C-4(b) Bering Sea Salmon Bycatch Council motion December 2009 Strike-outs and underlines to indicate additions and deletions from original alternative set

Alternative 1 – Status Quo

Alternative 1 retains the current program of the Chum Salmon Savings Area (SSA) closures triggered by separate non-CDQ and CDQ caps with the fleet's exemption to these closures per regulations for Amendment 84 and as modified by the Amendment 91 Chinook bycatch action.

Alternative 2 – Hard Cap

Component 1: Hard Cap Formulation (with CDQ allocation of 10.7%)

- a) $\frac{58,000}{206,000}$ $\frac{50,000}{75,000}$ c) $\frac{353,000}{488,000}$ $\frac{125,000}{200,000}$
 - <u>e)</u> <u>300,000</u>

<u>f)</u> <u>353,000</u>

Component 2: Sector Allocation

Use blend of CDQ/CDQ partner bycatch numbers for historical average calculations.

- a) No sector allocation
- b) Allocations to Inshore, Catcher Processor, Mothership, and CDQ
 - 1) Pro-rata to pollock AFA pollock sector allocation
 - 2) Historical average
 - i. 2004-2006 2007-2009
 - ii. 2002-2006 <u>2005-2009</u>
 - iii. 1997-2006 <u>2000-2009</u>
 - iv. <u>1997–2009</u>
 - 3) Allocation based on 75% pro-rata and 25% historical
 - 4) Allocation based on 50% pro-rata and 50% historical
 - 5) Allocation based on 25% pro-rata and 75% historical
- c) Allocate 10.7% to CDQ, remainder divided among other sectors
- Component 3: Sector Transfer
 - a) No transfers or rollovers
 - b) Allow NMFS-approved transfers between sectors
 - Suboption: Limit transfers to the following percentage of salmon that is available to the transferring entity at the time of transfer:
 - 1) 50%
 - 2) 70%
 - 3) 90%
 - c) Allow NMFS to roll-over unused by catch allocation to sectors that are still fishing

Component 4: Cooperative Provision

- a) Allow allocation at the co-op level for the inshore sector, and apply transfer rules (Component 3) at the co-op level for the inshore sector.
 - Suboption: Limit transfers to the following percentage of salmon that is available to the transferring entity at the time of transfer:
 - 1) 50%
 - 2) 70%
 - 3) 90%
- b) Allow NMFS to rollover unused bycatch allocation to inshore cooperatives that are still fishing.

Alternative 3 – Trigger Closure

Component 1: Trigger Cap Formulation Cap level

a)	4 5,000	25,000
----	--------------------	--------

b) 58,000 <u>50,000</u>

- c) $\frac{206,000}{125,000}$ $\frac{75,000}{125,000}$
- d) $\frac{353,000}{200,000}$ $\frac{125,000}{200,000}$
- e) 488,000 <u>200,000</u>

Application of Trigger Caps

- a) Apply trigger to all chum bycatch
- b) Apply trigger to all chum bycatch in the CVOA
- e) b) Apply trigger to all chum bycatch between specific dates
- d) c) Apply trigger to all chum bycatch in a specific area.

Component 2: Sector allocation

- Use blend of CDQ/CDQ partner bycatch numbers for historical average calculations.
- a) No sector allocation
- b) Allocations to Inshore, Catcher Processor, Mothership, and CDQ
 - 1) Pro-rata to pollock AFA pollock sector allocation
 - 2) Historical average
 - i. 2004-2006 <u>2007-2009</u>
 - ii. 2002-2006 <u>2005-2009</u>
 - iii. 1997-2006 <u>2000-2009</u>
 - iv. <u>1997-2009</u>
 - 3) Allocation based on 75% pro-rata and 25% historical
 - 4) Allocation based on 50% pro-rata and 50% historical
 - 5) Allocation based on 25% pro-rata and 75% historical
- c) Allocate 10.7% to CDQ, remainder divided among other sectors

Component 3: Sector Transfer

- a) No transfers or rollovers
- b) Allow NMFS-approved transfers between sectors
 - Suboption: Limit transfers to the following percentage of salmon that is available to the transferring entity at the time of transfer:
 - 1) 50%
 - 2) 70%
 - 3) 90%
- c) Allow NMFS to roll-over unused bycatch allocation to sectors that are still fishing Suboption: Limit transfers to the following percentage of salmon that is available to the transferring entity at the time of transfer:
 - 1) 50%
 - 2) 70%
 - 3) 90%

Components 4: Cooperative Provisions

- a) Allow allocation at the co-op level for the inshore sector, and apply transfer rules (Component 3) at the co-op level for the inshore sector.
 - Suboption: Limit transfers to the following percentage of salmon that is available to the transferring entity at the time of transfer:
 - 1) 50%
 - 2) 70%
 - 3) 90%
- b) Allow NMFS to roll-over unused bycatch allocation to cooperatives that are still fishing

Component 5: Area Option

- a) Area identified in October, 2008 discussion paper (<u>B-season chum bycatch rate-based closure</u> described on pages 14-15 of December 2009 discussion paper)
- b) Existing Chum Salmon Savings Area (differs from status quo with application of other components)

b) New areas [to be identified by staff] which are small, discrete closure areas, each with its own separate cap whereby bycatch in that area only accrues towards the cap

Component 6: Timing Option - Dates of Area Closure

- a) Existing closure dates (August 1 August 31 and September 1 through October 14 if trigger is reached.)
- b) <u>New closure dates [to be developed from staff analysis of seasonal proportions of pollock and</u> chum salmon by period across additional ranges of years]

Component 7: Rolling Hot Spot (RHS) Exemption – Similar to status quo, participants in a vessel-level (platform level for Mothership fleet) RHS would be exempt from regulatory triggered closure(s).

a) Sub-option: RHS regulations would contain an ICA provision that the regulatory trigger closure (as adopted in Component 5) apply to participants that do not maintain a certain level of rate-based chum salmon bycatch performance.