#### **April 2009 Electrical Safety Occurrences**

There were 11 electrical safety occurrences for April 2009:

- 1 resulted in a low-energy shock from a high-frequency source
- 5 involved inadequate lockout/tagout
- 5 involved electrical workers and 6 involved non-electrical workers
- 4 occurrences involved subcontractors
- 1 occurrence involved a vehicle contact with an overhead power line
- 1 occurrence involved penetration of an energized circuit during drilling

April reports indicate that workers are becoming more aware of electrical hazards since many of the reported incidents resulted from one employee observing and stopping an unsafe act before a co-worker was exposed to a hazard. Although there are still too many LOTO violations noted most did not result in an exposure by the offending worker. The single shock event occurred when a technician came in contact with a source of energy less than that needed to trip the GFCI protecting the circuit. The current was believed to be generated by a high-frequency source.

The 11 events this month are equal to the 11 events in April 2008 and seem to follow the trend experienced last year in the months March through August. We can expect that as work increases during the spring and summer months, so does the potential to expose workers to electrical hazards. It will take increased awareness, diligence, and attention-to-detail to reduce the number incidents and reduce the risk associated with electrical energy. May is designated Electrical Safety Awareness Month and is a great time to take a proactive approach to address the predictive undesirable trend in electrical events during the next several months.

In compiling the monthly totals, the search initially looked for occurrence discovery dates in this month (excluding Significance Category R reports), and for the following ORPS "HQ keywords": 01K – Lockout/Tagout Electrical, 01M - Inadequate Job Planning (Electrical), 08A – Electrical Shock, 08J – Near Miss (Electrical), 12C – Electrical Safety

None of the reports provided an ES score. The estimated scores provided in this report are based on information provided in the narratives. Although use of the Electrical Severity Measurement Tool in the evaluation of electrical energy events is not required, it's use is strongly encouraged in order to provide a more consistent approach to tracking and trending electrical energy events.

Period	Electrical Safety Occurrences	Shocks	Burns	Fatalities
January-09	11	2	0	0
February-09	4	1	0	0
March-09	13	1	1	0
April-09	11	1	0	0
2009 total	39 (avg. 9.75/month)	5	1	0
2008 total	113 (avg. 9.4/month)	26	1	0
2007 total	140 (avg. 11.7/month)	25	2	0
2006 total	166 (avg. 13.8/month)	26	3	0
2005 total	165 (avg. 13.8/month)	39	5	0
2004 total	149 (avg. 12.4/month)	25	3	1

Below is the current summary of 2009 electrical safety occurrences:

After one quarter of the calendar year, the average rate of electrical safety occurrences in 2009 is 9.75 per month, which is slightly more than the average rate of 9.4 per month experienced in 2008. The 2009 average rate, of course, is based on a very small set of data and is below the 2004 – 2007 average rates.



EE - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, EM - Environmental Management, FE - Fossil Energy, LM - Legacy Management, NA - National Nuclear Security Administration, NE - Nuclear Energy, RW - Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, SC - Science

### **Electrical Safety Occurrences – April 2009**

No	Report Number	Event Summary	<b>EW</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>N-EW</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	SUB <sup>(3)</sup>	SHOCK	BURN	<b>ARCF</b> <sup>(4)</sup>	LOTO <sup>(5)</sup>	EXCAV <sup>(6)</sup>	<b>CUT/D</b> <sup>(7)</sup>	<b>VEH</b> <sup>(8)</sup>	<b>ES</b> <sup>(8)</sup>
1	EM-RLCPRC-PFP- 2009-0005	While dismantling a conduit, an arc occurred in a wiring gutter.	Х										0
2	EM-SRGOSR- GOSR-2009-0001	A dump truck driven by a subcontractor hit a de-energized 480-volt overhead line with the raised bed.		Х	х							Х	0
3	NALASO-LANL- FIRNGHELAB- 2009-0007	An electrical engineer performed electrical repairs without proper permits.	Х										0
4	NALASO-LANL- NUCSAFGRDS- 2009-0001	An energized circuit was damaged while drill penetrations in a ceiling.		Х							Х		?
5	NALSO-LLNL- LLNL-2009-0019	Metal filings entered an energized panel when a machine tool operator cut metal electrical conduit directly above the panel.		Х					Х				0
6	NE-IDBEA-ATR- 2009-0007	An elevator subcontractor failed to apply a LOTO.	Х		Х				Х				0
7	NE-IDBEA-SMC- 2009-0003	A subcontract electrician opened an MCC and performed work that was not under control of a LOTO.	X		X				Х				0
8	SCBSO-LBL-ALS- 2009-0001	Defective receptacles fail to drain high-frequency generated current to ground, resulting in contact of low-current energy by worker.		Х		Х							30
9	SCPNSO-PNNL- PNNLBOPER-2009- 0007	An employee failed to apply a personal locking device before accessing a de-energized panel.		Х					Х				0
10	SCPNSO-PNNL- PNNLBOPER-2009- 0008	An employee failed to apply a LOTO before accessing an energized electrical panel.		Х					Х				0
11	SCSSO-SU-SLAC- 2009-0010	An elevator subcontractor failed to increase the level of FR PPE before accessing a control panel with a label instructing to do so.	Х		X								500
	TOTAL		5	6	4	1			5		1	1	

### Key

(1)EW = electrical worker, (2)N-EW = non-electrical worker, (3)SUB = subcontractor, (4)ARCF = significant arc flash, (5)LOTO = lockout/tagout, (6)EXCAV = excavation, (7)CUT/D = cutting or drilling, (8)VEH = vehicle event, (9)ES = electrical severity

# **ORPS Operating Experience Report 2** Production GUI - New ORPS

ORPS contains 54142 OR(s) with 57460 occurrences(s) as of 5/5/2009 11:42:48 AM Query selected 11 OR(s) with 11 occurrences(s) as of 5/5/2009 3:23:57 PM

	Download this report in Microsoft Word format. 🕙				
1)Report Number:	EM-RLCPRC-PFP-2009-0005 After 2003 Redesign				
Secretarial Office:	Environmental Management				
Lab/Site/Org:	Hanford Site				
Facility Name:	Plutonium Finishing Plant	Plutonium Finishing Plant			
Subject/Title:	An electrical arc occurred in dismantling activity in an ad	An electrical arc occurred in a wiring gutter during a planned electrical dismantling activity in an adjacent conduit			
Date/Time Discovered:	04/29/2009 20:15 (PTZ)				
Date/Time Categorized:	04/30/2009 11:50 (PTZ)				
Report Type:	Notification				
Report Dates:	Notification	05/04/2009	18:40 (ETZ)		
	Initial Update				
	Latest Update				
	Final				
Significance Category:	3				
Reporting Criteria:	2C(2) - Failure to follow a prescribed hazardous energy control process (e.g., lockout/tagout) or a site condition that results in the unexpected discovery of an uncontrolled hazardous energy source (e.g., live electrical power circuit, steam line, pressurized gas). This criterion does not include discoveries made by zero-energy checks and other precautionary investigations made before work is authorized to begin.				
Cause Codes:					
ISM:	<ol> <li>Define the Scope of Work</li> <li>Analyze the Hazards</li> <li>Develop and Implement Hazard Controls</li> </ol>				
Subcontractor Involved:	No				
Occurrence Description:	On April 29, 2009 at 2015 hours, the PFP Shift Operations Manager (SOI was notified that an electrical arc had occurred in a wiring gutter adjacent a planned electrical dismantling activity in Room 235-B. It was unclear at that time whether any electrical protection devices had tripped and the circuits involved in the arc were unknown. The electrical dismantling wor activity involved a conduit pipe originating at the wiring gutter and extending down to an out of service glovebox conveyor motor control system. The circuits in this conduit pipe had been de-energized and				

	determinated under a task performed earlier. The work instructions directed
	that the conduit pipe be cut, safe and label the wiring as deactivated, after which this safe and labeled wiring remnant would be pulled into the wiring
	gutter and remain there. While the conduit pipe was being manipulated just
	prior to being cut, a loud pop noise was heard. The work team stopped the
	job and notified the Person-in-Charge (PIC). An electrical investigation
	work package was released. The wiring gutter was checked and it is believed
	wiring gutter on the end of the conduit pipe being manipulated pinched
	adjacent wiring between the bushing's grounding lug and the gutter wall,
	compromising the insulation and leading to an arc involving at least one of
	the conductors. An isolation point for the damaged wiring was determined, and a Controlling Organization Lock and Tag applied to the involved circuit.
	Based on information known at the time, the SOM and PFP Operations and
	Maintenance Manager determined additional investigation would need to be
	information available to properly categorize this event and the SOM initiated
	standard non-reportable notifications. Additionally, access was restricted to
	Room 235-B pending completion of the investigation.
	With further information gathered on 04/30/09, management determined the
	event to be reportable. At no time did personnel contact electrical energy.
Cause Description:	
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Does not apply.
Activity Category:	Facility Decontamination/Decommissioning
Immediate Action(s):	1. Halted the work activity
	2. Identified, isolated and controlled energy to damaged wiring.
	3. Restricted access to Room 235-B.
	4. A Critique Meeting was held on 4/30/09 at 1300 hours.
FM Evaluation:	
<b>DOE Facility Representative</b>	
Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is	Yes.
Required:	Before Further Operation? No
	By Whom:
Division or Project:	Plutonium Finishing Plant Closure Project
Plant Area:	200 West Area

System/Building/Equipment:	Bldg. 234-5Z, Room 235-B / Glovebox Conveyor HA-28		
Facility Function:	Plutonium Processing and Handling		
Corrective Action:			
Lessons(s) Learned:			
HQ Keywords:	<ul> <li>01MInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Job Planning</li> <li>(Electrical)</li> <li>07DElectrical Systems - Electrical Wiring</li> <li>12CEH Categories - Electrical Safety</li> <li>14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency</li> </ul>		
HQ Summary:	On April 29, 2009, an electrical arc occurred in a wiring gutter adjacent to a planned electrical dismantling activity. The conduit pipe was being manipulated just prior to being cut and a loud pop noise was heard. All electrical energy was then isolated and controlled. No personnel received an electrical shock or injury from this event. The work team stopped the job and made management notifications. An electrical investigation was started and a critique was held.		
Similar OR Report Number:			
Facility Manager:	Name CROCKER, MARK A.		
	Phone (509) 373-0600		
	Title PFP CLOSURE MANAGER		
Originator:	Name LEONARD, WILLIAM J		
	Phone (509) 373-1820		
	Title SENIOR OPERATIONS MANAGER		
HQ OC Notification:	Date Time Person Notified Organization		
	NA     NA     NA		
Other Notifications:	Data Time Demon Natified Organization		
	Date Time Ferson Notified Organization		
	04/30/2009 12:20 (P1Z) Dickinson, Sharee L. DOE-RL		
Authorized Classifier(AC):	N/A Date: 05/04/2009		
2)Report Number:	EM-SRGOSR-GOSR-2009-0001 After 2003 Redesign		
Secretarial Office:	Environmental Management		
Lab/Site/Org:	Savannah River Site		
Facility Name:	Government Operated Savannah River		

Dump Truck Contacts Overhead Electrical Lines

04/09/2009 08:50 (ETZ) 04/09/2009 14:00 (ETZ)

Update

Subject/Title:

**Report Type:** 

Date/Time Discovered:

**Date/Time Categorized:** 

Report Dates:	Notification	04/10/2009	12:32 (ETZ)		
	Initial Update	04/13/2009	10:10 (ETZ)		
	Latest Update	04/24/2009	16:00 (ETZ)		
	Final				
Significance Category:	3				
Reporting Criteria:	10(3) - A near miss, where from having a reportable co categories should be assign potential risks and the corre 3 occurrence)	10(3) - A near miss, where no barrier or only one barrier prevented an event from having a reportable consequence. One of the four significance categories should be assigned to the near miss, based on an evaluation of the potential risks and the corrective actions taken. (1 of 4 criteria - This is a SC 3 occurrence)			
Cause Codes:					
ISM:	<ul><li>3) Develop and Implement</li><li>4) Perform Work Within C</li></ul>	Hazard Controls Controls			
Subcontractor Involved:	Yes Evans Grading and Paving				
Occurrence Description:	On 04/09/09, while a subcontractor for the U.S. Forest Service-Savannah River (USFS-SR), Evans Grading and Paving was conducting secondary road maintenance when a ten-wheeled dump truck's raised bed contacted overhead 480 volt electrical lines. Two insulated electrical lines were severed and two insulated lines suffered frictional damage to the insulation. At the time of the event, the lines were de-energized as a photocell (switch) is located upstream of the impact area and the event occurred during daylight hours. The truck driver backed the vehicle a safe distance and exited the vehicle.				
Cause Description:	The primary cause of this a attention to the location of on watching the gravel bein truck. When the dump truc mistakenly thought that the line. The contractor's repre he too did not realize how was too late to stop the truc to review the safety plan w accident.	accident was the dump tr the power-line. He may ng gradually dumped ont k driver realized he was e raised truck bed would sentative (CR) was follo close the dump truck wa ck. A contributing cause ith the dump truck drive	uck driver's lack of have been overly focused to the roadway behind the at the power-line he then not contact the power- wing the dump truck, but s to the power-line until it was the failure of the CR r on the day of the		
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Normal daylight hours with	n no rain			
Activity Category:	Maintenance				
Immediate Action(s):	Road maintenance activitie made to USFS-SR, DOE, a electrical disconnect was o lockout/tagout) are being p A critique was held on 04/	es were stopped. Notification of the Site M&O contrapened and work instruction repared for the necessary 13/09. Participants include	tions of the event were ctor. The upstream ions (including a y repairs. ded DOE-SR program		

	representatives, facility representatives and safety officers; USFS-SR project representatives, contracting officer, and safety officer; Savannah River Nuclear Solutions (SRNS) safety personnel; and the contractor (Evans Paving and Grading).
FM Evaluation:	The Manager of the USFS-SR participated in the critique and development of the corrective actions. I (DOE-SR Senior Technical Adviser and Project Officer) also attended the critique and agree with the proposed corrective actions.
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	Yes. Before Further Operation? No By Whom: USFS-SR Manager By When:
Division or Project:	EQMD / AMCP
Plant Area:	K-Area
System/Building/Equipment:	Balance of Plant
Facility Function:	Balance-of-Plant - Site/outside utilities
<b>Corrective Action 01:</b>	Target Completion Date:04/30/2009         Actual Completion Date:
	Look up, review, and evaluate a 2002 power-line incident (on a USFS-SR job site) to see what corrective actions were instituted and determine their relevance to the 2009 accident.
<b>Corrective Action 02:</b>	Target Completion Date:04/30/2009         Actual Completion Date:
	The USFS-SR subcontractor will revise the JHA to incorporate additional level of controls and conduct a safety stand down with all its employees working under this USFS-SR contract.
Corrective Action 03:	Target Completion Date:04/30/2009         Actual Completion Date:
	The USFS-SR will investigate the minimum clearance requirements exclusion for working under power-lines (e.g., road graders) and incorporate this information into appropriate JHAs.
Corrective Action 04:	Target Completion Date:04/30/2009         Actual Completion Date:
	The USFS-SR will review and validate procedures for workers to follow in case of contact with power-lines.
Lessons(s) Learned:	1) The JHA may have been too vague concerning the 25-foot buffer on either side of the power-line. 2)The addition of a warning marker (e.g., green traffic cone 25-feet in front of the power-line) or spotter might have prevented this accident. 3)Special attention is needed to assure that the safety plan is reviewed by all job participants - especially those that are new

	to the job site.		
HQ Keywords:	<ul> <li>01NInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Job Planning (Other)</li> <li>01OInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Maintenance</li> <li>07DElectrical Systems - Electrical Wiring</li> <li>08FOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Industrial Operations Issues</li> <li>08HOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Safety Noncompliance</li> <li>08JOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Near Miss (Electrical)</li> <li>11GOther - Subcontractor</li> <li>12KEH Categories - Near Miss (Could have been a serious injury or fatality)</li> <li>13EManagement Concerns - Facility Call Sheet</li> <li>14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency</li> <li>14GOuality Assurance - Procurement Deficiency</li> </ul>		
HQ Summary:	On April 9, 2009, while conducting secondary road maintenance, the raised bed of a ten-wheeled dump truck operated by a subcontractor for the U.S. Forest Service-Savannah River, hit overhead 480-volt electrical lines. Two electrical lines were severed and the insulation on two other lines was damaged. The electrical lines were not energized because a photocell controls power and the incident occurred during daylight. The truck driver backed the vehicle a safe distance and exited the vehicle. Road maintenance was stopped and the upstream electrical disconnect was locked and tagged out to allow for repairs. A critique is scheduled.		
Similar OR Report Number:			
Facility Manager:	NameRYAN, DENNIS PPhone(803) 952-7824TitleSENIOR TECHNICAL ADVISOR		
Originator:	NameRYAN, DENNIS PPhone(803) 952-7824TitleSENIOR TECHNICAL ADVISOR		
HQ OC Notification:	DateTimePerson NotifiedOrganizationNANANANA		
Other Notifications:	DateTimePerson NotifiedOrganization04/09/200914:00 (ETZ)Fryar, ScottieDOE-SR		
Authorized Classifier(AC):	Drew Grainger Date: 04/10/2009		
3)Report Number:	NALASO-LANL-FIRNGHELAB-2009-0007 After 2003 Redesign		
Secretarial Office:	National Nuclear Security Administration		
Lab/Site/Org:	Los Alamos National Laboratory		

Firing Sites and HE Lab.

Facility Name:

Subject/Title:	MANAGEMENT CONCERN: Energized Work Performed Without Proper Permits			
Date/Time Discovered:	04/01/2009 14:45 (MTZ)	04/01/2009 14:45 (MTZ)		
Date/Time Categorized:	04/01/2009 14:45 (MTZ)	04/01/2009 14:45 (MTZ)		
Report Type:	Notification			
Report Dates:	Notification	04/02/2009	19:46 (ETZ)	
	Initial Update			
	Latest Update			
	Final			
Significance Category:	3			
Reporting Criteria:	10(2) - An event, conditi other reporting criteria, to management to be of saf activities in the DOE con should be assigned to the risks and the corrective a occurrence)	on, or series of events that but is determined by the Fa ety significance or of conc nplex. One of the four sign e occurrence, based on an e actions taken. (1 of 4 criter	t does not meet any of the acility Manager or line cern to other facilities or nificance categories evaluation of the potential ia - This is a SC 3	
Cause Codes:				
ISM:				
Subcontractor Involved:	No			
Occurrence Description:	MARAGEMENT STROPSIS: On Match 17, 2009, at reclinical Area 39, Building 57 (TA-39/57), an International and Applied Technology Division (IAT), Applied Electromagnetics (IAT-2) Group Research and Development (R & D) Electrical Engineer, while configuring a power system to support a programmatic demonstration, deviated from the approved "Power Line Configuration Procedure" PRC-08-0001, Rev A (PRC-08-0001), resulting in diagnostic work being performed on an energized system. A critique was held on April 1, 2009 whereby the Facility Operations Director Designee (FOD) categorized the event as a Management Concern Group 10, Subgroup 2, Significance Category 3. This event neither resulted in a personal injury nor any long term programmatic, facility, or environmental impacts.			
	BACKGROUND: In Jur programmatic power inst installation was never co Additionally, the system since early months of 20 was scheduled for testing would need to be energiz performed by three IAT- licensed electrician, and 0001. All work covered	the 2008, an upgrade was contallation at TA39/57; howe mmissioned due to shifted in the required configurate 08. In March 2009, the equinate g and, in support of that efficient ed. The power line config 2 personnel including the a technician in accordance by this procedure per a haze	ompleted on the ever the upgraded l programmatic priorities. ion had not been used uipment configuration fort; the power system guration (PLC) would be R & D engineer, a e with the PRC-08-10- zard analysis of the work	

is deemed to be low hazard and does not require an Integrated Work
Document (IWD) to be generated when all engineered and administrative
barriers are in place. Note: PLC work is not considered "testing operations",
which may require an IWD pending hazard analysis. Additionally, PRC-08-
0001 dictates proper (and specific) sequencing and procedural steps when
operating the programmatic power system (PPS) and identifies appropriate
operation of the system including Kirk Key engineered interlock controls for
the safe isolation of power (vs. a formal lockout/tagout process).

On March 17, 2009, PRC-08-10-0001 was reviewed, updated, and signed by workers, R and D Electrical Engineer, IAT -2 Responsible Line Manager (RLM), and two Facility Operations Directors (FOD) designees associated with Science and Technology Operations and the Weapons Facilities. A few days prior to March 17, 2009, the R & D Electrical Engineer drove down the entire programmatic utility to ensure that there were no obvious problems. He and the two other workers then did a pre-job brief against PRC-08-10-0001 prior to testing the programmatic utility. During the start-up process, it became apparent that there was a problem with the programmatic power delivery. While still working within the PRC-08-10-0001 process, the R & D Electrical Engineer determined that the problem was likely occurring with equipment installed during the 2008 upgrade. Since no work had been performed since the upgrade and the upgrade did not under go a final commissioning at the conclusion of the upgrade, no one realized earlier that a problem existed with the power flow. At this point, R & D Electrical Engineer proceeded with diagnostic analysis which required that the affected sections be energized. Upon discovering the source of the problem (incorrect wiring connections), R & D Electrical Engineer proceeded to fix the problem. The consequence of R & D Electrical Engineer's actions resulted in work being performed outside the scope of work of PRC-08-10-0001 and without proper work permits or an IWD. According to the Weapons Facility (WFO) Electrical Safety Officer (ESO), the programmatic utility troubleshooting was conducted in a safe manner but it should have been performed using an IWD.

## Cause Description:

<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Normal
Activity Category:	Normal Operations (other than Activities specifically listed in this Category)
Immediate Action(s):	<ul> <li>1)IAT-2 group management formally Paused Work on programmatic utilities work at TA-39/57 on 3/31/09 at approximately 1500 to evaluate the event.</li> <li>2)A formal walk down of PRC-08-0001, as related to TA-39-/57, took place at 04/02/2009, 0830 hours with all relevant personnel, including the Weapons Facility Operations-Weapons Facilities (WFO-WF) Operations Manager.</li> </ul>
FM Evaluation:	
DOE Facility Representative	

Input:			
DOE Program Manager Input:			
Further Evaluation is Required:	Yes. Before Further Operation? No By Whom: ESH-OFF & FOD By When: 05/15/2009		
Division or Project:	International and Applied Technologies		
Plant Area:	ГА-39-57		
System/Building/Equipment:	Programatic Utilities		
Facility Function:	Balance-of-Plant - Site/outside utilities		
Corrective Action:			
Lessons(s) Learned:			
HQ Keywords:	01MInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Job Planning (Electrical) 01OInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Maintenance 12BEH Categories - Conduct of Operations 14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency		
HQ Summary:	On March 17, 2009, while configuring a power system to support a programmatic demonstration at Technical Area 39, Building 57, an electrical engineer deviated from the approved Power Line Configuration Procedure when he performed diagnostic work on an energized system. The electrical engineer was trying to diagnose a problem with the programmatic power delivery, which required the affected sections to be energized. Upon discovering incorrect wiring connections, the electrical engineer proceeded to fix the problem, which was performed outside the scope of work of the procedure and without proper work permits or an Integrated Work Document. A critique was held.		
Similar OR Report Number:			
Facility Manager:	NameR. R. Sharp-GeigerPhone(505) 667-4246TitleWFO Facility Operations Director		
Originator:	NameTALLARICO, ANTONIAPhone(505) 665-6988TitleOCCURRENCE INVESTIGATOR		
HQ OC Notification:	DateTimePerson NotifiedOrganizationNANANANA		
Other Notifications:	Date Time Person Notified Organization		
	04/01/2009 14:45 (MTZ) Roberto Torres NNSA		

Authorized Classifier(AC): Antonia Tallarico Date: 04/02/2009

4)Report Number:	NALASO-LANL-NUCSA	FGRDS-2009-0001 Af	ter 2003 Redesign			
Secretarial Office:	National Nuclear Security A	National Nuclear Security Administration				
Lab/Site/Org:	Los Alamos National Labora	atory				
Facility Name:	Nuclear Safeguards					
Subject/Title:	Management Concern: Circu	it Breakers Tripped				
Date/Time Discovered:	04/30/2009 12:00 (MTZ)					
Date/Time Categorized:	04/30/2009 12:05 (MTZ)					
Report Type:	Notification					
Report Dates:	Notification	05/04/2009	20:15 (ETZ)			
	Initial Update					
	Latest Update					
	Final					
Significance Category:	3		,			
Reporting Criteria:	10(2) - An event, condition, or series of events that does not meet any of the other reporting criteria, but is determined by the Facility Manager or line management to be of safety significance or of concern to other facilities or activities in the DOE complex. One of the four significance categories should be assigned to the occurrence, based on an evaluation of the potential risks and the corrective actions taken. (1 of 4 criteria - This is a SC 3 occurrence)					
Cause Codes:						
ISM:						
Subcontractor Involved:	No					
Occurrence Description:	MANAGEMENT SYNOPS Technology Operations (ST a management concern due to Area 3, Building 2322 result ceiling penetration work. Or manager (OM) received an e power outage on the fourth f a circuit breaker and main cir The breakers were re-set and indicated two possible scena the insulation on a conducto concrete between the third a during penetration work per electrical panel where these overloaded. The STO operat	IS: On April 30, 2009, D) Facility Operations I to the tripping of circuit ant of a conduit being of April 28, 2009, at 182 electronic page from a t loor of TA3-2322. Sub rcuit breaker on the fou I the power restored. Pr rios for the impact to the r(s) inside of the condu- nd fourth floor may have formed on the third floor circuit breakers were loo ions manager suspende	at 1205, the Science and Director (FOD) declared t breakers in Technical compromised during 2, the STO operations enant informing him of a sequent inspection found arth floor had tripped. reliminary evaluation ne circuit breakers. First, it embedded in the we been compromised or. Secondly, the boated may be ed the penetration work			

pending further evaluation.

BACKGROUND: The following is the sequence of events relative to the event:

On April 28, 2009, at 1822, the STO operations manager (OM) received an electronic page from a tenant informing him of a power outage on the fourth floor of TA3-2322. At 1840, the OM responded to the site and began to investigate. He went to the electrical panel in the east electrical closet and found a breaker tripped. The OM reset the breaker, but power was not restored. He then observed the main circuit breaker in the tripped position. Prior to resetting the breaker, the OM went to another electrical closet on the fourth floor to check on the breakers in that electrical panel. No breakers were found in the tripped position. He went back to the first panel and turned off all the breakers in the panel. He re-set the main breaker without incident restoring power. The OM observed no evidence of scorching on the electrical panel.

After realizing carpenters were performing a Class 1 penetration in the ceiling on the third floor, the OM went to check on the work. The work was being performed in support of a security project. The carpenters indicated they had made shallow penetrations of approximately one inch in depth and 1/4 inch in diameter to install six anchors in the ceiling. Visual inspection of the drill bits used for the penetration and the electrical outlet where the drill was plugged into showed no signs of scorching. The carpenters reported no abnormalities with work. The work was authorized under an integrated work document and a Class 1 penetration permit had been generation. The carpenters wore di-electric gloves and used a double-insulated drill and GFCI protection to perform the penetrations. Subsequent review determined the penetration work was conducted in accordance with LANL Procedure P101-22, "Penetration Operations Safety Program." Because of the reason(s) for the tripping of the circuit breakers was indeterminate, the OM suspended the penetration work and secured the room pending further evaluation. The OM notified the project superintendent of the work suspension.

The OM notified the STO engineering manager who responded to the scene. After visual inspection, the STO engineering manager determined the area was in a safe configuration until a more thorough inspection could be conducted. The OM notified and briefed the STO FOD on the status of the situation.

On April 29, 2009, at 0720, the STO maintenance coordinator conducted a walk-down of the affected area. He observed no scorching in the electrical outlets or breakers in the tripped position.

At 1000, the STO FOD convened a critique to review the sequence of events

and to determine a path forward. Based on the information collected and the determination the event posed no safety or fire hazard, the STO FOD categorized the event as sub-threshold reportable pending further inspection and testing. A path forward was developed which included: a) develop a formal plan and integrated work document (IWD) to conduct further inspection and testing of the circuits to determine any damages to the circuit conductors; b) removed the anchors previously installed to determine the presence of a conduit in the concrete; c) notify tenants and security personnel of the testing; and d) this work would be conducted on April 29, 2009, after the proper review and approvals have been obtained. A follow-up critique was scheduled for April 30, 2009.

The Chief Electrical Safety Officer and the STO electrical safety officer evaluated the event using the electrical severity measurement tool. The evaluation resulted in a score of 10 which was considered low hazard due to the workers wearing the appropriate personal protective equipment and the use of double-insulated tools.

After the critique, the STO FOD tasked the STO maintenance manager to review the IWDs for the penetration work. The review found the IWD had no mention of the embedded conduit hazard.

At approximately 1630, a pre-job briefing was held for the breaker crew. After the briefing, the breaker crew locked and tagged out the necessary circuit breakers and began the inspection and testing of the circuit breakers. Using electrical testing (meggering), the initial evaluation of the circuits did not result in a direct indication of damage to the circuit conductor insulation. It was subsequently determined from pictures taken during the original facility construction that the embedded conduit was non-metallic.

After the anchor bolts were removed, it was discovered the south anchor bolt and possibly another one in the ceiling penetration had penetrated a conduit causing the breaker to trip. An electrical insulation check determined Circuit Conductor 21 on LP-72 had been slightly damaged; however, the testing resulted in more than adequate insulation resistance which subsequent tripping probably would not occur. The breach in insulation, the apparently undamaged adjacent conductors in the same conduit, and the conduit was non-metallic contributed to this assertion. In spite of adequate insulation resistance measurements, the Circuit Conductor 21 was left in the off position pending further action(s) to affect corrective maintenance.

At 2230, the follow-on activities were completed. The OM notified the STO FOD of the status of the work.

On April 30, 2009, at 1115, a follow-up critique was conducted. The OM indicated a conduit in the ceiling had been slightly compromised during the

	penetration work impacting Circuit 21. Based on this information, it was determined the ceiling penetration caused the main breaker to trip. The STO FOD re-categorized the event as a reportable management concern.
Cause Description:	
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Normal Operations
Activity Category:	Normal Operations (other than Activities specifically listed in this Category)
Immediate Action(s):	<ol> <li>The STO OM suspended the penetration work pending further review. The work will be resumed after the IWDs for the project have been reviewed and re-approved.</li> <li>The STO OM eccentration and the resume have the result of the project have been reviewed have been reviewed.</li> </ol>
	2. The STO OW secured the room where the penetration work was being performed.
	3. At the direction of the STO FOD, further inspection and testing was conducted on the circuits to determine any damages to circuit conductors. The inspection found a conduit in the ceiling had been penetrated causing the breaker to trip. An electrical insulation check determined Circuit Conductor 21 on LP-72 was slightly damaged. The Circuit Conductor 21 was left in the off position pending further action(s) to affect corrective maintenance.
	4. The STO FOD tasked the STO maintenance manager to review the work packages for the security construction project to ensure their appropriateness. In addition, the roles and responsibilities for the project personnel and interfaces between the project, STO maintenance, and STO operations will be evaluated.
	5. The Project Management personnel will have the project's design engineering firm review alternatives for ceiling penetrations.
FM Evaluation:	
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	Yes. Before Further Operation? No By Whom: STO-DO, PMF-DO & CAO-PF By When: 06/12/2009
Division or Project:	Science and Technology Operations Division
Plant Area:	TA3-2322 4th Floor
System/Building/Equipment:	LP-70 Main Circuit Breaker
Facility Function:	Balance-of-Plant - Safeguards/security
<b>Corrective Action:</b>	

Lessons(s) Learned:			
HQ Keywords:	<ul> <li>01MInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Job Planning</li> <li>(Electrical)</li> <li>07CElectrical Systems - Power Outage</li> <li>07DElectrical Systems - Electrical Wiring</li> <li>12CEH Categories - Electrical Safety</li> <li>14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency</li> </ul>		
HQ Summary:	On April 30, 2009, a management concern was declared due to the tripping of circuit breakers in Building 2322 as a result of a conduit being compromised during ceiling penetration work. On April 28, 2009, an inspection in response to a power outage found a circuit breaker and main circuit breaker on the fourth floor had tripped. The breakers were reset and the power restored. Management suspended the penetration work pending further evaluation.		
Similar OR Report Number:			
Facility Manager:	NameGail JohnsonPhone(505) 667-4362TitleSTO Facility Operations Director		
Originator:	NameYAZZIE, ALVA MPhone(505) 664-0666TitleOCCURRENCE INVESTIGATOR		
HQ OC Notification:	DateTimePerson NotifiedOrganizationNANANANA		
Other Notifications:	DateTimePerson NotifiedOrganization05/04/200908:55 (MTZ)Notification LineNNSA		
Authorized Classifier(AC):	Antonia Tallarico Date: 05/04/2009		
5)Report Number:	NALSO-LLNL-LLNL-2009-0019 After 2003 Redesign		
Secretarial Office:	National Nuclear Security Administration		
Lab/Site/Org:	Lawrence Livermore National Lab.		
Facility Name:	Lawrence Livermore Nat. Lab. (BOP)		
Subject/Title:	Near Miss Involving Non-authorized Energized Work in Building 691		
Date/Time Discovered:	04/23/2009 14:30 (PTZ)		
Date/Time Categorized	04/24/2009 14:45 (PTZ)		

16:55 (ETZ)

04/28/2009

Notification

Notification

Initial Update

**Report Type:** 

**Report Dates:** 

	Latest Update				
	Final				
Significance Category:	3				
Reporting Criteria:	<ul> <li>2C(2) - Failure to follow a prescribed hazardous energy control process (e.g., lockout/tagout) or a site condition that results in the unexpected discovery of an uncontrolled hazardous energy source (e.g., live electrical power circuit, steam line, pressurized gas). This criterion does not include discoveries made by zero-energy checks and other precautionary investigations made before work is authorized to begin.</li> <li>10(3) - A near miss, where no barrier or only one barrier prevented an event from having a reportable consequence. One of the four significance categories should be assigned to the near miss, based on an evaluation of the potential risks and the corrective actions taken. (1 of 4 criteria - This is a SC 3 occurrence)</li> </ul>				
Cause Codes:					
ISM:					
Subcontractor Involved:	No				
Occurrence Description:	On the morning of April 23, performing Decommission a Room 116, cut into multiple three energized electrical par conductors were touched, ho chips/shavings into the elect potential for an arc flash and At approximately 1:45 PM of observed the energized pane completed this portion of the this time. The construction in management in charge of the subject matter expert (SME) the electrical safety SME. Th Out (LOTO) procedures, the debris and "knockout" panel conduit. There was no evidence of an report of injuries and no indi This was confirmed by inter management.	2009, a Machine Tool nd Demolition (D&D) empty metal electrical hels (480/277 & 208/12 owever, the cutting coul rical panels through the possible severe person on April 23rd, a facility ls with cut conduit stub e work and were not pre- nanager then contacted e electrical work and the . The area was secured, he panel was de-energiz panels were then vacu s installed in the holes I arc flash or damage at cation of any contact we wiew with the affected w	Services (MTS) worker work in Building 691, conduits directly above 20 volt). No energized d have introduced metal e conduit, creating the al injury. construction manager as. The MTS workers had esent in Building 691 at facility management, e LLNL electrical safety based on guidance from zed using Lock Out/Tag umed and cleaned of left by removal of the or in the panels, no vith hazardous energy. workers and their		
	The worker stated he had LC	OTO'd the panels, disco	nnected the conductors in		

	the panel and pulled them out of the conduits. He then cleared the LOTO on the panels and re-energized them (to clear a facility trouble alarm condition). The worker then proceeded to cut the conduit from which he had just pulled the cabling.
	As the power panels had been re-energized the cutting of the conduit was considered work on or near energized electrical equipment, requiring either LOTO or appropriate permitting and personal protective equipment. Neither of which were in place. For this reason this Occurrence Report meets the criteria for a Group 2(C)2, SC3.
	The incident resulted in an electrical severity score in the "high" category (700), using the EFCOG Electrical Severity Measurement Tool. Based on this result, and the result of a critique held Monday, April 27, it was determined that this incident met the criteria for a Group 10(3), SC3, "Near Miss" OR. A management review of the incident will be conducted.
Cause Description:	
Operating Conditions:	Does not apply
Activity Category:	Facility Decontamination/Decommissioning
Immediate Action(s):	The work was halted, the area placed in a safe, secure status and a critique held.
FM Evaluation:	The Final Report is due to the ORO by 6/5/2009. The Final Report is due for entry into ORPS by 6/8/2009.
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	Yes. Before Further Operation? No By Whom: Bob Dillman By When: 06/05/2009
Division or Project:	S&T Eng
Plant Area:	Site 200
System/Building/Equipment:	Building 691 Electrical System/Panels
Facility Function:	Balance-of-Plant - Machine shops
<b>Corrective Action:</b>	
Lessons(s) Learned:	
HQ Keywords:	01EInadequate Conduct of Operations - Operations Procedure Noncompliance 01MInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Job Planning (Electrical) 07DElectrical Systems - Electrical Wiring

	08JOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Near Miss (Electrical) 12KEH Categories - Near Miss (Could have been a serious injury or fatality) 14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency						
HQ Summary:	On April 23, 2009, a Machine Tool Services worker performing decommission and demolition work in Building 691, Room 116, cut into multiple empty metal electrical conduits directly above three energized electrical panels (480/277 and 208/120 volt). No energized conductors were touched; however, the cutting could have introduced metal chips/shavings into the electrical panels through the conduit, creating the potential for an arc flash and possible severe personal injury. The work was halted, the area placed in a safe and secure status. A critique was held						
Similar OR Report Number:							
Facility Manager:	Name	e Mon	ya Lane				
	Phon	Phone (925) 422-1886					
	Title	Title Engineering Associate Director (Acting)					
Originator:	Name	Name FREEMAN, JEFFREY W					
	Phone (925) 424-6787						
	Title	Title OCCURRENCE REPORTING					
HQ OC Notification:	Date	Time	Person Notifi	ed Organizati	on		
	NA	NA	NA	NA			
Other Notifications:	D	ate	Time	Person Notif	ied Orga	nization	
	04/24	/2009	15:00 (PTZ)	Tracey Simps	son ES	H TL	
	04/24	/2009	15:05 (PTZ)	Joel Bower	s L	EDO	
	04/24	/2009	15:15 (PTZ)	Henry Rio	NNS	A/LSO	
	04/24	/2009	15:32 (PTZ)	Steve Liedl	e LLN	NL DD	
Authorized Classifier(AC):							
6)Report Number:	NE-II	NE-IDBEA-ATR-2009-0007 After 2003 Redesign					
Secretarial Office:	Nucle	ar Ene	rgy, Science a	and Technolog	gy		

Lab/Site/Org:	Idaho National Laboratory
Facility Name:	Advanced Test Reactor
Subject/Title:	Unguarded Energized 480 Volt Freight Elevator Control Panel Discovered at the Advanced Test Reactor (ATR)
Date/Time Discovered:	04/08/2009 09:00 (MTZ)
Date/Time Categorized:	04/08/2009 14:30 (MTZ)
Report Type:	Notification

Report Dates:	Notification	04/13/2009	18:21 (ETZ)			
	Initial Update					
	Latest Update					
	Final					
Significance Category:	3					
Reporting Criteria:	2C(2) - Failure to follow a prescribed hazardous energy control process (e.g., lockout/tagout) or a site condition that results in the unexpected discovery of an uncontrolled hazardous energy source (e.g., live electrical power circuit, steam line, pressurized gas). This criterion does not include discoveries made by zero-energy checks and other precautionary investigations made before work is authorized to begin.					
Cause Codes:						
ISM:	4) Perform Work Within Con	ntrols				
Subcontractor Involved:	Yes Schlinder Elevator Corporati	on				
Occurrence Description:	At approximately 0900 on A ATR facility, a DOE Facility panel with the panel cover re- second basement, determined energized when he noticed li on. The panel cover was rem- barriers were in place to prev FacRep also noted, on the lo (rated at 5000 volts) covering not covering the exposed win alternating current [ac]). The FacRep left the area to c ATR Shift Supervisor (SS) of not know what voltage was of FacRep was gone, the subcor on the ATR freight elevator is troubleshooting a problem w controls. The subcontractor, company lockout/tagout (LC and installed a personal lock present. It was later discover accomplished by observing to disconnect was open instead required by procedure.	pril 8, 2009, during an 6 Representative (FacRe emoved. The FacRep, w d the freight elevator co ghts and a Liquid Cryst loved, no workers were vent access to unqualifie wer portion of the contr g the 480 volt connection ing to the fuse holders of consult with another Fac on the wiring to the fuse intractor who had been d for the past several wee ith the "Down Slow" so working under the esco 0/TO) program, opened and tag on the disconne ed that zero energy veri he lights and LCD goin of using a meter to dete	by ersight tour of the p) discovered a control hile touring the ATR ntrol panel was al Display (LCD) were in attendance, and no ed personnel. The ol panel, vinyl material ons feeding the panel, but (energized by 110 volts Rep and to notify the s, at the time, the FR did holders. While the loing the overhaul work ks, was in the process of plenoid for the elevator rt criteria in the the power disconnect ect, without the escort fication was being g off when the ermine zero energy as			

Cause Description:	
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	The Advanced Test Reactor was operating at nominal full power for Cycle 144A-1.
Activity Category:	Normal Operations (other than Activities specifically listed in this Category)
Immediate Action(s):	<ul><li>Appropriate levels of BEA management and DOE-ID personnel were notified of this event.</li><li>A critique was scheduled for April 9, 2009, at 0800 hours.</li><li>A barrier was installed around the control panel to prevent access.</li></ul>
FM Evaluation:	
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	No
Division or Project:	ATR Programs
Plant Area:	Second Basement
System/Building/Equipment:	Advanced Test Reactor, TRA-670
Facility Function:	Category "A" Reactors
<b>Corrective Action:</b>	
Lessons(s) Learned:	
HQ Keywords:	01AInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Conduct of Operations (miscellaneous) 01KInadequate Conduct of Operations - Lockout/Tagout Noncompliance (Electrical) 01RInadequate Conduct of Operations - Management issues 08HOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Safety Noncompliance 11GOther - Subcontractor 12IEH Categories - Lockout/Tagout (Electrical or Mechanical) 14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency 14GQuality Assurance - Procurement Deficiency
HQ Summary:	On April 8, 2009, during an oversight tour of the ATR facility, a DOE Facility Representative discovered a panel cover removed from an energized control panel for a freight elevator. There were no workers in attendance and no barriers were in place to prevent access to unqualified personnel. After the Facility Representative left the area, the subcontractor, who was overhauling the elevator under the escort criteria in the company lockout/tagout program, opened the power disconnect and installed a personal lock and tag on the disconnect, without the escort present. It was later discovered that zero energy verification was performed by observing the disconnect indicating lights instead of using a meter to determine zero

	energy as required by procedure. A barrier was installed around the control panel to prevent access and a critique was held.					
Similar OR Report Number:	1. NE	-IDE	EA-ATR-2009	9-0006		
Facility Manager:	Name	e SCH	IUEBERT, ED	MOND J		
	Phon	e (208	3) 533-4284			
	Title	ATE	R OPERATION	NS FACILITY M	IANAGER	
Originator:	Name	e OW	ENS, MARJO	RIE A		
	Phon	e (208	3) 533-4563			
	Title	ATE	R OPERATION	IS FACILITY A	DMINISTR	ATI
HQ OC Notification:	Date	Time	Person Notifie	d Organization		
	NA	NA	NA	NA		
<b>Other Notifications:</b>	D	ate	Time	Person Notified	Organizatio	n
	04/08	8/2009	09:00 (MTZ)	J. Geringer	DOE-ID	
	04/08	8/2009	12:45 (MTZ)	R. Denning	DOE-ID	
	04/08	8/2009	14:30 (MTZ)	R. Denning	DOE-ID	
Authorized Classifier(AC):	E. BR	UCE	CRISWELL	Date: 04/13/200	09	

7)Report Number:	NE-IDBEA-SMC-2009-0003 After 2003 Redesign					
Secretarial Office:	Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology					
Lab/Site/Org:	Idaho National Laboratory	Idaho National Laboratory				
Facility Name:	Specific Manufacturing Capa	ability				
Subject/Title:	Work Performed in Energize	d Electrical Panel With	out Proper Protection			
Date/Time Discovered:	04/06/2009 07:10 (MTZ)					
Date/Time Categorized:	04/06/2009 08:30 (MTZ)	04/06/2009 08:30 (MTZ)				
Report Type:	Notification					
Report Dates:	Notification	04/08/2009	16:57 (ETZ)			
	Initial Update					
	Latest Update					
	Final					
Significance Category:	3					
Reporting Criteria:	2C(2) - Failure to follow a prescribed hazardous energy control process (e.g., lockout/tagout) or a site condition that results in the unexpected discovery of an uncontrolled hazardous energy source (e.g., live electrical power circuit, steam line, pressurized gas). This criterion does not include discoveries made by zero-energy checks and other precautionary					

	investigations made before work is authorized to begin.
Cause Codes:	
ISM:	
Subcontractor Involved:	Yes K & D Electric
Occurrence Description:	On April 6, 2009, at the Specific Manufacturing Capability (SMC) facility, a BEA employee observed a subcontractor electrician open a Motor Control Center (MCC) panel, and recognized that the work was not being performed under a Lockout/Tagout. The BEA employee notified the SMC Safety and Health Manager and the SMC Electrical Foreman of the observation. The subcontractor electrician performed work in violation of the work control requirements established contractually by INL Subcontractor Requirements Manual, Requirements Document RD-2011 Electrical Safety and RD-2012 Lockouts and Tagouts. No personnel were injured as a result of this event. With the circuit breakers in an open and undamaged condition there was no electrical shock hazard. However, the flash boundary, for the entire MCC, is calculated to be 61.9 inches, which included the area where the subcontractor had performed work without the proper PPE as required by RD-2011 and NFPA 70E.
Cause Description:	
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Construction
Activity Category:	Construction
Immediate Action(s):	BEA Facility and Site Services management placed an immediate suspension (see below) on subcontractor energized electrical work and work requiring a Lockout/Tagout until the issue can be addressed. "ALL ELECTRICAL WORK IS TO STOP IMMEDIATELY THAT INVOLVES LOCK OUT TAG OUT AND/OR WORKING IN LIVE OR POTENTIALLY LIVE PANELS UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE. PRIOR TO WORKING IN OR AROUND ONE OF THESE CONDITIONS YOU MUST CONTACT CONSTRUCTION. RUNNING OF CONDUIT, PULLING OF WIRE, ETC. MAY CONTINUE."
FM Evaluation:	To be determined
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	Yes. Before Further Operation? Yes By Whom: Anderson Eric K

	By When: 05/15/2009		
Division or Project:	F&SS, Construction Management		
Plant Area:	SMC		
System/Building/Equipment:	Electrical Power Supply/TAN- 629/MCC-AE-3		
Facility Function:	Uranium Conversion/Processing and Handling		
Corrective Action:			
Lessons(s) Learned:			
HQ Keywords:	01KInadequate Conduct of Operations - Lockout/Tagout Noncompliance (Electrical) 01MInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Job Planning (Electrical) 08HOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Safety Noncompliance 11GOther - Subcontractor 12IEH Categories - Lockout/Tagout (Electrical or Mechanical) 14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency 14GQuality Assurance - Procurement Deficiency		
HQ Summary:	On April 6, 2009, a Specific Manufacturing Capability facility worker observed a subcontractor electrician open a Motor Control Center panel and perform work that was not under appropriate lockout and tagout controls. The worker made appropriate management notifications of the observation. There was no electrical shock or injury as a result of this event. With the circuit breakers in an open and undamaged condition, there was no electrical shock hazard. Management placed an immediate suspension on all subcontractor energized electrical work.		
Similar OR Report Number:			
Facility Manager:	NameSPELLS, JIMMY LPhone(208) 526-6012TitleFACILITY SUPERVISOR		
Originator:	NameGERDES, ANNETTE WPhone(208) 526-6355TitleOPERATIONS SUPPORT		
HQ OC Notification:	DateTimePerson NotifiedOrganizationNANANANA		
Other Notifications:	DateTimePerson NotifiedOrganization04/06/200908:30 (MTZ)Wayne MoeSMC Ops04/06/200908:56 (MTZ)Joel DulingSMC Dir04/06/200910:00 (MTZ)Robert SealDOE-ID		
Authorized Classifier(AC):	Karl Griffin Date: 04/08/2009		

8)Report Number:					
	SCBSO-LBL-ALS-2009-0001 After 2003 Redesign				
Secretarial Office:	Science				
Lab/Site/Org:	Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory				
Facility Name:	Advanced Light Source Divi	Advanced Light Source Division			
Subject/Title:	Defective Electrical Recepta	cles at ALS			
Date/Time Discovered:	04/14/2009 15:10 (PTZ)				
Date/Time Categorized:	04/14/2009 16:38 (PTZ)				
Report Type:	Notification/Final				
Report Dates:	Notification	04/16/2009	18:10 (ETZ)		
	Initial Update	04/16/2009	18:10 (ETZ)		
	Latest Update	04/16/2009	18:10 (ETZ)		
	Final	04/16/2009	18:10 (ETZ)		
Significance Category:	4				
Reporting Criteria:	<ul> <li>4C(3) - Discovery of any defective item or material, other than a suspect/counterfeit item or material, in any application whose failure could result in a loss of safety function, or present a hazard to public or worker health and safety.</li> <li>A defective item or material is any item or material that does not meet the commercial standard or procurement requirements as defined by catalogues, proposals, procurement specifications, design specifications, testing requirements, contracts, or the like. It does not include parts or services that fail or are otherwise found to be inadequate because of random failures or errors within the accepted reliability level.</li> </ul>				
	errors within the accepted rel	liability level.			
Cause Codes:	errors within the accepted rel	liability level.			
Cause Codes: ISM:	<ul><li>errors within the accepted rel</li><li>2) Analyze the Hazards</li></ul>	liability level.			
Cause Codes: ISM: Subcontractor Involved:	<ul><li>errors within the accepted rel</li><li>2) Analyze the Hazards</li><li>No</li></ul>	liability level.			

Cause Description:	
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Indoors, lighted, dry
Activity Category:	Maintenance
Immediate Action(s):	<ul> <li>The first pump cart was tagged out</li> <li>The plug strip was tagged out</li> </ul>
FM Evaluation:	The pump cart was plugged into a 120V GFCI receptacle located in a raceway mounted under a table. The GFCI did not trip. An ammeter connected in series between the case and the grounded surface measured 0.55mA of current. GFCI trip setting is 4-6 mA, currents below this level are considered to be non-hazardous.
	During initial investigation, the EM technician tried plugging the cart into each of the four duplex receptacles mounted in the raceway. He found that the problem existed in three out of four cases. He suspected that there was a grounding problem in three of the receptacles.
	The LBNL electrical safety engineer conducted a follow-up investigation and noted the following findings:
	1. All metal surfaces of the cart were found to be electrically continuous (not 'floating'), measuring 54 mOhm resistance from the top to the bottom of the cart.
	2. With the addition of the cord, the resistance increased to 117 mOhm which is not unusual.
	3. When testing the ground pins of the receptacles with a receptacle tension tester, he found zero tension on the three problem receptacles, and $\sim 20$ oz. tension on the good receptacle. Minimum acceptable tension is 4 oz. Since the ground pin depends on this tension to establish a reliable path to ground, this indicated that at the time of the incident, the equipment grounding path was poor to nonexistent.
	4. The three defective receptacles were all Arrow-Hart #GF5342.
	5. A survey of other receptacles in the area revealed that 10 out of 12 Arrow- Hart receptacles had no ground tension. All other non-Arrow-Hart receptacles in the area were found to be satisfactory.
	Preliminary Conclusions:
	1. The source of the voltage is likely to be one or more EMI Filters. These filters use capacitors to shunt undesirable frequencies to ground. With a properly grounded system, these tiny currents are conducted safely to ground and no voltage will be present on the exterior case. However, with the

	grounding path eliminated, the open circuit voltage can rise to 60 volts between the case and a grounded surface.
	2. The current is inherently limited by the circuit to non-hazardous levels (less than 5mA), as evidenced by the observed short circuit current of 0.55mA. Human body impedance greatly reduces the possible current. Therefore, the "shock" did not represent a hazardous energy source.
	3. The discovery of multiple defective receptacles is cause for concern.
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	No
Division or Project:	Advanced Light Source (ALS)
Plant Area:	B.6 Rm1000
System/Building/Equipment:	Building 6 Room 1000 /Turbo Pump Cart
Facility Function:	Laboratory - Research & Development
<b>Corrective Action:</b>	
Lessons(s) Learned:	
HQ Keywords:	07EElectrical Systems - Electrical Equipment Failure 08AOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Electrical Shock 11HOther - Procurement Deficiency/Defective Items 11LOther - Supplier 12REH Categories - Suspect/Counterfeit Items - Defective Items 14GQuality Assurance - Procurement Deficiency
HQ Summary:	On April 8, 2009, an LBNL vacuum technician received a mild electric shock when he touched the case of a turbo pump cart in Building 6, room 1000. The pump cart was plugged into a 120-volt GFCI receptacle located in a raceway mounted under a table. Believing the turbo pump had a short, an electronic maintenance technician tagged out the pump and had a new pump brought in. Four hours later, the vacuum technician experienced another shock from the new pump. The electronic maintenance technician and the vacuum technician suspected the source of the problem might be the receptacle on a plug strip located in a raceway mounted under a table. The plug strip was also tagged out and three receptacles were determined to be defective.
Similar OR Report Number:	
Facility Manager:	NameRoger FalconePhone(510) 486-6692TitleDivision Director

Originator:	Name MOU, FLORENCE P.						
	Title	SEN	IIOR ADMIN	ISTF	RATOR		
HQ OC Notification:	Date NA	Time NA	Person Notifi NA	ed C	Organizatio NA	n	
Other Notifications:	Da 04/14	ate /2009	Time 16:45 (PTZ)	Pers M	on Notified ary Gross	d Organization BSO	

### Authorized Classifier(AC):

9)Report Number:	SCPNSO-PNNL-PNNLBC	<u>DPER-2009-0007</u> After	2003 Redesign		
Secretarial Office:	Science	Science			
Lab/Site/Org:	Pacific Northwest National I	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory			
Facility Name:	Energy Research Programs (	PNNL)			
Subject/Title:	Noncompliance with PNNL	Hazardous Energy Con	trol Program		
Date/Time Discovered:	04/15/2009 10:00 (PTZ)				
Date/Time Categorized:	04/15/2009 11:51 (PTZ)				
Report Type:	Notification				
Report Dates:	Notification	04/17/2009	15:30 (ETZ)		
	Initial Update				
	Latest Update				
	Final				
Significance Category:	3				
Reporting Criteria:	2C(2) - Failure to follow a prescribed hazardous energy control process (e.g., lockout/tagout) or a site condition that results in the unexpected discovery of an uncontrolled hazardous energy source (e.g., live electrical power circuit, steam line, pressurized gas). This criterion does not include discoveries made by zero-energy checks and other precautionary investigations made before work is authorized to begin.				
Cause Codes:					
ISM:	4) Perform Work Within Co	4) Perform Work Within Controls			
Subcontractor Involved:	No				
Occurrence Description:	On Wednesday, April 15, 2009, at 1000 hours, it was determined that a PNNL staff member working in 326 Building Room 11A on March 26, 2009, opened an electrical junction box containing exposed de-energized conductors. The staff member did not apply a personal Lock Out Tag Out (LOTO) device or perform a safe to work check as required by PNNLs hazardous energy control program. The staff member did not contact				

	hazardous energy as the junction box had been locked and tagged out by other workers for this scheduled work activity.					
Cause Description:						
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	N/A	J/A				
Activity Category:	Normal	Jormal Operations (other than Activities specifically listed in this Category)				
Immediate Action(s):	Work o a critiqu	Vork on the affected system was suspended pending further evaluation and critique of the event will be scheduled as soon as possible.				
FM Evaluation:						
DOE Facility Representative Input:						
DOE Program Manager Input:						
Further Evaluation is Required:	Yes. Before By Who By Who	Further Operation? No om: en:				
Division or Project:	Energy	Mechs & Struct Matls / Energy & Environmen	t			
Plant Area:	300 Are	300 Area				
System/Building/Equipment:	: 326 / Room 11A					
Facility Function:	Laborat	Laboratory - Research & Development				
Corrective Action:						
Lessons(s) Learned:						
HQ Keywords:	01KIr	adequate Conduct of Operations - Lockout/Tag	gout Noncompliance			
	(Electrical) 08HOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Safety Noncompliance 12IEH Categories - Lockout/Tagout (Electrical or Mechanical) 14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency					
HQ Summary:	On April 15, 2009, it was determined that a PNNL staff member working in 326 Building Room 11A on March 26, 2009, opened an electrical junction box containing exposed de-energized conductors. The staff member did not apply a personal Lock-Out/Tag-Out device or perform a safe to work check as required by PNNLs hazardous energy control program. The staff member did not contact hazardous energy as the junction box had been locked and tagged out by other workers for this scheduled work activity. Work on the affected system was suspended pending further evaluation and a critique of the event will be scheduled as soon as possible.					
Similar OR Report Number:						
Facility Manager:	Name	Henager, C. H.				
	Phone	(509) 376-1442				
	Title	Manager, Materials & Structures Performance				

Originator:	Name Phone Title	• POL • (509	LARI, ROGE ) 371-7700	ER A			
HQ OC Notification:	Date / NA	Time NA	Person Notifi NA	ed Or	rganization NA		
Other Notifications:	Da	nte /2009	Time 11:52 (PTZ)	Perso Chr	on Notified rist, Josef	Organization PNSO	
Authorized Classifier(AC):	Pollari	, R. A	. Date: 04/	17/20	)09		

10)Report Number:	SCPNSO-PNNL-PNNLBC	)PER-2009-0008 After	2003 Redesign		
Secretarial Office:	Science	Science			
Lab/Site/Org:	Pacific Northwest National I	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory			
Facility Name:	Energy Research Programs (	PNNL)			
Subject/Title:	Non-Compliance with Electr	rical Safety Program Re	quirements		
Date/Time Discovered:	04/23/2009 12:20 (PTZ)				
Date/Time Categorized:	04/23/2009 14:15 (PTZ)				
Report Type:	Notification				
Report Dates:	Notification	04/27/2009	17:01 (ETZ)		
	Initial Update				
	Latest Update				
	Final				
Significance Category:	3				
Reporting Criteria:	2C(2) - Failure to follow a prescribed hazardous energy control process (e.g., lockout/tagout) or a site condition that results in the unexpected discovery of an uncontrolled hazardous energy source (e.g., live electrical power circuit, steam line, pressurized gas). This criterion does not include discoveries made by zero-energy checks and other precautionary investigations made before work is authorized to begin.				
Cause Codes:					
ISM:	4) Perform Work Within Controls				
Subcontractor Involved:	No				
Occurrence Description:	On Thursday, April 23, 2009, at 1220 hours, a PNNL staff member was found to be working on energized research equipment with a panel removed. The staff member did not have required electrical training or implement appropriate controls for the work. The worker did not come in contact with hazardous energy.				
Cause Description:					

<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Indoor, Dry
Activity Category:	Research
Immediate Action(s):	The research equipment was de-energized and placed in a safe condition. A critique of the event was conducted on Monday, April 27, 2009.
FM Evaluation:	
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	Yes. Before Further Operation? No By Whom: By When:
Division or Project:	National Security Directorate
Plant Area:	RCHN Area
System/Building/Equipment:	EDL Building / Room 108
Facility Function:	Laboratory - Research & Development
Corrective Action:	
Lessons(s) Learned:	
HQ Keywords:	<ul> <li>01FInadequate Conduct of Operations - Training Deficiency</li> <li>01KInadequate Conduct of Operations - Lockout/Tagout Noncompliance</li> <li>(Electrical)</li> <li>08HOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Safety Noncompliance</li> <li>12IEH Categories - Lockout/Tagout (Electrical or Mechanical)</li> <li>14BQuality Assurance - Training and Qualification Deficiency</li> <li>14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency</li> </ul>
HQ Summary:	On April 23, 2009, a PNNL staff member was found to be working on energized research equipment with a panel removed. The staff member did not have required electrical training or implement appropriate controls for the work. The worker did not come in contact with hazardous energy. The research equipment was de-energized and placed in a safe condition. A critique of the event was conducted.
Similar OR Report Number:	
Facility Manager:	NameBruckner-Lea, C. J.Phone(509) 371-7053TitleManager, Chemical & Biologic Signature Sciences
Originator:	NamePOLLARI, ROGER APhone(509) 371-7700Title

HQ OC Notification:	DateTimePerson NotifiedNANANA	Organization NA					
Other Notifications:	Date         Time         Per           04/23/2009         14:30 (PTZ)         0	erson Notified Orga Carlson, J. L. P	anization PNSO				
Authorized Classifier(AC):	Pollari, R. A. Date: 04/27	/2009					
11)Report Number:	SCSSO-SU-SLAC-2009-0	SCSSO-SU-SLAC-2009-0010 After 2003 Redesign					
Secretarial Office:	Science						
Lab/Site/Org:	Stanford Linear Accelerator	Center					
Facility Name:	Stanford Linear Accelerator	Center					
Subject/Title:	Subcontractor Performing W Equipment (PPE).	ork Without Prope	r Personal Protective				
Date/Time Discovered:	04/15/2009 11:00 (PTZ)						
Date/Time Categorized:	04/16/2009 11:30 (PTZ)						
Report Type:	Update						
Report Dates:	Notification	04/17/2009	16:02 (ETZ)				
	Initial Update	05/01/2009	19:02 (ETZ)				
	Latest Update	05/01/2009	19:02 (ETZ)				
	Final						
Significance Category:	3						
Reporting Criteria:	10(2) - An event, condition, other reporting criteria, but i management to be of safety a activities in the DOE complete should be assigned to the occur risks and the corrective action occurrence)	or series of events to s determined by the significance or of co ex. One of the four currence, based on a ons taken. (1 of 4 cr	that does not meet any of the e Facility Manager or line oncern to other facilities or significance categories an evaluation of the potential iteria - This is a SC 3				
Cause Codes:							
ISM:	<ol> <li>Define the Scope of Work</li> <li>Analyze the Hazards</li> </ol>						
Subcontractor Involved:	Yes Thyssenkrupp Elevator						
Occurrence Description:	At approximately 1100 hour subcontractor, accompanied building 40 Pulse Project con inspection activity. The cont performing inspection activity technician opened up an elev	s on April 15, 2009 by 2 Federal Inspect instruction site for e ractor was wearing ties in front of a Ca vator control panel in	, an elevator maintenance ctors was brought into the levator maintenance and appropriate PPE for tegory 1arc flash hazard. The marked with a 480V sticker				

	without wearing the proper PPE for this potential Category 3 arc flash hazard (the actual arc flash hazard category for this hazard has not yet been determined). The panel consists primarily of 120V relay circuits with a small quantity of protected 480V power wiring with sealed leads that feed a sealed 480V/120V step-down transformer. The technician opened the panel door and retrieved a blueprint roll contained within. No actual work was performed and the University Technical Representative (UTR) asked the technician to close the door based on the 480V sticker and the lack of an arc flash sticker which could indicate an arc flash hazard up to Category 3. The technician's PPE was insufficient for the potential arc flash hazard of 3. The incident was reported to Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) Management 24 hours after the event. Preliminary information is that the technician was qualified to perform the work and had the appropriate work control documentation on hand, although the adequacy of the documentation has not yet been determined. Additionally, the adequacy of the PPE worn by the technician for the hazard presented is yet to be determined.
Cause Description:	
<b>Operating Conditions:</b>	Does not apply.
Activity Category:	Normal Operations (other than Activities specifically listed in this Category)
Immediate Action(s):	The UTR stopped the activity immediately. The elevator technicians were instructed to leave the construction site and not return until they had the proper arc flash PPE and work control documentation.
FM Evaluation:	This event was recategorized based on further investigation. The Final Report will be submitted by May 31, 2009.
DOE Facility Representative Input:	
DOE Program Manager Input:	
Further Evaluation is Required:	No
Division or Project:	Operations
Plant Area:	Service Elevator 40
System/Building/Equipment:	Building 40
Facility Function:	Accelerators
Corrective Action:	
Lessons(s) Learned:	
HQ Keywords:	01MInadequate Conduct of Operations - Inadequate Job Planning (Electrical) 08HOSHA Reportable/Industrial Hygiene - Safety Noncompliance 11GOther - Subcontractor 12CEH Categories - Electrical Safety 14EQuality Assurance - Work Process Deficiency

	14GQuality Assurance - Procurement Deficiency						
HQ Summary:	On April 15, 2009, an elevator maintenance subcontractor was scheduled to perform elevator maintenance and inspection activity in building 40 Pulse Project construction site. The contractor was wearing appropriate PPE for performing inspection activities in front of a Category 1 arc flash hazard. The technician opened an elevator control panel marked with a 480V sticker to retrieve a blueprint roll without wearing the proper PPE for this potential Category 3 arc flash hazard. No actual work was performed and the University Technical Representative (UTR) asked the technician to close the door based on the 480V sticker and the lack of an arc flash sticker which could indicate an arc flash hazard up to Category 3. The UTR stopped the activity immediately. The elevator technicians were instructed to leave the construction site and not return until they had the proper arc flash PPE and work control documentation.						
Similar OR Report Number:							
Facility Manager:	Name Phone Title	<ul><li>KER</li><li>(650)</li><li>FIRE</li></ul>	WIN, RALPI ) 926-2095 E MARSHAL	H R	-		
Originator:	NameJOHNSON, HOPE EPhone(650) 926-4322TitleFACILITY MANAGER ADMIN.						
HQ OC Notification:	Date NA	Time NA	Person Notifi NA	ed	Organization NA		
Other Notifications:	Da 04/16 04/16	ate /2009 /2009	Time 10:20 (PTZ) 13:30 (PTZ)	Per Ra Sco	rson Notified alph Kerwin ott Wenholz	Organization SLAC SSO DOE	
Authorized Classifier(AC):							

| <u>ORPS HOME</u> | <u>Search & Reports</u> | <u>Authorities</u> | <u>Help</u> | <u>Security/Privacy Notice</u> | Please send comments or questions to <u>orpssupport@hq.doe.gov</u> or call the Helpline at (800) 473-4375. Hours: 7:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Mon - Fri (ETZ). Please include <u>detailed information</u> when reporting problems.