


When They Can't Talk
Lives Are Lost



***What Public Officials Need To
Know About Interoperability***


This brochure was prepared through a collaboration of the following organizations.

- ◆ National Institute of Justice, Communications Technology Program (CommTech)
- ◆ Department of Homeland Security, SAFECOM program
- ◆ Association of Public Safety Communications Officials — International, Inc.
- ◆ International Association of Chiefs of Police
- ◆ International Association of Fire Chiefs
- ◆ International City/County Management Association
- ◆ Major Cities Chiefs
- ◆ Major County Sheriffs' Association
- ◆ National Association of Counties
- ◆ National Association of State Chief Information Officers
- ◆ National Association of State Telecommunications Directors
- ◆ National Conference of State Legislatures
- ◆ National Criminal Justice Association
- ◆ National Emergency Management Association
- ◆ National Governors Association
- ◆ National League of Cities
- ◆ National Public Safety Telecommunications Council
- ◆ National Sheriffs' Association
- ◆ The Council of State Governments
- ◆ The United States Conference of Mayors

Did You Know?

You grew up watching cop shows on television. When the police were in trouble, they could pick up the radio anywhere, anytime, and help would instantly arrive. In reality, this is often not the case. We all watched in horror as the second tower of the World Trade Center collapsed. Did you know that police received the radio message that the building was going to collapse, but firefighters never received that message because they use different radios?

- ◆ Did you know that the police, EMS teams, and firefighters sometimes have to juggle as many as five different radios because each agency communicates on different systems?
- ◆ Did you know that first responders had to use runners to carry messages from one command center to another in the immediate aftermath of the Oklahoma City bombing because they did not have common radio systems?
- ◆ Do you know how often agencies cannot talk to one another or to agencies in their neighboring cities, counties, or States? Is yours one of them?



Five years to the day before the 9/11 terrorist attack, the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee (PSWAC) reported that *“unless immediate measures are taken to alleviate spectrum shortfall and promote interoperability, public safety will not be able to adequately discharge their obligation to protect life*

and property in a safe, efficient, and cost-effective manner.”

Several years later, public safety is still grappling with inadequate spectrum and radio communication systems that do not communicate with one another.

“Fire and rescue departments from different jurisdictions routinely work together to provide emergency services to the public, but they cannot always communicate with one another. It is critically important that the entire fire and emergency services community support the need for improved communications interoperability and additional spectrum. State and municipal officials and the organizations that represent them nationally, working with emergency first responders, are an integral part of this significant effort to improve interoperability.”

Chief Randy Bruegman
President,
International
Association of Fire
Chiefs

While events of the magnitude of 9/11 or Oklahoma City do not occur every day, there are many daily events that require different agencies and jurisdictions to be able to communicate with one another. Incidents such as traffic accidents, missing children, fires, high-speed chases, rescues, and chemical spills occur with frightening regularity and they know no boundaries. When they occur in your community, will your agencies be able to talk to one another?

Why Can't They Talk?

Public safety agencies historically have depended upon their own stand-alone radio communication systems and they are often incompatible with one another. Not only are there different systems for different agencies within one community, different jurisdictions maintain their own systems, too. There are approximately 2.5 million public safety first responders in the United States. They work for 18,000 State and local law enforcement agencies, 26,000 fire departments, and more than 6,000 rescue departments, plus Federal law enforcement, tribal law enforcement, and other agencies, such as State and Federal emergency management, transportation, and the public utilities who all need to talk to one another during critical incidents.

Who Is Public Safety?

According to definitions from the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee (PSWAC), *public safety service providers* perform emergency first response missions to protect and preserve life, property, and natural resources and to serve the public welfare through local, State, or Federal governments as prescribed by law. *Public safety support providers* include those whose primary mission might not fall within the classic public safety definition, but who may provide vital support to the general public and/or the public safety official. Law enforcement, fire, and EMS fit the first category, while transportation or public utility workers fit the second. *Public safety service providers* also include non-governmental organizations who perform public safety functions on behalf of the government. For example, a number of local governments contract with private groups for emergency medical services.

Why Is This Important to You?

The public looks to you—their elected and appointed officials—to provide basic public safety and guidance and management during a crisis. You are responsible for making critical funding decisions using scarce taxpayer dollars. You understand the political dynamics in your community and in the surrounding jurisdictions. Citizens expect the public sector to function like a business—consistent and effective customer service, everywhere and at any time.

Ultimately, the public expects their lives and property to be protected by all levels of government—local, State, or Federal—without distinction as to



There are not only different systems for different agencies within one community, different jurisdictions maintain their own systems, too.

who responds to their needs. Understanding the current status of public safety radio communication systems in your community—its capabilities and limitations and plans for upgrading or replacing those systems—is critical. If your public safety agencies cannot communicate directly with one another by radio to coordinate life-saving activities, inevitably some lives will be lost. What can be done?

Interoperability. What Is It?

Interoperability is the ability of public safety agencies to talk to one another via radio communication systems—to exchange voice and/or data with one another on demand, in

Why can't they just use cell phones

Unfortunately it's not that simple. Although public safety personnel regularly use cellular phones, personal digital assistants (PDAs), and other commercial wireless devices and services, these devices are currently not sufficiently suited for public safety mission-critical communications during critical incidents.

Public safety officials cannot depend upon commercial systems that can be overloaded and unavailable. Experience has shown such systems are often the most unreliable during critical incidents when public demand overwhelms the systems.

Public safety officials have unique and demanding communications requirements. Optimal public safety radio communication systems require:

real time, when needed. Most people assume that public safety is already interoperable. In many cases, public safety officials can't even talk to their own agencies.

Equally as critical as interoperability is the need for basic communications within public safety agencies. When the issue of interoperability is raised, officials respond that they are unable to even talk to their own personnel. The first priority must be to provide public safety with mission-critical radio communication systems that provide reliable agency-specific—police, fire, EMS—communications.

(Mission-critical radio communications are those required when life or property is at stake). As jurisdictions build or upgrade current systems, that priority should be expanded to include the provision of reliable and interoperable local and regional communications, and, ultimately reliable and interoperable local, State, and Federal communications.



- *Dedicated channels and priority access that is available at all times to handle unexpected emergencies.*
- *Reliable one-to-many broadcast capability, a feature not generally available in cellular systems.*
- *Highly reliable and redundant networks that are engineered and maintained to withstand natural disasters and other emergencies.*
- *The best possible coverage within a given geographic area, with a minimum of dead zones.*
- *And, unique equipment designed for quick response in emergency situations—dialing, waiting for call connection, and busy signals are unacceptable during critical events when seconds can mean the difference between life and death.*



Why Aren't Public Safety Communications Already Interoperable?

Five key reasons. Incompatible and aging communications equipment, limited and fragmented funding, limited and fragmented planning, a lack of cooperation and coordination, and limited and fragmented radio spectrum.

- ◆ Different jurisdictions use different equipment and different radio frequencies that cannot communicate with one another, just as different computer operating systems will not work together or an AM receiver will not accept an FM signal. There are limited uniform standards for technology and equipment.
- ◆ There is limited funding to replace or update expensive communications equipment, and different communities and levels of government have their own budget cycles and funding priorities.
- ◆ Planning is limited and fragmented. Without adequate planning, time and money can be wasted and end results can be disappointing. Agencies,

“*Imagine a different public safety communications future. A future where emergency responses are coordinated, where information is shared in real time, where precious minutes are not wasted, and where emergencies are handled more effectively and safely.***”**

Judi Wood, Chief Information Officer, Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services

jurisdictions, and levels of government compete for scarce dollars, inhibiting the partnership and leadership required to develop interoperability.

- ◆ The human factor is a substantial obstacle—agencies are reluctant to give up management and control of their communications systems. Interoperability requires a certain amount of shared management, control, and policies and procedures.
- ◆ There is a limited and fragmented amount of radio spectrum available to public safety.




This is a job that requires policy-makers across jurisdictions to work together for the common good—to plan, fund, build, and govern interoperable public safety communications systems.

What Is Radio Spectrum?

It is electronic real estate—the complete range of frequencies and channels that can be used for radio communications. Spectrum is the highway over which voice, data, and image communications travel. Radio spectrum, one of our Nation’s most valuable resources, is a finite resource—what exists today is all there ever will be.

Public Safety Radio Spectrum Bands



The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has allocated certain frequencies or channels to public safety, but it is inadequate and scattered widely in 10 discrete bands across the spectrum, making it difficult for different agencies and jurisdictions to communicate. Initially, almost all public safety communications were confined to the low end of the frequency range, but as technology advanced and improved, transmission at higher frequencies became possible, offering a temporary solution for congestion and crowding. The result—public safety operates in 10 separate bands, which has added capacity, but which has also caused the fragmentation that characterizes the public safety spectrum today.

How Can I Help My Constituents and Colleagues Understand the Importance of Interoperability?

Your role as a public official provides you the unique opportunity to take the initiative. Your constituents and colleagues need to be educated about the importance of an interoperable public safety communications system that will make it possible for local, State, and Federal public safety agencies to talk to one another, to coordinate life-saving operations, and to provide a basic level of public safety.

Public perceptions are shaped by the news shows and articles, movies, and television that tell a different story from the true state of public safety communications. The public that reads news stories about computers in patrol cars, amazing life-saving technologies in rescue vehicles, and the latest state-of-the-art dispatch center may find it difficult to believe that their public safety agencies cannot talk to one another.

This is a job that requires policymakers across jurisdictions to work together for the common good—to plan, fund, build, and govern

interoperable public safety communications systems. Policymakers at all levels need to collaborate to develop radio communications interoperability for emergency response and incident prevention. It begins with a dialogue among the stakeholders.

What Is Your Role?

Creating interoperability requires leadership, planning, and the development of partnerships among disparate groups at the local, State, and Federal level. In order to effectively respond to emergencies, all levels of government and industry must plan for interoperability among all parties from the outset. The ability to be in voice contact and exchange data among all emergency responders should be designed in from the start.

State and local governments must take the lead to collaboratively formulate an interoperability architecture that provides a roadmap for all to follow. In short, public officials at all levels of government should:

- ◆ Understand the importance of interoperability;
- ◆ Be able to effectively communicate the benefits of interoperability to the public;
- ◆ Understand the political and institutional barriers within the public safety community that can impede interoperability;

- ◆ Facilitate collaborative planning among local, State, and Federal government agencies;
- ◆ Encourage the development of flexible and open architectures and standards; and
- ◆ Support funding for public safety agencies that work to achieve interoperability within an agreed-upon plan.


Where Are You Now? What Is the Status of Your Public Safety Radio Communications?

The basic questions to consider are:

- ◆ What types of emergencies like traffic accidents typically occur in your community, region, or State and which public safety agencies would respond to each of them?
- ◆ How about major crimes like bank robberies or large-scale fires or natural disasters like hurricanes?
- ◆ Who needs to talk to one another every day?
- ◆ Who should be able to communicate and share data in the first 8 hours of an emergency?

- ◆ Who will need to be added to that initial group if the emergency continues for longer than 8 hours?

Once you know the answers to these questions, assess your resources. For example, what existing communications infrastructure such as radio towers do you already have? What financial resources are budgeted for public safety communications? There are assessment tools that can be used to determine the level of interoperability in your community, region, or State.



Solutions to this national issue can only be achieved through cooperation between all levels of government.

How Much Will It Cost?

There are several issues to consider, including what is *already* being spent on public safety communications in your area and how much it will cost if you *don't* develop interoperability. Planning for interoperability can be incorporated into the process of replacing and upgrading radio communication systems. Individual costs will depend on the state of communications in your area and which short-and long-term direction you choose to follow. The nationwide investment in radio systems and supporting infrastructures is substantial. As agencies replace aging equipment and adopt new technologies,

the amount of money invested in communications equipment will continue to grow.

How Can You Achieve Interoperability?

Interoperability begins with leadership and partnerships. It begins with open, equitable discussions among all the stakeholders. Look beyond turf concerns and focus on partnerships. Develop a common voice to facilitate budget and policy decisions. Strength in improving interoperability is built by working together with agencies and jurisdictions that have traditionally been viewed as competitors for scarce dollars.

Before developing the solution, define the problem by performing a complete assessment of your current state of communications. This includes understanding what your first responders need. Planning includes policies and procedures, building a governing structure, and identifying potential resources.

This is not a “one size fits all” problem and there is no single solution. There are short- and long-term strategies for improving interoperability—some involve improving coordination and cooperation among responding agencies and jurisdictions. Other strategies require longer term plan-

ning and implementation of new systems, policies, and operating procedures. Expectations need to be realistic, solutions take time.

Where Can I Learn More About Interoperability?

A guide collectively created by a task force of national associations representing public officials at local and State levels, titled, *Why Can't We Talk? Working Together To Bridge the Communications Gap To Save Lives*, begins to answer these questions and more.

- ◆ *Why Can't Public Safety Agencies Talk?*, discusses the definition of interoperability, the importance of interoperability to public officials, and the role public officials play in interoperability.
- ◆ *Five Key Reasons Why Public Safety Agencies Can't Talk*, discusses the barriers to interoperability—incompatible and aging communications equipment, limited and fragmented planning and funding, a lack of coordination and cooperation, and limited and fragmented radio communications spectrum.
- ◆ *Are You Prepared?*, discusses evaluation and assessment of public safety radio communication systems and financial resources and provides interim technology strategies to achieve interoperability.

“We are working to get beyond the technical jargon to develop a common sense language that the average person can understand. Quite simply, our task is to find ways to achieve real-time communication between different communities, jurisdictions, and responders so we can save more lives in a crisis.”

Vicki Barnett,
Council Member
Farmington Hills,
Michigan

- ◆ *How Can You Achieve Interoperability?*, discusses planning for interoperability, and the role of elected and appointed officials in the planning process.
- ◆ *Governance Structures for Improving Interoperability*, discusses what a governance structure is and why it is necessary, examples of mechanisms for creating governance structures and the key element of leadership.
- ◆ *Funding Strategies for Achieving Interoperability*, discusses developing a funding strategy, cost-cutting measures, presenting the case for funding interoperability and financing methods.
- ◆ *Why Radio Spectrum Matters to You*, provides a historical perspective of spectrum, a discussion of the additional spectrum that has been allocated but not yet made available to public safety, and technologies that can increase the efficient use of spectrum.

“The task force brings local and State elected and appointed officials together with representatives of the public safety community to develop national strategies for solving this critical public safety need.”

Harlin McEwen, Chair,
International Association of Chiefs of Police
Communications Committee
Communications Advisor, MCC, NSA, MCSA

Working Together

The inability of our public safety officials to readily communicate with one another threatens the public's safety and often results in unnecessary loss of lives and property. Recognizing that solutions to this national issue can only be achieved through cooperation between all levels of government, 18 national associations representing elected and appointed and public safety officials worked together on the National Task Force on Interoperability (NTFI) to address this issue.

The task force met several times in 2002 to engage in an interactive dialogue on communications interoperability. The discussions provided an opportunity for public policymakers to partner their efforts with those of the public safety community to address interoperability issues in a more comprehensive way. As a result of this dialogue, NTFI developed *Why Can't We Talk? Working Together To Bridge the Communications Gap To Save Lives* to raise awareness about the importance of interoperability. It provides the basic information necessary to understand the impact of this issue and guidance about the initial steps to take in developing interoperable public safety radio communication systems.

Achieving interoperability is a challenging job. Without the collective voices of elected and appointed officials, without partnership, cooperation, and leadership at all levels of government, it is a job that will not get done. It is hoped that this guide will serve as a catalyst for public officials to begin

other, continuing dialogues with public officials in their localities, regions, and States.

The National Task Force on Interoperability and the guide were supported by the National Institute of Justice's (NIJ) CommTech Program. ■

This project was supported under award number 2001-RD-CX-K001, by the National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The National Institute of Justice is a component of the Office of Justice Programs, which also includes the Bureau of Justice Assistance, the Bureau of Justice Statistics, the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office for Victims of Crime.

Findings and conclusions of the research reported here are those of the authors and do not reflect the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice. This project was supported by the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC)—Rocky Mountain. The center is operated by the University of Denver for the National Institute of Justice (NIJ).

This brochure, *When They Can't Talk, Lives Are Lost*, and its' companion guide, *Why Can't We Talk? Working Together To Bridge the Communications Gap To Save Lives*, are collaborative efforts of the following major associations for local and State elected and appointed officials and public safety officers.

For more information and to obtain a copy of this guide, please visit <http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij>



NIJ



SAFECOM



NACO National Association of Counties



ICMA




NASCIO



NPSTC
National Public Safety Telecommunications Council

NAC



NCSL



University of Denver
National Law Enforcement & Corrections
Technology Center — Rocky Mountain Region
2050 East Iliff Avenue
Denver, CO 80208
<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij>