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## Background

- Analyze:
- Maximum size limits (hybrid method)
- Reverse slot limits
- Closures on selected days of the week
- Likely GHLs of 788 and 933 K lb.
- Assume 1-fish daily bag limit.


## Goal

- Identify viable management measures that constrain harvest under 2 possible GHLs



## Forecast 2012 unconstrained harvest

- Recent data is best indicator of future
- Two options:
- 2011 harvest projection (similar to 2010)
- 2009-2011 average
- Liberalization of size limit in 2012 may increase harvest; restrictions may decrease it. Not enough information to model it.


## 2012 harvest projection options



## 1. Maximum size limit

- Hybrid method
- Conservative (assumes all fish over limit are replaced with fish equal to the limit)
- Assume no effect of on the harvest (number of fish).
- Yield calculations done by subarea and then summed.


## Maximum size limit



## Maximum size limit

- Assumptions:
- 2010 length comp representative of 2012 without a size limit
- Assume fish over the size limit will be replaced with fish of the size limit


## Maximum size limit



## Maximum size limit



## Maximum size limit



## Maximum size limit

| GHL | Viable Size Limits (round wt) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest $=\mathbf{4 1 , 2 0 9}$ | Harvest =45,338 |
| $788,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | $47 \mathrm{in}(49 \mathrm{lb})$ | $44 \mathrm{in}(40 \mathrm{lb})$ |
| $931,000 \mathrm{lb}$ | $55 \mathrm{in}(82 \mathrm{lb})$ | $49 \mathrm{in}(56 \mathrm{lb})$ |

## Maximum size limit

- Potential effects:
- Proven effective in constraining average weight.
- Will require proof of size.
- No legal retention of exceptionally large fish.
- Effects (if any) on yield and angler demand likely to vary by subarea.
- May be additional handling mortality at higher maximum size limits.


## 2. Reverse slot limit

- Assume no effect on harvest (number of fish).
- Assume fish in the prohibited slot are replaced with fish above or below limits in proportion to harvest in 2010.
- Alternate calculations assuming additional highgrading.
- Yield calculations done by subarea and then summed.


## Reverse slot limit

Overall average wt $=\mathbf{2 5 . 3} \mathbf{~ l b}$


## Reverse slot limit

Overall average wt = 17.3 lb


## Reverse slot limit - 20\% high-grading option



## Reverse slot limit - 20\% high-grading option

Overall average wt = 17.3 lb
Overall average wt $=18.2 \mathrm{lb}$


## Reverse slot limit

## Scenario - No high-grading

| Upper | Lower (maximum) Size Limit (in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (minimum) <br> Size Limit (in) | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 |
| 50 | 1.200 | 1.156 | 1.132 | 1.104 | 1.092 | 1.074 | 1.061 | 1.051 | 1.045 | 1.042 | 1.044 |
| 52 | 1.186 | 1.137 | 1.111 | 1.081 | 1.067 | 1.048 | 1.033 | 1.022 | 1.016 | 1.015 | 1.017 |
| 54 | 1.159 | 1.108 | 1.080 | 1.049 | 1.035 | 1.016 | 1.002 | 0.991 | 0.986 | 0.985 | 0.989 |
| 56 | 1.113 | 1.061 | 1.035 | 1.004 | 0.991 | 0.972 | 0.959 | 0.949 | 0.945 | 0.946 | 0.951 |
| 58 | 1.073 | 1.021 | 0.994 | 0.963 | 0.952 | 0.934 | 0.922 | 0.913 | 0.910 | 0.912 | 0.918 |
| 60 | 1.035 | 0.982 | 0.955 | 0.925 | 0.915 | 0.898 | 0.887 | 0.880 | 0.877 | 0.881 | 0.888 |
| 62 | 0.971 | 0.923 | 0.897 | 0.869 | 0.861 | 0.847 | 0.837 | 0.831 | 0.831 | 0.836 | 0.845 |
| 64 | 0.882 | 0.840 | 0.818 | 0.795 | 0.790 | 0.781 | 0.775 | 0.773 | 0.775 | 0.782 | 0.794 |
| 66 | 0.826 | 0.790 | 0.771 | 0.752 | 0.750 | 0.743 | 0.739 | 0.739 | 0.742 | 0.751 | 0.765 |
| 68 | 0.763 | 0.737 | 0.721 | 0.707 | 0.709 | 0.706 | 0.706 | 0.707 | 0.713 | 0.724 | 0.739 |
| 70 | 0.706 | 0.687 | 0.675 | 0.666 | 0.669 | 0.670 | 0.672 | 0.676 | 0.683 | 0.696 | 0.711 |
| 72 | 0.663 | 0.652 | 0.642 | 0.637 | 0.643 | 0.646 | 0.650 | 0.655 | 0.663 | 0.677 | 0.693 |
| 74 | 0.602 | 0.603 | 0.597 | 0.598 | 0.607 | 0.613 | 0.620 | 0.627 | 0.637 | 0.652 | 0.670 |
| 76 | 0.573 | 0.576 | 0.573 | 0.576 | 0.586 | 0.594 | 0.602 | 0.610 | 0.621 | 0.636 | 0.655 |

## Reverse slot limit

- Potential Effects:
- Allow retention of exceptionally large fish
- Will require proof of size
- Possible increase in handling/release mortality
- Fish in closed slot must be released
- Fish close to the limit need precise measurement


## 3. Day of the week closures

| Harvest | Average <br> Net Wt. (lb) | Yield <br> (M Ib) | Reduction Needed per GHL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.788 M Ib | 0.931 M lb |
| 41,209 | 25.8* | 1.061* | 26\%* | 12\%* |
| 45,338 | 26.2* | 1.186* | 34\% | 22\% |

* Revised from paper.


## Day of the week closures

Table 5. Proportion of Area 2C charter halibut harvest by day of the week, 2008-2010 (ADF\&G charter logbook data).

|  | Percent of the Number of Fish Harvested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |  |
| 2008 | $14.7 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |  |
| 2009 | $14.4 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |  |
| 2010 | $15.3 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |  |
| Average | $14.8 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |  |

## Day of the week closures

A. Reductions associated with combinations of two days.

|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mon | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tue | $30 \%$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Wed | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Thu | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | -- | -- | -- |
| Fri | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $29 \%$ | -- | -- |
| Sat | $27 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ | -- |
| Sun | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $26 \%$ |

## Day of the week closures

B. Reductions associated with all possible combinations of 3 days (without consecutive days).

| Closure | Harvest Reduction |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mon-Wed-Fri | $44 \%$ |
| Mon-Wed-Sat | $42 \%$ |
| Mon-Thu-Sat | $42 \%$ |
| Tue-Thu-Sat | $42 \%$ |
| Tue-Thu-Sun | $43 \%$ |
| Tue-Fri-Sun | $43 \%$ |
| Wed-Fri-Sun | $43 \%$ |

## Day of the week closures

- Potential effects:
- Easily understood.
- Not expected to result in additional high-grading or release mortality.
- Lack of size limit may encourage cleaning at sea, potential bias in estimation of average weight.
- Enforcement - may be difficult to verify date of capture in some cases.
- Projected effects of closures may be overestimated.


## Summary

- Projected harvest $=41,209$

| Management Measure with 1-fish Bag Limit | GHL (M Ib) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.788 | 0.931 |
| Maximum Size Limit | 47 inches | 55 inches |
| Reverse Slot Limit | U35-36/O68 | U35/O64 |
| (no additional high-grading) | U37-39/O66 | U36-37/O62 |
|  | U40-44/O64 | U38-40/060 |
|  | U45/066 | U41-45/058 |
| Reverse Slot Limit | U35-36/O70 | U35/O66 |
| (20\% additional high-grading) | U37-39/O68 | U36-38/O64 |
|  | U40-44/O66 | U39-45/O62 |
| Day of the Week Closure (no size limit) | U45/O68 |  |

* Revised from paper.


## Summary

- Projected harvest $=45,338$

| Management Measure with 1-fish Bag Limit | GHL (M Ib) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.788 | 0.931 |
| Maximum Size Limit | 44 inches | 49 inches |
| Reverse Slot Limit | U35-36/O72 | U35/O68 |
| (no additional high-grading) | U37-44/O70 | U36-37/O66 |
|  | U45/072 | U38-45/O64 |
| Reverse Slot Limit | U35-36/O74 | U35/O70 |
| (20\% additional high-grading) | U37-43/O72 | U36-37/O68 |
|  | U44-45/O74 | U38-41/O66 |
|  |  | U42-43/O64 |
|  |  | U44-45/O66 |
| Day of the Week Closure (no size limit) | Close 3 days | Close 2 days |

## Sources of Uncertainty

- Assumed level of harvest in 2012.
- Target level of removals for charter anglers.
- Length data.
- Distribution of harvest among ports.
- No effect of regulation on number of fish harvested.
- How fish that replace catch in prohibited lengths are distributed.


## Questions?



