

# National Water Program Guidance

## Appendix E

FY 2013 Detailed Measures Appendix



APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
<b>Subobjective 2.1.1 Water Safe to Drink</b>																	
SDW-211	Percent of the population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards through approaches including effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG ARRA	92%	92%	89.6%	89%	78%	90%	92%	94%	85%	80%	91%	95%	92%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			91%	89.4%	89%	78%	90%	92%	94%	85%	80%	91%	95%	91%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			93.2%	93.2%	91%	84%	89%	96%	96%	91%	92%	94%	97%	97%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			91%	89.8%	89%	76%	90%	93%	93%	87%	85%	91%	95%	91%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91.4%	91.4%	91.3%	82.4%	96.6%	94.2%	93.2%	90.3%	81.6%	93.2%	96%	92.2%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			92%	92%	92%	79%	89.9%	93.7%	95.4%	89.7%	94.1%	95.8%	96.9%	96.4%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			89%	89%	92.5%	55.3%	93.2%	93%	94.1%	87.8%	91.2%	94.7%	94.6%	94.8%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)</b>			299.9	299.9	15	32.2	25.7	58.6	43.5	38.1	12.0	10.9	52.0	11.5		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe represents the population served by community water systems. The National commitment for FY11 is higher than the regional aggregate commitment to be consistent with the FY11 budget target.															
SDW-SP1.N11	Percent of community water systems that meet all applicable health-based standards through approaches that include effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG SP	90%	90%	87.6%	83%	83%	87%	90%	93%	85%	85%	88%	88%	88%		90%
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			90%	87.8%	83%	83%	87%	90.5%	93%	85%	85%	90%	88%	88%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			90.7%	90.7%	85%	87%	93%	94%	94%	90%	88%	90%	88%	91%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			88%	87.8%	83%	83%	87%	90%	91%	86%	87%	90%	88%	88%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			89.6%	89.6%	84.8%	85%	91%	91.7%	93.9%	88.8%	87.2%	89.4%	87.8%	89.6%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			89.1%	89.1%	85.7%	86%	90.7%	90.9%	93%	87.8%	87.5%	90%	87.9%	88%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			89%	89%	85.7%	86.4%	91.8%	91%	92%	86.2%	86.8%	90.3%	91.6%	87.3%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE</b>			52,079	52,079	2,735	3,733	4,476	8,891	7,369	8,358	4,128	3,281	4,646	4,462		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY08.															
SDW-SP2	Percent of "person months" (i.e. all persons served by community water systems times 12 months) during which community water systems provide drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	OMB PA BUD KPI	95%	95%	94.1%	94%	90%	91%	95%	96%	94%	90%	95%	98%	95%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			95%	94.1%	94%	90%	91%	95%	96%	94%	90%	95%	98%	95%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			97.4%	97.4%	97%	95%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	97%	99%	99%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			95%	94.9%	94%	90%	95%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	98%	95%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			96.7%	96.7%	98%	93.5%	91%	98.3%	96.6%	96.6%	96.9%	98%	98.6%	98.4%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			97.2%	97.2%	97.5%	91.9%	96.9%	98.3%	97.8%	96.2%	98.2%	99%	98.6%	98.7%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			97%	97%	92%	92%	99%	98%	96%	97%	98%	99%	97%	98%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)</b>			3.59	3.59	180.9	387.3	308.4	703.7	521.4	458.2	144.9	131	624	138.0		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Indicator measure in FY07.															
SDW-SP3.N11	Percent of the population in Indian country served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	BUD KPI SP	87%	87%	79.8%	90%	90%	n/a	90%	98%	78%	80%	87%	70%	87%		88%
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			87%	79.9%	90%	90%	n/a	90%	98%	78%	80%	87%	70%	87%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			81.2%	81.2%	100%	50%	n/a	97%	99%	87%	87%	86%	70%	87%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			80%	79.6%	95%	50%	n/a	90%	95%	80%	80%	87%	70%	87%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			87.2%	87.2%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	97.1%	89.9%	83.3%	90%	80%	85.5%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			81.2%	81.2%	99.9%	99.6%	n/a	100%	99.3%	87.2%	83.3%	90.4%	68.1%	87.2%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			86%	86%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	99.5%	90.4%	86.5%	82.6%	80.9%	88.1%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE</b>			918,668	918,668	90,594	11,071	n/a	25,532	114,800	77,740	5,394	94,153	446,179	53,205		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe represents the population in Indian country served by community water systems.															
SDW-SP4a	Percent of community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	OMB PA BUD	LT	50%	39.9%	66%	61%	40%	56%	39%	38%	9%	39%	10%	40%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			40%	39.2%	66%	61%	33%	53%	39%	40%	9%	39%	10%	40%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			40.2%	40.2%	66.3%	61%	35%	52%	40%	40.9%	12%	45%	9%	42%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			36.4%	36.4%	64%	61%	25%	52%	38%	40%	15%	45%	9%	40%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			37%	37%	65.8%	61%	29%	38%	38.8%	40%	9%	38.6%	8%	40%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			35%	35%	64%	60%	27%	38%	38%	38%	9%	38%	8%	38%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			20%	20%	51%	30%	12%	21%	19%	19%	13%	20%	1%	28%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE</b>			52,079	52,079	2,735	3,733	4,476	8,891	7,369	8,358	4,128	3,281	4,646	4,462		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe is the number of community water systems.															

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
SDW-SP4b	Percent of the population served by community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	SG		57%	55.4%	96%	80%	69%	59%	64%	62%	20%	37%	13%	80%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			57%	55.1%	96%	80%	63%	56%	64%	62%	20%	54%	12%	80%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			55.2%	55.2%	95.9%	80%	67%	55%	66%	62.9%	23%	40%	12%	84%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			52.3%	52.3%	93%	80%	58%	55%	62%	62%	20%	40%	12%	82%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			52%	52%	95.7%	80%	63%	46%	62%	63%	22%	51.8%	11%	85%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			54%	54%	93%	80%	63%	51%	65%	63%	15%	37%	12%	82%		
	<b>FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			48%	48%	95%	81%	57%	40%	64%	44%	16%	35%	12%	71%		
	<b>FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)</b>			299.9	299.9	15	32.2	25.7	58.6	43.5	38.1	12.0	10.9	52.0	11.5		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	SDW-SP4b is a new measure starting in FY08. Note: "Minimized risk" is achieved by the substantial implementation, as determined by the state, of actions in a source water protection strategy. The universe is the most recent SDWIS inventory of community water systems.															
SDW-18.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to safe drinking water in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	119,000												119,000	136,100
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			110,000												110,000	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			97,311												97,311	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			100,700												100,700	
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			809,000												809,000	
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			360,000												360,000	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure for FY11, to supplement SDW-SP5 in the NWPWG and replace SDW-SP5 in the new Strategic Plan.															
SDW-01a	Percent of community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rules.	OMB PA BUD SG	95%	95%	88.6%	90%	95%	91%	93%	93%	91%	87%	90%	70%	70%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			95%	88%	90%	95%	91%	90%	93%	93%	87%	90%	70%	75%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91.6%	91.6%	96.7%	96%	95.8%	96.3%	94.7%	93.6%	90%	97.9%	70%	71%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			88%	88%	90.0%	95.0%	91.0%	87.0%	91.0%	93.0%	87.0%	95.0%	70.0%	75.0%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			87%	87%	99%	95%	93.7%	90%	95.5%	78%	94%	92%	68%	64%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88%	88%	99%	95%	93.2%	87%	92.9%	92%	91%	90%	67%	80%		
	<b>FY 2009 UNIVERSE</b>			11,038	11,038	479	1,019	1,215	1,750	1,356	2,109	780	808	936	586		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Prior to FY07, this measure tracked states, rather than CWSs, in compliance with this regulation.															
SDW-01b	Number of tribal community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rule.			79	79	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	30	8		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			76	76	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	27	8		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			74	74	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	24	22	11		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			65	65	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	15	25	8		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			63	63	2	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	15	25	8		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			63	63	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	13	25	8		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			22	22	n/a	1	n/a	1	2	1	1	0	9	7		
	<b>FY 2009 UNIVERSE</b>			78	78	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	27	10		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	A sanitary survey is an on-site review of the water sources, facilities, equipment, operation, and maintenance of a public water system for the purpose of evaluating the adequacy of the facilities for producing and distributing safe drinking water.															
SDW-04	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	89%	89%	89.6%	90%	90%	89%	85%	95%	86%	85%	90%	87%	95%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			90.7%	90.7%	90%	90%	86%	90%	95%	85%	85%	90%	86%	98%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			90%	90%	92%	94%	96%	88%	87.1%	87%	85%	89%	87%	101%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			87.7%	87.7%	90%	90%	86%	90%	89%	89%	95%	90%	85%	92%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91.3%	91.3%	99.1%	98%	102%	90%	93.2%	99%	109%	91.9%	85%	104.6%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			92%	92%	94%	90%	95%	79%	93%	99%	99%	93%	83%	86%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			84.7%	84.7%	78.5%	93%	83.3%	88%	87%	64.5%	91%	84%	80%	94.3%		
	<b>UNIVERSE (FY 2007, in millions)</b>			\$14,419.7	\$14,419.7	\$1,378.1	\$2,686.4	\$832.3	\$1,527.6	\$2,812.2	\$1,283.7	\$978.8	\$1,006.8	\$1,321.7	\$592.1		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe represents the funds available for projects for the DWSRF through 2007, in millions of dollars (i.e., the denominator of the measure).															
SDW-05	Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative)	OMB PA ARRA		6,976	6,380	820	435	585	765	1,210	262	633	760	360	550		

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			6,080	6,074	795	422	530	625	1,140	254	608	740	360	600		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,076	6,076	799	448	575	714	1,250	227	583	726	308	446		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			5,590	5,590	624	416	482	681	1,230	235	542	550	330	500		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			5,236	5,236	735	410	500	599	1,066	192	480	591	261	402		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			4,576	4,576	564	396	464	564	936	160	427	479	225	361		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			2,611	2,611	320	311	261	369	557	59	229	242	123	140		
SDW-07	Percent of Classes I, II and Class III salt solution mining wells that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG	90%	90%	84.0%	n/a	90%	70%	75%	59%	90%	75%	80%	60%	75%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			90%	84%	n/a	90%	70%	75%	57%	90%	75%	80%	90%	75%		
	<b>FY 2010 UNIVERSE</b>			2,512													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Combined the 3 classes of mechanical integrity measures into one measure SDW-07a. The denominator for the number of wells with mechanical integrity losses is very small. Typically, Class I, II and III wells are deep wells and there are many more Class II wells that lose mechanical integrity relative to Classes I and III wells (2,800 compared to 8 for Class I and 7 for Class III). The revised measure should improve the numbers in the denominator of the measure.															
SDW-08	Number of Class V motor vehicle waste disposal wells (MVWDW) and large capacity cesspools (LCC) that are closed or permitted (cumulative).	OMB PA BUD	24,327	24,327	23,671	1,314	430	3,800	109	4,322	272	378	2,346	3,500	7,200		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			22,853	22,853	1,309	430	3,700	108	4,110	272	378	2,346	3,000	7,200		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Measure revised for FY12. The measure includes all the wells covered by the EPA 1999 Class V Rule reporting on closed or permitted MVWDW wells. In addition, it allows for reporting on additional types of high priority wells including, at minimum, Large Capacity Cess (LCC) Pools. Reporting in percentages will not provide good information on progress in closing or permitting the MVWD wells. The new measure, cumulative numbers of wells, for the MVWDW, will show progress each year against the universe.															
SDW-11	Percent of DWSRF projects awarded to small PWS serving <500, 501-3,300, and 3,301-10,000 consumers.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			71%	65%	68%	78%	58%	71%	58%	83%	82%	65%	77%			
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			72%	72%	75%	70%	30%	72%	76%	80%	87%	81%	80%			
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			698	138	44	56	43	126	33	70	87	26	75			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-15	Number and percent of small CWS and NTNCWS (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) with repeat health based Nitrate/Nitrite, Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR violations.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,337 2.1%	112 3%	184 4%	109 2%	127 1%	85 1%	243 3%	172 4%	71 2%	133 2%	101 2%			
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS &amp; NTNCWS &lt;10,000 w/ repeat Health-Based Viols)</b>			1,904 3%	164 4%	208 4%	113 2%	218 2%	102 1%	394 4%	288 6%	91 2%	154 3%	172 3%			
	<b>UNIVERSE (CWS &amp; NTNCWS&lt;10,000)</b>			66,156	4,478	5,189	6,751	9,840	11,261	9,082	4,562	3,690	5,877	5,426			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-17	Number and percent of schools and childcare centers that meet all health-based drinking water standards.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			7,114 92%	1,017 89%	708 95%	1,188 92%	647 92%	1,872 94%	334 93%	195 89%	236 93%	505 89%	412 92%			
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			7,260 94%	1,057 92%	705 95%	1,179 96%	688 95%	1,933 95%	329 95%	197 89%	224 94%	523 90%	425 97%			
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			7,664	1,146	740	1,228	724	2,002	345	222	239	578	440			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SDW-19a	Volume of CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I		Indicator													
SDW-19b	Number of permit decisions during the reporting period that result in CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I		Indicator													
<b>Subobjective 2.1.2 Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat</b>																	
FS-SP6.N11	Percent of women of childbearing age having mercury levels in blood above the level of concern.	BUD SP	4.9%	2.5%												2.5%	4.6%
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			4.9%													4.9%
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a													n/a
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			4.9%													4.9%
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a													n/a
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2.8%													2.8%
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			5.7%													5.7%

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		New measure starting in FY08.															
FS-1a	Percent of river miles where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			36%													
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a													
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			39%													
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			24% (840,000)													
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100%													
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		The FY11 EOY result is based on data from 2009-2010.															
FS-1b	Percent of lake acres where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			42%													
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a													
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			43%													
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			35% (14M)													
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100% (40M)													
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		The FY11 EOY result is based on data from 2009-2010.															
<b>Subobjective 2.1.3 Water Safe for Swimming</b>																	
SS-SP9.N11	Percent of days of the beach season that coastal and Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	SG SP		95%	91.9%	98%	95%	95%	92%	90%	80%	n/a	n/a	90%	95%		95%
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			95%	92%	98%	95%	95%	92%	88%	80%	n/a	n/a	90%	95%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			96%	97.7%	98%	97.7%	98%	97.3%	92%	91%	n/a	n/a	93%	99%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			91%	91%	98%	95%	95%	92%	88%	80%	n/a	n/a	86%	95%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			95%	95%	97.2%	97%	98.2%	97.7%	94%	91%	n/a	n/a	93.1%	95%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			95%	95%	n/a	98%	99.2%	96.8%	93.7%	82%	n/a	n/a	93%	98%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			96%	96%	98%	97.2%	98.5%	96.3%	95.5%	93%	n/a	n/a	95.3%	92.8%		
	<b>FY 2010 UNIVERSE</b>			752,683	752,683	86,226	90,834	17,861	184,609	50,064	28,146	n/a	n/a	282,149	12,794		
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		Universe changes annually. Universe equals the total number of beach season days associated with the swimming seasons of monitored beaches.															
SS-1	Number and national percent, using a constant denominator, of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) permits with a schedule incorporated into an appropriate enforceable mechanism, including a permit or enforcement order, with specific dates and milestones, including a completion date consistent with Agency guidance, which requires: 1) Implementation of a Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which will result in compliance with the technology and water quality-based requirements of the Clean Water Act; or 2) implementation of any other acceptable CSO control measures consistent with the 1994 CSO Control Policy; or 3) completion of separation after the baseline date. (cumulative)			773 90.6%	773	76	75	228	18	333	n/a	24	1	3	15		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			752 (88%)	752	76	74	227	18	315	n/a	23	1	3	15		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			734 (86%)	734	76	72	224	18	305	n/a	20	1	3	15		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			736 (86%)	736	76	72	225	18	304	n/a	22	1	3	15		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			724 (85%)	724	76	70	221	17	303	n/a	18	1	3	15		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			693 (81%)	693	76	67	206	17	294	n/a	14	1	3	15		
	<b>FY 2008 BASELINE</b>			536 (63%)	536 (63%)	75(91%)	51(48%)	175(74%)	9(38%)	200(55%)	n/a	7(29%)	1(100%)	3(100%)	15(100%)		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			853	853	82	106	236	24	362	n/a	24	1	3	15		
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		Measure revised for FY08. Beginning in FY08, OECA and OWM agreed on common language and data collection procedures to streamline this measure. While the definition is slightly different for OWM, the past data is still valid for comparison with future data. We have included a revised baseline to demonstrate the real progress for FY08. While national numbers are fairly stable, the Regional baselines did change.															
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program.	SG		100%	97.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	85%	95%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			95%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			99.1%	99.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	93%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			97.6%	97.6%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	81%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			96.5%	96.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	n/a	n/a	100%	80%		
	<b>FY 2010 UNIVERSE</b>			2,171	2,171	130	394	84	472	354	77	n/a	n/a	586	74		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	States may change their designation of beaches at any time. Therefore, these numbers may change from year to year. Universe equals the total number of Tier 1 beaches.															
<b>Subobjective 2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis</b>																	
WQ-SP10.N11	Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards are now fully attained. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG, KPI ARRA, SP	3,524	3,524	3,413	152	176	600	524	665	220	394	316	109	257		3,360
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			3,324	3,324	140	171	575	514	665	200	383	314	109	253		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			3,119	3,119	117	127	557	504	646	190	353	270	105	250		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			2,973	2,973	117	127	555	504	640	190	302	270	72	196		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,909	2,909	101	126	544	495	630	182	295	270	72	194		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,505	2,505	84	113	431	418	537	170	289	222	51	190		
	<b>FY 2002 UNIVERSE</b>			39,503	39,503	6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	WQ-SP10.N11 differs from previous Measure L, since WQ-SP10.N11 uses an updated 2002 baseline. Note: 2000-2002 results equal 1,980 waters – not included above.															
WQ-SP11	Remove the specific causes of waterbody impairment identified by states in 2002. (cumulative)	BUD	10,711	10,711	10,427	455	562	1,935	1,210	3,205	630	638	611	653	528		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			10,161	10,161	420	554	1,835	1,160	3,205	615	623	607	619	523		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			9,527	9,527	369	456	1,814	1,110	2,973	595	550	541	600	519		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			9,016	9,016	339	456	1,725	1,110	3,205	420	341	541	419	460		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			8,446	8,446	320	453	1,703	1,018	2,796	412	340	529	419	456		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			7,530	7,530	224	384	1,403	912	2,666	395	324	465	310	447		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			69,677	69,677	8,826	2,567	13,958	9,374	10,155	3,005	4,391	3,502	2,742	11,157		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The EPA will review the FY12 budget target when preparing the FY13 budget submission.															
WQ-SP12.N11	Improve water quality conditions in impaired watersheds nationwide using the watershed approach. (cumulative)	BUD SP	352	355	355	9	25	21	62	35	45	9	43	31	75		330
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			312	312	8	24	20	56	30	45	8	37	30	54		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			271	271	6	23	18	48	23	38	7	31	28	49		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			208	208	6	23	18	48	23	28	7	24	17	14		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			168	168	5	22	16	40	20	17	5	20	15	8		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			104	104	4	14	12	32	10	9	4	17	0	2		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			4,767	4,767	246	300	300	2,000	378	213	169	684	27	450		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The EPA will review the FY12 budget target when preparing the FY13 budget submission.															
WQ-SP13.N11	Ensure that the condition of the Nation's streams does not degrade (i.e., there is no statistically significant increase in the percent of streams rated "poor" and no statistically significant decrease in the streams rated "good").	OMB PA SP	LT	Deferred for FY 2013												Deferred for FY 2013	Maintain or improve stream conditions
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			Maintain or improve stream conditions												Maintain or improve	
	<b>FY 2006 BASELINE</b>			28% good; 25% fair; 42% poor												28% ; 25%; 42%	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The Wadeable Streams Survey will be updated in 2011. There will be no reporting on this measure until 2012. In FY15, EPA will be reporting on the Lakes Survey.															
WQ-SP14a.N11	Improve water quality in Indian country at baseline monitoring stations in tribal waters (i.e., show improvement in one or more of seven key parameters: dissolved oxygen, pH, water temperature, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, pathogen indicators, and turbidity). (cumulative)	SP OMB PA BUD	LT	20	20	2	n/a	n/a	1	2	1	1	2	8	3		50
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			13	13	1	n/a	n/a	1	2	1	n/a	2	4	2		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			1,729	1,729	160	14	n/a	37	729	68	150	100	203	268		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			185	185	14	n/a	n/a	2	44	1	4	10	43	67		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe includes two numbers: 1,729 -- the total number of monitoring stations identified by tribes that are planned for sampling (for one or more of seven key parameters) at times during the FY12-15 period; 185 -- the number of monitoring stations (out of the 1,729) that are located on waters that have a potential for improvement in one or more of seven key parameters. The EPA is targeting 50 of the 185 monitoring locations to show improvement by 2015; thus the National commitment of 13 is out of 50.															

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																		
WQ-SP14b.N11	Identify monitoring stations on tribal lands that are showing no degradation in water quality (meaning the waters are meeting uses). (cumulative)	SP I		Indicator												Indicator		
	UNIVERSE			1,729		160	14	n/a	37	729	68	150	100	203	268			
				185		14	n/a	n/a	2	44	1	4	10	43	67			
WQ-24.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to basic sanitation in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	67,600												67,600	67,900	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			56,400													56,400	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			56,875													56,875	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			52,300													52,300	
	FY 2009 BASELINE			43,600													43,600	
	UNIVERSE			360,000													360,000	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure in FY11, to supplement WQ-SP15 in the NWPG and replace WQ-SP15 in the new Strategic Plan. The FY11 end of year (EOY) result was reported to the OW IO in January 2012. The FY11 EOY result met and exceeded both the FY11 and FY12 EOY targets. The program recalibrated the targets in February 2012 to help ensure that targets are accurate and the program is appropriately measuring progress. This is a cumulative measure.																
WQ-01a	Number of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and for total phosphorus adopted by states and territories and approved by EPA, or promulgated by EPA, for all waters within the state or territory for each of the following waterbody types: lakes/reservoirs, rivers/streams, and estuaries (cumulative, out of a universe of 280).	SG		47	46	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	1	n/a	22	n/a			
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			41	41	1	7	4	4	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	n/a			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			45	45	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	0	n/a	22	n/a			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			49	46	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	1	n/a	22	n/a			
	FY 2010 BASELINE			31	31	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	0			
	UNIVERSE			280	280	34	20	34	44	24	24	16	24	38	22			
	National Program Manager Comments	Some of the 2011 results may not fully qualify and are under review. Needed adjustments are being made in 2012.																
WQ-26	Number of states and territories implementing nutrient reduction strategies by (1) setting priorities on a watershed or state-wide basis, (2) establishing nutrient reduction targets, and (3) continuing to make progress (and provide performance milestone information to EPA) on adoption of numeric nutrient criteria for at least one class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative)	SG		20		TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD			
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure is new starting FY 2013. Regional targets are underdevelopment.																
WQ-02	Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative)			43	40	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	11			
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			39	39	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	10			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			38	38	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	2	8	10			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			39	39	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	10			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			37	37	n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	2	8	10			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			35	35	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	7	10			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			26	26	0	0	n/a	2	2	9	0	2	3	8			
	UNIVERSE			60	60	n/a	1	n/a	2	5	11	n/a	6	21	14			
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe reflects all federally recognized Tribes who have applied for "treatment in the same manner as a state" (TAS) to administer the water quality standards program (as of September 2007).																
WQ-03a	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.	OMB PA BUD SG	64.3%	40	37	1	1	6	6	5	5	3	4	3	3			
				71.4%	66%													
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			39 (68%)	39	2	3	6	5	5	4	3	5	3	3			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			39	39	2	3	5	5	6	4	3	5	4	2			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			38 (68%)	38 (68%)	1	3	3	8	5	4	4	4	3	2			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			38	38	2	3	3	8	6	4	3	5	3	1			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			35	35	3	2	3	6	4	4	3	6	3	1			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			37	37	4	1	4	7	4	4	2	4	4	3			
	UNIVERSE			56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4			
	National Program Manager Comments	FY05 baseline are EOY results from the WATA database.																

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
WQ-03b	Number, and national percent of Tribes that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.			14	13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	n/a	n/a	1	3	3		
				38%	35%												
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			14 (38%)	14	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	1	3	3		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			13	13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	0	4	2		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			13 (37%)	13 (37%)	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	1	n/a	1	4	2		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			16	16	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	3	n/a	0	6	2		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			17	17	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	2	n/a	2	4	3		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			12 (40%)	12	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1	5	0	2	0	3		
	<b>FY 2008 UNIVERSE</b>			37	37	0	1	n/a	2	5	10	0	2	8	9		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The universe for FY11 and FY12 percentages for WQ-3b is the number of authorized tribes that have at least initial EPA approved water quality standards as of September 2010.															
WQ-04a	Percentage of submissions of new or revised water quality standards from States and Territories that are approved by EPA.	OMB PA BUD	87%	87%	73.9%	75%	n/a	75%	87%	83%	75%	50%	79%	75%	66%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			85%	85%	75%	75%	75%	87%	85%	75%	50%	79%	75%	66%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			91%	91%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	76%	63.1%	91.5%	100%	100%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			85%	85%	75%	85%	90%	87%	75%	75%	50%	79%	75%	50%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			90.9%	90%	98%	100%	100%	96.7%	99%	100%	47.2%	79.6%	100%	77.8%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			93.2%	93.2%	75%	100%	83%	100%	100%	91.7%	55%	96.7%	97%	50%		
	<b>FY 2008 UNIVERSE</b>			54	54	1	1	3	10	10	16	2	3	6	2		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Based on submissions received in the 12 month period ending April 30 of the fiscal year. Partial approvals receive fractional credit. Universe is not applicable because it changes annually based on number of water quality standards submissions.															
WQ-06a	Number of Tribes that currently receive funding under Section 106 of the Clean Water Act that have developed and begun implementing monitoring strategies that are appropriate to their water quality program consistent with EPA Guidance. (cumulative)			222	222	6	1	n/a	2	33	30	6	19	86	39		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			213	213	6	1	n/a	2	32	30	5	19	80	38		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			196	196	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	75	37		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			176	176	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	55	37		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			161	161	6	1	n/a	2	29	14	3	19	50	37		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			134	134	6	0	n/a	1	29	14	2	19	30	33		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	A cumulative measure that counts tribes that have developed, submitted to the Region, and begun implementing water monitoring strategies that are consistent with the EPA 106 Tribal Guidance.															
WQ-06b	Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative)			191	191	4	1	n/a	2	25	30	4	21	75	29		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			178	178	4	1	n/a	2	23	28	4	21	70	25		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			171	171	4	1	n/a	1	22	28	3	21	66	25		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			130	130	4	1	n/a	2	22	10	3	21	45	22		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			107	107	4	1	n/a	2	21	10	2	21	30	16		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			86	86	1	1	n/a	1	20	7	1	21	20	14		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			3	3	0	0	n/a	0	0	2	0	1	0	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	A cumulative measure that counts tribes that are providing surface water data electronically in a format that is compatible with the STORET/WQX system.															
WQ-08a	Number, and national percent, of TMDLs that are established or approved by EPA [Total TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy.  Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD KPI	54,773	2,555	1,683	140	0	244	229	325	154	101	150	100	240		
				80%	61%												
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			2,215 (69%)	2,215	208	100	547	208	325	206	101	150	130	240		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,846	2,846	253	134	730	284	401	214	204	155	131	340		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			2,433 (76%)	2,433	205	40	750	337	325	215	106	150	65	240		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			4,951	4,951	439	112	2,823	305	437	230	124	184	82	215		
				147%													



APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			5,887 (162%)	5,887	340	126	3,413	675	530	186	49	178	80	310			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been for the National Program annual commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated based on 80% of pace (FY12: 2,555). With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance to WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY12 cumulative budget target as part of the FY13 budget submission.																
WQ-08b	Number, and national percent, of approved TMDLs, that are established by States and approved by EPA [State TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy.  Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD SG	46,331	2,550	1,653	140	0	244	204	325	154	101	150	100	235			
				80%	60%													
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			2,123 (67%)	2,123	208	100	530	193	325	181	101	150	100	235			
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,482	2,482	253	134	454	255	401	195	165	155	131	339			
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			1,999 (64%)	1,999	205	40	474	265	325	196	84	150	25	235			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,262	2,262	439	112	224	249	437	222	101	184	79	215			
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			69%														
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			5,829 (162%)	5,829	340	126	3,413	661	530	146	49	178	76	310			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been for the National Program annual commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated based on 80% of pace (FY12: 2,555). With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance with WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY12 cumulative budget target as part of the FY13 budget submission.																
WQ-09a	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of nitrogen from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	8.5 million	8.5 million													8.5 million	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			8,500,000													8,500,000	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			12,822,466													3/2012	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			8,500,000													8,500,000	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			9,749,485													9,749,485	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			9,100,000													9,100,000	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			3,700,000													3,700,000	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.																
WQ-09b	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of phosphorus from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	4.5 million	4.5 million													4.5 million	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			4,500,000													4,500,000	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			4,802,860													3/2012	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			4,500,000													4,500,000	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,575,004													2,575,004	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			3,500,000													3,500,000	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			558,000													558,000	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.																
WQ-09c	Estimated annual reduction in million tons of sediment from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	700,000	700,000													700,000	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			700,000													700,000	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,006,674													3/2012	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			700,000													700,000	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,054,869													2,054,869	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,300,000													2,300,000	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			1,680,000													1,680,000	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.																
WQ-10	Number of waterbodies identified by States (in 1998/2000 or subsequent years) as being primarily nonpoint source (NPS)-impaired that are partially or fully restored. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG	LT	431	431	29	18	60	66	37	32	32	28	17	112			
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			394	394	27	17	54	61	32	27	28	24	15	109			
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			358	358	24	15	49	57	27	26	21	20	14	105			
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			251	251	24	15	35	56	27	19	24	19	13	19			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			215	215	19	12	31	52	22	17	20	16	9	17			
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			147	147	16	6	16	36	18	11	16	13	3	12			

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			15	15	1	0	2	5	3	0	4	0	0	0		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Regions report results. The universe is the estimated waterbodies impaired primarily by nonpoint sources from the 1998 (or 2000 if states did not have a 1998 list) 303(d) lists. Note that this universe shifts each time a new 303(d) list is developed, so this figure is only an estimate. Only waters on the Success Story website (epa.gov/owow/nps/Success319/) are counted.															
WQ-11	Number, and national percent, of follow-up actions that are completed by assessed NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) programs. (cumulative)	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			80%	293	29	21	27	29	51	17	33	40	19	27		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			85%	253	27	21	23	27	44	17	23	28	17	26		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			77%	229	26	18	22	23	40	17	18	27	15	23		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			18%	54	6	5	4	9	16	2	6	3	1	2		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100%	368	36	27	32	41	66	23	47	39	21	36		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Regional annual commitments and completed NPDES Action Items are confirmed by the HQ Action Items database. Assessed programs include 45 authorized states, 5 unauthorized states (MA, NH, NM, AK, ID), 1 authorized territory (VI), 3 authorized territories (DC, PR, Pacific Island Territories), and 10 Regions (total of 64 programs) assessed through the Permitting for Environmental Results (PER) program and subsequent Permit Quality Reviews. Universe of 372 includes all follow-up Actions for which a schedule was established. The universe increases as additional NPDES Action Items are identified through Regional and HQ program review.															
WQ-12a	Percent of non-Tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]	KPI		90%	88%	80%	87%	89%	85%	88%	90%	90%	80%	80%	75%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			106,673	100,391	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,879	24,434	8,871	4,402	2,191	7,186		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88%	88%	80%	87%	89%	85%	88%	94%	88%	82%	80%	80%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			100,147	100,147	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,047	24,434	8,871	4,512	2,191	7,665		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			89%	89%	81%	87.3%	92%	94%	86%	98%	82.4%	79%	81%	76%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			88.4%	88.4%	80%	87%	89%	85%	90%	94%	90%	85%	79%	80%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			100,680	100,680	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,442	24,434	8,871	4,677	2,164	7,665		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			95.4%	95.4%	86%	91%	87%	91%	88%	98%	90%	82%	84%	75%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			108,755	108,755	1,595	3,007	15,743	16,990	16,067	25,572	15,742	4,534	2,289	7,216		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			90% (102,196)	90% (102,196)	81%	89%	89%	91%	88%	97%	90%	83%	84%	83%		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			87.8% (96,851)	87.8% (96,851)	64%	94%	86%	87%	87%	93%	82%	87%	91%	77%		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Targets, commitments, and results will be reported in both percent and number. This measure includes facilities covered by all permits, including State and EPA issued permits. Due to the shifting universe of permittees, its is important to focus on the national percent. *FY05 data not from ACS.															
WQ-12b	Percent of tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]			90%	85%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	80%	100%	90%	85%	60%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			400	350	0	2	n/a	11	42	10	16	187	43	39		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			85%	85%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	80%	100%	90%	85%	60%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			351	351	0	2	n/a	11	43	10	16	187	43	39		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			87%	87%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	96%	93%	73.3%	94%	90%	55%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			84%	84%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	90%	100%	90%	85%	50%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			345	345	0	2	n/a	11	42	12	16	187	43	33		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88%	88%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	93%	100%	94%	97%	86%	52%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			363	363	2	2	n/a	11	41	13	15	202	43	34		
	<b>FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			83% (321)	83% (321)	100%	100%	n/a	92%	100%	92%	100%	91%	76%	46%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			85% (329)	85% (329)	(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 13	(100%) 42	(100%) 10	(100%) 16	(95%) 189	(79%) 38	(30%) 17		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			80% (261)	80% (261)	0	2	n/a	16	37	8	1	140	41	16		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Targets, commitments, and results will be reported in both percent and number. This measure includes facilities covered by all permits, including State and EPA issued permits. Due to the shifting universe of permittees, its is important to focus on the national percent.															
WQ-13a	Number, and national percent, of MS-4s covered under either an individual or general permit.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,952		520	1,262	991	744	1,813	674	208	251	262	227		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,919		510	1,262	1,026	675	1,813	626	258	263	260	226		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			6,541		517	1,227	1,016	503	1,813	526	284	250	179	226		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			n/a													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Data did not exist prior to 2007 for WQ-13 a & b.															
WQ-13b	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general industrial storm water permit.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			84,718		3,553	4,651	6,621	19,091	20,508	13,922	6,257	4,313	1,886	3,916		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			88,788		3,489	4,412	6,337	18,577	20,508	18,065	7,576	4,866	971	3,987		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			81,660		3,548	4,605	6,500	18,477	20,508	13,508	7,068	4,198	766	2,482		

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	FY 2007 BASELINE			86,826													
	UNIVERSE			100%													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b> Data did not exist prior to 2007 for WQ-13 a & b.																
WQ-13c	Number of sites covered under either an individual or general construction storm water site permit.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			168,744		9,127	9,955	27,974	50,835	8,172	11,643	13,931	16,019	14,512	6,576		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			186,874		11,177	5,669	28,983	54,607	7,477	24,463	13,254	10,013	23,339	7,892		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			200,732		7,704	17,671	19,317	75,311	7,738	17,403	12,480	12,444	24,069	6,595		
	FY 2007 BASELINE			242,801													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b> Data did not exist prior to 2007 for WQ-13c.																
WQ-13d	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general CAFO permit.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,994		7	566	444	863	2,234	794	1,521	680	198	687		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,882		6	566	333	967	2,145	781	1,510	658	205	711		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,900		6	602	277	1,021	2,129	890	1,443	618	203	711		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			8,623		0	624	175	2,131	1,488	1,391	1,239	448	296	831		
	UNIVERSE			18,972		33	632	770	3,621	2,523	4,190	3,777	841	1,670	915		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b> FY05 CAFO data is not from ACS. Note: It is likely the regions overestimated the number of CAFOs covered by a general permit in 2005.																
WQ-14a	Number, and national percent, of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) that are discharging to POTWs with Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	SG		20,724	20,724	1,296	1,555	1,655	3,470	4,390	1,976	980	647	4,088	667		
				98.0%	98.0%	94.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.1%	100.0%	98.3%	97.1%	98.3%	97.0%	100.0%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			20,814	20,814	1,305	1,595	1,696	3,460	4,400	1,976	980	647	4,088	667		
				97.9%	97.9%												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,977	20,977	1,301	1,617	1,662	3,467	4,524	1,972	983	647	4,137	667		
				99.2%	99.2%												
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			19,782	19,782	1,314	1,620	1,690	3,460	3,420	1,976	980	647	4,088	587		
				99.6%	99.6%												
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,487	21,487	1,316	1,656	1,710	3,539	4,903	1,997	995	647	4,137	587		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,264 (99%)	21,264	1,314	1,756	1,728	3,601	4,540	1,997	1,006	658	4,088	576		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			22,226 (97.8%)	22,226	1,589	1,882	1,790	3,932	4,899	2,132	829	592	4,019	562		
	UNIVERSE			21,151	21,151	1,378	1,587	1,689	3,539	4,400	2,010	1,009	658	4,214	667		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b> All universe numbers are approximate as they shift from year to year.																
WQ-14b	Number, and national percent, of Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) that are discharging to POTWs without Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			81%	1,306	45	64	67	267	463	124	191	36	6	43		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			77%	1,278	45	71	68	283	521	124	84	36	6	40		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%	1,315	45	72	68	299	542	124	81	36	6	42		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			91.2%	1,015	44	117	74	31	458	17	31	45	0	198		
	UNIVERSE			100%	1,606	45	72	75	321	630	124	243	42	6	48		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b> All universe numbers are approximate as they shift from year to year.																
WQ-15a	Percent of major dischargers in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) at any time during the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG	<22.5%	<22.5%													<22.5%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	<22.5%
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			<22.5%	<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	<22.5%
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			<22.5%	<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	<22.5%
	FY 2005 BASELINE			19.7%	19.7%	25.0%	28.7%	15.0%	20.7%	17.7%	23.7%	17.7%	8.0%	13.7%	15.3%		
	FY 2006 UNIVERSE			6,643	6,643	426	582	757	1,345	1,167	1,087	396	260	347	276		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b> HQ reports results by Region. FY08 commitment for WQ-15a of <22.5% is a 3 yr. average that shows overall trends. No regional commitments are set.																
WQ-16	Number, and national percent, of all major publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) that comply with their permitted wastewater discharge standards. (i.e. POTWs that are not in significant non-compliance)	OMB PA BUD	86%	3,644.68 86%													3,644.68 86%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			86%	3,665												3,665
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			86.7%	4,336												4,336

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			86%	4,256												4,256
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			86.9%	4,334												4,334
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			3,670	3,670												3,670
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			100%	4,238												4,238
WQ-17	Fund utilization rate [cumulative loan agreement dollars to the cumulative funds available for projects] for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	94.5%	94.5%	95.5%	94%	90%	93%	95%	100%	97%	96%	94%	96%	100%		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			94.5%	94.5%	94%	90%	92%	95%	100%	96%	92%	95%	95%	98%		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			98%	98%	104%	95%	95%	99%	97%	95%	98%	96%	107%	103%		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			94.5%	94.5%	94%	90%	92%	96%	95%	95%	93%	95%	94%	95%		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			100%	100%	108%	95%	96%	100%	102%	94%	101%	98%	111%	100%		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			98%	98%	102%	90%	92%	102%	98%	94%	n/a	93%	109%	104%		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			94.7%	94.7%	110%	94%	89%	95%	98%	91%	88%	91%	93%	98%		
	<b>UNIVERSE (in billions)</b>			\$84.5	\$84.5	\$8.1	\$16.6	\$7.3	\$9.9	\$18.1	\$8.0	\$4.4	\$2.7	\$6.8	\$2.5		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe represents the cumulative funds available for projects for the CWSRF, in billions of dollars (i.e., the denominator of the measure). Targets include all funds (ARRA and Base).															
WQ-19a	Number of high priority state NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG	80%	655 80%	545	11	24	80	64	143	56	95	41	16	15		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			652	653	14	29	137	80	124	56	95	54	20	44		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			943	943	27	41	157	158	161	82	160	66	26	65		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			702	702	13	24	167	80	93	57	116	67	16	69		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,008 (142%)	1,008	16	40	142	181	197	91	194	62	43	42		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,026	1,026	16	42	125	253	204	122	164	56	36	8		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			601 (104%)	601	9	22	21	91	265	125	32	22	3	11		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			824	792	13	24	167	80	179	57	116	67	20	69		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Starting in FY13, results can no longer exceed 100% issuance due to a refinement of the measure definition, and the target was revised accordingly. The universe used to calculate percentage results changed from the number of permits committed to issuance in the current fiscal year to the total number of permits selected as priority.															
WQ-19b	Number of high priority state and EPA (including tribal) NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	BUD	80%	727 80%	583	23	37	81	64	143	57	96	44	18	20		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			719	720	31	39	138	80	124	59	108	57	23	61		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,005	1,005	50	54	158	158	161	86	161	68	31	78		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			763	763	29	37	169	80	93	59	121	69	20	86		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,097 (144%)	1,097	53	49	145	181	197	95	194	62	62	59		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,118	1,118	36	54	130	253	204	132	165	58	48	38		
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			59 (104%)	59	16	9	0	0	0	1	8	6	0	19		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			913	852	29	37	169	80	179	59	121	69	23	86		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Starting in FY13, results can no longer exceed 100% issuance due to a refinement of the measure definition, and the target was revised accordingly. The universe used to calculate percentage results changed from the number of permits committed to issuance in the current fiscal year to the total number of permits selected as priority.															
WQ-22a	Number of Regions that have completed the development of a Healthy Watersheds Initiative (HWI) Strategy and have reached an agreement with at least one state to implement its portion of the Region's HWI Strategy.	I		Indicator													
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			4		1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
	<b>FY 2010 BASELINE</b>			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			10		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure for FY11.															
WQ-23	Percent of serviceable rural Alaska homes with access to drinking water supply and wastewater disposal.	OMB PA BUD	91%	93%													92.5%
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			92.5%													92.5%
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			n/a													n/a
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			92%													92%
	<b>FY 2010 BASELINE</b>			91%													91%
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The final FY11 end of year result is scheduled to be available by May 2012, after the State of Alaska has conducted its annual housing count in March/April. The universe is not applicable since units are percent of serviceable homes.															
WQ-25a	Number of urban water projects initiated addressing water quality issues in the community.	BUD	3	10													
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			3													
	<b>BASELINE</b>			TBD													
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			TBD													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure for FY12: will be reported on if grants funding is provided as proposed in the FY12 President's Budget. The baseline will be established with the first reporting cycle in FY12.															

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
<p>* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.</p>																		
WQ-25b	Number of urban water projects completed addressing water quality issues in the community.	BUD	0	N/A														
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			N/A														
	<b>BASELINE</b>			TBD														
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			TBD														
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure for FY12: will be reported on if grants funding is provided as proposed in the FY12 President's Budget. The baseline will be established with the first reporting cycle in FY12.																
<b>Subobjective 2.2.2 Improve Coastal and Ocean Waters</b>																		
CO-222.N11	Prevent water pollution and protect coastal and ocean systems to improve national and regional coastal aquatic system health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	OMB PA SP BUD	LT	2.8													2.8	≥2.8
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			2.8														2.8
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2.8														2.8
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			2.8														2.8
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2.8														2.8
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2.4														2.4
	<b>FY 2004 BASELINE</b>			2.3														2.3
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			5														5
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Rating consists of a 5-point system where 1 is poor and 5 is good.																
CO-SP20.N11	Percent of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that will have achieved environmentally acceptable conditions (as reflected in each site's management plan and measured through on-site monitoring programs).	BUD SP	95%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%			95%
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			96%	96%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%			
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			93%	93%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%			
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			90.1%	90.1%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%			
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%			
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			94% (60)	60	5	3	2	17	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	7			
	<b>2012 UNIVERSE</b>			65	65	5	3	2	19	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	12	10			
CO-02	Total coastal and non-coastal statutory square miles protected from vessel sewage by "no discharge zone(s)." (cumulative)	I		Indicator														
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			54,494		3,019	2,340.33	65.17	3,084.77	45,701	2	0	254	28	0			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			53,635		3,132	1,580.33	65.17	2,872	45,701	2	0	254	28	0			
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			33,966,990		1,897,585	821,490	41,711	1,775,702	29,248,806	1,280	0	162,560	17,856	0			
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			52,607		2,511	1,271	65	2,775	45,701	2	0	254	28	0			
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			163,129		6,453	5,995	7,882	24,128	55,419	9,905	568	1,749	9,883	41,145			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	As of FY10, the universe consists of the total area of water eligible to be designated as an NDZ under the current regulations (in statutory square miles). Note the change in units of measure from FY08 to FY10 (FY08: linear miles, FY09: acres, FY10: statutory square miles).																
CO-04	Dollar value of "primary" leveraged resources (cash or in-kind) obtained by the NEP Directors and/or staff in millions of dollars rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent.	I		Indicator														
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			\$662		\$530	\$29	\$11	\$31	n/a	\$10	n/a	n/a	\$7	\$44			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			\$274.3		\$71.3	\$12.6	\$9.3	\$43.1	n/a	\$5.8	n/a	n/a	\$25.1	\$107.1			
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			\$514.6		\$337.6	\$14.8	\$10.1	\$65.6	n/a	\$12.5	n/a	n/a	\$21	\$53			
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			\$158.8		\$12.3	\$46.9	\$7.7	\$19.1	n/a	\$4.5	n/a	n/a	\$51	\$17.3			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	(Dollars in millions and rounded to nearest tenth of a percent). Note that "primary" leveraged dollars are those the National Estuary Program (NEP) played the central role in obtaining. An example of primary leveraged dollars would be those obtained from a successful grant proposal written by the NEP.																
CO-06	Number of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that are monitored in the reporting year.	I		Indicator														
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			33		1	2	2	12	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	2	12			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			33		3	1	2	6	n/a	5	n/a	n/a	6	10			
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			38		2	1	2	6	n/a	11	n/a	n/a	6	10			
	<b>2012 UNIVERSE</b>			65		5	3	2	19	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	12	10			
CO-432.N11	Working with partners, protect or restore additional acres of habitat within the study areas for the 28 estuaries that are part of the National Estuary Program (NEP).	OMB PA BUD SP	100,000	100,000	45,655	2,500	1,255	2,400	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	1,000	5,500			600,000
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			100,000	45,742	2,543	1,258	2,650	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	1,000	5,291			

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			62,213	62,213	6,259.6	1,350.9	5,403	29,723.8	n/a	5,269.3	n/a	n/a	9,059.9	5,146.7			
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			100,000	42,644	3,684	1,105	3,500	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	200	1,155			
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			89,985	89,985	3,955.37	1,435.8	3,052.08	67,142.6	n/a	740	n/a	n/a	8,670	4,989.34			
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			125,437	125,437	6,184	1,690	4,642	101,792	n/a	3,943	n/a	n/a	4,861	2,325			
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			449,241	449,241	14,562	15,009	33,793	232,605	n/a	54,378	n/a	n/a	82,363	16,531			
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The FY13 planning target is higher than the regional aggregates because the planning target aligns with the target included in the FY13 budget.																
<b>Subobjective 2.2.3 Increase Wetlands</b>																		
WT-SP21.N11	Working with partners, achieve a net increase of wetlands nation wide, with additional focus on coastal wetlands, and biological and functional measures and assessment of wetland condition.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013													Deferred for FY 2013	Net Increase
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			Net Increase & Maintain Coastal													Net Increase & Maintain	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			Deferred													Deferred	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			32,000													32,000	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	FY05 end-of-year data not from ACS. The next Status and Trends Report (2011) will show a new downward trend. Data source: U.S. DOI, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011. Status and Trends of Wetlands in the Conterminous United States 2005-09, Washington, DC. The overall decline in wetland area is complex and potentially reflected economic conditions, land use trends, changing wetland regulation and enforcement measures, conservation initiatives, the impacts of the 2005 hurricane season, and climatic changes. Qualifying language: The 2005-09 reporting period of this measure reflects that the data: a) are published in 5-year increments, which creates a fixed numerical target until the next report publication; and b) are already at least two years old upon publication. Thus, at any given time, reporting against this measure is never current.																
WT-SP22	In partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, states and tribes, achieve 'no net loss' of wetlands each year under the Clean Water Act Section 404 regulatory program.	BUD	No Net Loss	No Net Loss													No Net Loss	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			No Net Loss													No Net Loss	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			No Net Loss													No Net Loss	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			No Net Loss													No Net Loss	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			No Net Loss													No Net Loss	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			No Net Loss													No Net Loss	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Data source: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ORM2 Regulatory Program Database. Please note that there is a data lag with this measure. Reports for the fiscal year reflect the previous calendar year.																
WT-01	Number of acres restored and improved, under the 5-Star, NEP, 319, and great waterbody programs (cumulative).	BUD	180,000	180,000													180,000	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			170,000													170,000	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			154,000													154,000	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			150,000													150,000	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			130,000													130,000	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			103,507													103,507	
	<b>FY 2006 BASELINE</b>			58,777													58,777	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	These acres may include those supported by Wetland 5 Star Restoration Grants, National Estuary Program, Section 319 grants, Brownfields grants, or EPA's Great Waterbodies Program. Commitment represents a cumulative total. Unexpected accomplishments in FY06, particularly in the National Estuary Program, contributed significantly to the total number of wetland acres restored and enhanced.																
WT-02a	Number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. (This is an annual reporting measure.)	I		Indicator														
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			54	6	0	5	3	4	3	4	16	2	11				
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			47	5	0	5	1	4	3	3	13	5	8				
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			22	6	0	5	3	4	0	1	0	1	2				
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			20	6	0	3	7	0	0	1	3	0	0				
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			584	9	7	5	6	36	68	9	27	146	271				
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Intended to allow us to track work of all states/tribes (those just starting to build wetland programs and those that are improving well developed programs). Tracks the number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. Substantially built or increased capacity is defined as completing two or more of the actions found in the tables found at: <a href="http://epa.gov/owow/estp/">epa.gov/owow/estp/</a> . *This measure is evaluated annually and is an indicator of where states and tribes are focusing their wetland development effort, the baseline resets to zero annually and is not a cumulative measure. This measure has revised measure language beginning FY10, which means FY10 results cannot be compared to previous years.																

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
<p>* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.</p>																		
WT-03	Percent of Clean Water Act Section 404 standard permits, upon which EPA coordinated with the permitting authority (i.e., Corps or State), where a final permit decision in FY 08 documents requirements for greater environmental protection* than originally proposed.	I		Indicator														
<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				88%	77%	100%	0%	85%	93%	90%	75%	82%	91%	100%	57%			
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		<p>Tracking capabilities began in January '10. Tracking totals will appear in FY11. Reported on by Regions and HQ.                      **"Requirements for greater environmental protection" are counted under this measure when EPA can document that its recommendations for improvement provided in one or more of the following issue areas were incorporated into the final permit decision:                      1. Demonstration of adequate impact avoidance, including:                      a) Determination of water dependency; b) Characterization of basic project purpose; c) Determination of range of practicable alternatives; d) Evaluation of direct, secondary and cumulative impacts for practicable alternatives; e) Identification of Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative; f) Compliance with WQS, MPRSA, ESA and/or toxic effluent standards; g) Evaluation of potential for significant degradation.                      2. Demonstration of adequate impact minimization                      3. Determination of adequate compensation                      Note: The documented permit decision can be in the form of an issued, withdrawn, or denied permit. The universe is the number of individual permits where EPA has the opportunity to comment (approximately 5,000/year). Regional priorities dictate the specific permits for which EPA submits comments. This number is typically less than 5,000.</p>																
<b>Subobjective 2.2.4 Improve the Health of the Great Lakes</b>																		
GL-433.N11	Improve the overall ecosystem health of the Great Lakes by preventing water pollution and protecting aquatic ecosystems.	OMB PA SP BUD	23.4	23.4							23.4							At least 24.7
<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>				23.9							23.9							
<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				21.9							21.9							
<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>				23.4							23.4							
<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				22.7							22.7							
<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				23.9							23.9							
<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>				21.5							21.5							
<b>UNIVERSE</b>				40							40							
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		This measure provides a general indication of progress of numerous state and federal programs, with a specific focus on coastal wetlands, phosphorus concentrations, AOC sediment contamination, benthic health, fish tissue contamination, beach closures, drinking water quality, and air toxics deposition.																
GL-SP29	Cumulative percentage decline for the long term trend in average concentrations of PCBs in Great Lakes fish.	OMB PA BUD	43%	43%							43%							
<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>				40%							40%							
<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				44%							44%							
<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>				37%							37%							
<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				43%							43%							
<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				6%							6%							
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		Indicates that PCBs in top predator fish (generally lake trout, but walleye in Lake Erie) at monitored sites is expected to continue an average annual decrease of 5%. 2000 is the baseline year. A 2-year lag between measurement and reporting means that the FY13 target pertains to measurements made in 2011. In FY2012, 2010 data is compared to 2000; in FY 2013, 2012 data is compared to 2000; and so forth.																
GL-SP31	Number of Areas of Concern in the Great Lakes where all management actions necessary for delisting have been implemented (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD	4	4							4							
<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>				3							3							
<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				2							2							
<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>				1							1							
<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				1							1							
<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				1							1							
<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>				1							1							
<b>UNIVERSE</b>				31							31							
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		This measure identifies the cumulative target for taking all necessary management actions to delist the original 31 US or binational Areas of Concern. Through FY2011, such management actions have been taken at 2 AOCs (in New York and Pennsylvania).																
GL-SP32.N11	Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997).	OMB PA BUD SP	9.6 million	9.6 million							9.6 million							10.2 million
<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>				8.7 million							8.7 million							
<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				8.4 million							8.4 million							
<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>				7.2 million							7.2 million							
<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				7.3 million							7.3 million							
<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>				6 million							6 million							
<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>				3.7 million							3.7 million							
<b>UNIVERSE</b>				46 million							46 million							

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
<small>* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.</small>																	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Universe identifies quantity of contaminated sediment estimated to require remediation as of 1997. This total has been revised from a previous estimate of 75 million cubic yards based on state-submitted information and subsequent decisions, information verification, and actual remediations. Information lags behind (i.e. the 2013 commitment is for calendar year 2012 sediment remediation).															
GL-05	Number of Beneficial Use Impairments removed within Areas of Concern. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD	41	41						41							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			31						31							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			26						26							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			26						26							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			12						12							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			12						12							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			11						11							
	UNIVERSE			261						261							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure added for FY09 from 2007 OMB PA review.															
GL-06	Number of nonnative species newly detected in the Great Lakes ecosystem.	BUD	0.8	0.8						0.8							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			0.8						0.8							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			1.1						1.1							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			1						1							
	UNIVERSE			181						181							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	During the ten-year period prior to the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (2000-2009), thirteen new invasive species were believed to be discovered within the Great Lakes. This is a baseline rate of invasion of 1.3 species per year. NOAA scientists have since reclassified the detection dates of three species based on a reassessment and categorization of available data. This alters the baseline to 1.0 species per year (10 species from 2000-2009). The FY 2012 and FY 2013 targets of 0.8 are based on this new baseline of 1.0 species per year. These targets also assume the same rate of detection (one species over the five years of the Action Plan) as the original targets.															
GL-07	Number of multi-agency rapid response plans established, mock exercises to practice responses carried out under those plans, and/or actual response actions (cumulative).	BUD	15	15						15							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			10						10							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			8						8							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			7						7							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			n/a						n/a							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. Target increased in FY 2013 President's Budget.															
GL-08	Percent of days of the beach season that the Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	BUD	90%	90%	92%		90%	95%		90%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	93%		94%	95%		90%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%	80%		n/a	98.9%		62%							
	FY 2009 BASELINE			92%						92%							
	UNIVERSE			100%						55,026							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY12, replacing the following measure in the GLRI Action Plan: "Percentage of beaches meeting bacteria standards 95 percent or more of beach days."															
GL-09	Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative).	BUD	18,000	18,000						18,000							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2,600						2,600							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			13,045						13,045							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			1,500						1,500							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	The unprecedented level of funding for invasive species work capitalized on a backlog of projects and appears to have achieved economies of scale due to significantly larger projects. Approximately 4,800 acres of this effort contribute to efforts to protect, restore, and enhance coastal habitat (GL-12) and are also included in the results for that measure. Reporting for this measure relies heavily upon receiving and validating information from funding recipients (grantees, states, federal agencies, sub-grantees).															
GL-10	Percent of populations of native aquatic non-threatened and endangered species self-sustaining in the wild (cumulative).	BUD	34%	34%						34%							
			50	50						50							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			35%						35%							
				51						51							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			31%						31%							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			35%						35%							
				52						52							
	FY 2009 BASELINE			27%						27%							
	UNIVERSE			147						147							
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Targets for FY12 were changed in the FY 13 President's Budget. New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. Numerator: # of populations of native aquatic non-T&E and non-candidate species that are self-sustaining in the wild. Denominator: total # of native aquatic non-T&E and non-candidate populations. Baseline: 39/147 populations.															



APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																		
GL-11	Number of acres of wetlands and wetland-associated uplands protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD	13,000	13,000						13,000								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			7,500						7,500								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,624						9,624								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			7,500						7,500								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0								
	UNIVERSE			550,000						550,000								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan.																
GL-12	Number of acres of coastal, upland, and island habitats protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD	20,000	20,000						20,000								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			20,000						20,000								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			12,103						12,103								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			20,000						20,000								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0								
	UNIVERSE			1,000,000						1,000,000								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. FY 2012 target was adjusted in FY 2013 President's Budget.																
GL-13	Number of species delisted due to recovery.	BUD	2	2						2								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			1						1								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			1						1								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0								
	UNIVERSE			28						28								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11, added from the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Action Plan. Target is cumulative starting in 2011.																
GL-15	Five-year average annual loadings of soluble reactive phosphorus (metric tons per year) from tributaries draining targeted watersheds.	BUD	1.0%	1.0%						1.0%								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			0.5%						0.5%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a						n/a								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			0.5%						0.5%								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Sufficient historical data does not currently exist to allow for calculation of 5-year averages for all applicable watersheds. Measure under revision.																
GL-16	Acres in Great Lakes watershed with USDA conservation practices implemented to reduce erosion, nutrients, and/or pesticide loading.	BUD	20%	20%						20%								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			8%						8%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			62%						62%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2%						2%								
	BASELINE			165,000						165,000								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11. The commitments measure annual percentage increases from the FY05 baseline of 165,000 acres. The acres tracked in this measure are not cumulative but are for new conservation practices implemented in a given fiscal year. The percentage increase will vary considerably from year to year due to funding, the conservation universe, and the difficulty of conservation practices.																
<b>Subobjective 2.2.5 Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem</b>																		
CB-SP33.N11	Percent of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation goal of 185,000 acres achieved, based on annual monitoring from prior year.	OMB PA SP	LT	Long Term Measure						Long Term Measure								50% (92,500)
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Long Term						Long Term								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			43%						43%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Long Term						Long Term								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			46% (85,914)						46%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			42% (76,861)						42%								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			39% (72,945)						39%								
	UNIVERSE			185,000						185,000								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	EPA has set a long term target of 50% goal achievement in 2015.																
CB-SP34	Percent of Dissolved Oxygen goal of 100% standards attainment achieved, based on annual monitoring from the previous calendar year and the preceding 2 years.	OMB PA	LT	Long Term Measure						Long Term Measure								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Long Term						Long Term								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			38.5%						38.5%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			12%						12%								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			16% (12.27 km³)						16%								

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			30% (22.73 km <sup>3</sup> )				30%										
	UNIVERSE			100% (74.8 km <sup>3</sup> )				100%										
	National Program Manager Comments	Historic data for measure changed due to new assessment method adopted during development of the Bay TMDL. Results from FY11 EOY reflect new method, past results reported here reflect the old method. The revised historic results are FY05: 42%; FY08: 40.5%; FY09: 42.1%; FY10: 39.4%. Long term budget target is 40% by FY 2015. Efforts by Bay jurisdictions and EPA to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus pollution are essential for achieving the target and will be a challenge to implement. Increasing water temperatures (due to climate change) will add additional challenges to our ability to achieve the FY15 target.																
CB-SP35	Percent of goal achieved for implementing nitrogen pollution reduction actions to achieve the final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	22.5%	22.5%				22.5%										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15%				15%										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			8%				8%										
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%				0%										
	UNIVERSE			100%				100%										
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 2013 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by FY 2018.																
CB-SP36	Percent of goal achieved for implementing phosphorus pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	22.5%	22.5%				22.5%										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15%				15%										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1%				1%										
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%				0%										
	UNIVERSE			100%				100%										
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 2013 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by FY 2018.																
CB-SP37	Percent of goal achieved for implementing sediment pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA BUD	22.5%	22.5%				22.5%										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			15%				15%										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			10%				10%										
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0%				0%										
	UNIVERSE			100%				100%										
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 2013 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by FY 2018.																
<b>Subobjective 2.2.6 Restore and Protect the Gulf of Mexico</b>																		
GM-435	Improve the overall health of coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	BUD	2.4	2.4														2.4
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2.4														2.4
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			NCCR IV Not Available														n/a
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2.6														2.6
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			NCCR IV Not Available														n/a
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.2														2.2
	FY 2004 BASELINE			2.4														2.4
	UNIVERSE			5														5
	National Program Manager Comments	The rating is based on five indicators of ecological condition: water quality index, sediment quality index, benthic index, coastal habitat index, and fish tissue contaminants index.																
GM-SP38	Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	360	360														360
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			290														290
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			286														286
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			128														128
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			170														170
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			131														131
	FY 2002 BASELINE			0														0
	UNIVERSE			812														812
GM-SP39	Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	30,600	30,600														30,600
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			30,600														30,600

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			30,052													30,052	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			30,000													30,000	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,552													29,552	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,344													29,344	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			16,000													16,000	
	UNIVERSE			3,769,370													3,769,370	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Coastal habitat includes marshes, wetlands, tidal flats, oyster beds, seagrasses, mangroves, dunes and maritime forest ridge areas.																
GM-SP40.N11	Reduce releases of nutrients throughout the Mississippi River Basin to reduce the size of the hypoxic zone in the Gulf of Mexico, as measured by the 5-year running average of the size of the zone.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013													Deferred	5,000 km <sup>2</sup>
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred													Deferred	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			17,520 km <sup>2</sup>													17,520	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Deferred													Deferred	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,000 km <sup>2</sup>													20,000	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													n/a	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			14,128 km <sup>2</sup>													14,128	
<b>Subobjective 2.2.7 Restore and Protect the Long Island Sound</b>																		
LI-SP41	Percent of goal achieved in reducing trade-equalized (TE) point source nitrogen discharges to Long Island Sound from the 1999 baseline of 59,146 TE lbs/day.	BUD	76%	76%					76%									
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			74%					74%									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			69%					69%									
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			55%					55%									
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			70% (33,703 TE lbs/day)					70%									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			55% (39,011 TE lbs/day)					55%									
	FY 1999 BASELINE			59,146 TE lbs/day					59,146									
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Measure tracked in Trade Equalized (TE) lbs/day. TE lbs/day are pounds of nitrogen adjusted by application of an equivalency factor assigned to each point source based on its proximity to the receiving water body (LIS). The TMDL established a Waste Load Allocation of 22,774 TE lbs/day from point sources, to be achieved over a 15 year period beginning in 2000. The annual commitments are calculated by dividing the difference between the 1999 baseline and 2014 target by 15 (the TMDL period), or 2,425 TE lbs/day per year.																
LI-SP42.N11	Reduce the size (square miles) of observed hypoxia (Dissolved Oxygen <3mg/l) in Long Island Sound.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013					Deferred									15%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred					Deferred									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			130 sq miles; 54 days					130; 54									
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Deferred					Deferred									
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			101 sq miles; 40 days					101; 40									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			169 sq. miles; 45 days					169; 45									
	FY 2005 BASELINE			187 sq miles; 58.6 days					187; 58.6									
	UNIVERSE			1,400 sq miles (total); 122 days (actually monitored)					1,400; 122									
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY08. Due to inter-annual variability, annual reduction targets are not calculated for this measure. Note on Universe: The 13 year pre-TMDL year average measured maximum area of hypoxia in the Sound is 208 square miles.																
LI-SP43	Restore, protect or enhance acres of coastal habitat from the 2010 baseline of 2,975 acres.	BUD	480 acres	480 acres					480 acres									
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			218 acres					218 acres									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			890%					890%									
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			832%					832%									
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			740% (1,361)					740% (1,361)									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,614					1,614									
	FY 2008 BASELINE			1,199 restored & protected					1,199									

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)	
<small>* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.</small>																		
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		The long-term percentage goal of this measure was significantly exceeded in FY10. The EPA revised this measure in FY12 to measure actual acres to be restored instead of percent of goal achieved. The EPA will establish annual targets with partners to measure annual progress.																
LI-SP44	Reopen miles of river and stream corridors to diadromous fish passage from the 2010 baseline of 177 river miles by removal of dams and barriers or by installation of bypass structures.	BUD	51 miles	51 miles			51 miles											
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			28 miles			28 miles											
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			72%			72%											
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			92%			92%											
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			72%			72%											
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			147			147											
	<b>FY 2008 BASELINE</b>			124			124											
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		The long-term percentage goal of this measure was significantly exceeded in FY11. The EPA revised this measure in FY12 to measure actual miles to be reopened instead of percent of goal achieved. The EPA will establish annual targets with partners to measure annual progress.																
<b>Subobjective 2.2.8 Restore and Protect the Puget Sound Basin</b>																		
PS-SP49.N11	Improve water quality and enable the lifting of harvest restrictions in acres of shellfish bed growing areas impacted by degraded or declining water quality. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD SP	7,758	7,758												7,758		4,300
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			3,878														3,878
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,525														1,525
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			4,953														4,953
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			4,453														4,453
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,730														1,730
	<b>FY 2007 BASELINE</b>			322														322
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			30,000														30,000
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		New measures starting in FY08. Baseline is the end-of-year data for FY07.																
PS-SP51	Restore acres of tidally- and seasonally-influenced estuarine wetlands. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	24,063	24,063												24,063		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			19,063														19,063
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			14,629														14,629
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			12,363														12,363
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			10,062.7														10,062.7
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			5,751														5,751
	<b>FY 2007 BASELINE</b>			4,152														4,152
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			45,000														45,000
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		New measures starting in FY08. Baseline is the end-of-year data for FY07.																
<b>Subobjective 2.2.9 Sustain and Restore the U.S.-Mexico Border Environmental Health</b>																		
MB-SP23	Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from the U.S.-Mexico Border area since 2003.	OMB PA BUD	121.5	121.5	121.3						95.6					25.7		
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			115	115						93.1					21.9		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			108.55	108.55						87					21.55		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			108.2	108.2						87					21.2		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			18.7	18.7													18.7
	<b>FY 2003 BASELINE</b>			0	0						0					0		
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		Measure first reported in FY10. FY10's target and result represent annual progress only. Starting in FY11, the program will report cumulative progress from 2003 to the current measure-year. 2003 Baseline: zero pounds/year of BOD removed from U.S.-Mexico Border area waters as a result of new infrastructure projects.																
MB-SP24.N11	Number of additional homes provided safe drinking water in the U.S.-Mexico border area that lacked access to safe drinking water in 2003.	OMB PA BUD SP	3,000	3,000	3,000						3,000					n/a		73,886 cumulative
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			1,000	1,000						1,000					n/a		
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			2,604	2,604						2,604					0		
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			2,000	2,000						2,000					0		
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			21,650	21,650						19,751					1,899		
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			1,584	1,584						1,584					0		
	<b>FY 2003 BASELINE</b>			0	0						0					0		
	<b>FY 2003 UNIVERSE</b>			98,515														
<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>		Measure is regionally reported starting in FY09. FY03 Baseline: zero additional homes provided safe drinking water in the U.S.-Mexico Border area. FY03 Universe: 98,515 known homes in the Mexico Border area lacking access to safe drinking water.																

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
MB-SP25.N11	Number of additional homes provided adequate wastewater sanitation in the U.S.-Mexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003.	OMB PA BUD SP	27,000	27,000	27,000						7,000			20,000			518,042 cumulative
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			10,500	10,500						9,000			1,500			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			259,371	259,371						239,871			19,500			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			207,000	207,000						190,000			17,000			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			75,175	75,175						71,926			3,249			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			43,594	43,594						39,477			4,117			
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0			0			
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			690,723													
	National Program Manager Comments	Measure is regionally reported starting in FY09. FY03 Baseline: zero additional homes provided wastewater sanitation the U.S.-Mexico Border area. FY03 Universe: 690,723 known homes in the U.S.-Mexico Border area lacking access to wastewater sanitation.															
<b>Subobjective 2.2.10 Sustain and Restore the Pacific Island Territories</b>																	
PI-SP26	Percent of population in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories served by community water systems that has access to continuous drinking water meeting all applicable health-based drinking water standards, measured on a four quarter rolling average basis.	BUD	82%	82%										82%			
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			80%										80%			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%										87%			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			75%										75%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			82%										82%			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%										80%			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			95% American Samoa; 10% Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands; 80% Guam										95% AS, 10% CNMI, 80% GU			
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure starting in FY08.															
<b>Subobjective 2.2.11 Restore and Protect the South Florida Ecosystem</b>																	
SFL-SP45	Achieve 'no net loss' of stony coral cover (mean percent stony coral cover) in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) and in the coastal waters of Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Florida, working with all stakeholders (federal, state, regional, tribal, and local).	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Loss							Loss						
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss							No Net Loss						
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss							No Net Loss						
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Loss							Loss						
	FY 2005 BASELINE			6.8% in FKNMS; 5.9% in SE Florida							6.8% FKNMS; 5.9% SE FL						
	National Program Manager Comments	New measures starting in FY08. Measure change to Indicator in FY 2011. Strategic Plan baseline of 6.7% was revised to 6.8%. The Coral Reef Evaluation and Monitoring Project (CREMP) for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary was modified in 2006 by dropping one hardbottom monitoring site because of the very small percentage of stony coral cover present (less than .2%), resulting in an increase of .1 percent in the mean percent stony coral cover for the entire Sanctuary. Statistical analyses of the CREMP indicated that sampling a reduced number of stations at sites with low stony coral cover would still produce statistically valid results.															
SFL-SP46	Annually maintain the overall health and functionality of sea grass beds in the FKNMS as measured by the long-term sea grass monitoring project that addresses composition and abundance, productivity, and nutrient availability.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained							Maintained						
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained							Maintained						
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained							Not maintained						

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48					EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measures starting in FY08. Measure changed to Indicator in FY11. EI = Elemental Indicator; SCI = Species Composition Index.															
SFL-SP47a	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain Chlorophyll a (CHLA) levels at less than or equal to 0.35 ug l-1 and light clarity (Kd) levels at less than or equal to 0.20 m-1.	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			75%					75%								
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			85.4%					85.4%								
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			75%					75%								
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			Maintained					Maintained								
	<b>FY 1995-2005 BASELINE</b>			CHL A ≤ 0.35 ug/L (75.7%); Kd ≤ 0.20m <sup>-1</sup> (74.6%)					CHL A ≤ 0.35 ug/L (75.7%); Kd ≤ 0.20m-1 (74.6%)								
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			154					154								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SFL-SP47b	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) levels at less than or equal to 0.75 uM and total phosphorus (TP) levels at less than or equal to .25 uM .	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			75%					75%								
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			73.6%					73.6%								
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			75%					75%								
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			Maintained					Maintained								
	<b>FY 1995-2005 BASELINE</b>			DIN ≤ 0.75 uM (76.3%); TP ≤ 0.25uM (80.9%)					76.3%; 80.9%								
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			154					154								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
SFL-SP48	Improve the water quality of the Everglades ecosystem as measured by total phosphorus, including meeting the 10 parts per billion (ppb) total phosphorus criterion throughout the Everglades Protection Area marsh and the effluent limits for discharges from stormwater treatment areas.	BUD	Maintain P baseline	Maintain P baseline					Maintain P baseline								
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			Maintain phosphorus baseline					Maintain P baseline								
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			Measure not Met					Measure not Met								
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits					Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits								
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			See comments													
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY08. FY05 Baseline: Average annual geometric mean phosphorus concentrations were 5 ppb in Everglades National Park, 10 ppb in Water Conservation Area 3A, 13 ppb in Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, and 18 ppb in Water Conservation Area 2A; annual average flow – weighted total phosphorus discharges from Stormwater Treatment Areas ranged from 13 ppb for area 3/4 and 98 ppb for area 1W.															

APPENDIX E: Detailed Measures Appendix

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Measure); SG (State Grant Measure); KPI (Key Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure). ** FY 2013 Budget Target is from 4-year performance measure table in the FY 2013 Congressional Justification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the EPA FY 2011-15 Strategic Plan, submitted to Congress in September 2010.																	
SFL-1	Increase percentage of sewage treatment facilities and onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems receiving advanced wastewater treatment or best available technology as recorded by EDU. in Florida Keys two percent (1500 EDUs) annually.	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			42,000					42,000								
	<b>FY 2009 BASELINE</b>			32,000					32,000								
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			75,000					75,000								
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measure starting in FY11.															
<b>Subobjective 2.2.12 Restore and Protect the Columbia River Basin</b>																	
CR-SP53	Clean up acres of known contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			80												80	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			63												63	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			63												63	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			60												60	
	<b>FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			20												20	
	<b>FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			10												10	
	<b>FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			0												0	
	<b>UNIVERSE</b>			400												400	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	New measures starting in FY08.															
CR-SP54	Demonstrate a reduction in mean concentration of certain contaminants of concern found in water and fish tissue. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			10%												10%	
	<b>FY 2012 COMMITMENT</b>			Deferred												Deferred	
	<b>FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT</b>			92%												92%	
	<b>FY 2011 COMMITMENT</b>			10%												10%	
	<b>FY 2005 BASELINE</b>			5 sites												5 sites	
	<b>National Program Manager Comments</b>	Measure was updated in 2012 for 2014. Commitment deferred for FY12 however, the EPA plans to report EOY results in FY12. Sites: Oregon: West Prong, Little Walla Walla River, South of Stateline Road for Chlorpyrifos and Azinphos methyl; Oregon: North Fork Deep Creek (Clackamas Sub-basin) for Chlorpyrifos; Washington: Walla Walla River, RM 14.3 for DDT and Washington: Yakima River, RM 18-30 for DDT. For detailed information on the baseline, see <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region10/pdf/columbia/baseline_document_2009-2014.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region10/pdf/columbia/baseline_document_2009-2014.pdf</a>															