifications to appear or practice before the Commission.

By the Commission.

Nancy M. Morris
Secretary