
United States
Department of
Agriculture

Animal and
Plant Health
Inspection
Service

Cooperating State
Departments of
Agriculture

New Pest Response Guidelines

False Codling Moth

Thaumatotibia leucotreta



The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of any individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

The opinions expressed by individuals in this report do not necessarily represent the policies of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Mention of companies or commercial products does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture over others not mentioned. USDA neither guarantees nor warrants the standard of any product mentioned. Product names are mentioned solely to report factually on available data and to provide specific information.

This publication reports research involving pesticides. All uses of pesticides must be registered by appropriate State and/or Federal agencies before they can be recommended.

CAUTION: Pesticides can be injurious to humans, domestic animals, desirable plants, and fish or other wildlife if they are not handled or applied properly. Use all pesticides selectively and carefully. Follow recommended practices for the disposal of surplus pesticides and pesticide containers.

Credits

Contributors

Stephanie Bloem, U. S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)–Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)–Center for Plant Health Science and Technology (CPHST), Raleigh, North Carolina

John Canaday, USDA–APHIS–Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ)–Emergency and Domestic Programs (EDP)–Emergency Management (EM), Riverdale, Maryland

Jim E. Carpenter, USDA–Agricultural Research Service (ARS)–Crop Protection and Management Research, Tifton, Georgia

Valerie DeFeo, USDA–APHIS–PPQ–EDP–EM, Riverdale, Maryland

Susan Ellis, USDA–APHIS–PPQ–Office of the Deputy Administrator, Riverdale, Maryland

Todd Gilligan, Ohio State University, Museum of Biodiversity, Columbus, Ohio

Patricia S. Michalak, USDA–APHIS–PPQ–EDP–EM, Frederick, Maryland

Jeffrey N. L. Stibick, USDA–APHIS–PPQ–EDP–EM, Riverdale, Maryland

Shaharra J. Usnick, USDA–APHIS–PPQ–Plant Health Programs, Riverdale, Maryland

Robert C. Venette, USDA–Forest Service, St. Paul, Minnesota

Industry, State Regulatory Officers, Universities, and Governmental Agencies as credited in: September 1983. *Action Plan: False Codling Moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick)*. USDA–APHIS–PPQ–Emergency Programs.

Citing This Document

Cite this document as follows:

U.S. Department Of Agriculture, Animal Plant Health Inspection Service, Plant Protection and Quarantine, Emergency and Domestic Programs. 2010. New Pest Response Guidelines: False Codling Moth *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*. Riverdale, Maryland [http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/plants/manuals/online_manuals.shtml]

Graphics

Cover

Adult moth—Georg Goergen/IITA Insect Museum, Cotonou, Benin

Contents

Credits *page 1-i*
Contributors i
Citing This Document i
Graphics ii

Chapter 1

Introduction *page 1-1*
Contents 1
Purpose 1
Pest Status 2
Disclaimers and Document Comprehension 2
Initiating an Emergency Pest Response Program 3
Program Safety 4
Support For Program Decision Making 4

Chapter 2

Pest Information *page 2-1*
Contents 1
Introduction 1
Classification 1
History 3
Ecological Range 5
Damage to Host Plants 5
Life Cycle 8
Predicting Insect Development 12

Chapter 3

Identification *page 3-1*
Contents 1
Introduction 1
Authorities 1
Pre-Identification 2
Similar Species 4
Collection, Rearing and Preparation of Specimens 5
Shipping 6

Chapter 4

Survey *page 4-1*
Contents 1
Introduction 1
Detection Survey 2
Monitoring Survey 3
Delimiting Survey 3

Traceback Investigation 5
Visual Inspection of Plants 6
Larval Survey in Fruit 8
Soil Sampling 8
Trapping 8
Host Collecting and Holding 9
Orientation of Survey Personnel 9
Survey Records 9

Chapter 5

Regulatory Procedures *page 5-1*
Contents 1
Introduction 1
Instructions to Officers 1
Regulated Articles 2
Quarantine Actions 3
Regulated Establishments 3
Use of Pesticides 3
Approved Regulatory Treatments 4
Principal Activities 4
Removing Quarantines 5
Orientation of Regulatory Personnel 5
Regulatory Records 5

Chapter 6

Control *page 6-1*

Chapter 7

Environmental Compliance *page 7-1*
Contents 1
Introduction 1
National Environmental Policy Act 2
Endangered Species Act 2
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act 2
Other Laws 3
Environmental Monitoring 3
3

Chapter 8

Pathways *page 8-1*
Contents 1
Introduction 1
Interceptions 1
Risk of Establishment 2

Glossary

page 1-1

References

page 1-1

Appendix A

Resources *page A-1*

Suppliers of Beneficial Organisms 1

Suppliers of Insecticides 1

Pheromone Disruption and Traps 2

Entomology Supplies 3

Environmental Compliance 3

Environmental Services 3

Additional Resources 3

Appendix B

Forms *page B-1*

Contents 1

PPQ 391 Specimens For Determination 2

Appendix C

Hosts *page C-1*

Contents 1

Preferred Hosts 1

Secondary Hosts 2

Appendix D

Taxonomy *page D-1*

Contents 1

Taxonomy of False Codling Moth 2

Species Similar to False Codling Moth 11

Tools For Identifying Larvae of Leafrollers 15

Appendix E

Identification Job Aid *page E-1*



1

False Codling Moth

Chapter 1

Introduction

Contents

Purpose	page 1-1
Pest Status	page 1-2
Disclaimers and Document Comprehension	page 1-2
Initiating an Emergency Pest Response Program	page 1-3
Support For Program Decision Making	page 1-4

Purpose

Use *New Pest Response Guidelines: False Codling Moth* as a guide when designing a program to detect, monitor, control, contain, or eradicate an infestation of false codling moth (*Thaumatotibia* [= *Cryptophlebia*] *leucotreta* [Meyrick]).

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)–Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)–Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ) developed this guide through discussion, consultation, or agreement with staff at USDA–Agricultural Research Service (ARS), universities, industries, and state departments of agriculture.

If the pest is detected in the United States, PPQ personnel will produce a site-specific action plan based on this report. Personnel in state agriculture departments and others concerned with developing local survey or control programs for this pest will find the *Guidelines* useful.

The *Guidelines* will be updated as new information becomes available. Specific emergency programs should be based on information available at the time of the incident.

Pest Status

False codling moth is a significant import threat to the United States for the following reasons:

- ◆ False codling moth is a pest of economic importance to many crops in its native habitat including avocado, citrus, corn, cotton, macadamia, peach and plum;
- ◆ False codling moth could easily become established in the southern and southwestern United States;
- ◆ Establishment of false codling moth in the United States could result in economic losses of billions of dollars;
- ◆ False codling moth has been frequently intercepted at United States ports of entry in both cargo and passenger luggage;
- ◆ False codling moth larvae are difficult to detect once they enter fruit; and
- ◆ False codling moth eggs are laid singly and are difficult to detect.

On July 30, 2008, USDA confirmed the identification of a single male false codling moth in Ventura County, California. This was the first domestic detection of this pest in the United States (USDA–APHIS–PPQ 2008). On November 12, 2009, USDA confirmed the identification of a single larva intercepted in fruit in baggage (Touhey, P. 2010).

Detection of a single larva in fruit destined for export can result in rejection of an entire consignment (Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry 2004).

Disclaimers and Document Comprehension

This document is not intended to be complete and exhaustive. It provides a foundation, based upon the literature available, to assist further work. Some key articles were not available at the time of writing, and not all specialists and members of the research community were consulted for their advice. Conduct your own literature search. Search the World Wide Web frequently, since material is updated periodically.

Commercial Suppliers

References to commercial suppliers or products should not be construed as an endorsement of the company or product by USDA.

Contacts

Success of an emergency program depends on the cooperation, assistance, and understanding of other involved groups. The appropriate liaison and information officers should distribute news of program progress and developments to interested groups, including the following:

- ◆ Other Federal, State, county, and municipal agricultural officials;
- ◆ Grower groups (such as specific commodity or industry groups);
- ◆ Commercial interests;
- ◆ Academic entities with agricultural interests;
- ◆ Land-grant universities with Cooperative Extension Services;
- ◆ State and local law enforcement officials;
- ◆ Public health agencies;
- ◆ Foreign agricultural interests;
- ◆ National, state and local news media; and
- ◆ Consumers.

Initiating an Emergency Pest Response Program

An emergency pest response program or incident response consists of detection and delimitation, and may be followed by programs in regulation, containment, eradication and/or control.

If a newly detected exotic or imminent pest threat lacks a current *New Pest Response Guidelines* for reference, the New Pest Advisory Group (NPAG) evaluates the pest. After assessing the risk to U.S. plant health and consulting with experts and regulatory personnel, NPAG makes a recommendation to PPQ management for a course of action.

Follow this sequence in any order when initiating an emergency pest response program:

1. New or reintroduced pest is discovered and reported.
2. Pest is examined and pre-identified by a regional or area identifier.
3. Pest identity is confirmed by national taxonomic authority.
4. *New Pest Response Guidelines* are consulted or NPAG is assembled to evaluate the pest.
5. Depending on the urgency, official notifications are made to the National Plant Board, cooperators, or trading partners.
6. Delimiting survey is conducted at site of detection.

7. Incident Assessment Team can be sent to evaluate the site.
8. Recommendation is made, based on the assessment of surveys, other data, and recommendations of the Incident Assessment Team or an NPAG, as follows:
 - ❖ Take no action.
 - ❖ Regulate the pest.
 - ❖ Contain the pest.
 - ❖ Suppress the pest.
 - ❖ Eradicate the pest.
9. State departments of agriculture are consulted.
10. If appropriate, a control strategy is selected.
11. PPQ Deputy Administrator authorizes a response.
12. Command post is selected and the Incident Command System is implemented.
13. Further detection surveys are conducted.
14. Field identification procedures are standardized.
15. Data reporting is standardized.
16. Environmental assessments are completed as necessary.
17. Treatment is applied for required pest generational time.
18. Environmental monitoring is conducted if appropriate.
19. Pest monitoring surveys are conducted to evaluate program success.
20. Programs are designed for eradication, containment or long-term control of the pest.

Program Safety

Safety of the public and program personnel has priority in preprogram planning and training, and throughout operations. Safety officers and supervisors must enforce on-the-job safety procedures.

Support For Program Decision Making

USDA–APHIS–PPQ–Center for Plant Health, Science and Technology (CPHST) provides technical support to emergency pest response program directors concerning risk assessments, survey methods, control strategies, regulatory treatments, and other aspects of pest response programs.

2

False Codling Moth

Chapter 2

Pest Information

Contents

Introduction	page 2-1
Classification	page 2-1
History	page 2-3
Ecological Range	page 2-5
Damage to Host Plants	page 2-5
Life Cycle	page 2-8
Predicting Insect Development	page 2-12

Introduction

Use the *Pest Information* chapter learn more about the classification, history, host range, and biology, of the false codling moth (*Thaumatotibia* [= *Cryptophlebia*] *leucotreta* [Meyrick]).

Classification

Use [Table 2-1 on page 2-2](#) as an aid to the identification of false codling moth. It is important to note that the names *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* and *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* are synonyms and both appear in the interception records, a situation that sometimes leads to confusion. [See Interceptions on page 8-1](#) for related information concerning classification.

TABLE 2-1 Classification of false codling moth

Phylum	Arthropoda
Class	Insecta
Order	Lepidoptera
Family	Tortricidae
Tribe	Grapholitini
Species	<i>Thaumatotibia leucotreta</i>
Synonym	<i>Cryptophlebia leucotreta</i>
Common name	False codling moth
Easily confused with the following species	<i>Cryptophlebia peltastica</i> (Litchi moth), <i>Cydia pomonella</i> (Linnaeus) (Codling moth in U.S.A.), <i>Cydia toreuta</i> , <i>Ecdytolopha punctidiscana</i> (Todd Gilligan, pers. comm.), <i>Mussidia nigrevenella</i> (in West Africa) (Moyal & Tran 1989; Silvie 1990; CPC 2004), <i>Thaumatotibia batrachopa</i> (Macadamia nut borer in Africa)

History

The false codling moth is native to Africa (Newton 1998). The pest has a wide range of wild and cultivated host plants. It has infested cotton in most equatorial areas; citrus in Southern Africa; and recently macadamia nuts in Malawi (**Figure 2-1 on page 2-3**) (Newton 1998).

False codling moth has occasionally been found in Europe, where it was imported with produce from Africa (Bradley et al. 1979; Karvonen 1983). Border inspections have intercepted false codling moth in Denmark, Finland, Netherlands and the United Kingdom; the countries have remained free of the pest.




FIGURE 2-1 Geographic distribution of false codling moth. Bullets indicate the presence of this pest. False codling moth is established in the following African countries: Angola, Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo Democratic Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritius, Mozambique, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Réunion, Saint Helena, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe [Image courtesy of CPC (2006)].

Documentation by PPQ

PPQ first recognized the importance of false codling moth as a potential threat in 1960 (USDA 1960).

In 1982, PPQ–Emergency Programs assigned their highest priority to this pest. At the same time, Area Identifiers Odermatt, Bauman, Blackburn, Ford, and Ead (1982) reported that false codling moth was likely to be detected.

PPQ reviewed the status of this pest in an Action Plan (USDA 1983), and quickly revised it (USDA 1984). At the same time, Whittle (1984) revised the earlier report (USDA 1960).

Since 1984, reports of false codling moth in the United States were limited to documents produced by Cooperative Agricultural Pest Survey (CAPS).

Ecological Range

False codling moth has established populations in geographic areas with climates equivalent to USDA climatic zones 7b through 10a (Figure 2-2) (Venette et al. 2003).




FIGURE 2-2 Estimated potential number of generations of false codling moth. Base developmental temperature is 12°C (53.6°F). Upper developmental temperature is 40°C (104°F). Estimation of generation time is 450 degree days. Two potential exclusion layers exist of 25 and 50 or more days where minimum daily temperature is below -1°C (30.2°F) and average daily temperature is below 10°C (50°F) [Image courtesy of Borchert (2005)].

Damage to Host Plants

False codling moth is a pest of economic importance to many crops throughout sub-Saharan Africa, South Africa and the islands of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans. Larval feeding and development can affect fruit development at any stage, causing premature ripening and fruit drop. Important host crops include avocado (*Persea americana*), citrus (*Citrus* spp.), corn (*Zea mays*), cotton (*Gossypium* spp.), macadamia (*Macadamia* spp.), and peach and plum (*Prunus* spp.).

Avocado

Moths lay eggs superficially on the fruit of avocado. Larvae hatch and develop, and can enter through the skin. Larvae are unable to develop in avocado fruit. However, their entrance creates lesions that lessen the marketability of fruit (Figure 2-3). Lesions develop into a raised crater on the fruit surface, with an inconspicuous hole in the center where the larva has entered (Du Toit et al. 1979). Granular excreta can also be seen. Of the lepidopteran pests that damage avocado fruits, false codling moth is the most important (Erichsen & Schoeman 1992).




FIGURE 2-3 Left and Middle: Damage to avocado fruit caused by false codling moth [Images courtesy of Du Toit et al. 1979]. Right: Larva of false codling moth in avocado fruit [Image courtesy of Tertia Grové, Institute for Tropical and Subtropical Crops, <http://www.forestryimages.org>].

Citrus

All stages of citrus fruit are vulnerable to attack (**Figure 2-4 on page 2-7**). False codling moth larvae are capable of developing in hard green fruit before control measures can be started. Once a fruit is damaged, it becomes vulnerable to fungal organisms and scavengers.

Yield losses due to this pest have been as great as 20 percent (USDA 1984a).




FIGURE 2-4 Larvae of false codling moth within orange fruit [left image courtesy of Sean Moore, CRI; middle image courtesy of Hill (1983)] and cotton boll [right image courtesy of Hill (1983)]

Corn

Larvae damage corn by entering the ear from the husk through the silk channel.

Cotton

In Ugandan cotton, false codling moth caused 20 percent loss of early sown varieties and 42 to 90 percent loss of late varieties. Larval penetration of cotton bolls facilitates entry of other microorganisms that can rot and destroy the boll (Byraruhanga 1977; Couilloud 1994) (**Figure 2-4**). According to Erichsen & Schoeman (1992), the cultivars Edranol, Hass and Pinkerton were the most susceptible to attack by false codling moth.

Macadamia

False codling moth has caused significant yield losses of 30 percent or more to macadamia crops in Israel and South Africa. Larvae damage the nuts by feeding on the developing kernel after they pierce the husk and shell. Nuts reaching 14 to 19 mm diameter size are at the most risk because nutrient content is the greatest; concurrently, false codling moth reaches the adult stage by this point and is able to oviposit on the nuts (La Croix and Thindwa 1986; Wysoki 1986).

Stone Fruits

All stages of stone fruits are vulnerable to attack. False codling moth larvae are capable of developing in hard green fruit before control measures can be started. Once a fruit is damaged, it becomes vulnerable to fungal organisms and scavengers.

Infestation by false codling moth has resulted in up to 28 percent loss of late peach crops. Larvae damage stone fruits as they burrow into the fruit at the stem end and begin to feed around the stone. Infestations can be identified by the brown spots and dark brown frass. Peaches become susceptible to damage about six weeks before harvest.

Detecting infested peaches can be difficult if the fruit is still firm and abscission has not occurred; consequently, the danger of selling potentially infested fruit poses a serious threat to the peach industry (Blomefield 1978; Daiber 1975; USDA 1984a).

Life Cycle

The life cycle of the false codling moth includes egg, larval, pupal and adult stages ([Figure 2-5](#)). Diapause is absent (CPC 2004).

The complete life cycle ranges from 30 days (under best conditions) to 174 days (under poor conditions). Two to 10 generations can succeed annually. As many as five generations per year can succeed on oranges in South Africa (Venette et al. 2003). The number of generations is influenced by several factors, including temperature, food availability and quality, photoperiod, humidity, latitude and the effect of predators and diseases. With an uninterrupted supply of plant hosts, false codling moth remains active throughout the year.

Females live longer than males. The ratio of males to females is 1:2 (Couilloud 1994; Daiber 1980).

Moisture has a considerable effect on control of false codling moth. Gunn (1921) reported that infestations were less severe where heavy rainfall was recorded.




FIGURE 2-5 Life cycle of false codling moth

Egg Stage

Laying

Mated female moths fly at night, depositing eggs on suitable hosts between 5:00 p.m. and 11:00 p.m. Eggs are laid singly or in bunches. Females lay eggs at random in depressions of the rind of host fruit; on smooth, non-pubescent surfaces; on fallen fruit; or on foliage. Females lay eggs at irregular intervals over a long period.

At an optimum temperature of 25°C (77°F), females can lay three to eight eggs per fruit (nuts, pods, seeds, grain heads, and berries) and up to 800 over her life span. If there are numerous females, many eggs can accumulate on the fruit. However, only a few can survive due to lack of food and cannibalism.

On peaches, eggs are almost always laid on the upper surface of peach leaves. On cotton, green bolls are preferred (Blomefield 1978; Couilloud 1994; Daiber 1975; Newton 1988a 1989a; Newton and Crause 1990; Ochou 1993).

Development

Egg development requires 2 to 22 days depending on temperature. Eggs are extremely sensitive to cold temperatures and extended periods of low humidity. Temperatures below freezing over a 2 to 3 day period can kill eggs (Blomefield 1978; Daiber 1979).

Larval Stage

Feeding

Upon hatching, larvae wander before gnawing through the rind of the host, making burrows about 1 mm in diameter. The entrance is conspicuous due to the presence of frass and discoloration of the surrounding rind.

If the host has a hard rind, such as an acorn, entrance is made at the base or attachment to the cup where softer tissue exists. When the host has a soft rind, such as citrus or peach, the larvae will burrow into the rind almost anywhere. Larvae prefer the navel end, or an injured area or cut in the rind. In some rinds, such as avocado, the entrance is marked by formation of a raised crater (Blomefield 1978; Couilloud 1994; Daiber 1979, 1989; La Croix 1986; Newton and Crause 1990) (Figure 2-3 on page 2-6).

In cotton, the young larvae feed almost entirely inside the boll wall. Older larvae penetrate the inner septum and feed on developing seeds and lint, and can complete development on seeds in open bolls. Such feeding damages the boll, providing an entrance for pathogens. Under humid conditions, pathogens enhance decay.

Development

The larval period lasts 12 to 33 days in warm weather and 35 to 67 days in cool weather; there are five instars (Figure 2-6 on page 2-10). Younger larvae feed near the surface; older larvae bore toward the center. Generally, only 1 to 3 larvae per fruit survive.

Temperature and poor food quality can slow down the rate of larval development. By the time the larva is ready to leave the fruit, the fruit might have dropped.

Mature larvae exit the fruit, then drop to the ground on silken threads.



FIGURE 2-6 Larvae of false codling moth
[Image courtesy of S. Bloem and J. Hofmeyr]

Pupal Stage

Prepupae

Larvae spin a cocoon and enter an inactive prepupal stage lasting 2 to 27 days. If newly formed cocoons are covered with sand, the prepupae can leave the cocoons to form a new cocoon at the soil surface. The prepupae molts into a pupa.

Pupae

Pupae are cream colored and soft, maturing to a hardened dark brown. The male pupa requires a longer development period than the female pupa. Females require from 11 to 39 days to emerge, depending on temperature, and the entire cocoon stage is 13 to 60 days. Males can require from 13 to 47 days to emerge, depending on temperature.

Pupation occurs on the soil surface, in soil, in crevices under bark, in dropped fruit or in debris. Pupae emerge slightly from the cocoon before adult emergence takes place. The empty pupal skin usually remains attached to the cocoon. Pupae are sensitive to cold temperatures and to heavy rainfall. Older pupae are more resistant to cold (Daiber 1989; Myburgh and Bass 1969).



FIGURE 2-7 Pupae of false codling moth
[Image courtesy of S. Bloem and J. Hofmeyr]

Adult Emergence

The small, inconspicuous moths fly only at night and spend days resting on shaded portions of the host. Males live 14 to 57 days; females survive 16 to 70 days. Dispersal normally is limited to several hundred meters. Numbers are generally controlled by temperature and the availability of host material (APHIS 1983).

Moth activity increases with the onset of host flowering. Females call males through pheromone release starting several hours after dark, peaking 5 hours later, and dropping off rapidly thereafter until daylight (Bestmann et al. 1988). Adults can mate several times per day (Blomefield 1978; Couilloud 1994; Newton 1989b).



FIGURE 2-8 Adult false codling moth [Image courtesy of Georg Goergen/IITA Insect Museum, Cotonou, Benin]

Predicting Insect Development

Generation or degree day models are poor predictors of developmental time and adult emergence of false codling moth (Jim E. Carpenter, pers. comm. 2005). To produce pest models using weather, climate and soil data, use the graphical user interface available from NAPPFAST (<http://www.nappfast.org/index.htm>). Or, use the degree-day utility available from University of California, Integrated Pest Management Programs (<http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/WEATHER>).

See Resources on page A-1 for more sources of information on predicting insect development, and on collecting local temperature data.

3

False Codling Moth

Chapter 3

Identification

Contents

Introduction	page 3-1
Authorities	page 3-1
Pre-Identification	page 3-2
Similar Species	page 3-4
Collection, Rearing and Preparation of Specimens	page 3-5
Shipping	page 3-6

Introduction

Use *Chapter 3 Identification* as a guide to identification of the false codling moth (*Thaumatotibia* [= *Cryptophlebia*] *leucotreta* [Meyrick]). Accurate identification of the pest is pivotal to assessing its potential risk, developing a survey strategy, and determining the level and manner of control.

Authorities

Qualified state, county, or cooperating university personnel can screen and perform tentative identification of suspected false codling moth specimens. Before survey and control activities are initiated in the United States, a specialist recognized by PPQ—National Identification Services (NIS) must verify the first detection of the false codling moth. For information regarding personnel specializing in this pest, contact NIS.

Address

National Identification Services
USDA-APHIS-PPQ
4700 River Road, Unit 133, 4A20
Riverdale, MD 20737
Telephone: 301-734-5312
Web site: http://www.aphis.usda.gov/plant_health/identification/index.shtml

Pre-Identification

Use this section as a guide to the pre-identification of the false codling moth. [See Identification Job Aid on page E-1](#) for an illustration of a false codling moth larva.

It is important to note that the names *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* and *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* are synonyms and both appear in the interception records, a situation which sometimes leads to confusion. Historically, many interceptions at ports of entry were **not** identified to species and were recorded as *Cryptophlebia* sp. or *Thaumatotibia* sp. [See Interceptions on page 8-1](#) for related information concerning classification.

***Thaumatotibia* spp.**

Moths in the genus *Thaumatotibia* share the following characters:

- ◆ Size small to medium,
- ◆ Color gray, and
- ◆ Forewings broad with a blackish triangular pretornal patch.

Thaumatotibia spp. resemble *Cryptophlebia* spp. with the following **exceptions**:

- ◆ T8 in male with a broadly sclerotized plate with convex posterior margin and laterally produced into curved points,
- ◆ Long filiform scale tufts from shallow membranous pockets on each side of T8 **absent**, and
- ◆ Male and female genitalia are diagnostic (Komai 1999).

Thaumatotibia leucotreta

Eggs

Use the following characters to identify eggs of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* ([Figure 3-1](#)):

- ◆ Length 1 mm,
- ◆ Color translucent white,
- ◆ Shape flat and oval, and
- ◆ Surface with shiny reticulate sculpture (APHIS 1983; Newton 1998).




FIGURE 3-1 Eggs of false codling moth [Images courtesy of H. Hoffmeyr]

First and Subsequent Larval Instars

Use the following characters to identify first instar larvae of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*:

- ◆ Length 1 to 1.3 mm;
- ◆ Body color creamy white with minute black spots, each with a short hair; and
- ◆ Head color brownish black.

Subsequent instars take on a characteristic pinkish-red color which is less intense on the underside. [See Identification Job Aid on page E-1](#) for an illustration of a false codling larva.

Fifth Instar Larvae

Use the following characters to identify fifth (final) instar larvae of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*:

- ◆ Length 12 to 20 mm;
- ◆ Body color diffuse overall pink tending to orange yellow on the sides, top and legs;
- ◆ Head color light maroon;
- ◆ Pronotum color yellowish brown;
- ◆ Pronotum jutting out in front, to both sides, and to the rear; and
- ◆ Anal comb with 2 to 7 teeth (APHIS 1983).

Pupae

Use the following characters to identify pupae of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*:

- ◆ Length 7 mm,
- ◆ Color yellow to dark brown,
- ◆ Segments with transverse row spines,
- ◆ Males smaller than females,

- ◆ Males ventral side of ninth abdominal segment with two knobs side by side in the center,
- ◆ Females lack knobs, and
- ◆ Encased in cocoon with soil and leaf fragments (APHIS 1983).

Adults

Use the following characters to identify adults of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Figure 3-2 on page 3-4):

- ◆ Body length 6 to 9 mm;
- ◆ Body width 2.5 mm;
- ◆ Wing span 16 to 20 mm;
- ◆ Color grayish brown to dark brown or black;
- ◆ Forewings broad, elongate with black triangular patch;
- ◆ Forewings fringed with hairs;
- ◆ Hindwings lighter grayish brown, darker towards outer margins;
- ◆ Thorax with double posterior crest;
- ◆ Males with the following characters:
 - ❖ Genital tuft large, pale gray;
 - ❖ Hind legs with dense brush of grayish white hairs;
 - ❖ Inner side of hind tibia with tufts of modified scales; and
 - ❖ Hind wings with deep semicircular pocket (APHIS 1983).




FIGURE 3-2 Male adult false codling moth
[Image courtesy of Georg Goergen/IITA Insect Museum, Cotonou, Benin]

Similar Species

In the United States, codling moth (*Cydia pomonella* [Linnaeus]) is easily confused with the false codling moth (Bradley et al. 1979).

In West Africa, false codling moth is often found in conjunction with the pyralid moth (*Mussidia nigrevenella* [Moyal & Tran 1989; Silvie 1990]). Other similar species in West Africa are the macadamia nut borer (*Thaumatotibia batrachopa*) and the litchi moth (*Cryptophlebia peltastica*). Distinguish the male

litchi moth from similar species by a subtriangular or Y-shaped T8 with a pair of tufts of filiform scales from membranous pockets on each side (Newton 1998). See [Taxonomy on page D-1](#) for additional information.

Collection, Rearing and Preparation of Specimens

Labeling

Label samples immediately after collection with the following information:

- ◆ Location,
- ◆ Time of sampling,
- ◆ Method used to obtain the sample,
- ◆ Name of sampler, and
- ◆ Temperature and habitat.

Rearing

If possible, collect eggs, larvae and pupae with sufficient host material for rearing. Adult specimens that were raised from eggs or larvae are easier to identify, compared with specimens collected from sticky traps. Consult with identifiers for instructions on artificially rearing insects.

Important Facilities must meet the security standards for rearing of quarantined insects.

Eggs

Carefully collect fruits, nuts or leaves with eggs and place them in a rearing cage with soil placed on the bottom. Maintain humidity at approximately 50 percent.

Preserving

Eggs and Pupae

Preserve eggs and pupae in vials of ethyl alcohol.

Larvae

Drop larvae in boiling water for several seconds, then preserve in ethyl alcohol.

Adults

Reared Adults—Do not place moths in alcohol. Pin moths to a block of foam but do not spread the wings. Spreading delays shipment and makes specimens fragile. Save the pupal and larval cast skins with the moth in a dry vial.

Captured Adults on Sticky Traps—Cut out the portion of the trap with the moth and pin it in a foam bottom box. Use enough pins to prevent the moth from shaking loose in the mail.

Shipping

Double-box and ship the specimens with at least two inches of padding around the sample. Include PPQ Form 391 ([PPQ 391 Specimens For Determination on page B-2](#)) marked Urgent. See the *PPQ Manual for Agricultural Clearance* for instructions on completing the form. Mail samples to the Leader, Taxonomic Services Unit.

Address	Leader, Taxonomic Services Unit USDA-ARS-BA-PSI Building 046, Room 101A, BARC-EAST Beltsville, MD 20705-2350
----------------	---

4

False Codling Moth

Chapter 4

Survey

Contents

Introduction	page 4-1
Detection Survey	page 4-2
Monitoring Survey	page 4-3
Delimiting Survey	page 4-3
Traceback Investigation	page 4-5
Visual Inspection of Plants	page 4-6
Soil Sampling	page 4-8
Trapping	page 4-8
Host Collecting and Holding	page 4-9
Orientation of Survey Personnel	page 4-9
Survey Records	page 4-9

Introduction

Use the *Survey Procedures* chapter when conducting a pest survey for false codling moth (*Thaumatotibia* [= *Cryptophlebia*] *leucotreta* [Meyrick]).

The purpose of a survey is to determine the extent and means of pest dispersal. Surveys are also used to assess the effectiveness of treatments. Use detection and delimiting surveys to detect and delimit false codling moth. Use a monitoring survey to measure the effectiveness of applied treatments on the pest population. Use [Table 4-1](#) and the following sections to learn more about survey procedures.

TABLE 4-1 Surveying methods for false codling moth

If you:	Then use this method:
Are unsure that the pest is present	Detection survey —Use traps baited with pheromone and/or visual inspection and/or soil sampling to capture specimens. Install traps; inspect plants, fallen fruit, nuts, bolls, etc.; or sample soil at suspect locations. Consult with a false codling moth specialist to confirm your identification.
Know the pest is present and need to define its geographic location	Delimiting survey —Use traps baited with pheromone and visual inspection at specific locations and densities to capture specimens according to the plan outlined below. Cut open host fruit to search for larvae. Consult with a false codling moth specialist to confirm your identification.
Have applied a control procedure and need to measure its effectiveness	Monitoring survey —Use traps baited with pheromone and/or visual inspection and/or soil sampling to capture specimens. Install traps; inspect plants, fallen fruit, nuts, bolls, etc.; or sample soil at suspect locations. Consult with a false codling moth specialist to confirm your identification.

Before Starting

When using sticky traps to catch small moths, ensure that proper taxonomic support and funds are present. False codling moths are difficult to work with because they are so small. Managers considering a survey need to take this into account before a large project is approved. Large projects require statewide or regional surveying. In particular, the following questions should be addressed:

Who will screen the samples? Tiny insects are easy to miss. Screeners must be qualified to recognize the target.

Who will prepare the sample? Making slides of Lepidoptera genitalia or wings is difficult. If specimen quality is poor, timely results are impossible.

Does the specialist have time to examine samples? For a statewide survey, this could mean many extra samples to add to the work plan.

If local staff lacks expertise, are funds available for training? In most cases, training is the key to solving the problems above.

See [Identification on page 3-1](#) for guidance on screening procedures or contact the following specialist

Address

Dr. Steven C. Passoa
National Lepidoptera Specialist
USDA–APHIS–Plant Protection and Quarantine
The Ohio State University
Museum of Biodiversity
1315 Kinnear Road
Columbus, OHIO 43212
Telephone: 614 688 4471
Fax: 614 688 4487

Detection Survey

Use a detection survey to determine if the false codling moth exists in an area. Conduct a detection survey by using a combination of the following survey tools:

- ◆ Traps baited with pheromone (see [Trapping on page 4-8](#));
- ◆ Visual inspection of plants, fallen fruit, nuts, bolls, etc. (see [Visual Inspection of Plants on page 4-6](#)); and
- ◆ Soil sampling (see [Soil Sampling on page 4-8](#)).

Search for the false codling moth wherever hosts are growing. See [Hosts on page C-1](#) for a list of plants to check. In your survey, consider human and natural means of dispersal.

Positive results indicate that false codling moth is present. Based strictly on a detection survey, it is invalid to claim that a pest does not exist in an area if the trapping results are negative. Negative results are valuable clues to pest movement, particularly when considered with positive trapping results from similar areas.

Once the moth has been detected in an area, conduct a traceback investigation to determine its source. [See Traceback Investigation on page 4-5](#) for more information.

Monitoring Survey

Use a monitoring survey to evaluate the effectiveness of an action taken to contain, suppress or eradicate the pest. Use the same survey tools as detection and delimiting surveys.

Delimiting Survey

Once the false codling moth has been detected, use a delimiting survey to gather sufficient information about the pest population to facilitate containment, suppression or eradication. Sticky traps baited with a pheromone are the most efficient tools to use for delimiting false codling moth. In addition, survey for larvae by cutting open fruit. If necessary, supplement trapping with visual inspection.

Use the delimiting survey decision table ([Table 4-2](#)) and the trapping scheme ([Figure 4-1 on page 4-5](#)) as guides when conducting a delimiting survey.

TABLE 4-2 Decision table for delimiting false codling moth

If you find:	In an area that is:	Then take this action:	And supplement with:
One or more adults	Apparently in the original infestation site or core	Set 36 traps baited with pheromone per square mile at or near the original infestation site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Visual Inspection of Plants on page 4-6, and ◆ Larval Survey in Fruit on page 4-8
One or more (any stage)	Within a 1 square mile area	Set 36 traps baited with pheromone per square mile in 9 square miles around the original area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Visual Inspection of Plants on page 4-6 of 100 hosts per square mile in the 9 square mile area, and ◆ Larval Survey in Fruit on page 4-8
	Within a 6 square mile area	Set 36 traps baited with pheromone per square mile in 25 miles around the original area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Visual Inspection of Plants on page 4-6 of 100 hosts per square mile in the 25 square mile area, and ◆ Larval Survey in Fruit on page 4-8
One or more egg masses or larvae	All locations	→	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Collection of host material (fruits, nuts, berries, heads of grain, and seeds) within 216 yards of the specimens and rear to the adult stage for identification (see Visual Inspection of Plants on page 4-6 and Host Collecting and Holding on page 4-9); and ◆ Collection of soil samples within 200 yards of any larval or egg detection and at any spot where dropped, especially prematurely dropped fruit, occur; examine soil for larvae, cocoons and pupae (see Soil Sampling on page 4-8); and ◆ Larval Survey in Fruit on page 4-8t.




FIGURE 4-1 Trapping scheme for false codling moth. Begin by setting 36 traps per square mile in the core area where false codling moth was detected.

Traceback Investigation

Use a traceback investigation to locate the source of an introduction after false codling moth has been detected. Traceback investigations are necessary for determining if an isolated detection is spurious—for example, the moth was conveyed into an area by air currents—or if it is evidence of an established population. Typically, if a single false codling moth is found in an area far removed from a port of entry or host plant, it was likely transported to the site. The same is true for isolated detections during cool seasons. Remember that false codling moth is inactive at air temperatures lower than 10°C (50°F).

Use wind field maps to plot the possible path of the false codling moth. Calculate the estimated day and time of arrival (based on the circumstances at the site and likely air mass movements) and work backward in time and space to construct a logical path.

Site circumstances that provide clues to the estimated time of arrival include the following types of detections:

- ◆ Associated with the arrival of a weather system,
- ◆ Adults with no evidence of larval feeding,
- ◆ Inland locations away from obvious ports of entry,
- ◆ Ending abruptly outside a given area,
- ◆ New generation or stage in the life cycle, and
- ◆ Sudden outbreaks or increases in numbers not associated with local breeding populations.

Once the path of the moth is plotted, carry out surveys along the path until the likely introduction site is located. Likely origins include port environs, areas where over-wintering is possible, or agricultural areas where hosts are abundant. Allowing for the imprecision of this method, surveys add weight to conjecture about the origin of an introduction.

Computer generated atmospheric trajectory analyses are available to help identify potential sources of infestation and to trace the probable movement of plant pests with air masses. [See Predicting Insect Development on page A-4](#) for more information on this topic,

Visual Inspection of Plants

Examine host fruits, nuts, berries, heads of grain, corn ears, and seed from the core and buffer areas. Look for plants showing signs of poor growth or rot; holes in fruit, nuts or bolls; adults hidden in foliage; and crawling larvae. False codling moth larvae feed internally, so that on most hosts there are few external symptoms.

Check crop fields, fencerows, ditch banks, roadsides or other habitats for suitable hosts. Areas with damaged or poorly growing plants should receive priority in the survey.

Use [Figure 4-2 on page 4-7](#) as a guide when examining plants within fields. Follow a similar sampling pattern for each field surveyed. Collect samples at least 75 feet from the edge of five different locations. At each sample location within the field, inspect at least 10 plants from three adjoining rows (or at equally spaced intervals).

Avocado

Look for lesions on the skin of fruit. Larvae enter through the skin but are unable to develop in avocado fruit. However, the damage caused by false codling moth develops into a raised crater on the fruit surface with an inconspicuous hole in the center where the larva has entered (Du Toit et al. 1979). Granular excreta can also be seen. [See Avocado on page 4-7](#) for more information.

Citrus

On oranges, look for a brown patch on the skin, usually with evidence of a hole bored in the center, sometimes with a dark brown frass exuding from the hole (CPC 2004). Oranges or other citrus can also drop fruit prematurely. [See Citrus on page 4-7](#) for more information.

Cotton

In cotton, larvae feed on well formed bolls. In the United States, this stage of plant development corresponds with June and July (Angelini and Labonne 1970). Look for signs of damage to the boll as well as premature or unusual boll drop. [See Cotton on page 2-7](#) for more information.

Macadamia

False codling moth larvae burrow into the green husk of macadamia fruit and occasionally through the nut shell to feed on the kernel (van den Berg 1995). Examine leaves and the surface of the nuts for signs of eggs and larvae. [See Macadamia on page 2-7](#) for more information.




FIGURE 4-2 Sampling pattern for visual inspection of plants. Bullets indicate random locations for inspecting plants in variously-shaped fields.

Larval Survey in Fruit

During a delimiting survey, cut and examine host fruit soon after the initial moth find and again after two weeks. The rationale for the second cutting is that young larvae and eggs are very difficult to find, so the two week interval allows time for these life stages to mature to the point that they can be more readily detected.

1. Mark a circle, with a 4,200 m (1,312 feet) radius, around each find.
2. Collect more than 100 host fruit in all stages of development (i.e., both green and ripe fruit) within the marked circle. Include fruit on the ground, because FCM infestation can cause the fruit to drop prematurely.
3. Cut open at least 100 host fruit per property, and examine for larvae.

Important

Fruit must be inspected on the property; it can only be removed from the site if properly contained and destined for imminent disposal.

Soil Sampling

Collect soil samples within 200 yards of any larval or egg detection and at any spot where dropped—especially prematurely dropped—fruit occur. Soil samples should consist of loose surface soil and any debris. Examine soil for larvae, cocoons and pupae. [See Identification on page 3-1](#) for more information on identifying false codling moth.

Trapping

Use yellow delta traps baited with a pheromone lure to catch false codling moth. Bait traps with a mixture of (Z)-8-Dodecen-ol acetate and (E)-8-Dodecen-ol acetate at a ratio of 50:50. Service traps weekly. [See Resources on page A-1](#) for information on trapping supplies.

Initiate sampling at the site of the detection. Set out traps at the site of the detection and in each square mile in the first and second buffer areas in a standard grid array. In tree crops, suspend traps from the tree limbs at a height of 4 ½ feet (1.5 m). In row crops, place traps on stakes at the same height as the crop. Replace bait at midseason or every 8 weeks, whichever is shorter. In wild hosts, follow the same guidelines for placement of traps.

Host Collecting and Holding


If you find eggs or larvae at any location, collect host material (fruits, nuts, berries, heads of grain, and seeds) within 216 yards of the specimens and rear to the adult stage for identification. [See Rearing on page 3-5](#) for more information.

Orientation of Survey Personnel

Experienced personnel should train their replacements. Adequate training on survey techniques and procedures will likely require three working days.

Survey Records

Survey records and data recording formats should be standardized. Maintain survey records, noting the areas surveyed, sites trapped, dates, locations, and hosts. All survey data must be forwarded to the Coordinator, State Cooperative Agriculture Pest Survey (CAPS), for entry into the National Agricultural Pest Survey Information System (NAPIS).



Chapter 5

Regulatory Procedures

Contents

Introduction	page 5-1
Instructions to Officers	page 5-1
Regulated Articles	page 5-2
Quarantine Actions	page 5-3
Regulated Establishments	page 5-3
Use of Pesticides	page 5-3
Approved Regulatory Treatments	page 5-4
Principal Activities	page 5-4
Removing Quarantines	page 5-5
Orientation of Regulatory Personnel	page 5-5
Regulatory Records	page 5-5

Introduction

Use *Chapter 5 Regulatory Procedures* to learn about the rules that must be followed by regulatory personnel when conducting pest survey and control programs for false codling moth (*Thaumatotibia* [= *Cryptophlebia*] *leucotreta* [Meyrick]).

Instructions to Officers

Officers must follow instructions for regulatory treatments or other procedures when authorizing the movement of regulated articles. Understanding the instructions and procedures is essential when explaining procedures to persons interested in moving articles affected by the quarantine and regulations. Only authorized treatments can be used in accordance with labeling restrictions.

Find instructions for regulatory treatments in the [PPQ Treatment Manual](#). If a regulatory treatment does not appear in the manual, the proposed treatment will be reviewed and tested by CPHST treatment specialists.

Issuing an Emergency Action Notification

An Emergency Action Notification (EAN) can be issued pending positive identification or further instruction from the USDA–APHIS–PPQ Deputy Administrator.

If necessary, the Deputy Administrator will issue a letter directing PPQ field offices to initiate a specific emergency action under the Plant Protection Act of 2000 until emergency regulations can be published in the *Federal Register*.

Emergency Quarantine Action

The Plant Protection Act of 2000 provides for authority for emergency quarantine action. This provision is for interstate regulatory action only. Intrastate regulatory action is provided under state authority. However, if the Secretary of Agriculture determines that an extraordinary emergency exists and that the measures taken by the state are inadequate, USDA can take intrastate regulatory action provided that the governor of the state has been consulted and a notice has been published in the *Federal Register*. If intrastate action cannot or will not be taken by a State, PPQ might find it necessary to quarantine an entire State.

Access to Private Property

PPQ works in conjunction with state departments of agriculture to conduct surveys, enforce regulations, and take control actions. PPQ employees must have permission of the property owner before accessing private property. If an extraordinary emergency is declared or if a warrant is obtained, PPQ can enter private property without owner permission. PPQ prefers to work with the state to facilitate access when permission is denied; however, each state government has varying authorities regarding accessing private property. A General Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) exists between PPQ and each state. PPQ officers must have permission of the owner before accessing private property. For clarification, check with your state plant health director (SPHD) or state plant regulatory official (SPRO) in the affected state.

Regulated Articles

Regulated articles include the following:

- ◆ Any above ground part listed as a host that exists in the regulated area ([See Hosts on page C-1](#));
- ◆ Plant parts liable to carry the pest in trade or transport, including the following:
 - ❖ Fruits (including pods);
 - ❖ Flowers, inflorescences, cones, calyxes (CPC 2004);
- ◆ Nursery stock with fruit, berries, and other fruiting structures;
- ◆ Debris and leaves from infested fields, groves and gardens from within regulated areas; and
- ◆ Any other products, articles, or means of conveyance, of any character whatsoever, when it is determined by an inspector that they present a hazard of spread of the false codling moth and the person in possession thereof has been so notified.

Quarantine Actions

Regulatory action will be required under the following conditions:

- ◆ More than one moth is found in an area less than 6 square miles within one estimated life cycle;
- ◆ One mated female, or a larva, or a pupa are detected; and
- ◆ A single moth is detected that is determined to be associated with a current eradication project.

Regulated Establishments

Field personnel will attempt to detect the pest within the regulated area at all establishments where regulated articles are sold, grown, handled, moved or processed. The following types of establishments can be included:

- ◆ Airports,
- ◆ Landfill sites,
- ◆ Processing plants,
- ◆ Produce markets,
- ◆ Flea markets,
- ◆ Nurseries,
- ◆ Horticultural shops,
- ◆ Flower shops,
- ◆ Farms,
- ◆ Home gardens, and
- ◆ Any other establishment that handles regulated articles.

Surveys can be set up at establishments deemed to be at risk by project personnel. Survey personnel should install and service pheromone traps at regulated establishments. Service traps weekly if catches of insects are high, or every two weeks if trap catches are low.

Use of Pesticides

The *PPQ Treatment Manual* and this document identify the authorized pesticides, and describe the methods and rates of application, and any special application instructions (**See Control on page 6-1**). Concurrence by PPQ is necessary before using any other pesticide or procedure for regulatory purposes.

Approved Regulatory Treatments

Approved regulatory treatments appropriate for this pest are determined by program management or a technical advisory committee in conjunction with the CPHST. Check the [PPQ Treatment Manual](#) for current recommendations.

Treatment options include the following:

- ◆ Sanitation,
- ◆ Destruction of wild and cultivated hosts,
- ◆ Application of recommended insecticides (aerial or ground),
- ◆ Application of other cultural controls, and
- ◆ Application of biological controls.

Principal Activities

Regulatory activity depends, among many other factors, on the degree of the infestation. For example, it might not be necessary to safeguard vegetable stands throughout the regulated area if they are engaged in local retail activity only and the infestation is limited.

Principle activities for conducting a regulatory program to contain false codling moth include the following:

- ◆ Advise regulated industry(ies) of required treatment procedures;
- ◆ Supervise, monitor, and certify commodity treatments of commercial lots of regulated articles;
- ◆ Make regulatory visits to the following:
 - ❖ Security and airline personnel;
 - ❖ Vegetable stands;
 - ❖ Flower stands;
 - ❖ Local growers, packers, and processing plants;
 - ❖ Farmer's associations, produce markets, and flea markets;
 - ❖ Commercial haulers of regulated articles;
 - ❖ Public transportation;
 - ❖ Post offices;
- ◆ Visit canneries and other processing establishments;
- ◆ Monitor the movement of waste material to and from landfills to ensure adequate disposal of regulated articles;
- ◆ Monitor the movement of regulated articles through major airports and other transportation centers; and
- ◆ Observe major highways and quarantine boundaries for movement of host materials.

Removing Quarantines

Project managers identify and remove areas from quarantine requirements after the false codling moth is declared eradicated. Eradication is assumed when sufficient time, equal to three false codling moth life cycles, has passed since the last specimen recovery. At minimum, one life cycle must elapse after control activities have ceased. APHIS will publish a Notice of Quarantine Revocation in the *Federal Register* when areas are removed from quarantine requirements.

Orientation of Regulatory Personnel

Initially, program personnel will be limited to those already trained or experienced. Experienced individuals train their replacements. A training period of three working days should be sufficient for the orderly transfer of these functions.

Regulatory Records


Maintain standardized regulatory records and database(s) in sufficient detail to carry out an effective, efficient, and responsible regulatory program.

False Codling Moth

Chapter 6

Control

In progress.



Chapter 7

Environmental Compliance

Contents

Introduction	page 7-1
National Environmental Policy Act	page 7-2
Endangered Species Act	page 7-2
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act	page 7-2
Other Laws	page 7-3
Environmental Monitoring	page 7-3

Introduction

Use *Chapter 7 Environmental Compliance* as a guide to environmental regulations pertinent to the false codling moth (*Thaumatotibia* [= *Cryptophlebia*] *leucotreta* [Meyrick]).

A key element in designing a program or an emergency response is consultation with Environmental Services (ES), a unit of APHIS' Policy and Program Development Staff (PPD). ES prepares environmental documentation such as environmental impact statements (EIS) and environmental assessments (EA) to aid in program operational decisions, as well as Endangered Species consultation. ES also coordinates pesticide registration and approvals for APHIS programs, ensuring that registrations and approvals meet program use needs and conform to pesticide use requirements. In addition, PPQ's Environmental Compliance Team (ECT) assists ES in the development of required documentation and implements any environmental monitoring that may be required of program activities. Refer to the Resources Section of this document for additional information.

Disclaimer

All uses of pesticides must be registered or approved by appropriate Federal, State, and/or Tribal agencies before they can be applied. The information provided on pesticide labels may not reflect all of the actual information, including precautions and instructions for use, which you are required to follow in your specific state or locality. It is the responsibility of persons intending to use a pesticide to abide by the label, including labeling that has been approved for the particular state or locality in which the chemical is to be used, and to comply with all Federal, State, Tribal, and local laws and regulations relating to the use of the pesticide. Staff within APHIS programs are responsible for their compliance with applicable environmental regulations, which often include measures above and beyond those listed on pesticide labels.

National Environmental Policy Act

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires that Federal agencies document the potential adverse effects of their actions. The process often requires public input. The exact nature of the documentation and public involvement is dictated by the potential for adverse effects and the significance of those effects.

It is likely that most pest control responses will include actions that need up to 30 days of public comment prior to initiation. Therefore, it is imperative to involve Environmental Services and Environmental Compliance early in the planning process. Doing so assures public involvement and a quick response.

Depending on the proposed program, NEPA requirements will be met with a categorical exclusion, environmental assessment, or environmental impact statement. Some programs can prepare their own NEPA documentation. Contact Environmental Services or Environmental Compliance if you are unsure which document should be prepared, or if you have little experience writing such documents.

Endangered Species Act

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) requires that all Federal actions, including emergency responses, do not harm federally protected threatened or endangered species. Before an action can begin, it must be determined if protected species are in the project area. If such species are present, measures must be put in place to protect them from potential adverse effects of the action. Such work requires coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Several methods are available to ensure compliance with ESA, but the exact one chosen is dictated by the nature of the emergency, proposed response, and location. As soon as possible in the early stages of the response, contact staff at Environmental Services or Environmental Compliance, who can provide the necessary guidance and support in conducting the necessary analyses and developing the required documentation.

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act

The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) requires that chemicals used for control have approved labels and that all label requirements are followed. These requirements can include applicable uses, maximum application rates, handling instructions, and personal protective equipment. If no label is available for the emergency in question (i.e., the pest of concern is not listed as one for which the chemical may be used), it is possible to obtain a new label or a label exemption. If a label change is needed

or no label can be located for your program needs, immediately contact Environmental Services, who can assist in label changes and emergency use exemptions. Refer to [Resources on page A-1](#) for contact information.

Other Laws

The National Environmental Policy Act, Endangered Species Act, and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, are of critical importance to all pest control programs, but other laws may apply depending on program locations and activities. These include the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. By including Environmental Services and Environmental Compliance early in program planning, guidance can be provided on meeting the requirements of these and other laws that may apply.

Environmental Monitoring

Environmental monitoring of APHIS pest control activities may be required as part of compliance with the above laws, as requested by program managers, or as suggested to address concerns with controversial activities. This is especially true for less benign chemical controls and aerial application of chemicals.

Monitoring may be conducted with regards to worker exposure, quality assurance and control, off-site deposition, or program efficacy. Different tools and techniques are used depending on the monitoring goals, program chemicals, and control techniques. Environmental monitoring is coordinated by Environmental Compliance (EC). Staff from EC will work with the program manager to develop an environmental monitoring plan, conduct training to implement the plan, provide day-to-day guidance on monitoring, and provide an interpretive report of monitoring activities.

8

False Codling Moth

Chapter 8

Pathways

Contents

Introduction	page 8-1
Interceptions	page 8-1
Risk of Establishment	page 8-2

Introduction

The *Pathways* chapter provides information on the interceptions of false codling moth (*Thaumatotibia* [= *Cryptophlebia*] *leucotreta* [Meyrick]) at U.S. Ports of Entry.

Interceptions

Since digital records were first collected in 1984, over 2,000 interceptions from the genera *Thaumatotibia* and *Cryptophlebia* have been intercepted from commodities arriving in the United States (**Table 8-1 on page 8-2**). It is important to note that the names *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* and *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* are synonyms and both appear in the interception records, a situation that sometimes leads to confusion. Many interceptions were **not** identified to species and were recorded as *Cryptophlebia* sp. or *Thaumatotibia* sp.

For those interceptions **not** identified to species, one can determine whether or not they are false codling moth by noting their origin because false codling moth is only known from Africa. Two other pest species, *Cryptophlebia ombrodelta* (Lover) and *Cryptophlebia illepada* (Butler) are known from Asia and Hawaii but **not** from Africa.

We can be reasonably certain that all of the interceptions of *Cryptophlebia* and *Thaumatotibia* arriving from Africa are false codling moth. The 26 interceptions of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* in areas outside Africa are most likely the result of transshipment, mislabeling, or misidentification. Using these assumptions, false codling moth has been intercepted at least 1,700 from shipments arriving in the United States.

On July 30, 2008, USDA confirmed the identification of a single male false codling moth in Ventura County, California. This was the first domestic detection of this pest in the United States (USDA–APHIS–PPQ 2008). On November 12, 2009, USDA confirmed the identification of a single larva intercepted in fruit in baggage (Touhey, P. 2010).

TABLE 8-1 Interception records for *Thaumatotibia* sp. and *Cryptophlebia* sp. at U.S. Ports of entry for the period 1984 through December, 2009

Pest name ¹	Originating Country	
	Africa	Other
<i>Cryptophlebia leucotreta</i> (Meyrick)	177	11
<i>Thaumatotibia leucotreta</i> Meyrick	233	15
<i>Cryptophlebia</i> sp.	1,293	238
<i>Thaumatotibia</i> sp.	54	3
Total	1,757	267

1 As listed in interception records.

Risk of Establishment

False codling moth is a significant import threat to the United States for the following reasons:

- ◆ False codling moth is a pest of economic importance to many crops in its native habitat including avocado, citrus, corn, cotton, macadamia, and peach and plum;
- ◆ False codling moth could easily become established in the southern and southwestern United States;
- ◆ Establishment of false codling moth in the United States could result in economic losses of billions of dollars;
- ◆ False codling moth has been frequently intercepted at United States ports of entry in both cargo and passenger luggage;
- ◆ False codling moth larvae are difficult to detect once they enter the fruit; and
- ◆ False codling moth eggs are laid singly and are difficult to detect.

Glossary

False Codling Moth

aerial treatment. Application of insecticide to a treatment area by aircraft.

APHIS. USDA–Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

array. Arrangement of traps within one square mile.

array sequence. Arrangement of traps (arrays) from the core area outward to the perimeter or buffer areas.

block. Unit (e.g., 1 square-mile area) of a detection survey in which all survey activities are conducted.

buffer area. Survey area that is:

- ◆ Beyond the core block,
- ◆ 1 to 2 miles from the perimeter of a regulated area, or
- ◆ 50 miles from the core of a regulated area (in an extended survey).

calling. Emission of sex pheromones by the female moth to attract mates.

cold treatment. Exposure of a host product to cold temperatures lethal to a target pest; can be used alone or with fumigants.

confirmed detection. Positive identification by a recognized expert.

containment. Application of phytosanitary measures in and around an infested area to prevent spread of a pest.

control. Suppression, containment, or eradication of a pest population.

core area. Area of 1 square-mile surrounding a confirmed detection.

crepuscular organism. Organism active in twilight hours.

day degree. Measure of physiological time using the accumulation of heat units (degrees) above an insect’s developmental threshold for a 24-hour period.

delimiting survey. Determination of the extent of an infestation (e.g., distribution, density) in an area where an exotic species has been detected.

delta trap. Five-sided insect trap configured with three lateral sides arranged triangularly and equipped with a lure (i.e., pheromone), a baffled edge, and an adhesive surface inside to capture and secure attracted insects.

detection. Collection of any life stage of an exotic species.

detection survey. Activity conducted in a susceptible area not known to be infested with an exotic species to determine its presence.

developmental threshold. Minimum or maximum temperatures that support physiological development for a species.

diurnal organism. Organism that is active during the day.

eclosion. Insect leaving the egg, or the terminal molt into an adult.

eradication. Application of phytosanitary measures to eliminate a pest from an area.

epicenter. Initial site of an infestation.

evaluation survey. *See also monitoring survey.* Conducting visual or trapping surveys in an area that has been treated with insecticide to evaluate the effectiveness of the treatment.

exotic species. Organism or pest species not native to or historically resident in North America.

fumigation. Application of an approved insecticidal chemical that enters the target pest's tracheal system in volatile form.

generation. Offspring of a parent population that move through the life cycle together.

ground spray. Insecticide application in droplet form, from equipment positioned on the ground or at the vegetation level.

holding. *See also host collection.* Collection and retention of infested host material for the purposes of determining characteristics of a pest's use of the material.

host. Species that provides food, shelter, or reproductive requirements, for another organism.

host collection. *See also holding.* Collection and retention of infested host material for the purposes of determining characteristics of a pest's use of the material.

infestation. Collection of the following pests:

- ◆ Two or more of an exotic species,
- ◆ A pupa, larva, or a mated female from an area, or
- ◆ The detection of a single adult associated with a current infestation.

infested area. Area surrounding a single detection site or a group of sites. The standard designated area of 2.5 miles is used, unless biotic or abiotic factors dictate adjustment of this area.

migratory. Species in which individuals habitually move from place to place usually in search of mates or egg-laying sites.

monitoring survey. *See also evaluation survey.* Conducting visual or trapping surveys in an area that has been treated with insecticide to evaluate the effectiveness of the treatment.

natural enemies. Living organisms found in a natural community that kill, weaken, or inhibit the biological potential of a pest species.

nocturnal. Active at night.

non-migratory. Species in which individuals typically do not move far from the area of their birthplace.

parasites. Parasites live on the host (frequently the adult stage) at one or multiple life stages. Parasites sometimes kill but usually merely debilitate the host.

parasitoid. Parasitoids live on the host (often an immature stage) when immature, but are free-living as adults. Parasitoids always kill the host. Like parasites, these organisms are typically host-specific, and some are obligate on certain hosts. They find hosts effectively even when host population numbers are not particularly dense.

pathogen. Agent, usually microbial, that induces illness. Entomopathogens induce illness in insects. These include baculoviruses (primarily those in the genus *Nucleopolyhedrovirus*), nematodes and fungi. Many are species-specific, and cause no collateral infection of other organisms.

phenology. Timing of recurrent biological events.

pheromone. *See sex pheromone.*

predator. Free-living organism that consumes substantial numbers of prey. They generally do not prey exclusively on one target species over the course of a season. However, when the population of one species is dense (e.g., when swarming or aggregating to mate; when larvae cluster on hosts) they can be very efficient.

PPQ. USDA–APHIS–Plant Protection and Quarantine.

regulated area. Area that extends at least 2 1/2 miles in any direction from the epicenter of an infestation.

regulated articles. All known or suspected hosts of a confirmed infestation of an exotic species, including soil and any other suspected product or article.

regulatory survey. Trapping or detection program conducted around establishments where regulated articles are sold, handled, processed or moved.

sex pheromone. Chemical substance that is secreted by an insect to attract or to advertise reproductive competence to the opposite sex of the same species.

soil treatment. Application of an approved insecticide to the soil of nursery stock or within the drip line of host plants.

suppression. Application of phytosanitary measures in an infested area to reduce pest populations.

sweep net survey. Survey method in which a mesh net suspended around a hoop is swept through the air or around vegetation to collect insects.

trap survey. Determination of the presence of a pest through the use of randomly or strategically placed devices that capture insects (sometimes aided by an attractant). These traps are maintained and serviced on a schedule dictated by the goal of the survey.

visual survey. Examination of areas for eggs, larvae, pupae, cocoons, or other evidence that a particular insect species is present.

wing trap. Disposable, adhesive-coated capture device used primarily for surveying moths.

urban. Area containing a number of multiple- or single-family dwellings.

USDA. United States Department of Agriculture.

References

- Anonymous. 2004. False Codling Moth. Surveys in South Carolina. [<http://entweb.clemson.edu/database/caps/index.php>]
- Anonymous. 2005. Exosect GBM. Grape Moth Auto-confusion System. Product literature. [<http://www.exosect.com>]
- Bauman, E.B. to N.G. Santacroce. March 1, 1982. Plant Pest Pathway Study; 1 pp.
- Becker, P. 1986. *The potential use of CME 134 for the control of vegetable pests*. In: Diamondback Moth Management: Proceedings of the First International Workshop. 24: 257-263.
- BioResources, Pty, Ltd, 2005. Product literature. [<http://www.bioresources.com>]
- Blackburn, V. to N.G. Santacroce. March 1, 1982. Plant Pest Pathway Study. 1 pp.
- Bloem, S. 2003. Radiation biology and inherited sterility in false codling moth. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 96(6): 1724-1731.
- Bloem, K.A. 2004. *Impact of Moth Suppression/Eradication Programmes using the Sterile Insect Technique or Inherited Sterility*. In: The Sterile Insect Technique. Principles And Practice In Areawide Integrated Pest Management. Chapter 7.3: 1-20.
- Blomefield, T.1978. Codling moth, false codling moth and leafrollers on stone fruits. Information Bulletin Number 412, Fruit and Fruit Technology Research Institute, Stellenbach, South Africa.
- Brown, J.W. 2004 (Draft). Tools For Identifying The Larvae Of Leafrollers (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae) Frequently Intercepted At United States Ports Of Entry. USDA-ARS-PSI-Systematic Entomology Laboratory.
- Booyesen, P.J.G. 2000. Evaluating the possibility to control the false codling moth (*Cryptophlebia leucotreta*) with an attract and kill formulation "Last Call F.C.M." What's New, Official SemiOchem Web site.
- Borchert, D.M. 2003. Pest Assessment: False Codling Moth, *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). USDA-APHIS-PPQ-CPHST-PERAL. NCSU. NAPPFAST.

- Borchert, D. 2005. *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Meyr.) False Codling Moth: Estimation of Generation Potential, Area of Potential Establishment and Host Commodity Distribution. USDA-APHIS-PPQ-CPHST-PERAL NCSU, NAPFFAST: 1-6.
- Bradley, J. D. 1979. *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick), pp. 208-209, British Tortricoid Moths - Tortricidae: Olethreutinae. The Ray Society, London, England.
- Burger et al. 1990. 7-vinyldecyl acetate, novel inhibitor of pheromonal attraction in the false codling moth, *Cryptophlebia leucotreta*. *Tetrahedron Letters* 31(40): 5771-5772.
- Byaruhanga, E. K. 1977. *Manipulation of sowing dates of cotton for the control of Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick). In: Proceedings of the First East African Conference on Entomology and Pest Control. Nairobi, Kenya: East African Literature pp. 73-75.
- CIBC. 1984. Possibilities for the biological control of the false codling moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae). *Biocontrol News and Information* 5(3): 217-219.
- Couilloud, R. 1994. *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). In: G. A. Matthews and J. P. Tunstall, eds. *Insect Pests of Cotton*. Wallingford: CAB International. pp. 207-213.
- Crop Protection Compendium. 2004. *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* Meyrick., 2003. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Daiber, C.C. 1975. *The false codling moth* (*Cryptophlebia leucotreta*) *in peaches*. In: Proceedings of the First Congress of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa; Stellenbach, South Africa: 11-17.
- Daiber, C.C. 1979. A study of the biology of the false codling moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta*: the egg. *Phytophylactica* 11: 129-132.
- Daiber, C.C. 1980. A study of the biology of the false codling moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta*: the adult and generations during the year. *Phytophylactica* 12: 187-193.
- Daiber, K. C. 1989. The false codling moth, *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyr.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in southern Africa. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz* 96: 71-80.
- Du Toit, W. J., E. A. De Villiers and A. Tuffin. 1979. *The identification of causes of typical surface lesions on avocado fruit*. In: South African Avocado Growers' Association Research Report for 1979. 3: 52-54.
- Eads, R.M. to N.G. Santacrose. March 1, 1981. *Plant Pest Pathway Study*; 1 pp.

- Ford, E. J. to N.G. Santacrose, March 1, 1982. *Plant Pest Pathway Study*; 1 pp.
- Gunn, D. 1921. The false codling moth (*Argyroplote leucotreta*, Meyr.). Union S. African Dept. Agric., Pretoria. *Sci. Bull.* 21: 1-28.
- Hendy, D. 2002. Natural born insect killers – Insect viruses. Merck (Pty) Ltd. *Science in Africa*. 21:3. [<http://www.scienceinafrica.co.za/2002/october/insectvirus.htm>]
- Hill, D.S. 1983. *Agricultural Insect Pests of the Tropics and Their Control*, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.: pp. 306-307.
- Karvonen J. 1983. *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* imported into Finland (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae). *Notulae Entomologicae* 63(2):94.
- Klots, A. B. 1970. Lepidoptera. In: *Taxonomist's Glossary Of Genitalia In Insects*, ed. S. L. Tuxen. Copenhagen: Munksgaard; pp. 13-18; 115-130.
- Komai, F. 1999. A taxonomic review of the genus *Grapholita* and allied genera (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in the Palaearctic region. *Entomologica Scandinavica* 55(Suppl.): 1 -219.
- La Croix, E. and H. Thindwa. 1986. Macadamia pests in Malawi. III. The major pests. The biology of bugs and borers. *Tropical Pest Management* 32: 11-20.
- Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. 2004. Insects. *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* Data Sheet. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, New Zealand. Plants Biosecurity [<http://www.maf.govt.nz/biosecurity/imports/plants/standards/drafts/persea-data-sheet.pdf>]
- Mlanjeni, N. 2005. Biological control of *Cryptophlebia* spp. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) attacking macadamia nuts in South Africa. [<http://www.ru.ac.za/academic/departments/zooento/Nolita/nolita.html>]
- Moyal, P. and Tran M. 1989. Morphological study of the pre-imaginal instars of lepidopterous borers of maize in the savannah area of Ivory Coast. *Annales de la Societe Entomologique de France* 25(4):461-472.
- Moore, S.D. 2003. The development and evaluation of *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* granulovirus, a biological control agent for the management of false codling moth (*Cryptophlebia leucotreta*) on citrus. Rhodes University Electronic Theses Collection. [<http://www.ru.ac.za/library/theses/2003/moore/>]
- Mungo, S. et al. 2001. Advances in developing insect resistant maize varieties for Kenya within the Insect Resistant Maize for Africa (IRMA) Project. Seventh Eastern and Southern Africa Maize Conference, February 11 to 15, 2001: 31-38.

- Myburgh, A. and M. Bass. 1969. Effect of low temperature storage on pupae of false codling moth *Cryptophlebia (Argyroplote) leucotreta* Meyr. *Phytophylactica* 1: 115-116.
- Netshifhefhe, S. to Joel Floyd (USDA-APHIS-PPQ). 2005. *FCM Preclearance Interceptions*; 2 pp.
- Newton, P. 1988. Movement and impact of *Trichogrammatoidea cryptophlebiae* Nagaraja in citrus orchids after inundative releases against the false codling moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick). *Bull. Ent. Res.*, 78:85-99.
- Newton, P. 1988a. Inversely density-dependent egg parasitism in patchy distributions of the citrus pest *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) and its agricultural efficiency. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 25: 145-162.
- Newton, P. 1989. Combinations of applications of a chitin synthesis inhibitor and inundative releases of egg parasitoids against the false codling moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick), on citrus. *Bull. Ent. Res.* 79: 507-519.
- Newton, P. 1989b. The influence of citrus fruit condition on egg laying by the false codling moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 52: 113-117.
- Newton, P. and C. Crause. 1990. Oviposition on *Litchi chinensis* by *Cryptophlebia* spp. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Phytophylactica* 22: 365-367.
- Newton, P.J. 1998. False codling moth *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick). In: *Citrus pests in the Republic of South Africa*. eds. E.C.G. Bedford and E. A. de Villiers. Institute for Tropical and Subtropical Crops; 14: 1-288.
- Nye, I. W. B. and D. S. Fletcher. 1991. *The Generic Names Of Moths Of The World. Vol. 6: Microlepidoptera*. London: Natural History Museum Publications.
- Ochou, G. 1993. *Mecanisme d'invasion et d'infestation de Cryptophlebia leucotreta (Meyrick) sur le cotonnier [Invasion and infestation mechanism of Cryptophlebia leucotreta (Meyrick) on cotton plant]*. In: *A.N.P.P. Third International Conference on Pest in Agriculture, 1367-1374*. Montpellier: Association Nationale de Protection des Plantes.
- Odermatt, D.M. to N.G. Santacroce. March 1, 1982. *Plant Pest Pathway Study*; 1 pp.
- Opatowski, D. 2005. Bilateral Quarantine Agreement between the Plant Protection and Inspection Services of Israel (PPIS) and the National Department of Agriculture Directorate: Plant Health and Quality Control of the Republic of South Africa (DPHQC), regarding the Conditions for the Importation of fresh grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) from the Republic of South Africa into Israel; 12 pp.

- Rahman, K.A., G.S. Sohi and A.N. Sapra. 1945. Studies on stored grain pests in the Punjab. VI. Biology of *Trogoderma granarium* Everts. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.* 15 (II): 85-92.
- Reed, W. 1974. The false codling moth, *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* Meyr. (Lepidoptera, Olethreutidae) as a pest of cotton in Uganda. *Cotton Grow. Rev.* 51: 213-225.
- Seith, R.K. and V.P. Sharma. 2001. Inherited sterility by substerilizing radiation in *Spodoptera litura*: bioefficacy and potential for pest suppression. *Florida Entomologist* 84(2):183-192.
- Schwartz, A. 1982. Biologiese waarnemings oor *Trichogrammatoidea lutea* girault, 'n eierparasiet van die valskodlingmot. *SubtrOpica* 3(6):11-12.
- Silvie P. 1990. *Mussidia nigriovenella* Ragonot (Pyralidae, Phycitinae): a little known cotton pest. *Coton et Fibres Tropicales* 45(4):323-333.
- Sishuba, N. 2001. Evaluation of larval parasitoids for the biological control of the false codling moth, *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick) on citrus. [<http://www.ru.ac.za/academic/departments/zooento/Nomahlubi/nomahlubi.html>]
- Songa, G.M. 2001. Characterization and quantification of arthropods in two maize production environments in Kenya. Seventh Eastern and Southern Africa Maize Conference, February 11 to 15, 2001: 38-44.
- Suckling, D.M. 1994. Mating disruption of orchard pests: new developments. *The Orchardist* 67(9): 54.
- Sweet, J. Bot. S. and N. Hollings. 1983. A guide to the use of pesticides and fungicides in the Republic of South Africa. Government Printer, Pretoria: 179.
- Svensson, I. 2002. Microlepidoptera in Sweden. Remarkable records of Microlepidoptera in Sweden 2001: 2.
- Touhey, P. 2005. False Codling Moth. Personal Report to J. Stibick on Interceptions in the PIN 309 Reports.
- Touhey, P. 2010. False Codling Moth. Personal Report to P. Michalak.
- USDA-APHIS-PPQ. 1960. Insects Not Known To Occur In The United States. *Cooperative Economic Insect Report* 10 (10): 21-22.
- USDA-APHIS-PPQ. 1983. Action Plan, False Codling Moth, *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick).
- USDA-APHIS-PPQ. 1984. False codling moth. *Pests Not Known To Occur In The United States Or Of Limited Distribution* No. 48.

References

- USDA–APHIS–PPQ. 2008. Confirmed the Identification of a Single Male False Codling Moth, *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*, in Ventura County, California. August 7, 2008. DA–2008–43.
- US–EPA. 2007a. Azinphos-methyl. Accessed March 27, 2007.
- US–EPA. 2007b. Diazinon. Accessed March 27, 2007.
- US–EPA. 2007c. Fenvalerate. Accessed March 27, 2007.
- US–EPA. 2007d. Permethrin. Accessed March 27, 2007.
- van den Berg, M.A. 1995. Pests Attacking Macadamia in South Africa. Sixth Conference of the Australasian Council on Tree and Nut Crops Inc., Lismore, NSW, Australia. 11-15 September, 1995. [<http://www.newcrops.uq.edu.au/acotanc/papers/vanden2.htm>]
- Venette, R.C. et al. 2003. Mini Risk Assessment, False codling moth, *Thaumatotibia* (=Cryptophlebia) *leucotreta* (Meyrick). U. Minnesota, Department of Entomology, CAPS PRA: 1-30. [<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ppq/ep/pestdetection/pratleucotretapra.pdf#search=%22leucotretapra.pdf%22>]
- Whittle, K. 1984. False codling moth, *Cryptophlebia leucotreta* (Meyrick). *Pests Not Known to Occur In The United States Or Of Limited Distribution* 48: 1-10.
- Wysoki, M.1986. New records of lepidopterous pests of Macadamia in Israel. *Phytoparasitica*14: 147-148.
- Zacher. 1915. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der westafrikanischen Pflanzenschädlinge. *Tropenpflanzer*18: 504-534.

A

False Codling Moth

Appendix A

Resources

Suppliers of Beneficial Organisms

Suppliers of Beneficial Organisms in North America. A free 32-page booklet available online at <http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/ipminov/bensuppl.htm> from:

California Environmental Protection Agency
Department of Pesticide Regulation
Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch
1020 N. Street, Room 61
Sacramento, CA 95814-5604
Telephone: (916) 324-4100

Suppliers of Insecticides

Bacillus thuringiensis kurstaki—
Southern Agricultural Insecticides, Inc.S
Hendersonville, NC
Palmetto, FL
Boone, NC
<http://www.southernag.com/>

U-Spray, Inc.
4653 Highway 78
Lilburn, GA 30087
Telephone: 770-985-9388 or
1-800-877-7290
<http://www.bugspray.com/>

Valent U.S.A., Corporation
P.O. Box 8025
Walnut Creek, CA 94596-8025
Telephone: 1-800-6-VALENT
<http://www.valent.com>

Success[®] (Spinosyn)—Dow
AgroSciences LLC
9330 Zionsville Road
Indianapolis, IN 46268
Telephone: 317-337-3000

Fax: 317-337-4096
<http://www.dowagro.com/homepage/index.htm>

Mimic[®] (Tebufenozide)—Rohm and
Hass Company
100 Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399
Telephone: 215-592-3000
<http://www.rohmhaas.com>

Lannate SP (Methomyl)—E.I. du Pont
de Nemours and Company
Crop Protection
Wilmington, Delaware 19898
Telephone: 1-888-6-DUPONT

**Guthion Solupak
(Azinphos-methyl)**—Bayer
CropScience LP
P.O. box 12014
2 T.W. Alexander Drive
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 207709
Telephone: 1-866-99BAYER

Pheromone Disruption and Traps

Last Call (Pheromone/ Permethrin)—

Insect Science SA
Private Bag x4019
Postnet Suite 378
Tzaneen South Africa 0850
Telephone: 015-345-144
<http://www.insectscience.co.za/>

John A. Pickett
IACR-Rothamsted
Harpenden, Herts, AL5 2JQ UK
Tel: 01582-763133 x2321 Fax:
01582-762595
john.pickett@bbsrc.ac.uk
<http://www.rothamsted.bbsrc.ac.uk/>

Pheromone Disruption—

Exosect Limited
2 Venture Road
Chilworth Science Park
Southampton SO16 7NP, UK
<http://www.exosect.com>
Telephone: 44 (0)23 8076 3838
Fax: 44 (0)23 8076 3828

Advanced Pheromone Technologies
P.O. Box 417
Marylhurst, OR 97036-0417
Telephone: 877-244-9610
Fax: 971-327-8407
[http://
www.advancedpheromonetech.com](http://www.advancedpheromonetech.com)

ARBICO Organics
P.O. Box 8910
Tucson, AZ, 85738-0910
Telephone: 520-825-9785
Toll Free: 1-800-827-2847
Fax: 520-825-2038
info@arbico.com

Association of Natural Biocontrol
Producers
10202 Cowan Heights Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92705
Telephone: 714-544-8295
<http://www.anbp.org/>

Yellow Delta Trap
ISCA Technologies, Inc.
P.O. Box 5266
Riverside, CA, 92521
Telephone: 909-686-5008
Fax: 815-346-1722
[http://www.iscatech.com/exec/
index.htm](http://www.iscatech.com/exec/index.htm)

Insect Science SA
Private Bag x4019
Postnet Suite 378
Tzaneen South Africa 0850
Telephone: 015-345-144
<http://www.insectscience.co.za/>

Great Lakes IPM, Inc.
10220 Church Road
Vestaburg, MI 48891-9746
Telephone: 989-268-5693 or
989-268-5911
Toll Free: 1-800-235-0285
Fax: 989-268-5311
<http://www.greatlakesipm.com/>

Scentry Biologicals, Inc.
610 Central Avenue
Billings, Montana 59102
Telephone: 406-245-3016 or
800-735-5323
Fax: 406-245-2790
Email: scentry@imt.net
<http://www.scentry.com/>

Trece Incorporated
7569 Highway 28 West
P.O. Box 129
Adair, Oklahoma 74330
Telephone: 918-785-3061
Fax: 918-785-3063
Email: custserv@trece.com

Insect Science South Africa
Private Bag X4019
Post net Suite 378
TZANEEN
0850
<http://www.insectscience.co.za>

Entomology Supplies

BioQuip Products, Inc.
2321 Gladwick Street
Rancho Dominguez, CA 90220
Telephone: 310-667-8800
<http://www.bioquip.com/>

Carolina Biological Supply Co.
2700 York Road
Burlington, NC 27215-3398
Telephone: 800-334-5551
<http://www.carolina.com/>

Wards Natural Science
PO Box 92912
Rochester, NY 14692-9012
Telephone: 800-962-2660
<http://www.wardsci.com/>

Environmental Compliance

**Environmental Monitoring,
Categorical Exclusions—**
USDA–APHIS–PPQ–Emergency and
Domestic Programs
Environmental Compliance

4700 River Road, Unit 150
Riverdale, MD 20737
Telephone: 301-734-8247

Environmental Services

**FIFRA, ESA, Environmental
Assessments—**
USDA–APHIS–Policy and Program
Development

Environmental Services
4700 River Road, Unit 149
Riverdale, MD 20737
Telephone: 301-734-8565

Additional Resources

Cornell University—
Weedon, C.R., A.M. Shelton, Y. Li, and
M.P. Hoffmann. Biological Control: A
Guide to Natural Enemies in North
America. [http://
www.nysaes.cornell.edu/ent/biocontrol/](http://www.nysaes.cornell.edu/ent/biocontrol/)


North Carolina State University—
Biological Control Virtual Information
Center. Center for IPM. North Carolina
State University. [http://cipm.ncsu.edu/
ent/biocontrol/](http://cipm.ncsu.edu/ent/biocontrol/)

Predicting Insect Development—
University of California Statewide
Integrated Pest Management Program
2003 ([http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/
WEATHER](http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/WEATHER))

National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration

U.S. Department of Commerce
Local Cooperative Extension Service

Private, State, university, or industry
sources



Appendix B

Forms

Contents

PPQ 391 Specimens For Determination [page B-2](#)

PPQ 391 Specimens For Determination

This report is authorized by law (7 U.S.C. 147a). While you are not required to respond your cooperation is needed to make an accurate record of plant pest conditions. *See reverse for additional OMB information.* **FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0579-0010**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE SPECIMENS FOR DETERMINATION		Instructions: Type or print information requested. Press hard and print legibly when handwritten. Item 1 - assign number for each collection beginning with year, followed by collector's initials and collector's number. Example (collector, John J. Dingle): 83-JJD-001. Pest Data Section - Complete Items 14, 15 and 16 or 19 or 20 and 21 as applicable. Complete Items 17 and 18 if a trap was used.		FOR IIBIII USE LOT NO.					
1. COLLECTION NUMBER		2. DATE MO DA YR	3. SUBMITTING AGENCY <input type="checkbox"/> State <input type="checkbox"/> PPQ <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____						
SENDER AND ORIGIN	4. NAME OF SENDER		INTERCEPTION SITE						
	6. ADDRESS OF SENDER								
	ZIP								
		5. TYPE OF PROPERTY (<i>Farm, Feedmill, Nursery, etc.</i>)							
		7. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PROPERTY OR OWNER							
		COUNTRY/ COUNTY							
8. REASON FOR IDENTIFICATION (<i>"x" ALL Applicable Items</i>)									
PURPOSE	A. <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Control (Target Pest Name _____)		E. <input type="checkbox"/> Livestock, Domestic Animal Pest						
	B. <input type="checkbox"/> Damaging Crops/Plants		F. <input type="checkbox"/> Possible Immigrant (<i>Explain in REMARKS</i>)						
	C. <input type="checkbox"/> Suspected Pest of Regulatory Concern (<i>Explain in REMARKS</i>)		G. <input type="checkbox"/> Survey (<i>Explain in REMARKS</i>)						
	D. <input type="checkbox"/> Stored Product Pest		H. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (<i>Explain in REMARKS</i>)						
9. IF PROMPT OR URGENT IDENTIFICATION IS REQUESTED, PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF EXPLANATION UNDER "REMARKS".									
HOST DATA	10. HOST INFORMATION NAME OF HOST (<i>Scientific name when possible</i>)		11. QUANTITY OF HOST NUMBER OF ACRES/PLANTS	PLANTS AFFECTED (<i>Insert figure and indicate</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Number <input type="checkbox"/> Percent):					
	12. PLANT DISTRIBUTION		13. PLANT PARTS AFFECTED						
	<input type="checkbox"/> LIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SCATTERED <input type="checkbox"/> WIDESPREAD	<input type="checkbox"/> Leaves, Upper Surface <input type="checkbox"/> Leaves, Lower Surface <input type="checkbox"/> Petiole <input type="checkbox"/> Stem	<input type="checkbox"/> Trunk/Bark <input type="checkbox"/> Branches <input type="checkbox"/> Growing Tips <input type="checkbox"/> Roots	<input type="checkbox"/> Bulbs, Tubers, Corms <input type="checkbox"/> Buds <input type="checkbox"/> Flowers <input type="checkbox"/> Fruits or Nuts <input type="checkbox"/> Seeds					
PEST DATA	14. PEST DISTRIBUTION		15. <input type="checkbox"/> INSECTS			<input type="checkbox"/> NEMATODES		<input type="checkbox"/> MOLLUSKS	
	<input type="checkbox"/> FEW <input type="checkbox"/> COMMON <input type="checkbox"/> ABUNDANT <input type="checkbox"/> EXTREME	NUMBER SUBMITTED	LARVAE	PUPAE	ADULTS	CAST SKINS	EGGS	NYPHYS	JUVS. CYSTS
		ALIVE							
		DEAD							
16. SAMPLING METHOD		17. TYPE OF TRAP AND LURE			18. TRAP NUMBER				
19. PLANT PATHOLOGY - PLANT SYMPTOMS (<i>"X" one and describe symptoms</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> ISOLATED <input type="checkbox"/> GENERAL									
20. WEED DENSITY <input type="checkbox"/> FEW <input type="checkbox"/> SPOTTY <input type="checkbox"/> GENERAL			21. WEED GROWTH STAGE <input type="checkbox"/> SEEDLING <input type="checkbox"/> VEGETATIVE <input type="checkbox"/> FLOWERING/FRUITING <input type="checkbox"/> MATURE						
22. REMARKS									
23. TENTATIVE DETERMINATION									
24. DETERMINATION AND NOTES (<i>Not for Field Use</i>)							FOR IIBIII USE		
							DATE RECEIVED		
							NO. LABEL SORTED PREPARED		
							DATE ACCEPTED		
SIGNATURE _____							RR		
DATE _____									

PPQ FORM 391 Previous editions are obsolete.
(AUG 02)

This is a 6-Part form. Copies must be disseminated as follows:

- PART 1 - PPQ PART 2 - RETURN TO SUBMITTER AFTER IDENTIFICATION PART 3 - IIBIII OR FINAL IDENTIFIER
 PART 4 - INTERMEDIATE IDENTIFIER PART 5 - INTERMEDIATE IDENTIFIER PART 6 - RETAINED BY SUBMITTER

FIGURE B-1 Example of PPQ 391 Specimens For Determination [side 1]

OMB Information

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0579-0010. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average .25 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

Instructions

Use PPQ Form 391, Specimens for Determination, for domestic collections (warehouse inspections, local and individual collecting, special survey programs, export certification).


BLOCK	INSTRUCTIONS
1	<p>1. Assign a number for each collection beginning the year, followed by the collector's initials and collector's number</p> <p>EXAMPLE In 2001, Brian K. Long collected his first specimen for determination of the year. His first collection number is 01-BLK-001</p> <p>2. Enter the collection number</p>
2	Enter date
3	Check block to indicate Agency submitting specimens for identification
4	Enter name of sender
5	Enter type of property specimen obtained from (farm, nursery, feedmill, etc.)
6	Enter address
7	Enter name and address of property owner
8A-8L	Check all appropriate blocks
9	Leave Blank
10	Enter scientific name of host, if possible
11	Enter quantity of host and plants affected
12	Check block to indicate distribution of plant
13	Check appropriate blocks to indicate plant parts affected
14	Check block to indicate pest distribution
15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check appropriate block to indicate type of specimen • Enter number specimens submitted under appropriate column
16	Enter sampling method
17	Enter type of trap and lure
18	Enter trap number
19	Enter X in block to indicate isolated or general plant symptoms
20	Enter X in appropriate block for weed density
21	Enter X in appropriate block for weed growth stage
22	Provide a brief explanation if Prompt or URGENT identification is requested
23	Enter a tentative determination if you made one
24	Leave blank

Distribution of PPQ Form 391

Distribute PPQ Form 391 as follows:

1. Send Original along with the sample to your Area Identifier.
2. Retain and file a copy for your records.

FIGURE B-2 Example Of PPQ 391 Specimens For Determination [Side 2]



Appendix C

Hosts

Contents

Preferred Hosts [page C-1](#)
Secondary Hosts [page C-2](#)

Preferred Hosts

Preferred hosts are plants that are known to support the development of false codling moth ([Table C-1](#)).

TABLE C-1 Preferred hosts of false codling moth [USDA (1983)]

Common name	Scientific Name
Corn	<i>Zea mays</i>
Cotton	<i>Gossypium</i> spp.
Guava, common	<i>Sodium guajava</i>
Macadamia ¹	<i>Macadamia</i> spp.
Mandarin orange	<i>Citrus reticulata</i>
Oak	<i>Quercus</i> spp.
Okra	<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>
Oranges	<i>Citrus</i> spp.
Orange, Temple	<i>Citrus reticulata</i> x <i>Citrus sinensis</i>
Peach	<i>Prunus persica</i>
Pepper	<i>Capsicum</i> spp.
Sorghum	<i>Sorghum vulgare</i>
Tangelo	<i>Citrus paradisi</i> x <i>Citrus reticulata</i>

1 Source: van den Berg (1995)

Secondary Hosts

Secondary hosts are plants that can support the development of false codling moth; however, the literature has not disclosed all the conditions under which the host-plant relationship occurs ([Table C-2](#)).


TABLE C-2 Secondary hosts of false codling moth [USDA (1983)]

Common name	Scientific name
Apricot	<i>Prunus armeniaca</i>
Avocado	<i>Persea americana</i>
Banana	<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> var. <i>sapientum</i>
Butterseed	<i>Butryospermum parkii</i>
Castor bean	<i>Ricinus communis</i>
Cherimoya	<i>Annona cherimola</i>
Cherries (All)	<i>Prunus</i> spp.
Chrysophyllum magalis-montanum	<i>Chrysophyllum magalis-montanum</i>
Coffee	<i>Coffea</i> spp.
Cowpea	<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>
Custard apple	<i>Annona reticulata</i>
Eggplant	<i>Solanum melongena</i>
Elephant grass	<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>
Flowering Maple	<i>Abutilon</i> spp.
Grape ¹	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>
Grapefruit	<i>Citrus paradisi</i>
Ground Cherry	<i>Physalis</i> spp.
Hibiscus	<i>Hibiscus</i> spp.
Hottentot kafir bean tree	<i>Schotia speciosa</i>
Husk tomato	<i>Physalis ixocarpa</i>
Jute	<i>Sida</i> spp.
Kafir marvolanut	<i>Sclerocarya caffra</i>
Kafir plum	<i>Harpephyllum cattrum</i>
Large fruited bushwillow	<i>Combretum zeyheri</i>
Kapok ceiba	<i>Ceiba pentandra</i>
Lemon	<i>Citrus limon</i>
Lima bean	<i>Phaseolus lunatus</i>
Lime	<i>Citrus aurantiifolia</i>
Litchi, Litchee	<i>Litchi chinensis</i>
Loquat	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>
Mallow	<i>Abutilon</i> spp.
Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>
Miraculous berry	<i>Synsepalum dulciticum</i>
Oak	<i>Quercus</i> spp.
Olives	<i>Olea europaea</i>

TABLE C-2 Secondary hosts of false codling moth [USDA (1983)]

Common name	Scientific name
Outeniqua yellowwood	<i>Podocarpus falcata</i>
Persimmon	<i>Diospyros</i> spp.
Plum	<i>Prunus</i> spp.
Pomegranate	<i>Punica granatum</i>
Pond Apple	<i>Annona glabra</i>
Prune	<i>Prunus domestica</i>
<i>Pseudolachnostylis maprounaefolia</i>	<i>Pseudolachnostylis maprounaefolia</i>
Red bushwillow	<i>Combretum apiculatum</i>
Rose apple	<i>Syzygium jambos</i>
<i>Royena pallens</i>	<i>Royena pallens</i>
Sidas	<i>Sida</i> spp.
Soursop	<i>Annona muricata</i>
Spanish bayonet	<i>Yucca alofolia</i>
Spanish dagger	<i>Yucca gloriosa</i>
Star apple	<i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i>
Sugar apple	<i>Annona squamosa</i>
Sweetsop	<i>Annona</i> spp.
Tomato	<i>Lyopersicon esculentum</i>
Tree tomato	<i>Cyphomandra betacea</i>
Triumfetta, Burrbark	<i>Triumfetta</i> spp.
Vanguria	<i>Vangueria infausta</i>
Walnut	<i>Juglans</i> spp.
Ximenia	<i>Ximenia caffra</i>
Yucca	<i>Yucca</i> spp.
Zizyphus	<i>Zizyphus mucronata</i>

1 Source: Opatowski (2005); Netshifhefhe (2005)



Appendix D

Taxonomy

Contents

- [Taxonomy of False Codling Moth](#) **page D-2**
- [Species Similar to False Codling Moth](#) **page D-11**
- [Tools For Identifying Larvae of Leafrollers](#) **page D-15**

Taxonomy of False Codling Moth

The following appendix was reproduced from Venette et al. (2003).

Appendix C. Taxonomy of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Meyrick) and related Tortricidae (prepared by M. DaCosta).

The species name *leucotreta* was removed from the genus *Cryptophlebia* and placed in *Thaumatotibia* by Komai (1999). The genus *Thaumatotibia* Zacher (1915) was not placed in a family when established, and was instead placed as a synonym of *Cryptophlebia* Walsingham (1899) (Nye and Fletcher 1991). Species of *Thaumatotibia* and *Cryptophlebia* are similar to each other externally and the two genera are related to each other. Komai (1999) lists the following characters common to both genera:

- 1) forewing broad (male broader than female), with a blackish triangular pretomal patch and with an accessory cell of chorda small or absent (chorda coincident with the margin of the discal cell)
- 2) hindwing with a short discal cell, especially in males
- 3) eighth tergite (and sometimes preceding tergites) with a small patch of long scales
- 4) valva with a patch of very long, curled scales on the outer surface of the cucullus
- 5) tenth abdominal segment of pupa with a pair of strong spines along anal rise.

Thaumatotibia can be distinguished from *Cryptophlebia* on the basis of the following characters:

- 1) eighth tergite in male with a broadly sclerotized plate with convex posterior margin and laterally produced into curved points
- 2) sterigma indicated by an ovate or rectangular sclerite connecting posteriorly with a pair of ovate granulations with modified scales
- 3) corpus bursa with granular patch at juncture of ductus bursae.

The species *leucotreta* was placed in one of two species groups in *Thaumatotibia* by Komai (1999), the *leucotreta*-group, which is distinguished from the other species group, the *chaomorpha*-group, by (1) the presence of tufts of modified scales on the inner side of the hindtibia (usually), (2) an enlarged inner apical spur and (3) "normal" juxta. According to Bradley et al. (1979), male *T. leucotreta* are distinguished from other species by the specialized hindwing, which is slightly reduced and has a circular pocket of fine hair-like black scales overlaid with broad weakly shining whitish scales in the anal angle, and its heavily tufted hind tibia.

Descriptions of the external morphology as well as the genitalia of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Meyrick) are provided.

Synonyms (from Bradley et al. 1979, Nye and Fletcher 1991, Komai 1999)

At the generic level:

Thaumatotibia Zacher, 1915: 529-Heppner, 1980: 34 (as synonym of *Cryptophlebia*).
Type species: *Thaumatotibia roerigii* Zacher, 1915 [= *Argyroplote leucotreta* Meyrick, 1913] by monotypy

- *Argyroplote* Hübner, [1825]

CAPS PRA: *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*

19

- *Olethreutes* Hübner, 1822, Syst. -alphab. Verz.: 58-67, 69, 72. Type species: *Phalaena arcuella* Clerck, 1759, Icon. Insect. Rariorum 1: pl 10 fig. 8, by subsequent designation by Walsingham, 1895, Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1895: 518.
- *Metriophlebia* Diakonoff, 1969: 89. –Razowski, 1977: 259. –Clarke, 1986: 162 (as synonym of *Cryptophlebia*), syn. n. Type species: *Eucosoma chaomorpha* Meyrick, 1929, by monotypy

At the species level:

leucotreta (Meyrick) 1913. Ann. Transv. Mus. 3: 267-336.

- *roerigii* Zacher, 1915: 529 Beiträge zur Kenntnis der westafrikanischen Pflanzenschädlinge.-Tropenpflanzer 18: 504-534.

Diagnosis of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*:

[Description from Komai (1999).] Small to medium-sized, grayish-brown to dark brown/black moths with broad forewings (forewing index: 0.41-0.44mm in males, and 0.38-0.42mm in females) with a blackish triangular pretornal patch. Externally species of *Thaumatotibia* are similar to species of *Cryptophlebia*. Wing venation of *Thaumatotibia* is characterized by a small accessory cell delineated by the chorda from between R2 and R3 (closer to R3) to R4 or from between R1 and R2 (very close to R2) to between R5 and R5, or the absence of accessory cell (the chorda coincident with the margin of the discal cell), and by a short discal cell in the hindwing, especially in the male (0.42-0.43x length of the wing). Eighth tergum in male with a broadly sclerotized plate with convex posterior margin and laterally produced into curved points, with paired patches of long mane-like scales, but without a pair of long filiform scale tufts from shallow membranous pockets on each side of eighth tergum as in *Cryptophlebia*. Male genitalia are characteristic in the large, ovate valva (the outer surface with a patch of very long, curled scales, which is shared with *Cryptophlebia*), in the sacculus often with teeth distally, and in the juxta sometimes producing caudally a pair of denticulate, ovate lobes (the *chaomorpha*-group). The female genitalia is characterized by the sterigma indicated by an ovate or rectangular sclerite, connecting posteriorly with a pair of ovate granulations with modified scales, by the corpus bursae with a ring of granulation at the juncture of the ductus bursae, and sometimes a diverticulum ventrally or laterally.

Description:

Head: [Description from Komai (1999).] As in Figure C1. Frons with very dense, erect and moderately long scales. Antenna filiform, less than 2/3 length of forewing. Labial palps long and wavy; second segment widened distally, but scales appressed and rather short; terminal segment extends forward horizontally, about 1/3 length of second, slender, with appressed scales, apex blunt.




Figure C1. Lateral views of head. A-Ventrolateral view of general moth head [Reproduced from Robinson et al. (1994)]. B-Filiform antenna [Reproduced from Borror et al. (1989)] C-Lateral view head of *Thaumatotibia hemitoma* (Diakonoff)-♂. [Reproduced from Komai (Komai 1999)]

Thorax: [Description from Komai (1999)] Posterior crest present. Hind tibia (as in Fig. C2) with modified scales on inner side, the inner apical spur enlarged with a batch of scales, the bases of which have a layer of secreting cells.




Figure C2. A-Hindtibia-modified scales and apical spur removed. B-Detail of spurs. [Reproduced from Komai (1999)]

Wings: [Description from Bradley et al. (1979).] Forewing pattern a mixture of bluish-gray, brown, black, and rust colored red-brown markings, the most conspicuous is the blackish triangular pre-tornal marking and the crescent-shaped marking above it, and a minute white spot in the discal area.

Venation: As in Fig C3. There is a scent organ on the distal 2/3 of CuA2 on upper side. Its presence is indicated by concavity on wing membrane bounded with thickened ridges bearing the secreting cells [Zagati and Castel quoted in Komai (1999)].




Figure C3. Venation of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Meyrick), A-male, B-Female. Veins: A-anal; C-Costa, Cu-Cubitus (CuA1-1st anterior cubitus; CuA2-2nd anterior cubitus; CuP-posterior cubitus); D-discal cell; M-Media, R-Radius, Sc-Subcosta. [Reproduced from Komai (1999)]

Abdomen: [Description from Komai (1999)] As in Fig C4. Second sternite with well developed anterolateral processes and sternal apodemes. Male abdominal scent organs: eighth tergite with a broadly sclerotized plate with convex posterior margin and laterally produced into curved points, densely covered with long scales which are easily removable.




Figure C4. Post abdomen showing: 7th sternite (S7), 8th sternite (st. 8), 7th tergite (T7), 8th tergite (T8), intersegmental ventral sclerite between abdominal segments 8 and 9 (iss), scale-tufts of coremata (sc) [Reproduced from Komai (1999)]

Male genitalia: [Description from Komai (1999)] As in Fig. C5. Tegumen a broad band, rounded apically; Aedeagus bulbous basally, narrowed at basal 1/4 to 1/3 and upcurved distally; vesica with series of fine cornuti. Juxta producing caudally a pair of denticulate, “normal” lobes. [Terminology follows Klots (1970).]




Figure C5. Ventral view male genitalia [Reproduced from Komai (1999)]

Female genitalia: [Description from Komai (1999)] As in Fig. C6. Papillae anales “moderate”. Anterior apophyses longer than posterior apophyses. Sterigma an ovate, or rectangular raised sclerite, connecting posteriorly with a pair of ovate granulations with modified scales. Ductus bursa long and narrow, ductus seminalis arising laterally, from posterior 1/4-1/5 of ductus bursa; bulla seminalis present; corpus bursae ovate, with a ring of granulation at juncture of ductus bursa with diverticulum laterally, with two large, curved, blade-shaped signa. Seventh sternite trapezoidal, posterior margin with shallow or deep excavation.




Figure C6. Genitalia *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Meyrick). Left-Entire genital apparatus, Right-ostium bursa and posterior part of bursa copulatrix [Reproduced from Komai (1999)]

Larva: [Description from Komai (1999).] Body length of mature larva 15mm. Head yellowish-brown. Body orange or pink in final instar. Pinacula large, darker than body color. Spiracle on A8 near the posterior margin. Prolegs with 31-40 crochets arranged in a biordinal circle. Anal fork present. Chaetotaxy As in Fig. C7: SD1 and SD2 on same pinaculum on A9; SV group on A1-A6 trisetose, on A7 and A8 bisetose, A9 unisetose; L group trisetose on A9.




Figure C7. Setal map of *Thaumatotibia leucotreta*; drawing scale a-g: 0.5mm, h-j: 0.1 mm [Reproduced from Komai (1999)]

Pupa: [Description from Komai (1999)] As in Fig. C8. Body length 6-10mm. Body pale yellowish-brown. Similar to *Cryptophlebia*. Spiracles transversely ovate. A2-A7 with two rows of dorsal spines; A8-A10 with one row of strong spines, in male A8 with two rows of dorsal spines; A10 with a pair of strong spines along anal rise, without hooked setae except two pairs along anal rise.




Figure C8. Pupa of *Thaumatotibia* sp. A-Ventral view, B-Lateral view (scale = 1 mm)
[Reproduced from Komai (1999)]

Similar species: *Cydia pomonella* (Linnaeus)-the codling moth [occurs in the US]




Figure C9. Dorsal views of *Cydia pomonella* (Linnaeus) A-male, B & C-female (to illustrate degree of morphological variation within a sex).
[Reproduced from Bradley et al. (1979)]



Figure. C10. Lateral view of head of *Cydia pomonella* (Linnaeus)
[Reproduced from Bradley et al. (1979)]




Figure C11. Venation of *Cydia pomonella*
[Reproduced from Bradley et al. (1979)]




Figure C12. Larva of *Cydia pomonella* (Linneaus). A-Dorsal view of head, B-lateral view of body; pattern of crochets [Reproduced from Bradley et al. (1979)]

Species Similar to False Codling Moth

Cydia spp.

Cydia pomonella (Linnaeus), the codling moth, occurs in the United States and is one of the species most likely to be confused with the false codling moth (Bradley et al. 1979). *Cydia toreuta*, *C. cupressana*, *C. injective* and *C. miscitata* are indigenous to the United States and have a similar appearance (Figure D-3, Figure D-4 and Figure D-5).




FIGURE D-3 Dorsal views of *Cydia pomonella*; A-male, B- and C-female [Image courtesy of Bradley et al. (1979)]




FIGURE D-4 Dorsal views of (left) *Cydia toreuta*; (middle) *Cydia cupressana* male; (right) *Cydia cupressana* female [Images courtesy of T. Gilligan, Ohio State University]



FIGURE D-5 Dorsal views of (left) *Cydia injective* and (right) *Cydia miscitata*

Mussidia nigrevenella

In West Africa, the false codling moth is often found in conjunction with the pyralid moth [*Mussidia nigrevenella* (Moyal & Tran. 1989; Silvie 1990)] (Figure D-6).




FIGURE D-6 Dorsal view of *Mussidia nigrevenella* [Image courtesy of CPC (2004)]

Cryptophlebia peltastica

The litchi moth (*Cryptophlebia peltastica*) is similar to false codling moth. However, the male can be distinguished by a subtriangular or Y-shaped T8 with a pair of tufts of filiform scales from membranous pockets on each side (Newton 1998) (Figure D-7).



FIGURE D-7 Dorsal view of *Cryptophlebia peltastica* [Image courtesy of CPC (2004)]

***Thaumatotibia*
spp.**

The macadamia nut borer (*Thaumatotibia batrachopa*) is similar to false codling moth and occurs in Africa. *Thaumatotibia chaomorpha* is similar (Figure D-8).



FIGURE D-8 Dorsal view of *Thaumatotibia chaomorpha*
[Image courtesy of T. Gilligan, Ohio State University]

***Gymnandrosoma*
*aurantianum***



FIGURE D-9 Dorsal view of *Gymnandrosoma aurantianum*
[Image courtesy of T. Gilligan, Ohio State University]

***Cryptospasma
bipenicilla***



FIGURE D-10 Dorsal view of *Cryptospasma bipenicilla*
[Image courtesy of T. Gilligan, Ohio State University]

***Ecdyolopha* spp.**

Ecdyolopha punctidiscana are often caught in pheromone traps set for false codling moth ([Figure D-11](#)).



FIGURE D-11 Dorsal view of (left) *Ecdyolopha mana* and (right) *Ecdyolopha punctidiscana* [Images courtesy of T. Gilligan, Ohio State University]

Tools For Identifying Larvae of Leafrollers

DRAFT
April 2004

TOOLS FOR IDENTIFYING THE LARVAE OF LEAFROLLERS
(LEPIDOPTERA: TORTRICIDAE)
FREQUENTLY INTERCEPTED AT U.S. PORTS OF ENTRY

John W. Brown
Systematic Entomology Laboratory, PSI
Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture
c/o National Museum of Natural History
Washington, DC 20560

Leafrollers (the family Tortricidae) are among the most commonly intercepted microlepidoptera larvae at U.S. ports-of-entry. They frequently infest fruit, nuts, seeds, flowers, leaves, and other parts of vascular plants, and they are encountered in personal baggage as well as in imported commodities. Unfortunately, the most widely available and useful key for APHIS/PPQ port inspectors/identifiers is the portion of Weisman's (1986) Lepidoptera key dedicated to this family. That key includes only 10 species, and the nomenclature is somewhat outdated.

Comprehensive treatments of tortricid larvae were developed by Swatschek (1958) for the European fauna and by MacKay (1959, 1962) for the Nearctic fauna. More recently, Brown (1983) summarized our knowledge of the North American tortricid larvae, providing keys to pest species arranged by host plant groupings (i.e., larvae on conifers, larvae on rosaceous plants, larvae on legumes). Horak and Brown (1991) provided general, brief descriptions of tortricid larvae, focusing on differences at the tribal level. While all of these contributions provide excellent information on the family and are important references, none is particularly useful for identifying the tortricid "fauna" that is encountered by inspectors at our ports.

The purpose of this paper is to provide three dichotomous keys: (1) a much expanded and updated version of the tortricid portion of the Weisman's key; (2) a key to Olethreutinae intercepted most frequently on *Castanea*; and (3) a refined translation of Swatschek's (1958) key to the genera of the tribe Archipini in Europe. The latter group represents the most frequently intercepted Tortricinae at U.S. ports. In addition, a table of the most common plant-tortricid associations encountered at ports is provided.

Before attempting to use the keys and the table, it is important to make sure that you've correctly identified the larva to family. Tortricids share with many microlepidoptera the following: trisetose L group on the prothorax; L1 and L2 on a shared pinaculum on A2-A7; and crochets in a circle. Larvae of tortricids can be distinguished from other microlepidoptera by a combination of the following characters: (1) A8 with SD1 almost always anterad (sometimes slightly dorso- or ventroanterad) of the spiracle; (2) A9 with D2s almost always on a common dorsal pinaculum on A9; (3) A9 with D1 and SD1 present and in two distinct configurations: on the same or different pinacula; and (4) A10 frequently with an anal fork (lost secondarily in many Olethreutinae).

Deviations from the above can be found in the following. (1) Although SD1 is almost always anterad of spiracle on A8, it is anterodorsad in a few genera and almost precisely dorsad in several North American species of *Eucosma* on *Pinus*. (2) The D2s are almost always on a common dorsal pinaculum on A9; however, they are on separate pinacula in several species of *Cydia* in which the larva is extremely pale and the pinacula nearly without pigmentation. (3) In most Olethreutinae D1 and SD1 are on the same pinaculum, and in most Tortricinae they are on separate pinacula. (4) Microlepidoptera larvae with an anal fork are almost always Tortricidae (although the structure is lost in many Olethreutinae). One genus of Gelechiidae (i.e., *Anarsia*) has an anal fork that can be confused with that of a tortricid. This genus has significant gaps in the crochets on the prolegs (including the anal proleg) so that they do not form in a complete circle.


Because larvae are highly variable, many specimens will not key convincingly to a final couplet. In these situations, either follow the "best fit" or return to the previous "convincing" couplet. Some larvae are asymmetrical from side-to-side, particularly in the number of setae. In these situations, the "higher number" is almost always the correct value. That is, on a larva that has a

bisetose L-group on the right side of A9 and a trisetose L-group on the left side, consider the trisetose condition as "correct."

Key to Tortricid Larvae

Frequently Intercepted at U.S. Ports-of-entry

- 1. D1 and SD1 on the same pinaculum on A9 (Fig. 1); anal fork present (Fig. 3) or absent 2
- 1.' D1 and SD1 on separate pinacula on A9 (Fig. 2); anal fork almost always present
- Group 1 ("Tortricinae" Type)
- 2. L pinaculum on T1 enlarged, extending beneath and beyond (posterad of) spiracle (Fig. 4) . . .
- Group 2 ("*Cryptophlebia*" Type)
- 2.' L pinaculum on T1 simple or variously modified, but not extending beneath spiracle (Fig. 5) 3
- 3. Anal fork absent Group 3 ("*Cydia*" type)
- 3'. Anal fork present Group 4 ("*Olethreutinae*" type)



Group 2. *Cryptophlebia* Type

1. Anal fork present	2
1.' Anal fork absent	4
2. L-group on A9 bisetose (both setae on same pinaculum); pinacula usually moderate to large; Vs on A9 much further apart than those on A8 (mostly New World)	3
2.' L-group on A9 usually trisetose (all setae usually on same pinaculum); pinacula moderate; Vs on A9 slightly further apart than those on A8 (mostly Africa)	
..... <i>Thaumatotibia leucotreta</i> (Meyrick) complex	
3. SV-group usually 2:2:2:2:1; pinacula large; on <i>Capsicum</i> (Mexico, Central America)	
..... <i>Lorita scarificata</i> (Meyrick)	
3.' SV group 3:3:2:2:2(1); pinacula moderate to large; on <i>Opuntia</i> , <i>Pithecellobium</i> , Asteraceae ..	
..... many Cochylini	
4. At least one (usually more) dorsal pinacula with small incision, notch, or clear spot; Vs on A9 usually 1.5-2.0 times as far apart as Vs on A8	6
4.' Dorsal pinacula usually without small incision, notch, or clear spot; Vs on A9 variable from 1.0-2.0 as far apart as Vs on A8	5
5. SV-group on A1,2,7,8,9 usually 3:3:3:2:2; L-group on A9 trisetose, all setae usually on same pinaculum; abdominal prolegs with 45-48 crochets; on litchi, longan, macadamia, and others (Asia, Hawaii)	<i>Cryptophlebia ombrodelta</i> (Lower)
5.' SV-group on A1,2,7,8,9 usually 3:3:2:2(1):1; L-group on A9 uni-, bi- or trisetose; abdominal prolegs with 20-30 crochets, on legumes (South and Central America) <i>Cydia fabivora</i> (Meyrick)	
6. SV-group usually 3:3:3(2):2:1; L-group on A9 usually trisetose; abdominal prolegs with 50-60	

crochets (South and Central America, Caribbean) *Gymnandrosoma aurantianum* (Lima)

6.' SV-group usually 3:3:3:1:1; L-group on A9 usually bisetose (Asia)

. *Cryptophlebia illepida* (Butler)

Group 3. *Cydia* Type

1. D1s, D2s, and SD1s on A9 all on same enlarged (ill-defined) pinaculum (integument conspicuously spiny; crochets on abdominal prolegs 16-19); on *Annona* and *Mammae* (Mexico, Central America, Caribbean) *Talponia batesi* Heinrich

1.' D2s on pinacula separate from D1 and SD2 (D2 shared pinaculum sometimes very weakly developed) 2

2. All three L setae of A9 usually on same pinaculum 3

2.' L3 absent or on separate pinaculum on A9 5

3. On *Castanea* go to key of *Castanea*-feeding Olethreutinae


3.' On various other hosts 4

4. South America (Brazil, Argentina, and Chile) on *Aracauria* (body pinkish with medium large, pale brown pinacula; prothoracic shield light brown, anal shield dark brown; L pinaculum of T1 rather large, sometimes notched; Ls on A9 sometimes all on the same pinacula; SV-group 3:3:2(3):1(2):1; crochets 34-37) *Cydia araucariae* Pastrana

4.' Mexico, on various hosts (including *Carya*, *Prunus*, *Malus*) *Cydia* sp.

4'' Asia, on *Saccharum officinarum* *Tetramoera schistaceana* (Snellen)

5. D2s on A9 always on same pinaculum; SV group usually 3:3:2:2:1; distinct pattern on anal and prothoracic shields; 30-35 crochets (cosmopolitan) *Cydia pomonella* (Linnaeus) (in part)



Appendix E

Identification Job Aid




FIGURE E-1 False Codling Moth Job Aid