

Rating Update: MOODY'S DOWNGRADES BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION AND RELATED RATINGS TO Aa1. THE RATING OUTLOOK IS STABLE.

Global Credit Research - 31 Aug 2011

Approximately \$6.3 billion of debt affected

Electric Utilities
WA

Opinion

NEW YORK, Aug 31, 2011 – Moody's has downgraded Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) issuer and related ratings to Aa1 from Aaa. BPA related ratings include Energy Northwest Project 1, Energy Northwest Project 3, Energy Northwest Columbia Generating Station, Conservation and Renewable Energy System Conservation Project, Cowlitz Falls Hydroelectric Project, McNary Dam Fishway HydroElectric, Northwest Infrastructure Financing Corp Transmission Facilities Lease, and Conservation System Project Revenue Bonds. The outlook is stable.

The rating action reflects significant hydrology and market price risk in BPA's power services business that has led to credit quality deterioration over the last several years. Driven by low regional hydrology, low wholesale market prices and rising non-federal debt service, total reserves available for risk dropped a cumulative 36% over a two year period ending in FY 2010 while non-federal debt service coverage dropped to around 1.8-1.9 times in 2009 and 2010 compared to 4.4 times average from 2004 to 2008. In Moody's view, challenging wholesale market conditions are expected to continue over the next several years given low natural gas prices, sustained lower demand and increasing amounts of wind generation during BPA's peak surplus energy sales season. That said, BPA's financial performance achieved relative stability in FY 2011 due to extraordinary high water flows estimated at 133% of average water. For fiscal year-end September 2011, total reserves for risk are expected to decline by \$35 million compared to the \$253 million reduction in FY 2010 and \$200 million reduction in FY 2009. Total reserves for risk at FY 2010 were around \$815 million.

The downgrade also reflects BPA's finalized FY 2012-2013 rate plan that incorporates modest expected decline in BPA's total reserves available for risk at around \$747 million by FY 2013 while non-federal debt service coverage ratios are expected to modestly improve to around 2 times compared to 1.8 times (Moody's calculation) in FY 2010. Total debt service coverage is also expected to improve to around 1.0 times compared to around 0.8 times in FY 2009 and 2010. Strong liquidity remains an important risk mitigation tool since the FY 2012-2013 rate case incorporates substantial gross secondary revenue averaging around \$615 million (net around \$415 million) per year though the amount is on average \$75 million less per year than the FY 2010-2011 rate case.

Moody's notes that BPA's final rate decision incorporates a 7.8% average rate increase, which is lower than the 8.5% proposed. The lower rate increase reflects various lower costs incorporated in the final FY2012-2013 rate period including lower debt costs achieved by Energy Northwest's bond refundings in 2011. Moody's also recognizes that the cost recovery adjustment clause (CRAC) mechanism and the NFB surcharge mechanism are substantially similar to the FY 2010-2011 rate case.

That said, BPA's Aa1 ratings are supported by U.S. government support features including a \$7.7 billion borrowing authority with the US Treasury and the legal ability to defer its annual Treasury repayment (if necessary), BPA's importance to the US Northwest region, strong underlying hydro and transmission assets, and 18-year power supply contracts with creditworthy public power entities for a large majority of power sales.

The stable outlook reflects BPA's expected financial performance and reserves available for risk in FY 2012-2013 according to its final rate case.

BPA's rating could improve over the long term if BPA is able to fully mitigate hydrology and wholesale price risk, if BPA implements policies to ensure strong reserve levels, if BPA sustains financial performance stronger than expected and if the US Government's rating stabilizes at Aaa. Additionally, BPA's related ratings could be upgraded if BPA is upgraded.

BPA's rating could be lowered if BPA's coverage ratios or reserves available for risk materially drops below expected, if US government support features are diminished, if there are federal constraints placed on BPA or if the US government ratings are lowered below Aa1. Additionally, BPA's related ratings could be downgraded if BPA is downgraded or if the underlying contracts (such as the net billing agreements) are violated.

Background on BPA

In 1937, an act of Congress created BPA to market power from hydroelectric facilities constructed on the Columbia River. The Army Corps of Engineers and the Bureau of Reclamation operate the hydro projects. BPA is one of four regional power marketing agencies within the Department of Energy. Many of the statutory authorities of BPA are vested with the Secretary of Energy, who appoints and acts through the BPA administrator. BPA's wholesale power rates are approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to ensure full-cost recovery. Federal law requires BPA to meet specified energy requirements in the Northwest region.

Credit Fundamentals

Strengths

* BPA benefits from U.S. government support including \$7.7 billion in direct borrowing authority with the US Treasury (\$2.51 billion drawn as of FY 2010) and the legal ability to defer its annual Treasury repayment if necessary to meet non-Federal debt service commitments (which includes BPA related debt) under the net billing agreements. BPA has established the planning policy of meeting a 95% probability over the next two years of making its U.S. Treasury payment on time which is a key strategy to ensure timely revenue bond debt service payment

* BPA's extensive hydroelectric system strongly anchors its firm competitive wholesale rate position relative to market based prices over the

long term

* BPA's important role in the northwest region of the U.S. since BPA owns and operates roughly 75% of the bulk transmission system and markets competitive hydroelectric power amounting to roughly 35% of the region's power

* BPA sells a majority of its power under 18-year power supply contracts with creditworthy public power entities and derives roughly 25% of revenues from stable transmission revenues

Challenges

* Significant exposure to hydrology risk and wholesale power markets contributes to cash flow volatility

* Extensive ratemaking process creates potential complications in timely rate recovery

* Conflicting uses of Columbia River, (flood control, irrigation, navigation, recreation, municipal and industrial water supply, fish and wildlife protection and power generation), can hinder the ability of the system to manage load from the federal system and contribute to substantial additional costs

* Development of wind energy has presented complicated transmission/load balancing issues and contributes to lower market prices

* Large debt funded capital program totaling \$2.3 billion for FY 2012-2013

* Decline in BPA's historically strong liquidity and financial metrics in FY 2009 and 2010 though financial metrics and reserves are expected to stabilize in FY 2012-2013

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects BPA's expected financial performance and reserves available for risk in FY 2012-2013 according to its final rate case.

What could move the rating - DOWN

BPA's rating could be lowered if BPA's coverage ratios or reserves available for risk materially drops below expected, if US government support features are diminished, if there are federal constraints placed on BPA or if the US government ratings are lowered below Aa1. Additionally, BPA's related ratings could be downgraded if BPA is downgraded or if the underlying contracts (such as the net billing agreements) are violated.

What could move the rating - UP

BPA's rating could improve over the long term if BPA is able to fully mitigate hydrology and wholesale price risk, if BPA implements policies to ensure strong reserve levels, if BPA sustains financial performance stronger than expected and if the US Government's rating stabilizes at Aaa. Additionally, BPA's related ratings could be upgraded if BPA is upgraded.

KEY STATISTICS:

Aggregate BPA Power Capacity, 2011 Operating Year at median water conditions: 10,755 average megawatts

Non-Federal Debt Service Coverage Ratio, 2010 (reported): 2.2 times

Available BPA Reserves, 2010 (encumbered and unencumbered): \$ 1.11 billion

Total Reserves Available for Risk, 2010: \$815 million

BPA Payment to U.S. Treasury, 2010: \$867 million

Authorized Line of Credit With U.S. Treasury, 2010: \$7.7 billion (\$2.51 billion drawn)

BPA Full-Requirement Power Rate, 2010: \$29/MWh

Columbia Generating Station Nameplate Capacity: 1,130 MW

Non-federal debt, FY 2010: \$6.3 billion

Federal debt, FY 2010: \$6.8 billion

CONTACTS: Don Carbonari, Manager, Debt and Investment Management (503) 230- 3798; Brent Ridge, Energy Northwest CFO (509) 372-5000

The principal methodology used in this rating was U.S. Public Power Electric Utilities published in April 2008. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology .

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity

page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Information sources used to prepare the credit rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings and public information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moodys.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Analysts

Clifford J Kim
Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Dan Aschenbach
Backup Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2011 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ARE MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC.'S ("MIS") CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and

cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness or a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.