

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
RELEASE NO. 61602 / February 26, 2010

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-13795

In the Matter of

CHRISTINE M. ZAMORSKY,
aka CHRISTINE M.
THOMPSON, JEFFREY M.
ZAMORSKY,
and JESSE ANTHONY
“TONY” AGUILAR,

Respondents.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
AND NOTICE OF HEARING

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Christine M. Zamorsky (aka Christine M. Thompson) (“C. Zamorsky”), Jeffrey M. Zamorsky (“J. Zamorsky”) and Jesse Anthony Aguilar (“Aguilar”) (“Respondents”).

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENTS

1. C. Zamorsky, acted as an unregistered broker when she served as the vice-president of Icon World Corporation (“Icon”) and principal of SUNCO Resources, LLC (“SUNCO”). C. Zamorsky, 39 years of age, is a resident of Castle Rock, Colorado. C.

Zamorsky has never held any securities licenses nor been affiliated with a broker-dealer registered with the SEC.

2. J. Zamorsky, acted as an unregistered broker while president, secretary, treasurer and sole director of Icon and the manager of SUNCO from June 2006 through February 2008. J. Zamorsky, age 32, has never held any securities licenses and has not been affiliated with any broker-dealer registered with the Commission.

3. Aguilar acted as an unregistered broker while an officer of Icon and a principal of SUNCO. Aguilar, age 41, is a resident of Houston, Texas. From 1992 through 1997, Aguilar was a registered representative associated with various broker-dealers registered with the Commission. In November 2001, Aguilar pled guilty in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, in United States v. Jesse Anthony Aguilar, W. Dist. Texas, No. A-01-CR-227(1)-SS (Nov. 8, 2001), to conspiracy to commit mail fraud, wire fraud and securities fraud for misappropriating more than \$1.2 million from investors in connection with an oil and gas offering fraud.

B. RELATED ENTITIES

1. Icon was a Nevada corporation, with offices in Phoenix, Arizona. During the period of conduct alleged herein, Icon maintained offices in Colorado Springs, Colorado, and Chandler, Arizona.

2. SUNCO was a Wyoming limited liability company, with offices in Phoenix, Arizona. During the period of conduct alleged herein, SUNCO maintained offices in Colorado Springs, Colorado, and Chandler, Arizona.

C. ENTRY OF CIVIL INJUNCTIONS

1. On February 2, 2010, final judgment was entered against C. Zamorsky and on March 31, 2009, final judgments were entered against J. Zamorsky and Aguilar by the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, permanently enjoining them from future violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) and Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10(b)(5) thereunder. SEC v. Icon World Corporation (d/b/a Icon World Resources and Icon Corporation), SUNCO Resources, LLC, Jeffrey M. Zamorsky, Jesse Anthony "Tony" Aguilar, and Christine M. Zamorsky (a/k/a Christine M. Aguilar), Civil Action No. 08-cv-01088-MSK-CBS, in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

2. The Commission's complaint alleged that Respondents acted as unregistered brokers when Respondents obtained confidential proprietary information from oil and gas companies which they put into offering materials called private offering memoranda and used this to solicit prospective investors to buy securities in the form of fractional interests in the oil and gas wells. The complaint alleged that the private offering memoranda contained multiple false and misleading statements concerning, among other

things, the ownership of the oil and gas wells, their projected profitability, and the risks to investors in investing in the securities. Between May 2007 and March 2008, the Respondents raised \$1,373,995 from 23 investors in at least 11 states through the Icon/SUNCO securities offerings. The complaint further alleged that the Respondents acted as unregistered broker-dealers in that, in connection with the offer and sale of the Icon/SUNCO securities, they used the means of interstate commerce, including the telephone and the mails, to effect purchases and sales of the securities for the accounts of others. At the time of their activities, none of the Respondents were registered with the Commission nor were any affiliated with any broker-dealer registered with the Commission.

3. The Commission's complaint further alleged that, by engaging in the conduct described in paragraph two above, the Respondents employed a scheme and artifice to defraud investors in the offer and sale of securities, obtained money and property by means of materially false and misleading statements and engaged in transactions, practices, and courses of business that operated as a fraud and deceit upon purchasers. In addition, the complaint alleged that the Respondents participated in the offer and sale of securities when no registration statement was in effect with the Commission and no exemption from registration applied.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford Respondents an opportunity to establish any defense to such allegations;

B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondents pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.

IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §201.110.

IT IS FURTHERED ORDERED that Respondents shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission's Rules of Practice 17 C.F.R. §201.220.

If Respondents fail to file the directed answers, or fail to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondents may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against them upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided in Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice 17 C.F.R. §§201.155(1), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent personally or by certified mail.

IT IS FURTHERED ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 210 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceedings will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceedings is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary