














A large background image showing a wide lake with a line of white cherry blossom trees along the far shore under a cloudy sky. A small boat is visible on the water.

National Water Program Guidance

Appendix: FY 2013 Final Performance Measure Commitments



Table of Contents

Abstract and Introduction	iii		8) Chesapeake Bay	16
 1) Water Safe to Drink	1		9) Gulf of Mexico	17
 2) Safe Fish and Shellfish	3		10) Long Island Sound	18
 3) Safe Swimming	4		11) Puget Sound	18
 4) Water Quality	5		12) U.S.-Mexico Border	19
 5) Oceans/Coastal	11		13) Pacific Islands	19
 6) Wetlands	12		14) South Florida	20
 7) Great Lakes	14		15) Columbia River	21

ABSTRACT

In April of 2012, the National Water Program published guidance describing strategies for meeting the water related goals established in the FY 2011-2015 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Strategic Plan* and defining the measures to be used to assess progress in meeting the goals in the *Strategic Plan* in FY 2013. The National Water Program measures are in Goal 2, Protecting America's Waters, of the *FY 2011-2015 Strategic Plan*, published on September 30, 2010.

Some of these measures included "targets," or increments of progress, that might be accomplished under the measure in FY 2013. The *National Water Program Guidance* (NWPG), published in April 2012, described a process for EPA regions to work with states and tribes over the summer of 2012 to refine these "targets" into more formal "commitments" and to reflect these commitments in FY 2013 state and tribal performance partnership agreements or other grant agreements and work plans.

This supplemental Appendix to the final FY 2013 NWPG, includes measures with targets that will be used to judge the progress of the National Water Program in FY 2013, at both the national and EPA regional levels, and identifies the final "commitments" for progress that were agreed upon as a result of the recent collaborative process among EPA, states, and tribes. Indicator measures, measures without targets/commitments, are also included in this supplemental Appendix with updated baselines and universes, where applicable. Since this Appendix is a supplement to the FY 2013 NWPG, it is not intended to be a stand alone document.

INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW – EPA *Strategic Plan*

The NWPG is based on the five-year EPA *Strategic Plan*. The *Strategic Plan* focuses on a limited number of areas where the Agency believes new or significant changes in strategies or performance measurement are most critical in helping EPA to better achieve and measure environmental and human health outcomes. Implementing the *Strategic Plan* has required adjustment to the structure of the goals, objectives, subobjectives, and measures. All water programs are contained within Goal 2 of the new *Strategic Plan*. Two objectives in Goal 2 define specific environmental or public health results related to water that are to be accomplished by 2015. These objectives are organized into fifteen subobjectives in the National Water Program. EPA Region 8, the Lead Region for Water, provides overall regional coordination. The complete text of the EPA *Strategic Plans* can be found on EPA's [website](http://water.epa.gov/resource_performance/planning/index.cfm).¹

¹ http://water.epa.gov/resource_performance/planning/index.cfm

Table I - National Water Program Subobjectives

Subobjective	Lead National/Regional EPA Office or Program
1) Water Safe to Drink	Office of Groundwater and Drinking Water (OGWDW)
2) Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat	Office of Science and Technology (OST)
3) Water Safe for Swimming	Office of Science and Technology (OST)
4) Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
5) Improve Coastal and Ocean Waters	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
6) Increase Wetlands	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
7) Improve the Health of the Great Lakes	Great Lakes National Program Office (GLNPO)
8) Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem	Chesapeake Bay Program Office (CBPO)
9) Restore and Protect the Gulf of Mexico	Gulf of Mexico Program Office (GMPO)
10) Restore and Protect Long Island Sound	Long Island Sound Program Office (LISPO)
11) Restore and Protect the Puget Sound Basin	Region 10 / Puget Sound Program
12) Sustain & Restore the U.S.-Mexico Border Environmental Health	Office of Wastewater Management (OWM)
13) Sustain & Restore Pacific Island Territories	Region 9 / Pacific Islands Office
14) Restore and Protect the South Florida Ecosystem	Region 4 / South Florida Office
15) Restore and Protect the Columbia River Basin	Region 10 / Columbia River Program

NATIONAL WATER PROGRAM – FY 2013 GUIDANCE

In April 2012, the National Water Program published guidance that described the program strategies to be used to implement the *2011-2015 Strategic Plan* in FY 2013, including specific measures to be used to assess program implementation. The NWPG contains five major components: program strategies; measures of progress; description of the management system; links to program grants; and focus areas (e.g. environmental justice, children’s health, urban waters, climate change). It can be found on EPA’s [website](#).²

Measures of Progress

The FY 2013 NWPG includes all measures related to water programs, including the environmental/public health measures stated in the EPA *Strategic Plan* (i.e. strategic targets) and the measures of activity in a range of program areas that support each subobjective (i.e. “Program Activity Measures” or “PAMs”). PAMs address annual activities to be implemented by EPA headquarters, EPA regional offices, or by states/tribes that administer national programs. They are the basis for monitoring progress in implementing programs to accomplish the environmental improvements described in the *Strategic Plan*. Some PAMs include management targets for FY 2013. For these measures, the NWPG provided FY 2013 performance commitment for each EPA region and/or for the country as a whole. Other PAMs – indicator measures – do not include targets/commitments and simply report progress achieved. This supplemental *Appendix* includes performance measures that have final commitments to targets provided in the FY 2013 NWPG and indicator measures.

During FY 2013, the Office of Water will track progress in program implementation and improve program performance based on evaluation feedback (see Section V of the FY 2013 NWPG on page 61). This supplemental Appendix supports program implementation and assessment by providing a summary of final commitments to performance measures, where applicable, from the FY 2013 NWPG. For tracking progress, prior years’ commitments and end-of-year results are provided in shaded rows below each measure featured in this Appendix. The combination of this supplemental Appendix and the FY 2013 NWPG contain the complete set of measures, including those with targets/commitments and indicator measures, and strategies for the National Water Program. These two documents provide the comprehensive basis for assessing progress in FY 2013.

Description of the Program Management System

EPA regional offices, states, and tribes worked during the spring and summer of 2012 to further define regional and state priorities and develop state and regional “commitments” based on the “targets” in the FY 2013 NWPG. The process of tracking measures and commitments is supported by the EPA Annual Commitment System (ACS). The ACS provides a forum for EPA regions and national

² http://water.epa.gov/resource_performance/planning/index.cfm

program offices to exchange “bids” on commitments and reach agreement on final commitments. Unless indicated otherwise, commitments and results presented in this Appendix reflect data from the ACS.

Information on Program Grants to States and Tribes

EPA provides a wide range of grant funding to states, tribes, and others to implement clean water and drinking water programs and projects. The Office of Water places a high priority on effective grants management, and the FY 2013 NWPG provides directions for FY 2013 for most grants and emphasizes linking grants to the achievement of environmental results as laid out in the *Strategic Plan*.

A key step in assuring a strong linkage between grants and environmental results is inclusion of measure reporting and commitments in state performance partnership agreements and state and tribal grant agreements or related work plans. More information about grants management is available in Section V of the FY 2013 NWPG. A table of key water grant programs with applicable FY 2013 grant guidance can be found on EPA’s [website](#).³

Key to Measure Groups in the FY 2013 Final Commitments Table

Measure Type	Key	Definition
ARRA measure	ARRA	Measures used to report on Recovery Act activities
OMB Program Assessment measure	OMB PA	Measure from OMB program assessments
Budget measure	BUD	Measure with FY 2012 target in 4-year table in FY 2013 OMB Submission*
State Grant measure	SG	Measure reported in selected state grant programs
Strategic Plan measure	SP	Measures in the FY 2011-2015 Strategic Plan
Key Performance Indicator	KPI	Measures reported to the Deputy Administrator during the fiscal year
Indicator measure	I	Measures reported annually, but without targets/commitments

* Budget Targets are subject to change in the FY 2014 Congressional Justification budget process.

NOTE: FY 2012 End-of-Year results are from ACS as of the end of December 2012 with limited exceptions.

³ http://water.epa.gov/resource_performance/planning/FY-2013-National-Water-Program-Guidance.cfm

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
Subobjective 2.1.1 Water Safe to Drink																	
SDW-211	Percent of the population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards through approaches including effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG ARRA	92%	92%	89.8%	89%	80%	90%	92%	94%	85%	80%	91%	95%	92%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			94.7%	94.7%	94%	90%	92%	96%	97%	92%	94%	94%	98%	98%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			91%	89.4%	89%	78%	90%	92%	94%	85%	80%	91%	95%	91%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			93.2%	93.2%	91%	84%	89%	96%	96%	91%	92%	94%	97%	97%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			91.4%	91.4%	91.3%	82.4%	96.6%	94.2%	93.2%	90.3%	81.6%	93.2%	96%	92.2%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			89%	89%	92.5%	55.3%	93.2%	93%	94.1%	87.8%	91.2%	94.7%	94.6%	94.8%		
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE (in millions)			300.5	300.5	15.1	31.6	25.7	58.9	43.3	38.5	12.3	10.8	52.5	11.8		
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe represents the population served by community water systems. The National commitment for FY13 is higher than the regional aggregate commitment to be consistent with the FY13 budget target.															
SDW-SP1.N11	Percent of community water systems that meet all applicable health-based standards through approaches that include effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG SP	90%	90%	88.2%	83%	85%	91%	90%	93%	85%	85%	90%	88%	88%		90%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			91%	91%	90%	88%	92%	95%	95%	89%	88%	89%	89%	92%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	87.8%	83%	83%	87%	90.5%	93%	85%	85%	90%	88%	88%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			90.7%	90.7%	85%	87%	93%	94%	94%	90%	88%	90%	88%	91%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			89.6%	89.6%	84.8%	85%	91%	91.7%	93.9%	88.8%	87.2%	89.4%	87.8%	89.6%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			89%	89%	85.7%	86.4%	91.8%	91%	92%	86.2%	86.8%	90.3%	91.6%	87.3%		
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE			51,877	51,877	2,811	3,634	4,460	8,818	7,339	8,315	4,102	3,317	4,639	4,442		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08.															
SDW-SP2	Percent of "person months" (i.e. all persons served by community water systems times 12 months) during which community water systems provide drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	OMB PA BUD KPI	95%	95%	94.5%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	94%	90%	95%	98%	95%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			97.8%	97.8%	98%	95%	97%	98%	99%	97%	98%	98%	99%	99%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			95%	94.1%	94%	90%	91%	95%	96%	94%	90%	95%	98%	95%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			97.4%	97.4%	97%	95%	96%	98%	96%	94%	97%	97%	99%	99%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			96.7%	96.7%	98%	93.5%	91%	98.3%	96.6%	96.6%	96.9%	98%	98.6%	98.4%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			97%	97%	96%	92%	99%	98%	96%	97%	98%	99%	97%	98%		
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE			3,606	3,606	181.2	379.2	308.4	706.8	519.6	462.0	147.6	129.6	630.0	141.6		
	National Program Manager Comments	Indicator measure in FY07.															
SDW-SP3.N11	Percent of the population in Indian country served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	BUD KPI SP	87%	87%	79.4%	90%	95%	n/a	90%	98%	78%	80%	87%	70%	87%		88%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			84%	84%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	97%	92%	83%	86%	74%	90%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			87%	79.9%	90%	90%	n/a	90%	98%	78%	80%	87%	70%	87%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			81.2%	81.2%	100%	50%	n/a	97%	99%	87%	87%	86%	70%	87%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			87.2%	87.2%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	97.1%	89.9%	83.3%	90%	80%	85.5%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			86%	86%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	99.5%	90.4%	86.5%	82.6%	80.9%	88.1%		
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE			988,135	988,135	90,594	11,071	n/a	24,935	120,339	79,167	5,394	110,305	494,304	52,026		
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe represents the population in Indian country served by community water systems.															
SDW-SP4a	Percent of community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	OMB PA BUD	LT	45%	40.1%	66%	61%	40%	56%	39%	40%	8%	39%	10%	40%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			43.3%	41.3%	84%	61%	35%	55%	41.1%	43%	8%	38.3%	10%	44%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			40%	39.2%	66%	61%	33%	53%	39%	40%	9%	39%	10%	40%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			40.2%	40.2%	66.3%	61%	35%	52%	40%	40.9%	12%	45%	9%	42%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			37%	37%	65.8%	61%	29%	38%	38.8%	40%	9%	38.6%	8%	40%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			20%	20%	51%	30%	12%	21%	19%	19%	13%	20%	1%	28%		
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE			51,721	51,721	2,811	3,634	4,460	8,818	7,183	8,315	4,102	3,317	4,639	4,442		
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe is the number of community water systems.															
SDW-SP4b	Percent of the population served by community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	SG		57%	55.2%	96%	80%	69%	59%	64%	60%	20%	37%	13%	80%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			55.9%	55.2%	97%	84%	63%	58%	68.7%	63%	20%	38.5%	12%	81%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			57%	55.1%	96%	80%	63%	56%	64%	62%	20%	54%	12%	80%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			55.2%	55.2%	95.9%	80%	67%	55%	66%	62.9%	23%	40%	12%	84%		

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			52%	52%	95.7%	80%	63%	46%	62%	63%	22%	51.8%	11%	85%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			n/a	n/a												
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE (in millions)			300.5	300.5	15.1	31.6	25.7	58.9	43.3	38.5	12.3	10.8	52.5	11.8		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08. Note: "Minimized risk" is achieved by the substantial implementation, as determined by the state, of actions in a source water protection strategy. The universe is the most recent SDWIS inventory of community water systems.															
SDW-18.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to safe drinking water in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	119,000												119,000	136,100
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			104,266													104,266
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			110,000													110,000
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			97,311													97,311
	FY 2009 BASELINE			80,900													80,900
	UNIVERSE			360,000													360,000
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY11, to supplement SDW-SP5 in the NWPG and replace it in the new Strategic Plan.															
SDW-01a	Percent of community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rules.	OMB PA BUD SG	95%	95%	87.2%	90%	95%	93%	93%	93%	91%	87%	90%	70%	70%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			89%	89%	98%	86%	95%	93.1%	91.4%	93%	95%	98.4%	70.9%	64.3%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			95%	88%	90%	95%	91%	90%	93%	93%	87%	90%	70%	75%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			91.6%	91.6%	96.7%	96%	95.8%	96.3%	94.7%	93.6%	90%	97.9%	70%	71%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%	87%	99%	95%	93.7%	90%	95.5%	78%	94%	92%	68%	64%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			n/a													
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE			11,520	11,520	487	1,000	1,264	1,848	1,374	2,306	776	916	941	608		
	National Program Manager Comments	Prior to FY07, this measure tracked states, rather than CWSs, in compliance with this regulation.															
SDW-01b	Number of tribal community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rule.			79	79	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	30	8		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			84	84	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	27	28	12		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			76	76	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	27	8		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			74	74	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	24	22	11		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			63	63	2	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	15	25	8		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			22	22	n/a	1	n/a	1	2	1	1	0	9	7		
	FY 2012 UNIVERSE			86	86	2	2	n/a	2	2	8	1	29	28	12		
	National Program Manager Comments	A sanitary survey is an on-site review of the water sources, facilities, equipment, operation, and maintenance of a public water system for the purpose of evaluating the adequacy of the facilities for producing and distributing safe drinking water.															
SDW-04	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	89%	89%	88.5%	90%	90%	89%	85%	95%	81%	85%	88%	87%	95%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			89.7%	90.5%	95%	92%	96%	85%	88%	82%	86%	86%	92%	103%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	90%	90%	90%	86%	90%	95%	85%	85%	90%	86%	98%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			90%	90%	92%	94%	96%	88%	87.1%	87%	85%	89%	87%	101%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			91.3%	91.3%	99.1%	98%	102%	90%	93.2%	99%	109%	91.9%	85%	104.6%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			84.7%	84.7%	78.5%	93%	83.3%	88%	87%	64.5%	91%	84%	80%	94.3%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007, in millions)			\$14,419.7	\$14,419.7	\$1,378.1	\$2,686.4	\$832.3	\$1,527.6	\$2,812.2	\$1,283.7	\$978.8	\$1,006.8	\$1,321.7	\$592.1		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe represents the funds available for projects for the DWSRF through 2007, in millions of dollars (i.e., the denominator of the measure).															
SDW-05	Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative)	OMB PA ARRA		6,976	6,623	820	435	595	765	1,443	262	633	760	360	550		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,690	6,721	924	453	643	800	1,346	254	624	814	363	500		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			6,080	6,074	795	422	530	625	1,140	254	608	740	360	600		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,076	6,076	799	448	575	714	1,250	227	583	726	308	446		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,236	5,236	735	410	500	599	1,066	192	480	591	261	402		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			2,611	2,611	320	311	261	369	557	59	229	242	123	140		

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
SDW-07	Percent of Classes I, II and Class III salt solution mining wells that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG	90%	85%	87%	n/a	90%	60%	75%	66%	90%	75%	80%	60%	75%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			85%	85%	n/a	90%	61%	92%	80%	90%	81%	90%	53%	67%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	84%	n/a	90%	70%	75%	57%	90%	75%	80%	90%	75%		
	FY 2010 UNIVERSE			2,512													
	National Program Manager Comments	Combined the 3 classes of mechanical integrity measures into one measure SDW-07a. The denominator for the number of wells with mechanical integrity losses is very small. Typically, Class I, II and III wells are deep wells and there are many more Class II wells that lose mechanical integrity relative to Classes I and III wells (2,800 compared to 8 for Class I and 7 for Class III). The revised measure should improve the numbers in the denominator of the measure.															
SDW-08	Number of Class V motor vehicle waste disposal wells (MVWDW) and large capacity cesspools (LCC) that are closed or permitted (cumulative).	OMB PA BUD	24,327	24,327	25,386	2,320	700	4,265	110	4,322	273	175	2,371	3,650	7,200		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			25,225	25,225	2,314	730	4,215	109	4,317	272	175	2,331	3,560	7,202		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			22,650	22,650	1,309	430	3,700	108	4,110	272	175	2,346	3,000	7,200		
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure revised for FY12. The measure includes all the wells covered by the EPA 1999 Class V Rule reporting on closed or permitted MVWDW wells. In addition, it allows for reporting on additional types of high priority wells including, at minimum, Large Capacity Cess (LCC) Pools. Reporting in percentages will not provide good information on progress in closing or permitting the MVWD wells.															
SDW-11	Percent of DWSRF projects awarded to small PWS serving <500, 501-3,300, and 3,301-10,000 consumers.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			71%	70%	65%	66%	77%	58%	72%	59%	83%	82%	66%	76%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			71%		65%	68%	78%	58%	71%	58%	83%	82%	65%	77%		
	FY 2009 BASELINE			72%		72%	75%	70%	30%	72%	76%	80%	87%	81%	80%		
	UNIVERSE			698		138	44	56	43	126	33	70	87	26	75		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-15	Number and percent of small CWS and NTCWS (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) with repeat health based Nitrate/Nitrite, Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR violations.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,230	1,260	85	158	98	130	83	271	143	54	148	90		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,337		112	184	109	127	85	243	172	71	133	101		
	FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS & NTCWS <10,000 w/ repeat Health-Based Viols)			1,904		164	208	113	218	102	394	288	91	154	172		
	UNIVERSE (CWS & NTCWS<10,000)			66,156		4,478	5,189	6,751	9,840	11,261	9,082	4,562	3,690	5,877	5,426		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-17	Number and percent of schools and childcare centers that meet all health-based drinking water standards.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,991	6,991	995	680	1,164	623	1,858	327	189	229	519	407		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			93%	91.2%	87%	92%	95%	86%	93%	95%	85%	96%	90%	93%		
	FY 2009 BASELINE			7,114		1,017	708	1,188	647	1,872	334	195	236	505	412		
	UNIVERSE			92%		89%	95%	92%	92%	94%	93%	89%	93%	89%	92%		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-19a	Volume of CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			40,380.12													40,380
	UNIVERSE			TBD													TBD
SDW-19b	Number of permit decisions during the reporting period that result in CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			0													0
	UNIVERSE			TBD													TBD
Subobjective 2.1.2 Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat																	
FS-SP6.N11	Percent of women of childbearing age having mercury levels in blood above the level of concern.	BUD SP	4.9%	2.5%												2.5%	4.6%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.3%												2.3%	

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			4.9%													4.9%
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2005 BASELINE			5.7%													5.7%
	National Program Manager Comments	Updated data are available from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention approximately every two years.															
FS-1a	Percent of river miles where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			36%													36%
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2005 BASELINE			24%													24%
	UNIVERSE			100%													100%
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY11 EOY result is based on data from 2009-2010.															
FS-1b	Percent of lake acres where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			42%													42%
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a
	FY 2005 BASELINE			35% (14M)													35%
	UNIVERSE			100% (40M)													100%
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY11 EOY result is based on data from 2009-2010.															
Subjective 2.1.3 Water Safe for Swimming																	
SS-SP9.N11	Percent of days of the beach season that coastal and Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	SG SP		95%	92.5%	98%	95%	95%	92%	90%	85%	n/a	n/a	90%	95%		95%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			95.2%	95%	98%	97%	98.5%	98.3%	93.5%	90%	n/a	n/a	92.7%	93%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			95%	92%	98%	95%	95%	92%	88%	80%	n/a	n/a	90%	95%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			96%	96%	97.7%	98%	97.3%	97.7%	92%	91%	n/a	n/a	93%	99%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			95%	95%	97.2%	97%	98.2%	97.7%	94%	91%	n/a	n/a	93.1%	95%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			96%	96%	98%	97.2%	98.5%	96.3%	95.5%	93%	n/a	n/a	95.3%	92.8%		
	UNIVERSE			752,683	752,683	86,226	90,834	17,861	184,609	50,064	28,146	n/a	n/a	282,149	12,794		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe changes annually. Universe equals the total number of beach season days associated with the swimming seasons of monitored beaches.															
SS-1	Number and national percent, using a constant denominator, of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) permits with a schedule incorporated into an appropriate enforceable mechanism, including a permit or enforcement order, with specific dates and milestones, including a completion date consistent with Agency guidance, which requires: 1) Implementation of a Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which will result in compliance with the technology and water quality-based requirements of the Clean Water Act; or 2) implementation of any other acceptable CSO control measures consistent with the 1994 CSO Control Policy; or 3) completion of separation after the baseline date. (cumulative)			785	785	76	75	228	18	345	n/a	24	1	3	15		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			748 (88%)	748	76	74	226	18	312	n/a	23	1	3	15		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			752 (88%)	752	76	74	227	18	315	n/a	23	1	3	15		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			734 (86%)	734	76	72	224	18	305	n/a	20	1	3	15		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			724 (85%)	724	76	70	221	17	303	n/a	18	1	3	15		
	FY 2008 BASELINE			536 (63%)	536 (63%)	75(91%)	51(48%)	175(74%)	9(38%)	200(55%)	n/a	7(29%)	1(100%)	3(100%)	15(100%)		
	UNIVERSE			853	853	82	106	236	24	362	n/a	24	1	3	15		
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure revised for FY08. Beginning in FY08, OECA and OWM agreed on common language and data collection procedures to streamline this measure. While the definition is slightly different for OWM, the past data is still valid for comparison with future data. We have included a revised baseline to demonstrate the real progress for FY08. While national numbers are fairly stable, the Regional baselines did change.															
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program.	SG		96.8%	96.8%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94.5%	100%	n/a	n/a	85%	95%		

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			95%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			99.1%	99.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	93%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			96.5%	96.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	n/a	n/a	100%	80%		
	FY 2010 UNIVERSE			2,171	2,171	130	394	84	472	354	77	n/a	n/a	586	74		
	National Program Manager Comments	States may change their designation of beaches at any time. Therefore, these numbers may change from year to year. Universe equals the total number of Tier 1 beaches.															
Subjective 2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis																	
WQ-SP10.N11	Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards are now fully attained. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG, KPI ARRA, SP	3,524	3,608	3,608	156	176	600	526	736	220	441	371	124	258		3,360
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,527	3,527	144	176	583	516	736	206	434	371	109	252		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			3,324	3,324	140	171	575	514	665	200	383	314	109	253		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,119	3,119	117	127	557	504	646	190	353	270	105	250		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,909	2,909	101	126	544	495	630	182	295	270	72	194		
	FY 2002 UNIVERSE			39,503	39,503	6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408		
	National Program Manager Comments	This measure differs from previous Measure L, since WQ-SP10.N11 uses an updated 2002 baseline. Note: 2000-2002 results equal 1,980 waters – not included above.															
WQ-SP11	Remove the specific causes of waterbody impairment identified by states in 2002. (cumulative)	BUD	10,711	11,473	11,473	465	577	2,010	1,210	3,205	625	1,357	793	703	528		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			11,134	11,134	434	569	1,903	1,160	3,170	604	1,327	793	653	521		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			10,161	10,161	420	554	1,835	1,160	3,205	615	623	607	619	523		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,527	9,527	369	456	1,814	1,110	2,973	595	550	541	600	519		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			8,446	8,446	320	453	1,703	1,018	2,796	412	340	529	419	456		
	UNIVERSE			69,677	69,677	8,826	2,567	13,958	9,374	10,155	3,005	4,391	3,502	2,742	11,157		
	National Program Manager Comments	The EPA will review the FY13 budget target when preparing the FY14 Congressional Justification.															
WQ-SP12.N11	Improve water quality conditions in impaired watersheds nationwide using the watershed approach. (cumulative)	BUD SP	352	370	370	9	25	21	62	35	57	12	43	31	75		330
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			332	332	8	24	20	56	30	49	11	39	26	69		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			312	312	8	24	20	56	30	45	8	37	30	54		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			271	271	6	23	18	48	23	38	7	31	28	49		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			168	168	5	22	16	40	20	17	5	20	15	8		
	UNIVERSE			4,767	4,767	246	300	300	2,000	378	213	169	684	27	450		
	National Program Manager Comments	The EPA will review the FY13 budget target when preparing the FY14 Congressional Justification.															
WQ-SP13.N11	Ensure that the condition of the Nation's streams does not degrade (i.e., there is no statistically significant increase in the percent of streams rated "poor" and no statistically significant decrease in the streams rated "good").	OMB PA SP	LT	Deferred for FY 2013												Deferred for FY 2013	Maintain or improve stream conditions
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			CY 2013												CY 2013	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Maintain or improve stream conditions												Maintain or improve	
	FY 2006 BASELINE			28% good; 25% fair; 42% poor												28%; 25%; 42%	
	National Program Manager Comments	In FY15, EPA will be reporting on the Lakes Survey.															
WQ-SP14a.N11	Improve water quality in Indian country at baseline monitoring stations in tribal waters (i.e., show improvement in one or more of seven key parameters: dissolved oxygen, pH, water temperature, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, pathogen indicators, and turbidity). (cumulative)	SP OMB PA BUD	LT	20	20	1	n/a	n/a	1	3	1	1	2	8	3		50
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			15	15	1	n/a	n/a	1	3	1	n/a	2	5	2		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			13	13	1	n/a	n/a	1	2	1	n/a	2	4	2		
	UNIVERSE			1,729	1,729	160	14	n/a	37	729	68	150	100	203	268		
				185	185	14	n/a	n/a	2	44	1	4	10	43	67		

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe includes two numbers: 1,729 -- the total number of monitoring stations identified by tribes that are planned for sampling (for one or more of seven key parameters) at times during the FY12-15 period; 185 -- the number or monitoring stations (out of the 1,729) that are located on waters that have a potential for improvement in one or more of seven key parameters. The EPA is targeting 50 of the 185 monitoring locations to show improvement by 2015; thus the National commitment of 20 is out of 50.															
WQ-SP14b.N11	Identify monitoring stations on tribal lands that are showing no degradation in water quality (meaning the waters are meeting uses). (cumulative)	SP I		Indicator													Indicator
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			7		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5		
	UNIVERSE			1,729		160	14	n/a	37	729	68	150	100	203	268		
				261		14	n/a	76	2	44	1	4	10	43	67		
WQ-24.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to basic sanitation in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	67,600												67,600	67,900
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			63,087												63,087	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			62,300												62,300	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			56,875												56,875	
	FY 2009 BASELINE			43,600												43,600	
	UNIVERSE			360,000												360,000	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY13.															
WQ-01a	Number of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and for total phosphorus adopted by states and territories and approved by EPA, or promulgated by EPA, for all waters within the state or territory for each of the following waterbody types: lakes/reservoirs, rivers/streams, and estuaries (cumulative, out of a universe of 280).	SG		42	42	1	7	5	4	3	0	0	0	22	n/a		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			42	42	1	7	5	4	3	0	0	0	22	n/a		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			41	41	1	7	4	4	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	n/a		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			45	45	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	0	n/a	22	n/a		
	FY 2010 BASELINE			31	31	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	0		
	UNIVERSE			280	280	34	20	34	44	24	24	16	24	38	22		
	National Program Manager Comments	Some of the 2012 results may not fully qualify and are under review. Needed adjustments are being made in 2013.															
WQ-26	Number of states and territories implementing nutrient reduction strategies by (1) setting priorities on a watershed or state-wide basis, (2) establishing nutrient reduction targets, and (3) continuing to make progress (and provide performance milestone information to EPA) on adoption of numeric nutrient criteria for at least one class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative)	SG		22.66	22.66	4.83	1.0	4.5	2.0	3.0	1.33	0.67	0.33	4.33	0.67		
	FY 2012 BASELINE			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY13.															
WQ-02	Number of tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative)			40	40	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	11		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			39	39	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	10		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			39	39	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	10		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			38	38	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	2	8	10		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			37	37	n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	2	8	10		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			26	26	0	0	n/a	2	2	9	0	2	3	8		
	FY 2013 UNIVERSE			60	60	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	11	n/a	6	21	14		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe reflects all federally recognized Tribes who have applied for "treatment in the same manner as a state" (TAS) to administer the water quality standards program (as of September 2007).															
WQ-03a	Number, and national percent, of states and territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.	OMB PA BUD SG	64.3%	36	35	1	1	6	6	4	4	3	4	3	3		
				64.3%	63%												
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			39	39	2	3	6	5	4	5	3	5	3	3		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			38	38	2	3	6	5	4	4	3	5	3	3		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			39	39	2	3	5	5	6	4	3	5	4	2		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			38	38	2	3	3	8	6	4	3	5	3	1		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			37	37	4	1	4	7	4	4	2	4	4	3		
	UNIVERSE			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
National Program Manager Comments		FY05 baseline are end of year results from the WATA database.															
WQ-03b	Number, and national percent of tribes that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.			13	13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	n/a	n/a	1	3	3		
				34%	34%												
FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT				14 (38%)	14	n/a	1	n/a	1	3	1	n/a	2	3	3		
FY 2012 COMMITMENT				14 (38%)	14	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	1	3	3		
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				13	13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	0	4	2		
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT				16	16	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	3	n/a	0	6	2		
FY 2005 BASELINE				12 (40%)	12	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1	5	0	2	0	3		
FY 2013 UNIVERSE				38	38	0	1	n/a	2	5	10	0	3	8	9		
National Program Manager Comments		The universe for FY11 and FY12 percentages for WQ-3b is the number of authorized tribes that have at least initial EPA approved water quality standards as of September 2010.															
WQ-04a	Percentage of submissions of new or revised water quality standards from states and territories that are approved by EPA.	OMB PA BUD	87%	87%	72.1%	75%	n/a	n/a	87%	70%	75%	50%	79%	75%	66%		
FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT				88.9%	88.9%	100%	75%	97%	87.5%	96%	96.3%	50%	100%	86.4%	80%		
FY 2012 COMMITMENT				85%	85%	75%	75%	75%	87%	85%	75%	50%	79%	75%	66%		
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				91%	91%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	76%	63.1%	91.5%	100%	100%		
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT				90.9%	90%	98%	100%	100%	96.7%	99%	100%	47.2%	79.6%	100%	77.8%		
National Program Manager Comments		Based on submissions received in the 12 month period ending April 30 of the fiscal year. Partial approvals receive fractional credit. Universe is not applicable because it changes annually based on number of water quality standards submissions.															
WQ-06a	Number of tribes that currently receive funding under Section 106 of the Clean Water Act that have developed and begun implementing monitoring strategies that are appropriate to their water quality program consistent with EPA Guidance. (cumulative)			222	222	6	1	n/a	2	33	30	6	19	86	39		
FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT				214	214	6	1	n/a	2	32	30	6	19	80	38		
FY 2012 COMMITMENT				213	213	6	1	n/a	2	32	30	5	19	80	38		
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				196	196	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	75	37		
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT				161	161	6	1	n/a	2	29	14	3	19	50	37		
FY 2005 BASELINE				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
UNIVERSE				261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
National Program Manager Comments		A cumulative measure that counts tribes that have developed, submitted to the region, and begun implementing water monitoring strategies that are consistent with the EPA 106 Tribal Guidance.															
WQ-06b	Number of tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative)			189	189	4	1	n/a	2	25	28	4	21	75	29		
FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT				184	184	4	1	n/a	2	23	28	6	21	70	29		
FY 2012 COMMITMENT				178	178	4	1	n/a	2	23	28	4	21	70	25		
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				171	171	4	1	n/a	1	22	28	3	21	66	25		
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT				107	107	4	1	n/a	2	21	10	2	21	30	16		
FY 2005 BASELINE				3	3	0	0	n/a	0	0	2	0	1	0	0		
UNIVERSE				261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
National Program Manager Comments		A cumulative measure that counts tribes that are providing surface water data electronically in a format that is compatible with the STORET/WQX system.															
WQ-08a	Number, and national percent, of TMDLs that are established or approved by EPA [Total TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy. Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD KPI	54,419	12,708	14,744	140	2	244	13,318	325	135	120	150	70	240		
				80%	93%												
FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT				2,922 (91%)	2,922	264	100	694	209	349	231	145	166	426	338		
FY 2012 COMMITMENT				2,215 (69%)	2,215	208	100	547	208	325	206	101	150	130	240		
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				2,846	2,846	253	134	730	284	401	214	204	155	131	340		
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT				4,951 (147%)	4,951	439	112	2,823	305	437	230	124	184	82	215		

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
<p>* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.</p>																	
National Program Manager Comments		Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been for the National Program annual commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that regions and states are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated based on 80% of pace (FY12: 2,555). With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance to WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY12 cumulative budget target as part of the FY13 budget submission.															
WQ-08b	Number, and national percent, of approved TMDLs, that are established by states and approved by EPA [State TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy. Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD SG	45,976	12,694	14,714	140	2	244	13,293	325	135	120	150	70	235		
				80%	93%												
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,702 (85%)	2,702	264	100	694	177	349	192	145	166	299	316		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2,123 (67%)	2,123	208	100	530	193	325	181	101	150	100	235		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,482	2,482	253	134	454	255	401	195	165	155	131	339		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,262	2,262	439	112	224	249	437	222	101	184	79	215		
				69%													
National Program Manager Comments		Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been for the National Program annual commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that regions and states are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated based on 80% of pace (FY12: 2,550). With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance with WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY12 cumulative budget target as part of the FY13 budget submission.															
WQ-09a	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of nitrogen from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	9.1 million	9.1 million												9.1 million	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			Feb 2013												Feb 2013	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			8,500,000												8,500,000	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			12,822,466												12.8 M	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,749,485												9,749,485	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3,700,000												3,700,000	
	UNIVERSE																
National Program Manager Comments		FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. End of year results are received mid-February of the following year.															
WQ-09b	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of phosphorus from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	4.5 million	4.5 million												4.5 million	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			Feb 2013												Feb 2013	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			4,500,000												4,500,000	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,802,860												4,802,860	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			4,500,000												4,500,000	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,575,004												2,575,004	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			558,000												558,000	
	UNIVERSE																
National Program Manager Comments		FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. End of year results are received mid-February of the following year.															
WQ-09c	Estimated annual reduction in million tons of sediment from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	1.1 million	1.1 million												1.1 million	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			Feb 2013												Feb 2013	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			700,000												700,000	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,006,674												2,006,674	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,054,869												2,054,869	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			1,680,000												1,680,000	
	UNIVERSE																
National Program Manager Comments		FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. End of year results are received mid-February of the following year.															
WQ-10	Number of waterbodies identified by states (in 1998/2000 or subsequent years) as being primarily nonpoint source (NPS)-impaired that are partially or fully restored. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG	LT	468	468	29	19	60	76	37	41	47	28	18	113		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			433	433	27	17	54	71	32	39	43	24	16	110		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			394	394	27	17	54	61	32	27	28	24	15	109		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			358	358	24	15	49	57	27	26	21	20	14	105		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			215	215	19	12	31	52	22	17	20	16	9	17		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			15	15	1	0	2	5	3	0	4	0	0	0		

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	UNIVERSE																
	National Program Manager Comments	Regions report results. The universe is the estimated waterbodies impaired primarily by nonpoint sources from the 1998 (or 2000 if states did not have a 1998 list) 303(d) lists. Note that this universe shifts each time a new 303(d) list is developed, so this figure is only an estimate. Only waters on the Success Story website (epa.gov/owow/nps/Success319/) are counted.															
WQ -11	Number, and national percent, of follow-up actions that are completed by assessed NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) programs. (cumulative)	I	Indicator														
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT		344 (70.6%)	344 (93%)	40	25	27	32	55	17	37	57	20	34			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		80%	293	29	21	27	29	51	17	33	40	19	27			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		85%	253	27	21	23	27	44	17	23	28	17	26			
	FY 2005 BASELINE		18%	54	6	5	4	9	16	2	6	3	1	2			
	UNIVERSE		100%	368	36	27	32	41	66	23	47	39	21	36			
	National Program Manager Comments	Regional annual commitments and completed NPDES Action Items are confirmed by the HQ Action Items database. Assessed programs include 45 authorized states, 5 unauthorized states (MA, NH, NM, AK, ID), 1 authorized territory (VI), 3 authorized territories (DC, PR, Pacific Island Territories), and 10 Regions (total of 64 programs) assessed through the Permitting for Environmental Results (PER) program and subsequent Permit Quality Reviews. Universe of 372 includes all follow-up Actions for which a schedule was established. The universe increases as additional NPDES Action Items are identified through regional and HQ program review.															
WQ-12a	Percent of non-tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]	KPI	88%	88%	80%	87%	89%	85%	88%	94%	90%	78%	80%	78%			
			106,872	106,046	1,401	4,114	18,502	17,173	17,486	25,294	10,144	4,068	1,842	6,021			
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT		90.4%	90.4%	79%	86%	94%	88%	98%	86%	73%	80%	79%				
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT		88%	88%	80%	87%	89%	85%	88%	94%	90%	82%	80%	80%			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		100,147	100,147	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,047	24,434	8,871	4,512	2,191	7,665			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		89%	89%	81%	87.3%	92%	94%	86%	98%	82.4%	79%	81%	76%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		95.4%	95.4%	86%	91%	87%	91%	88%	98%	90%	82%	84%	75%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		108,755	108,755	1,595	3,007	15,743	16,990	16,067	25,572	15,742	4,534	2,289	7,216			
	FY 2005 BASELINE		87.8% (96,851)	87.8% (96,851)	64%	94%	86%	87%	87%	93%	82%	87%	91%	77%			
	UNIVERSE		120,706	120,706	1,751	4,729	20,789	20,204	19,816	26,909	11,271	5,215	2,303	7,719			
	National Program Manager Comments	Targets, commitments, and results will be reported in both percent and number. This measure includes facilities covered by all permits, including state and EPA issued permits. Due to the shifting universe of permittees, its is important to focus on the national percent. FY05 baseline not from ACS.															
WQ-12b	Percent of tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]		88%	85%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	85%	100%	90%	85%	60%			
			381	368	0	2	n/a	11	44	11	18	194	44	44			
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT		86.1%	86.1%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	94%	90%	56%	94%	94%	58%			
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT		85%	85%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	80%	100%	90%	85%	60%			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		351	367	0	2	n/a	11	44	10	18	194	43	44			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		87%	87%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	96%	93%	73.3%	94%	90%	55%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		84%	84%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	93%	100%	94%	97%	86%	52%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		363	363	2	2	n/a	11	41	13	15	202	43	34			
	FY 2005 BASELINE		80% (261)	80% (261)	0	2	n/a	16	37	8	1	140	41	16			
	UNIVERSE		433	433	2	2	n/a	11	46	13	18	216	51	74			
	National Program Manager Comments	Targets, commitments, and results will be reported in both percent and number. This measure includes facilities covered by all permits, including state and EPA issued permits. Due to the shifting universe of permittees, its is important to focus on the national percent.															
WQ-13a	Number, and national percent, of MS-4s covered under either an individual or general permit.	I	Indicator														
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,888		520	1,279	1,119	693	1,687	659	209	251	244	227			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,952		520	1,262	991	744	1,813	674	208	251	262	227			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		6,919		510	1,262	1,026	675	1,813	626	258	263	260	226			
	FY 2007 BASELINE		6,632														
	National Program Manager Comments	The Universe is n/a .The end of year results are used to develop the universe of facilities covered under a MS-4.															
WQ-13b	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general industrial storm water permit.	I	Indicator														
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT		87,060		3,599	4,614	6,566	16,111	17,763	21,186	6,821	4,313	1,991	4,096			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		84,718		3,553	4,651	6,621	19,091	20,508	13,922	6,257	4,313	1,886	3,916			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		88,788		3,489	4,412	6,337	18,577	20,508	18,065	7,576	4,866	971	3,987			
	FY 2007 BASELINE		86,826														
	National Program Manager Comments	The Universe is n/a .The end of year results are used to develop the universe of facilities covered under either an individual or general industrial storm water permit.															
WQ-13c	Number of sites covered under either an individual or general construction storm water site permit.	I	Indicator														
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT		166,031		3,405	10,454	29,648	45,453	8,251	26,021	10,133	16,000	12,269	4,397			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		168,744		9,127	9,955	27,974	50,835	8,172	11,643	13,931	16,019	14,512	6,576			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		186,874		11,177	5,669	28,983	54,607	7,477	24,463	13,254	10,013	23,339	7,892			

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2007 BASELINE			242,801													
	National Program Manager Comments	The Universe is n/a. The end of year results are used to develop the universe of facilities covered under either either an individual or general construction storm water permit.															
WQ-13d	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general CAFO permit.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,587	7,581	7	563	457	1,042	1,824	741	1,521	673	190	563		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,994		7	566	444	863	2,234	794	1,521	680	198	687		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,882		6	566	333	967	2,145	781	1,510	658	205	711		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			8,623		0	624	175	2,131	1,488	1,391	1,239	448	296	831		
	UNIVERSE			18,972		33	632	770	3,621	2,523	4,190	3,777	841	1,670	915		
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 CAFO data is not from ACS. Note: It is likely the regions overestimated the number of CAFOs covered by a general permit in 2005.															
WQ-14a	Number, and national percent, of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) that are discharging to POTWs with Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	SG		20,711	20,711	1,296	1,555	1,665	3,470	4,367	1,976	980	647	4,088	667		
				98%	98%	94.0%	98.0%	98.6%	98.1%	100%	98.3%	97.1%	98.3%	97.0%	100.0%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,733	20,733	1,341	1,571	1,613	3,461	4,366	1,976	1,000	647	4,088	670		
				98.4%	98.2%												
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			20,814	20,814	1,305	1,595	1,696	3,460	4,400	1,976	980	647	4,088	667		
				97.9%	97.9%												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,977	20,977	1,301	1,617	1,662	3,467	4,524	1,972	983	647	4,137	667		
				99.3%	99.3%												
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,487	21,487	1,316	1,656	1,710	3,539	4,903	1,997	995	647	4,137	587		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			22,226 (97.8%)	22,226	1,589	1,882	1,790	3,932	4,899	2,132	829	592	4,019	562		
	UNIVERSE			21,118	21,118	1,378	1,587	1,689	3,539	4,367	2,010	1,009	658	4,214	667		
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe numbers are approximate as they shift from year to year.															
WQ-14b	Number, and national percent, of Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) that are discharging to POTWs without Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,667 (94.1%)	1,599 (99.6%)	44	94	76	272	824	120	83	36	6	44		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			81%	1,306	45	64	67	267	463	124	191	36	6	43		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			77%	1,278	45	71	68	283	521	124	84	36	6	40		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			91.2%	1,015	44	117	74	31	458	17	31	45	0	198		
	UNIVERSE			100%	1,606	45	72	75	321	630	124	243	42	6	48		
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe numbers are approximate as they shift from year to year.															
WQ-15a	Percent of major dischargers in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) at any time during the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG	<22.5%	<22.5%													<22.5%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT				<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		<22.5%
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				23.2%	23.2%	21%	31%	6%	19%	16%	29%	55.3%	14%	21%	8%	n/a
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	FY 2005 BASELINE				19.7%	19.7%	25.0%	28.7%	15.0%	20.7%	17.7%	23.7%	17.7%	8.0%	13.7%	15.3%	
	FY 2006 UNIVERSE				6,643	6,643	426	582	757	1,345	1,167	1,087	396	260	347	276	
	National Program Manager Comments	HQ reports results by Region. No regional commitments are set.															
WQ-16	Number, and national percent, of all major publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) that comply with their permitted wastewater discharge standards. (i.e. POTWs that are not in significant non-compliance)	OMB PA BUD	86%	3,644.68 86%													3,644.68 86%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT																
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT				86%	3,665											3,665
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				86.7%	4,336											4,336
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT				86.9%	4,334											4,334
	FY 2005 BASELINE				3,670	3,670											3,670
	UNIVERSE				100%	4,238											4,238
WQ-17	Fund utilization rate [cumulative loan agreement dollars to the cumulative funds available for projects] for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	94.5%	94.5%	95%	94%	92%	93%	90%	100%	95%	96%	94%	96%	100%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT				98%	97%	94%	93%	96%	94%	99%	94%	93%	88%	111%	104%	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT				94.5%	94.5%	94%	90%	92%	95%	100%	96%	92%	95%	95%	98%	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT				98%	98%	104%	95%	95%	99%	97%	95%	98%	96%	107%	103%	

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%	100%	108%	95%	96%	100%	102%	94%	101%	98%	111%	100%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			94.7%	94.7%	110%	94%	89%	95%	98%	91%	88%	91%	93%	98%		
	UNIVERSE (in billions)			\$84.5	\$84.5	\$8.1	\$16.6	\$7.3	\$9.9	\$18.1	\$8.0	\$4.4	\$2.7	\$6.8	\$2.5		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe represents the cumulative funds available for projects for the CWSRF, in billions of dollars (i.e., the denominator of the measure). Targets include all funds (ARRA and Base).															
WQ-19a	Number of high priority state NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG	80%	595	595	11	24	80	73	130	14	145	41	8	69		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			850 (130%)	850	15	33	141	126	196	91	138	52	12	46		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			652	653	14	29	137	80	124	56	95	54	20	44		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			943	943	27	41	157	158	161	82	160	66	26	65		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,008 (142%)	1,008	16	40	142	181	197	91	194	62	43	42		
	FY 2013 UNIVERSE			753	753	18	30	101	90	159	28	182	51	10	84		
	National Program Manager Comments	Starting in FY13, results can no longer exceed 100% issuance due to a refinement of the measure definition, and the target was revised accordingly. The universe used to calculate percentage results changed from the number of permits committed to issuance in the current fiscal year to the total number of permits selected as priority.															
WQ-19b	Number of high priority state and EPA (including tribal) NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	BUD	80%	652	652	23	33	80	73	130	15	151	42	12	93		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			925 (128%)	925	34	52	142	126	196	97	138	55	15	70		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			719	720	31	39	138	80	124	59	108	57	23	61		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,005	1,005	50	54	158	158	161	86	161	68	31	78		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,097 (144%)	1,097	53	49	145	181	197	95	194	62	62	59		
	FY 2013 UNIVERSE			826	826	35	41	101	90	159	30	190	52	14	114		
	National Program Manager Comments	Starting in FY13, results can no longer exceed 100% issuance due to a refinement of the measure definition, and the target was revised accordingly. The universe used to calculate percentage results changed from the number of permits committed to issuance in the current fiscal year to the total number of permits selected as priority.															
WQ-22a	Number of regions that have completed the development of a Healthy Watersheds Initiative (HWI) Strategy and have reached an agreement with at least one state to implement its portion of the region's HWI Strategy.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			7	7	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			4		1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			10		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY11.															
WQ-23	Percent of serviceable rural Alaska homes with access to drinking water supply and wastewater disposal.	OMB PA BUD	93%	92.5%												92.5%	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT																
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			92.5%												92.5%	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2010 BASELINE			91%												91%	
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe is not applicable since units are percent of serviceable homes.															
WQ-25a	Number of urban water projects initiated addressing water quality issues in the community.	BUD	10	10												10	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			46												46	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			3												3	
	BASELINE			46												46	
	UNIVERSE			TBD												TBD	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY12.															
WQ-25b	Number of urban water projects completed addressing water quality issues in the community.			N/A												N/A	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			0												0	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			N/A												N/A	
	BASELINE			TBD												TBD	
	UNIVERSE			TBD												TBD	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure for FY12. No longer a budget measure starting in FY13.															
Subobjective 2.2.2 Improve Coastal and Ocean Waters																	
CO-222.N11	Prevent water pollution and protect coastal and ocean systems to improve national and regional coastal aquatic system health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	OMB PA SP BUD	LT	3.0												3.0	≥2.8
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			3.0												3.0	

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2.8													2.8
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.8													2.8
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.8													2.8
	FY 2004 BASELINE			2.3													2.3
	UNIVERSE			5													5
	National Program Manager Comments	Rating consists of a 5-point system where 1 is poor and 5 is good.															
CO-SP20.N11	Percent of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that will have achieved environmentally acceptable conditions (as reflected in each site's management plan and measured through on-site monitoring programs).	BUD SP	95%	97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		95%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			96%	96%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			93%	93%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			94% (60)	60	5	3	2	17	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	7		
	2012 UNIVERSE			67	67	5	4	2	17	n/a	13	n/a	n/a	12	14		
CO-02	Total coastal and non-coastal statutory square miles protected from vessel sewage by "no discharge zone(s)," (cumulative)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			58,929		3,779	6,015	65.17	3,084.77	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			54,494		3,019	2,340.33	65.17	3,084.77	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			53,635		3,132	1,580.33	65.17	2,872	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	FY 2009 BASELINE			52,607		2,511	1,271	65	2,775	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	UNIVERSE			163,129		6,453	5,995	7,882	24,128	55,419	9,905	568	1,749	9,883	41,145		
	National Program Manager Comments	As of FY10, the universe consists of the total area of water eligible to be designated as an NDZ under the current regulations (in statutory square miles). Note the change in units of measure from FY08 to FY10 (FY08: linear miles, FY09: acres, FY10: statutory square miles).															
CO-04	Dollar value of "primary" leveraged resources (cash or in-kind) obtained by the NEP Directors and/or staff in millions of dollars rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$323		\$201	\$10	\$7	\$27	n/a	\$8	n/a	n/a	\$17	\$53		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$662		\$530	\$29	\$11	\$31	n/a	\$10	n/a	n/a	\$7	\$44		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$274.3		\$71.3	\$12.6	\$9.3	\$43.1	n/a	\$5.8	n/a	n/a	\$25.1	\$107.1		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			\$158.8		\$12.3	\$46.9	\$7.7	\$19.1	n/a	\$4.5	n/a	n/a	\$51	\$17.3		
	National Program Manager Comments	(Dollars in millions). Note that "primary" leveraged dollars are those the National Estuary Program (NEP) played the central role in obtaining. An example of primary leveraged dollars would be those obtained from a successful grant proposal written by the NEP.															
CO-06	Number of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that are monitored in the reporting year.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			35		2	2	1	7	n/a	7	n/a	n/a	2	14		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			33		1	2	2	12	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	2	12		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			33		3	1	2	6	n/a	5	n/a	n/a	6	10		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	2012 UNIVERSE			67		5	4	2	17	n/a	13	n/a	n/a	12	14		
CO-432.N11	Working with partners, protect or restore additional acres of habitat within the study areas for the 28 estuaries that are part of the National Estuary Program (NEP).	OMB PA BUD SP	100,000	100,000	48,655	2,500	1,255	2,400	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	1,000	8,500		600,000
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			114,579	114,575	3,589	3,017	4,726	52,801	n/a	8,776	n/a	n/a	30,438	11,228		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			100,000	45,742	2,543	1,258	2,650	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	1,000	5,291		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			62,213	62,213	6,259.6	1,350.9	5,403	29,723.8	n/a	5,269.3	n/a	n/a	9,059.9	5,146.7		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			89,985	89,985	3,955.37	1,435.8	3,052.08	67,142.6	n/a	740	n/a	n/a	8,670	4,989.34		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			449,241	449,241	14,562	15,009	33,793	232,605	n/a	54,378	n/a	n/a	82,363	16,531		
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY13 national commitment is higher than the regional aggregates because the commitment aligns with the target included in the FY14 OMB Submission.															
Subjective 2.2.3 Increase Wetlands																	
WT-SP21.N11	Working with partners, achieve a net increase of wetlands nation wide, with additional focus on coastal wetlands, and biological and functional measures and assessment of wetland condition.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013													Deferred for FY 2013
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			62,300 acres lost													62,300 acres lost

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Net Increase & Maintain Coastal													Net Increase & Maintain
	FY 2005 BASELINE			32,000													32,000
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 end-of-year data not from ACS. The next Status and Trends Report (2011) will show a new downward trend. Data source: U.S. DOI, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011. Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous United States 2005-09, Washington, DC. The overall decline in wetland area is complex and potentially reflected economic conditions, land use trends, changing wetland regulation and enforcement measures, conservation initiatives, the impacts of the 2005 hurricane season, and climatic changes. Qualifying language: The 2005-09 reporting period of this measure reflects that the data: a) are published in 5-year increments, which creates a fixed numerical target until the next report publication; and b) are already at least two years old upon publication. Thus, at any given time, reporting against this measure is never current.															
WT-SP22	In partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, states and tribes, achieve 'no net loss' of wetlands each year under the Clean Water Act Section 404 regulatory program.	BUD	No Net Loss	No Net Loss													No Net Loss
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss													No Loss
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss													No Loss
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss													No Loss
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss													No Loss
	National Program Manager Comments	Data source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ORM2 Regulatory Program Database. Please note that there is a data lag with this measure. Reports for the fiscal year reflect the previous calendar year.															
WT-01	Number of acres restored and improved, under the 5-Star, NEP, 319, and great waterbody programs (cumulative).	BUD	180,000	190,000													190,000
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			180,000													180,000
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			170,000													170,000
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			154,000													154,000
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			130,000													130,000
	FY 2006 BASELINE			58,777													58,777
	National Program Manager Comments	These acres may include those supported by Wetland 5 Star Restoration Grants, National Estuary Program, Section 319 grants, Brownfields grants, or EPA's Great Waterbodies Program. Commitment represents a cumulative total. Unexpected accomplishments in FY06, particularly in the National Estuary Program, contributed significantly to the total number of wetland acres restored and enhanced.															
WT-02a	Number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. (Annual)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			44		6	0	5	1	4	3	2	9	8	6		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			54		6	0	5	3	4	3	4	16	2	11		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			47		5	0	5	1	4	3	3	13	5	8		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			20		6	0	3	7	0	0	1	3	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			584		9	7	5	6	36	68	9	27	146	271		
	National Program Manager Comments	Intended to allow us to track work of all states/tribes (those just starting to build wetland programs and those that are improving well developed programs). Tracks the number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. Substantially built or increased capacity is defined as completing two or more of the actions found in the tables found at: epa.gov/owow/estp/. *This measure is evaluated annually and is an indicator of where states and tribes are focusing their wetland development effort, the baseline resets to zero annually and is not a cumulative measure. This measure has revised measure language beginning FY10, which means FY10 results cannot be compared to previous years.															
WT-03	Percent of Clean Water Act Section 404 standard permits, upon which EPA coordinated with the permitting authority (i.e., Corps or State), where a final permit decision in FY 08 documents requirements for greater environmental protection* than originally proposed.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			85%		87%	0%	100%	93%	89%	96%	78%	40%	100%	33%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			88%		100%	0%	85%	93%	90%	75%	82%	91%	100%	57%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
<p>* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.</p>																	
<p>National Program Manager Comments Tracking capabilities began in 1/2010. Tracking totals will appear in FY11. Reported on by Regions and HQ. **"Requirements for greater environmental protection" are counted under this measure when EPA can document that its recommendations for improvement provided in one or more of the following issue areas were incorporated into the final permit decision: 1. Demonstration of adequate impact avoidance, including: a) Determination of water dependency; b) Characterization of basic project purpose; c) Determination of range of practicable alternatives; d) Evaluation of direct, secondary and cumulative impacts for practicable alternatives; e) Identification of Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative; f) Compliance with WQS, MPRSA, ESA and/or toxic effluent standards; g) Evaluation of potential for significant degradation. 2. Demonstration of adequate impact minimization 3. Determination of adequate compensation Note: The documented permit decision can be in the form of an issued, withdrawn, or denied permit. The universe is the number of individual permits where EPA has the opportunity to comment (approximately 5,000/year). Regional priorities dictate the specific permits for which EPA submits comments. This number is typically less than 5,000.</p>																	
Subobjective 2.2.4 Improve the Health of the Great Lakes																	
GL-433.N11	Improve the overall ecosystem health of the Great Lakes by preventing water pollution and protecting aquatic ecosystems.	OMB PA SP BUD	23.4	23.4						23.4							At least 24.7
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			23.9						23.9							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			21.9						21.9							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			21.9						21.9							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			22.7						22.7							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			21.5						21.5							
	UNIVERSE			40						40							
	National Program Manager Comments	This measure provides a general indication of progress of numerous state and federal programs, with a specific focus on coastal wetlands, phosphorus concentrations, AOC sediment contamination, benthic health, fish tissue contamination, beach closures, drinking water quality, and air toxics deposition.															
GL-SP29	Cumulative percentage decline for the long term trend in average concentrations of PCBs in Great Lakes fish.	OMB PA BUD	43%	43%						43%							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			42.8%						42.8%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			40%						40%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			44%						44%							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			43%						43%							
	National Program Manager Comments	Indicates that PCBs in top predator fish (generally lake trout, but walleye in Lake Erie) at monitored sites is expected to continue an average annual decrease of 5%. 2000 is the baseline year. A 2-year lag between measurement and reporting means that the FY13 commitment pertains to measurements made in 2011. In FY12, 2010 data is compared to 2000; in FY13, 2012 data is compared to 2000; and so forth.															
GL-SP31	Number of Areas of Concern in the Great Lakes where all management actions necessary for delisting have been implemented (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD	4	4						4							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			2						2							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			3						3							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2						2							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			1						1							
	UNIVERSE			31						31							
	National Program Manager Comments	This measure identifies the cumulative target for taking all necessary management actions to delist the original 31 US or binational Areas of Concern. Through FY11, such management actions have been taken at 2 AOCs (in New York and Pennsylvania).															
GL-SP32.N11	Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997).	OMB PA BUD SP	10.3 million	10.3 million						10.3 million							10.2 million
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			9.7 million						9.7 million							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			9.1 million						9.1 million							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			8.4 million						8.4 million							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			7.3 million						7.3 million							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3.7 million						3.7 million							
	UNIVERSE			46 million						46 million							
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe identifies quantity of contaminated sediment estimated to require remediation as of 1997. This total has been revised from a previous estimate of 75 million cubic yards based on state-submitted information and subsequent decisions, information verification, and actual remediations. Information lags behind (i.e. the 2013 commitment is for calendar year 2012 sediment remediation).															
GL-05	Number of Beneficial Use Impairments removed within Areas of Concern. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD	41	41						41							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			33						33							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			33						33							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			26						26							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			12						12							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			11						11							
	UNIVERSE			261						261							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure added for FY09 from 2007 OMB PA review.															

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
GL-06	Number of nonnative species newly detected in the Great Lakes ecosystem.	BUD	0.8	0.8						0.8							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			0.8						0.8							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			0.8						0.8							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			1.0						1.0							
	UNIVERSE			181						181							
	National Program Manager Comments	During the ten-year period prior to the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (2000-2009), 13 new invasive species were believed to be discovered within the Great Lakes. This is a baseline rate of invasion of 1.3 species per year. NOAA scientists have since reclassified the detection dates of three species based on a reassessment and categorization of available data. This alters the baseline to 1.0 species per year (10 species from 2000-2009). The FY12 and FY13 commitments of 0.8 are based on this new baseline. These commitments also assume the same rate of detection (one species over the five years of the Action Plan) as the original commitments.															
GL-07	Number of multi-agency rapid response plans established, mock exercises to practice responses carried out under those plans, and/or actual response actions (cumulative).	BUD	15	15						15							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			23						23							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			12						12							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			8						8							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			n/a						n/a							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															
GL-08	Percent of days of the beach season that the Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	BUD	90%	90%	92%		90%	95%		90%							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			93.5%	94%		91%	98.5%		93.5%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	93%		94%	95%		90%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%	80%		n/a	98.9%		62%							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			n/a	89%		n/a	90%		88%							
	FY 2009 BASELINE			92%						92%							
	UNIVERSE			55,026						55,026							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY12, replacing the following measure in the GLRI Action Plan: "Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95 percent or more of beach days."															
GL-09	Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative).	BUD	18,000	18,000						18,000							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			31,474						31,474							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15,500						15,500							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			13,045						13,045							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	National Program Manager Comments	The unprecedented level of funding for invasive species work capitalized on a backlog of projects and appears to have achieved economies of scale due to significantly larger projects. Approximately 4,800 acres of this effort contribute to efforts to protect, restore, and enhance coastal habitat (GL-12) and are also included in the results for that measure. Reporting for this measure relies heavily upon receiving and validating information from funding recipients (grantees, states, federal agencies, sub-grantees).															
GL-10	Percent of populations of native aquatic non-threatened and endangered species self-sustaining in the wild (cumulative).	BUD	34%	34%						34%							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT		50	50						50							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			33%						33%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			51						51							
	FY 2009 BASELINE			31%						31%							
	UNIVERSE			27%						27%							
	UNIVERSE			147						147							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. Numerator: # of populations of native aquatic non-T&E and non-candidate species that are self-sustaining in the wild. Denominator: total # of native aquatic non-T&E and non-candidate populations. Baseline: 39/147 populations.															
GL-11	Number of acres of wetlands and wetland-associated uplands protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD	13,000	13,000						13,000							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			65,639						65,639							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			11,000						11,000							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,624						9,624							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			550,000						550,000							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.															
GL-12	Number of acres of coastal, upland, and island habitats protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD	20,000	20,000						20,000							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			28,034						28,034							

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15,000						15,000							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			12,103						12,103							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			1,000,000						1,000,000							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. FY12 target was adjusted in FY13 President's Budget.															
GL-13	Number of species delisted due to recovery.	BUD	2	2						2							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			1						1							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			28						28							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. Target is cumulative starting in 2011.															
GL-15	Five-year average annual loadings of soluble reactive phosphorus (metric tons per year) from tributaries draining targeted watersheds.	BUD	1.0%	Deferred						Deferred							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a						n/a							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			0.5%						0.5%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a						n/a							
	National Program Manager Comments	Sufficient historical data does not currently exist to allow for calculation of 5-year averages for all applicable watersheds. Measure under revision.															
GL-16	Acres in Great Lakes watershed with USDA conservation practices implemented to reduce erosion, nutrients, and/or pesticide loading.	BUD	20%	20%						20%							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			70%						70%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			8%						8%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			62%						62%							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			165,000						165,000							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11. The commitments measure annual percentage increases from the FY05 baseline. The acres tracked in this measure are not cumulative but are for new conservation practices implemented in a given fiscal year. The percentage increase will vary considerably by year due to funding, the conservation universe, and the difficulty of conservation practices.															
Subobjective 2.2.5 Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem																	
CB-SP33.N11	Percent of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation goal of 185,000 acres achieved, based on annual monitoring from prior year.	OMB PA SP	LT	Long Term Measure						Long Term Measure							50% (92,500)
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			34%						34%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Long Term						Long Term							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			43%						43%							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			46% (85,914)						46%							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			39% (72,945)						39%							
	UNIVERSE			185,000						185,000							
	National Program Manager Comments	EPA has set a long term target of 50% goal achievement in 2015.															
CB-SP34	Percent of Dissolved Oxygen goal of 100% standards attainment achieved, based on annual monitoring from the previous calendar year and the preceding 2 years.	OMB PA	LT	Long Term Measure						Long Term Measure							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			34%						34%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Long Term						Long Term							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			38.5%						38.5%							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			12%						12%							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			30% (22.73)						30%							
	UNIVERSE			100% (74.8)						100%							
	National Program Manager Comments	Historic data for measure changed due to new assessment method adopted during development of the Bay TMDL. Results from FY11 EOY reflect new method, past results reported here reflect the old method. The revised historic results are FY05: 42%; FY08: 40.5%; FY09: 42.1%; FY10: 39.4%. Long term budget target is 40% by FY 2015. Efforts by Bay jurisdictions and EPA to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus pollution are essential for achieving the target and will be a challenge to implement. Increasing water temperatures (due to climate change) will add additional challenges to our ability to achieve the FY15 target.															
CB-SP35	Percent of goal achieved for implementing nitrogen pollution reduction actions to achieve the final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	22.5%	22.5%						22.5%							
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			21%						21%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15%						15%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			8%						8%							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			51%						51%							
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%						0%							
	UNIVERSE			100%						100%							

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
National Program Manager Comments		FY13 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by FY18.															
CB-SP36	Percent of goal achieved for implementing phosphorus pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	22.5%	22.5%				22.5%									
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			19%				19%									
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15%				15%									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1%				1%									
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			67%				67%									
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%				0%									
	UNIVERSE			100%				100%									
National Program Manager Comments		FY13 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by FY18.															
CB-SP37	Percent of goal achieved for implementing sediment pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	22.5%	22.5%				22.5%									
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			30%				30%									
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15%				15%									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			11%				11%									
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			69%				69%									
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%				0%									
	UNIVERSE			100%				100%									
National Program Manager Comments		FY13 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by FY18.															
Subobjective 2.2.6 Restore and Protect the Gulf of Mexico																	
GM-435	Improve the overall health of coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	BUD	2.4	2.4													2.4
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.4													2.4
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2.4													2.4
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			NCCR IV Not Available													n/a
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			NCCR IV Not Available													n/a
	FY 2004 BASELINE			2.4													2.4
	UNIVERSE			5													5
National Program Manager Comments		The rating is based on five indicators of ecological condition: water quality index, sediment quality index, benthic index, coastal habitat index, and fish tissue contaminants index.															
GM-SP38	Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	360	360													360
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			316													316
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			290													290
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			286													286
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			170													170
	FY 2002 BASELINE			0													0
	UNIVERSE			812													812
GM-SP39	Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	30,600	30,600													30,600
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			30,796													30,796
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			30,600													30,600
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			30,052													30,052
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,552													29,552
	FY 2005 BASELINE			16,000													16,000
	UNIVERSE			3,769,370													3,769,370
National Program Manager Comments		Coastal habitat includes marshes, wetlands, tidal flats, oyster beds, seagrasses, mangroves, dunes and maritime forest ridge areas.															
GM-SP40.N11	Reduce releases of nutrients throughout the Mississippi River Basin to reduce the size of the hypoxic zone in the Gulf of Mexico, as measured by the 5-year running average of the size of the zone.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013													Deferred
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			Deferred													Deferred
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred													Deferred
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			17,520 km ²													17,520

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,000 km ²												20,000	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			14,128 km ²												14,128	
Subobjective 2.2.7 Restore and Protect the Long Island Sound																	
LI-SP41	Percent of goal achieved in reducing trade-equalized (TE) point source nitrogen discharges to Long Island Sound from the 1999 baseline of 59,146 TE lbs/day.	BUD	76%	76%			76%										
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			Mar-13			Mar-13										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			74%			74%										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			69%			69%										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			70% (33,703 TE lbs/day)			70%										
	FY 1999 BASELINE			59,146 TE lbs/day			59,146										
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure tracked in Trade Equalized (TE) lbs/day. TE lbs/day are pounds of nitrogen adjusted by application of an equivalency factor assigned to each point source based on its proximity to the receiving water body (LIS). The TMDL established a Waste Load Allocation of 22,774 TE lbs/day from point sources, to be achieved over a 15 year period beginning in 2000. The annual commitments are calculated by dividing the difference between the 1999 baseline and 2014 target by 15 (the TMDL period), or 2,425 TE lbs/day per year.															
LI-SP42.N11	Reduce the size (square miles) of observed hypoxia (Dissolved Oxygen <3mg/l) in Long Island Sound.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013			Deferred										15%
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			288.5 sq miles			288.5										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred			Deferred										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			130 sq miles; 54 days			130; 54										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			101 sq miles; 40 days			101; 40										
	FY 2005 BASELINE			187 sq miles; 58.6 days			187; 58.6										
	UNIVERSE			1,400 sq miles (total); 122 days (actually monitored)			1,400; 122										
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08. Due to inter-annual variability, annual reduction targets are not calculated for this measure. Note on Universe: The 13 year pre-TMDL year average measured maximum area of hypoxia in the Sound is 208 square miles.															
LI-SP43	Restore, protect or enhance acres of coastal habitat from the 2010 baseline of 2,975 acres.	BUD	480 acres	420 acres			420 acres										
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			537 acres			537 acres										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			218 acres			218 acres										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			890%			890%										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			740% (1,361)			740% (1,361)										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			1,199 restored & protected			1,199										
	National Program Manager Comments	The long-term percentage goal of this measure was significantly exceeded in FY10. Measure revised in FY12 to measure actual acres to be restored instead of percent of goal achieved. The EPA will establish annual targets with partners to measure annual progress.															
LI-SP44	Reopen miles of river and stream corridors to diadromous fish passage from the 2010 baseline of 177 river miles by removal of dams and barriers or by installation of bypass structures.	BUD	51 miles	75 miles			75 miles										
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			72.3 miles			72.3 miles										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			28 miles			28 miles										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			72%			72%										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			72%			72%										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			124			124										
	National Program Manager Comments	The long-term percentage goal of this measure was significantly exceeded in FY11. Measure revised in FY12 to measure actual miles to be reopened instead of percent of goal achieved. The EPA will establish annual targets with partners to measure annual progress.															
Subobjective 2.2.8 Restore and Protect the Puget Sound Basin																	
PS-SP49.N11	Improve water quality and enable the lifting of harvest restrictions in acres of shellfish bed growing areas impacted by degraded or declining water quality. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD SP	7,758	7,758												7,758	4,300
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,489												2,489	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			3,878												3,878	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,525												1,525	

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,453											4,453		
	FY 2007 BASELINE			322											322		
	UNIVERSE			30,000											30,000		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08. Baseline is the end-of-year data for FY07.															
PS-SP51	Restore acres of tidally- and seasonally-influenced estuarine wetlands. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	24,063	31,818											31,818		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			23,818											23,818		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			19,063											19,063		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			14,629											14,629		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			10,062.7											10,062.7		
	FY 2007 BASELINE			4,152											4,152		
	UNIVERSE			45,000											45,000		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08. Baseline is the end-of-year data for FY07.															
Subobjective 2.2.9 Sustain and Restore the U.S.-Mexico Border Environmental Health																	
MB-SP23	Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from the U.S.-Mexico Border area since 2003.	OMB PA BUD	121.5	126.5	126.5						99.6				26.9		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			119	119						97.1				21.9		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			115	115						93.1				21.9		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			108.55	108.55						87				21.55		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			18.7	18.7											18.7	
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0				0		
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure first reported in FY10. FY10's target and result represent annual progress only. Starting in FY11, the program will report cumulative progress from 2003 to the current measure-year. 2003 Baseline: zero pounds/year of BOD removed from U.S.-Mexico Border area waters as a result of new infrastructure projects.															
MB-SP24.N11	Number of additional homes provided safe drinking water in the U.S.-Mexico border area that lacked access to safe drinking water in 2003.	OMB PA BUD SP	3,000	3,000	3,000						3,000				n/a		73,886 cumulative
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,185	5,185						5,185				0		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			1,000	1,000						1,000				n/a		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,604	2,604						2,604				0		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,650	21,650						19,751				1,899		
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0				0		
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			98,515													
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure is regionally reported starting in FY09. FY03 Baseline: zero additional homes provided safe drinking water in the U.S.-Mexico Border area. FY03 Universe: 98,515 known homes in the U.S.-Mexico Border area lacking access to safe drinking water.															
MB-SP25.N11	Number of additional homes provided adequate wastewater sanitation in the U.S.-Mexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003.	OMB PA BUD SP	27,000	24,000	24,000						7,000				17,000		518,042 cumulative
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			31,092	31,092						30,355				737		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			10,500	10,500						9,000				1,500		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			259,371	259,371						239,871				19,500		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			75,175	75,175						71,926				3,249		
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0				0		
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			690,723													
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure is regionally reported starting in FY09. FY03 Baseline: zero additional homes provided wastewater sanitation the U.S.-Mexico Border area. FY03 Universe: 690,723 known homes in the U.S.-Mexico Border area lacking access to wastewater sanitation.															
Subobjective 2.2.10 Sustain and Restore the Pacific Island Territories																	
PI-SP26	Percent of population in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories served by community water systems that has access to continuous drinking water meeting all applicable health-based drinking water standards, measured on a four quarter rolling average basis.	BUD	82%	82%											82%		
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%											87%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			80%											80%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%											87%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			82%											82%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			95% AS, 10% CNMI, 80% GU											95%; 10%; 80%		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08. AS: American Samoa, CNMI: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands, GU: Guam.															

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
Subjective 2.2.11 Restore and Protect the South Florida Ecosystem																	
SFL-SP45	Achieve 'no net loss' of stony coral cover (mean percent stony coral cover) in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) and in the coastal waters of Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Florida, working with all stakeholders (federal, state, regional, tribal, and local).	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss					No Net Loss								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Loss					Loss								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss					No Net Loss								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			6.8% in FKNMS; 5.9% in SE Florida					6.8% FKNMS; 5.9% SE FL								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08 and changed to Indicator in FY11. Strategic Plan baseline of 6.7% was revised to 6.8%. The Coral Reef Evaluation and Monitoring Project (CREMP) for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary was modified in 2006 by dropping one hardbottom monitoring site because of the very small percentage of stony coral cover present (less than .2%), resulting in an increase of .1 percent in the mean percent stony coral cover for the entire Sanctuary. Statistical analyses of the CREMP indicated that sampling a reduced number of stations at sites with low stony coral cover would still produce statistically valid results.															
SFL-SP46	Annually maintain the overall health and functionality of sea grass beds in the FKNMS as measured by the long-term sea grass monitoring project that addresses composition and abundance, productivity, and nutrient availability.	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not Maintained					Not Maintained								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48					EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08 and changed to Indicator in FY11. EI = Elemental Indicator; SCI = Species Composition Index.															
SFL-SP47a	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain Chlorophyll a (CHLA) levels at less than or equal to 0.35 ug l-1 and light clarity (Kd) levels at less than or equal to 0.20 m-1.	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			70.9%; 72.5%					70.9%; 72.5%								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			75%					75%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			75%; 85.4%					75%; 85.4%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								
	FY 1995-2005 BASELINE			≤0.35ug/L (75.7%); ≤0.20m ⁻¹ (74.6%)					75.7%; 74.6%								
	UNIVERSE			154					154								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11. Results reported as CHLA %; Kd %.															
SFL-SP47b	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) levels at less than or equal to 0.75 uM and total phosphorus (TP) levels at less than or equal to .25 uM .	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			81%; 89.5%					81%; 89.5%								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			75%					75%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			84.3% 73.6%					84.3% 73.6%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								

National Water Program Guidance Final Performance Commitments for FY 2013

FY 2013 ACS Codes	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Targets	FY 2013 Commitments	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Targets (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2014 OMB Submission. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 1995-2005 BASELINE			≤0.75 uM (76.3%); ≤0.25uM (80.9%)					76.3%; 80.9%								
	UNIVERSE			154					154								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11. Results reported as DIN %; TP %.															
SFL-SP48	Improve the water quality of the Everglades ecosystem as measured by total phosphorus, including meeting the 10 parts per billion (ppb) total phosphorus criterion throughout the Everglades Protection Area marsh and the effluent limits for discharges from stormwater treatment areas.	BUD	Maintain P baseline	Maintain P baseline													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained													
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Maintain phosphorus baseline													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Measure not Met													
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained													
	FY 2005 BASELINE			See comments													
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08. FY05 Baseline: Average annual geometric mean phosphorus concentrations were 5 ppb in Everglades National Park, 10 ppb in Water Conservation Area 3A, 13 ppb in Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, and 18 ppb in Water Conservation Area 2A; annual average flow – weighted total phosphorus discharges from Stormwater Treatment Areas ranged from 13 ppb for area 3/4 and 98 ppb for area 1W.															
SFL-1	Increase percentage of sewage treatment facilities and onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems receiving advanced wastewater treatment or best available technology as recorded by EDU. in Florida Keys two percent (1500 EDUs) annually.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			47,505					47,505								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			42,000					42,000								
	FY 2009 BASELINE			32,000					32,000								
	UNIVERSE			75,000					75,000								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY11.															
Subobjective 2.2.12 Restore and Protect the Columbia River Basin																	
CR-SP53	Clean up acres of known contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			80												80	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			79												79	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			63												63	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			63												63	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			20												20	
	UNIVERSE			400												400	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08.															
CR-SP54	Demonstrate a reduction in mean concentration of certain contaminants of concern found in water and fish tissue. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			10%												10%	
	FY 2012 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred												Deferred	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			92%												92%	
	FY 2006 BASELINE			5 sites												5 sites	
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure was updated in 2012 for 2014. FY12 commitment deferred, however, FY12 EOY is reported: 95% decrease in average and maximum detection levels between 2006 (baseline year) and 2011 for CHLORPYRIFOS and a 100% reduction in azinphos-methyl in the West Prong Little Walla Walla River, South of Stateline Road, Oregon. No data available for other sites. Sites: Oregon: West Prong, Little Walla Walla River, South of Stateline Road for Chlorpyrifos and Azinphos methyl; Oregon: North Fork Deep Creek (Clackamas Sub-basin) for Chlorpyrifos; Washington: Walla Walla River, RM 14.3 for DDT and Washington: Yakima River, RM 18-30 for DDT. For detailed information on the baseline, see http://www.epa.gov/region10/pdf/columbia/baseline_document_2009-2014.pdf															



United States
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (6207J)
Washington, DC 20460

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

January 2013
www.epa.gov

EPA 850-K-12-005

