REGULATING OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES

WHISTLEBLOWING and other PPPs



Rights of Federal Employees: CSRA and WPA

Bruce Fong Field Office Chief S.F. Bay Area Field Office

U.S. OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL

OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL (OSC) 5 U.S.C. §§ 1211-19; 5 C.F.R. PART 1800



AUTHORIZED TO —

- INVESTIGATE <u>PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES</u> AND OTHER ACTIVITIES PROHIBITED BY CIVIL SERVICE LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION
- <u>SEEK CORRECTIVE ACTION</u> ON BEHALF OF INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE THE VICTIMS OF PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES
- <u>SEEK DISCIPLINARY ACTION</u> AGAINST AGENCY OFFICIALS WHO COMMIT PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES





PROTECTED DISCLOSURE

- PERSONNEL ACTION
- **KNOWLEDGE**
- <u>CAUSAL CONNECTION</u>

WHAT IS WHISTLEBLOWING?



REASONABLE BELIEF DISCLOSURE EVIDENCES:

- A VIOLATION OF ANY LAW, RULE OR REGULATION
- GROSS MISMANAGEMENT
 - MORE THAN DE MINIMIS
- GROSS WASTE OF FUNDS
 - MORE THAN A DEBATABLE EXPENDITURE
- ABUSE OF AUTHORITY
- SUBSTANTIAL AND SPECIFIC DANGER TO PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR SAFETY

WHISTLEBLOWING? (cont'd)



NATIONAL SECURITY MATTERS:

DISCLOSURES ARE <u>NOT PROTECTED</u>, UNLESS MADE TO OSC OR OIG, IF

- PROHIBITED BY LAW, <u>OR</u>
- REQUIRED BY EXECUTIVE ORDER TO BE SECRET
 FOR NATIONAL SECURITY OR FOREIGN AFFAIRS
 REASONS





CONTRIBUTING FACTOR TEST:

ANY FACTOR WHICH ALONE OR IN CONNECTION WITH OTHERS TENDS TO AFFECT IN ANY WAY THE OUTCOME OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION AT ISSUE

- CAN BE ESTABLISHED BY <u>KNOWLEDGE</u> / <u>TIMING</u> ALONE
- OFTEN ESTABLISHED BY <u>CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE</u>

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE



MT. HEALTHY TEST (MODIFIED):

<u>CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE</u> THAT SAME ACTION WOULD HAVE OCCURRED IN ABSENCE OF WHISTLEBLOWING

- STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION
- EXISTENCE AND STRENGTH OF MOTIVE TO <u>RETALIATE</u>
- TREATMENT OF <u>SIMILAR EMPLOYEES</u> WHO ARE NOT WHISTLEBLOWERS

FIRST AMENDMENT



VIOLATION OF FIRST AMENDMENT IS A PPP: 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(12)

- Speech, Press, Association, Petition and Religion
- Speech/Expression: Must Touch Matters of <u>Public</u> <u>Concern</u>
- Balancing Test: Employee-Citizen's Interest in Speaking on Matter of Public Concern v. Employer's Interest in Regulating Speech
- Pickering v. Bd. of Educ., Connick v. Meyers, Garcetti v. Ceballos, Chambers v. Interior

OFF-DUTY CONDUCT



- DISCRIMINATION BASED ON CONDUCT NOT RELATED TO PERFORMANCE, 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(10)
- NEXUS BETWEEN OFF-DUTY CONDUCT AND JOB PERFORMANCE (OR EFFICIENCY OF THE SERVICE)
- 5 U.S.C. § 7513 (Efficiency of Service); 5 C.F.R. § 7.1 (Fill Positions on Basis of Merit and Fitness)