CH2MHILL
Plateau Remediation Company

Appendix A-1

Contract Performance Reports ARRA

Format 1 - Work Breakdown Structure

Format 3 - Baseline

Format 5 - Explanation and Problem Analysis



August 2011
CHPRC-2011-08, Rev. 0
Contract DE-AC06-08RL14788
Deliverable C.3.1.3.1 - 1

FORMAT 1, DD FORM 2734/1, WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

CLASSIFICATION (When Filled In)

CONTRACT PERFORMANCE REPORT
FORMAT 1 - WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE
DOLLARS IN Thousands of $

FORM APPROVED
OMB No. 0704-0188

1. CONTRACTOR	2. CONTRACT		3. PROGRAM	4. REPORT PERIOD
a. NAME CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company	a. NAME Plateau Remediation Contract		a. NAME Plateau Remediation Contract	a. FROM (YYYYMMDD) 2011 / 07 / 25
b. LOCATION (Address and ZIP Code) Richland, WA	b. NUMBER RL14788		b. PHASE	b. TO (YYYYMMDD) 2011 / 08 / 21
	c. TYPE CPAF	d. SHARE RATIO	c. EVMS ACCEPTANCE NO YES X 9/18/2009	

5. CONTRACT DATA

a. QUANTITY	b. NEGOTIATED COST	c. ESTIMATED COST OF AUTHORIZED UNPRICED WORK	d. TARGET PROFIT/ FEE	e. TARGET PRICE	f. ESTIMATED PRICE	g. CONTRACT CEILING	h. ESTIMATED CONTRACT CEILING	i. DATE OF OTB/OTS (YYYYMMDD)
	1,305,191	-18,419	70,807	1,375,998	1,357,580	1,375,998	1,357,580	

6. ESTIMATED COST AT COMPLETION

7. AUTHORIZED CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE

	MANAGEMENT ESTIMATE AT COMPLETION (1)	CONTRACT BUDGET BASE (2)	VARIANCE (3)
a. BEST CASE	1,272,254		
b. WORST CASE	1,341,834		
c. MOST LIKELY	1,286,772	1,341,834	55,062

a. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial): Bang, M.V.
b. TITLE: Prime Contract Manager
c. SIGNATURE
d. DATE SIGNED (YYYYMMDD): 2011/8/26

8. PERFORMANCE DATA

WBS[1]	CURRENT PERIOD					CUMULATIVE TO DATE					REPROGRAMMING ADJUSTMENTS			AT COMPLETION		
	BUDGETED COST		ACTUAL COST	VARIANCE		BUDGETED COST		ACTUAL COST	VARIANCE							
ITEM (1)	WORK SCHEDULED (2)	WORK PERFORMED (3)	WORK PERFORMED (4)	SCHEDULE (5)	COST (6)	WORK SCHEDULED (7)	WORK PERFORMED (8)	WORK PERFORMED (9)	SCHEDULE (10)	COST (11)	COST VARIANCE (12a)	SCHEDULE VARIANCE (12b)	BUDGET (13)	BUDGETED (14)	ESTIMATED (15)	VARIANCE (16)
RL-0011.R1 PFP D&D	12,141	9,012	9,941	(3,312)	(1,192)	258,248	245,364	250,674	(9,755)	(4,382)	0	0	0	287,339	271,321	16,018
RL-0013C.R1.1 MLLW Treatment	1,360	1,898	1,229	99	615	45,638	43,582	38,807	(2,593)	4,106	0	0	0	50,068	43,226	6,842
RL-0013C.R1.2 TRU Waste	14,431	19,926	8,839	(532)	644	233,051	235,482	226,569	(3,064)	(2,174)	0	0	0	255,488	251,253	4,234
RL-0030.R1.1 GW Capital Asset	8,515	4,785	4,661	(637)	1,858	165,898	167,058	169,070	4,890	(2,136)	0	0	0	174,961	177,952	(2,992)
RL-0030.R1.2 GW Operations	4,296	5,534	6,284	219	(66)	86,612	88,734	85,711	884	3,774	0	0	0	92,105	88,529	3,576
RL-0040.R1.1 U Plant/Other D&D	5,817	6,334	8,089	186	(432)	188,787	184,022	177,401	(5,282)	8,376	0	0	0	199,578	189,297	10,282
RL-0040.R1.2 Outer Zone D&D	1,817	722	(435)	1,459	1,160	86,658	83,067	69,480	(2,497)	12,429	0	0	0	89,437	72,166	17,271
RL-0041.R1.1 100 K Area Remediation	2,314	7,493	3,986	(960)	(826)	169,536	168,894	167,134	(5,820)	(1,746)	0	0	0	178,341	178,511	(170)
b. Cost of Money	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Gen. and Admin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Undist. Budget														0	0	0
e. Sub Total	**50,693**	**55,705**	**42,594**	**5,012**	**13,110**	**1,234,427**	**1,216,203**	**1,184,847**	**(18,224)**	**31,356**	0	0	0	**1,327,316**	**1,272,254**	55,062
f. Management Resrv.														14,518		
g. Total	**50,693**	**55,705**	**42,594**	**5,012**	**13,110**	**1,234,427**	**1,216,203**	**1,184,847**	**(18,224)**	**31,356**	0	0	0	**1,341,834**		
9. Reconciliation to CBB																
a. Variance Adjustment									0	0						
b. Total Contract Variance									**(18,224)**	**31,356**				**1,341,834**	**1,272,254**	**69,580**

CONTRACT PERFORMANCE REPORT FORMAT 3 - BASELINE		DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
1. CONTRACTOR CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company b. LOCATION: Richland, WA	2. CONTRACT a. NAME: Plateau Remediation Contract b. NUMBER: RL14788 c. TYPE: CPAF d. SHARE RATIO:	3. PROGRAM a. NAME: Plateau Remediation Contract b. PHASE c. EVMS ACCEPTANCE NO YES X 9/18/2009	4. REPORT PERIOD a. FROM: 2011/7/25 b. TO: 2011/8/21

5. CONTRACT DATA

a. ORIGINAL NEGOTIATED COST	b. NEGOTIATED CONTRACT CHANGE	c. CURRENT NEGOTIATED COST (A + B)	d. ESTIMATED COST AUTH UNPRICED WORK	e. CONTRACT BUDGET BASE (C + D)	f. TOTAL ALLOCATED BUDGET	g. DIFFERENCE (E - F)
0	$1,305,191	$1,305,191	($18,419)	$1,286,772	$1,341,834	($55,062)

h. CONTRACT START DATE	i. DEFINITIZATION DATE	j. PLANNED COMPL DATE	k. CONT COMPLETION DATE	l. EST COMPLETION DATE
4/9/2009		9/30/2011		9/30/2011

6. PERFORMANCE DATA — BUDGETED COST FOR WORK SCHEDULED (NON - CUMULATIVE)

ITEM	BCWS CUM TO DATE	BCWS FOR REPORT PERIOD	SIX MONTH FORECAST +1 Sep-11	+2 Oct-11	+3 Nov-11	+4 Dec-11	+5 Jan-12	6+ Feb-12	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	OUT YEARS	UNDISTRIB BUDGET	TOTAL BUDGET
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
a. PM BASELINE															
(BEGIN OF PERIOD)	**1,233,705**	**49,970**	**95,988**	**2,007**	**2,235**	**2,451**	**244**	**0**	**161,538**	**565,906**	**602,249**	**6,938**	**0**	**0**	**1,336,631**
b. BASELINE CHANGES AUTH DURING REPORT PERIOD															
BCR-PRC-11-039R0 FY 2012 Annual PMB Update											(7,125)	7,650	0		**525**
BCR-PRC-11-040R0 Workforce Restructuring per Revised DOE-HQ Guidance											(9,840)	0			**(9,840)**
BCRA-PRC-11-043R0 Administrative & Schedule Coding Changes for August 2011											0	0	0		**0**
c. PM BASELINE (END OF PERIOD)	**1,234,427**		**78,301**	**4,061**	**4,719**	**5,292**	**515**	**0**	**161,538**	**565,906**	**585,285**	**14,588**	**0**	**0**	**1,327,316**
7. MANAGEMENT RESERVE															14,518
8. TOTAL															**1,341,834**

FORMAT 5, DD FORM 2734/5, EXPLANATION AND PROBLEM ANALYSIS

CLASSIFICATION (When Filled In)				
CONTRACT PERFORMANCE REPORT FORMAT 5 - EXPLANATIONS AND PROBLEM ANALYSES				FORM APPROVED OMB No. 0704-0188
1. CONTRACTOR	**2. CONTRACT**		**3. PROGRAM**	**4. REPORT PERIOD**
a. NAME CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company	**a. NAME** Plateau Remediation Contract		**a. NAME** Plateau Remediation Contract	**a. FROM (YYYY/MM/DD)** **2011/7/25**
b. LOCATION (Address and ZIP Code) Richland, WA 99354	**b. NUMBER** RL		**b. PHASE** ARRA	**b. TO (YYYY/MM/DD)** **2011/8/21**
	c. TYPE CPAF	**d. SHARE RATIO**	**c. EVMS ACCEPTANCE 2009/09/18** **NO YES X**	

	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SV in $	SV in %	CV in $	CV %	SPI	CPI
Current:	50,693	55,705	42,594	5,012	9.9%	13,110	23.5%	1.10	1.31
Cumulative:	1,234,427	1,216,203	1,184,847	(18,224)	-1.5%	31,356	2.6%	0.99	1.03
	BAC	**EAC**	**VAC in $**	**VAC in %**	**CPI to BAC**	**CPI to EAC**			
At Complete:	1,327,316	1,272,254	55,062	4.1%	0.8	1.3			

Explanation of Variance/Description of Problem:

Current Period Schedule Variance: A favorable schedule variance occurs in the Direct Projects, specifically, RL- 13C.R1.1 (+$.5M), RL-13C.R1.2 (+$5.5M) RL-30.R1.2 (+$1.2M), RL-40.R1.1 (+$.6M) and RL-41.R1.1 (+$5.2M) which is partially offset by an unfavorable schedule variance in RL11.R1 PFP D&D (-$3.1M), RL-30.R1.1 (-$3.7M), and RL-40 R1.2 (-$1.1M). All ARRA Projects are over reporting thresholds. For RL11.R1 PFP D&D, the unfavorable variance is a result of delays in completing D&D of 234-5Z and ancillary building demolition resulting from resources reassigned to focus on higher priority KPP glovebox removal work scope. The 234-5Z process and lab area D&D delays are a result of inability to staff the planned three shifts of overtime, more stringent radiological controls, ramp-up of a new team, and workforce restructuring impacts. For RL-13C.R1.2 TRU Retrieval, the favorable variance reflects the implementation of a baseline change which deferred T-Plant Repack line, RH/Large Package Commercial Repack, WRAP Repack, TRU Characterization and Shipping to accommodate layup activities in preparation for FY12 funding levels, partially offset by TRU waste shipments to PFNW completed in prior period, coupled with delay in TRU layup activities due to focus on ARRA KPP goals. For RL-30.R1.1 Cleanup Operations unfavorable variance is realized BCWS for work completed in previous periods. For RL-30.R1.2 Well Drilling Operations, the favorable variance reflects completion of ZP-1 modifications ahead of schedule. For RL-40.R1.1 U-Plant/Other D&D, the favorable variance reflects the procurement of Capital Equipment ahead of the planned September date. RL-40.R1.2, Outer Zone D&D the unfavorable variance results from delaying RTD Waste Sites and pipelines and performance taken in prior months for disposition of rail cars. For RL-41.R1.1 100K Area Remediation, the favorable variance reflects BCR-PRC-11-040R0 which transferred favorable 100-K Group 1 Structures Remediation variances for 1706KER, 1706KE and 181KW River Pump House from Base, It also reflects BCR-PRC-11-039R0, FY 2012 Annual PMB Update which defers the 190KW Structure to FY2012 and deferral of T-Plant general site cleanup which will be reflected in BCR-R41-005R0.

Current Period Cost Variance: The following is within reporting thresholds: RL-30.R1.1. Cost variances above the thresholds are: RL-11.R1 PFP D&D (-$.9M) due to inefficiencies associated with 234-5Z implementation of permanently posting the RMA/RMC area as a high contamination and airborne contamination area. In addition, higher cost has resulted from more complex glovebox removal in Lab and Process areas; RL-13C.R1.1 MLLW Treatment (+$.7M) basically from schedule recovery for M-91-43 without commensurate costs, coupled with delay in receipt of costs for M-91-42 completions; RL-13C.R1.2 TRU Retrieval (+$11.1M) favorable variance mainly reflects transfer of CWC Base and Min Safe Operations from BASE to ARRA without associated costs (cost transfers in process), implementation of baseline change for T-Plant Repack, WRAP Repack and TRU Characterization and Shipping to accommodate layup activities in preparation for FY 12 funding levels without commensurate cost, coupled with delay in receipt of costs for repack layup activities, reduced labor rates due to a distribution of labor adder reductions; RL-30.R1.2 Well Drilling Operations (-$.7M) primarily due additional outside work on the ZP-1 Pump and Treat Facility; RL-40.R1.1 U-Plant/Other D&D (-$1.8M) variance largely due to U Plant Canyon core drilling/grouting contract cost and overtime to regain schedule and to 209E Stimulus – Semi Works Zone increase in personnel to attempt to rotate crews as their work durations are reduced due to heat issues and increased use of overtime to meet the completion date; RL- 40.R1.2 Outer Zone D&D (+$1.2M) which results from a credit pass back for debris sent to ERDF from the Outer Area Waste Sites; and RL-41.R1.1 100K Area Remediation (+$3.5M) where the favorable variance reflects BCR-PRC-11-040R0 which transferred 100-K Group 1 Structures Remediation scope and performance for 1706KER, 1706KE and 181KW River Pump House from Base, however, the cost transfers were not made in August.

Cumulative Schedule Variance: An unfavorable cumulative schedule variance (-$18.2M) exists, however all ARRA Subprojects schedule variances are within reporting thresholds.

Cumulative Cost Variance: The favorable cumulative cost variance (+$31.4M) occurs in all Direct Projects supporting ARRA work scope and are within reporting thresholds except for the following: RL-13C.R1.1 MLLW Treatment (+$4.7M/1.12 CPI) resulting from costs for treatment being below plan due to efficiencies created by waste treated by CS-Clive rather than planned treatment at Perma-Fix allowed by a waiver received from DOE and savings due to direct disposal of waste at ERDF rather than shipment to an offsite treatment facility for FY09 scope; and RL-40.R1.2 Outer Zone D&D (+$13.6M/1.20 CPI) resulting primarily from efficiencies in demolishing 600 Area Facilities and remediation of outer area waste sites.

Impact:

Current Period Schedule: For RL-40.R1.1, RL-40.R1.2, and RL-41.R1.1 the current period schedule impacts are the same as the CTD schedule impacts (see below). For RL-11R.1 the primary impact is in D&D of process and lab areas and getting Z/ZB Complex ready for demolition. For RL-13C.R1.2 the primary impact is the implementation of a baseline change which deferred T-Plant Repack line, RH/Large Package Commercial Repack, WRAP Repack, TRU Characterization and Shipping to accommodate layup activities in preparation for FY12 funding levels,. For RL-30.R1.1 - there are no impacts as the variance is minimal.

Current Period Cost: For RL-40.R1.2, RL-40.R1.1, RL-30.R1.2 and RL-13CR1.1 there is no significant cost impact for the current period. For RL-30.R1.1, the positive cost variance is part of recovering the cum to date CV for the subproject. For RL-41.R1.1 the unfavorable cost variances on the 100K Reactor Power/River Water isolation work will be monitored. For RL-11.R1 extended resources to get the Z/ZB Complex ready for demolition increase the cost at completion for this work scope.

CTD Schedule: For RL-41.R1.1 100K River Water and Reactor Power Isolation delays ultimately delay structure demolition and waste site remediation. Additional soil contamination (realized risk) is beginning to impact the schedule. For RL-13C.R1.2 baseline adjustment which deferred RH/Large Package Commercial Repack, T-Plant Repack line, WRAP Repack, and TRU Characterization and Shipping to accommodate layup activities in preparation for FY12 funding levels, coupled with TRU Retrieval accelerated Point of Generation (POG) commercial processing; partially offset by the delay in receipt of M-91-42 feed from TRU Retrieval. For RL-11.R.1 continued inefficiencies in completing D&D of 234-5Z process and lab areas will increase the cost at completion for this work scope. For RL-30.R1.1 the positive SV is the result of managing the primary contractor to an accelerated completion date. For RL-30.R1.2 there are no impacts as the variance is minimal. For RL-40.R1.1 D&D of U-plant Cell 30 is impacted by holdup material being greater than anticipated (realized risk) causing project re-evaluation and no progress being made; insulator shortage for asbestos abatement is slowing down completion; more soil contamination than expected (realized risk) and extensive regulatory reviews (realized risk) are delaying waste site remediation completion. For RL-40.R1.2 remediation of O-Zone sites, completion of the intentionally delayed waste sites will not be achieved due to placing priority on footprint reduction.

CTD Cost: For RL-40.R1.1, RL-40.R.1.2 and RL-41.R1.1 there is overall positive cost impact due to project efficiencies. There is no impact to cost for all other subprojects, except RL-13C.R1.2, which has increased material and labor costs in support of the Trench Face Retrieval and Characterization System (TFRCS), coupled with increased support and management costs for CH TRU retrieval issues associated with significantly deteriorated containers. For RL-30.R1.1 the recovery of the variance will continue to be monitored. For RL-30.R1.2 efficiencies in well drilling activities (NR-2 & HR-3) as well as multi-incremental sampling, borehole drilling, and landfill characterization activities have resulted in additional favorable cost variances. For RL-11.R1 an under-run at completion is forecast.

Corrective Action:

Current Period Schedule: For RL-11.R.1 schedule impacts to the 234-5Z process area critical path continue to be a concern in relation to timely completion of the Key Performance Parameter (KPP) on December 31, 2011. For RL-40.R1.1 and RL-41.R1.1 the current period schedule corrective actions are the same as CTD schedule corrective actions (see below). For RL-40.R1.2 O-Zone waste sites, there is no corrective action required. For RL-30.R1.1 no corrective actions required. For RL-30.R1.2 no corrective actions required. For RL-13C.R1.1 MLLW, no corrective actions required.

Current Period Cost: For RL-11.R1 no corrections are planned. For RL-30.R1.1 no corrective action required. For RL-30.R1.2 no corrective action required. For RL-41.R1.1 current period cost corrective actions are the same as the CTD cost corrective actions (see below). For RL-40.R1.1 U-Plant current cost variances can be covered by efficiencies in other D&D areas. For RL-40.R1.2 O-Zone Waste Site there is no required corrective action for the current period cost variance.

CTD Schedule: RL-41.R1.1 has implemented a baseline change request (BCR) to address additional soil contamination (realized risk). Schedule recovery actions are being evaluated to recover the D&D structure demolition and waste site remediation schedule activities where they can to offset where other demolition and remediation activities have been delayed. For RL13C.R1.2 no corrective action required. For RL-11.R1 work that does not support the KPP has been canceled or deferred to out-years and the schedule impact will be addressed in an upcoming life-cycle change request. For RL-40.R1.2 O-Zone waste sites the schedule variance will be accepted in order to achieve the footprint reduction goals. For RL-40.R.1.1 D&D structure demolition activities are being accelerated where they can to offset where other demolition activities are delayed. For RL-30.R1.1 no corrective action required. For RL30.R1.2 no corrective action required.

CTD Cost: For RL-40.R1.2 no corrective actions are required. For RL-13C.R1.1 the favorable cost variance is expected to continue. For RL-30.R1.1 the 200W P&T cost variance is being evaluated and monitored. For RL-30.R1.2 efficiencies in well drilling activities (NR-2 & HR-3) as well as multi-incremental sampling, borehole drilling, and landfill characterization activities will remain requiring no corrective action at this time. For RL-11.R1 costs associated with completing deferred work scope will be addressed in an upcoming life-cycle BCR. For RL-13C.R1.2, RL-40.R1.1 and RL-41.R1.1 no corrective actions are required at this time.

Monthly Summary: (to include technical causes of VARs, Impacts, and Corrective Action(s):

All ARRA Subproject's cumulative to date cost and schedule variances are within reporting thresholds except for RL-13C.R1.1 MLLW Treatment and RL- 40.R1.2 Outer Zone D&D which have a positive cost variance above thresholds. Overall, the current period schedule and cost variances are mixed between favorable and unfavorable performance and the cumulative to date schedule variance decreased with use of overtime and deferral of work-scope to FY 2012, however the unfavorable cost variance trend continues to be reversed. The current period cost variance is skewed by $11.5M due to transfer of work from base but the cost transfers were not made in August. RL-11.R.1 PFP D&D, monthly

unfavorable schedule and cost variances will continue until the a baseline change request planned for September revises the baseline for D&D of process and lab areas and getting Z/ZB Complex ready for demolition. RL-13C.R1.1 MLLW Treatment unfavorable cumulative to date schedule variance continued to be reduced this month with completion of M-91-43 MLLW shipments and returns in August and should continue to decrease for the remainder of the year as progress is made on M-91-42 MLLW treatment. RL-13C.R1.2 TRU Waste reversed the unfavorable cumulative to date schedule variance by a baseline adjustment which deferred RH/Large Package Commercial Repack, T-Plant Repack line, WRAP Repack, and TRU Characterization and Shipping to accommodate layup activities in preparation for FY12 funding levels, coupled with TRU Retrieval accelerated Point of Generation (POG) commercial processing. The current period and cumulative favorable cost variance is skewed by $6.8M due to the failure to make cost transfers from Base for the Central Waste Complex. RL-30.R1.1 Cleanup Operations cumulative to date favorable schedule variance continues to decrease as the ZP-1 Pump and Treat construction nears completion. RL-30.R1.2 Well Drilling Operations cumulative to date schedule variance continues to improve and there continues to be a favorable cumulative cost variance although it continues to erode this year. RL-40 R1.1 U Plant/Other D&D unfavorable cumulative to date schedule variance was reduced slightly this month with the favorable cost variance slightly eroding due to current month cost and schedule variances resulting from reduced work schedule due to heat stress and increase effort required for the mock up for the 209E Stimulus-Semi Works Zone project. RL- 40.R1.2 Outer Zone D&D unfavorable current month schedule variance results from delaying RTD Waste Sites and pipelines and performance taken in prior months for disposition of rail cars and the favorable cumulative cost variance continue to increase mainly from pass-backs from ERDF. RL-41.R1.1 100K Area Remediation unfavorable cumulative schedule variance was significantly reduced by moving work to FY2012 but the large favorable current period cost variance is skewed by $4.7M due to the failure to make cost transfers from Base.

Contractually Required Cost, Schedule, EAC variance, Management Reserve Use

Variance in Performance BAC and EAC: The variance at complete (VAC) between the BAC and EAC this month is positive $55.1 million and 4.1%. This variance is within threshold for the Project. For information, the VAC threshold limit is +or- 5% and +or- $15 million.

Use of Management Reserve: Management reserve, in the amount $1.5 million, was used in August 2011, as documented in change request BCR-PRC-11-040R0. Specifically, $1.5 million in PBS RL-0041 was used to cover realized risks associated with100-K Group 1 Structures Remediation of 1706KER Stimulus and 1706KE Structure. Overall, management reserve in August 2011 is decreased from $16.0 million to $14.5 million.

Best/Worst/Most Likely Estimate: The Best EAC is the EAC reported this month, which assumes all efficiencies gained contract-to-date will remain at completion with no use of management reserve. The most likely EAC is the EAC reported this month plus the to-go (available) management reserve, which assumes all efficiencies gained contract-to-date will remain at completion but all available management reserve is used (e.g., all identified risks realized). The worst EAC is the BAC reported this month plus the to-go (available) management reserve, which assumes all efficiencies gained contract-to-date will be eroded at completion and all available management reserve is used (e.g., all identified risks realized). The Best/Worst and Most Likely EAC values are documented in the Format 1 Report.

Prepared by:	**Date:**	**Approved by:**	**Date:**
Schilling, Bert	9/26/11		

(1) = Trench Face Process System; (2) = Trench Face Retrieval & Characterization System; (3) = Remove, Treat and Dispose; (4) = Confirmatory Sampling/No Action; (5) Project Specific Distributables Rewards & Recognition Program; (6) Defense Contract Audit Agency