

Revised 11/17/06

	Friday, December 1, 2006	
TIME	SESSION / EVENT	ROOM
8:30am – 12:00pm	Investigators Meeting (invitation only)	Bayshore A
11:30am – 7:00pm	Conference Registration	History Hall
1:00pm – 1:30pm	Welcome and Opening Comments (Nicholas H. Steneck, PhD and Barry B. Bercu, MD)	Baranoff Ballroom
1:30pm – 3:30pm	Plenary Sessions: Trends, Challenges, and New Research Areas Session Chair: Nicholas H. Steneck, PhD	Baranoff Ballroom
	The Social Cost of Scientific Misconduct and Questionable Research Practices (William Gardner, PhD)	
	Use of a Novel Content Analysis Method to Examine Trends in Research Integrity Literature (Carol R. Thrush, EdD and James Vander Putten, PhD)	
	Applying Dillman's Tailored Design Method to Internet Surveys (Jill Rosenbaum, BA and Chuck Lidz, PhD)	
	Clinical Trial Registries in Gene Therapy Research: Do They Foster Access to Research and Public Trust? ( <i>Gwen W. Anderson, PhD</i> )	
3:30pm – 4:00pm	Break	Palm Lobby
4:00pm – 6:00pm	Plenary Sessions: Teaching and Behavior Session Chair: Mary Scheetz, PhD	Baranoff Ballroom
	Goals Reported by Instructors for Teaching of Research Ethics (Dena Plemmons, PhD and Michael Kalichman, PhD)	
	The Influence of Opportunity on Research Misconduct (Kenneth Pimple, PhD and Douglas Adams, PhD)	
	RCR Training and Mentoring: Associations with Ethically Questionable Behavior ( <i>Brian C. Martinson, PhD</i> )	
	A Collegial Defense Against Irresponsible Science ( <i>Joan Sieber, PhD</i> )	
6:00pm	Poster Sessions begin	History Hall
	A Survey of Research Ethics Practices at the National Institute of Environmental Health Science (NIEHS) ( <i>David Resnik, JD, PhD</i> )	
	How We Got a 75.4% Response Rate on an Internet Survey (Jill Rosenbaum, BA and Chuck Lidz, PhD)	
	The Organization and Goals of the UK Panel on Research Integrity (Andrew Stainthorpe, PhD)	
	Use of Team-Based Learning in Teaching Responsible Conduct of Research to First-Year Biomedical Science Graduate Students (Wayne T. McCormack, PhD)	
	Use of a Novel Content Analysis Method to Examine Trends in	



Agenda 2006

	(Carol R. Thrush, EdD and James Vander Putten, PhD)	
	Video - The RIO's Roles & Responsibilities: An Overview	
	(David Wright, PhD)	
	Society for Scientific Values and the Indian Experiences	
	(Nandula Raghuram, PhD)	
6:00pm – 7:00pm	Reception	History Hall
	Dinner & Keynote Address	
7:00pm – 9:00pm	The Role of Editors and Journals in Detecting Scientific	Four Springs
	Misconduct: Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats	Ballroom
	(Ana Marušic, MD, PhD)	

Friday night = store posters in Cypress Room; can start setting East Room at 10:00pm Saturday early morning = move posters to Palm Lobby

Saturday, December 2, 2006		
TIME	SESSION / EVENT	ROOM
7:00am – 8:00am	Registration & Continental Breakfast	Palm Lobby / Four Springs Ballroom
7:00am – 5:45pm	Poster Sessions continue	Palm Lobby
8:00am – 10:00am	Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)	
	Track One – The Role of the Individual Session Chair: Chuck Lidz, PhD	Amphitheater
	Validation of Ethical Decision-Making Measures: Internal and External Validity ( <i>Jason Hill</i> )	
	Scientists' Normative Orientations: Normative Dissonance and Ethically Questionable Behavior ( <i>Brian C. Martinson, PhD</i> )	
	Ethical Decision-Making in Research: Exploring the Influence of Personality ( <i>Alison L. Antes</i> )	
	Development of Moral Reasoning During Medical School (Darko Hren, BS)	
	Track Two – Journals, Authorship, Publication Session Chair: William Gardner, PhD	Bayshore ABC
	Conflicts of Interest and Editorial Decision-Making: How Editors', Peer Reviewers', and Authors' Disclosed Conflicts Effect Decisions to Publish Manuscripts ( <i>Elizabeth Boyd, PhD</i> )	
	Authorship Ethics Requirements in Medical Journals (William Gardner, PhD)	
	Bias, Quality, and Value of Peer Review at Major Biomedical	



	Journals (Kirby Lee, PharmD, MA)	
	How Classification of Journal Items by a Citation Database Effects Impact Factor of Small and Large Journals: A Study of General Medical and Science Journals ( <i>Ana Marušic, MD, PhD</i> )	
	Coverage of Authorship and Publication Practices in Scientific Societies' Ethics Codes ( <i>Miguel Roig, PhD</i> )	
	Track Three – RCR Instruction Session Chair: Francis Macrina, PhD	East Room
	Responsible Conduct of Research Training: A Solution for Teaching Ethics in the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century ( <i>Ethan Waples</i> )	
	An Examination of Educational Practices and Monitoring for the Responsible Conduct of Research in Behavioral Science Education Programs ( <i>Terry DiLorenzo</i> , <i>PhD</i> )	
	Integrating Ethics into Graduate Training in the Environmental Sciences ( <i>Michelle Stickler</i> , <i>EdD</i> )	
	Attitudes Towards Plagiarism Among Medical Students (Lidija Bilic-Zulle, PhD)	
10:00am – 10:15am	Break	Palm Lobby
10:15am – 12:15pm	Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)	
	Track One – The Role of the Individual II Session Chair: Brian C. Martinson, PhD	Amphitheater
	The Making of a Conflicted Scientists How Different Structures and	
	The Making of a Conflicted Scientist: How Different Structures and Aspects of the Research Process Create Conflict for a Scientist ( <i>Heather Culley, MA</i> )	
	Aspects of the Research Process Create Conflict for a Scientist	
	Aspects of the Research Process Create Conflict for a Scientist ( <i>Heather Culley, MA</i> )  Data Ownership: Preserving Reputations When Data Get Pulled	
	Aspects of the Research Process Create Conflict for a Scientist (Heather Culley, MA)  Data Ownership: Preserving Reputations When Data Get Pulled Without Warning (Helene Starks, PhD, MPH)  En-gendering Thoughts on Research Integrity: Comparing Male and Female Investigators' Responses to Ethical Dilemmas	
	Aspects of the Research Process Create Conflict for a Scientist (Heather Culley, MA)  Data Ownership: Preserving Reputations When Data Get Pulled Without Warning (Helene Starks, PhD, MPH)  En-gendering Thoughts on Research Integrity: Comparing Male and Female Investigators' Responses to Ethical Dilemmas (Kelly Fryer-Edwards, PhD)  Stepping Up: Taking Responsibility for Research Integrity	Bayshore ABC
	Aspects of the Research Process Create Conflict for a Scientist (Heather Culley, MA)  Data Ownership: Preserving Reputations When Data Get Pulled Without Warning (Helene Starks, PhD, MPH)  En-gendering Thoughts on Research Integrity: Comparing Male and Female Investigators' Responses to Ethical Dilemmas (Kelly Fryer-Edwards, PhD)  Stepping Up: Taking Responsibility for Research Integrity (Kelly Fryer-Edwards, PhD)  Track Two – Journals, Authorship, Publication II	Bayshore ABC



	Session Chair: Elizabeth Heitman, PhD	
	Measures of RCR Mentoring, RCR Department Climate, and RCR Student Preparedness in Psychological Science (Adam Fried and Sabrina Goodman)	
	Foundation for Novice Research Staff to Promote Scientific Integrity ( <i>Camille Nebeker</i> , <i>MS</i> )	
	Piloting the Waters of Research with Indigenous Staff (Leslie Alexander, PhD and Kenneth A. Richman, PhD)	
	Application of Ethical Principles During the Informed Consent Process for Clinical Trials ( <i>Joanne Russell</i> )	
12:15pm – 1:30pm	Lunch	Four Springs Ballroom
1:30pm – 3:30pm	Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)	
	Track One – The Role of the Individual III Session Chair: Wayne McCormack, PhD	Amphitheater
	The Development of Ethical Decision-Making: Early Environmental Predictors of Research Integrity ( <i>Stephen Murphy, MA</i> )	
	NIH Investigators' Observations on Misconduct: What Do They Report They Observe on Fabrication, Falsification, and Plagiarism? (Sandra Titus, PhD)	
	Research Climate at the University of Zagreb School of Medicine (Vedran Katavic, MD, PhD)	
	Everyday Ethics: How Life Scientists Manage University Policies Governing Research ( <i>Karen Seashore Louis, PhD</i> )	
	Track Two – Journals, Authorship, and Publication III Session Chair: Lisa Bero, PhD	Bayshore ABC
	Can Multiple Authorship Reduce Scientific Misconduct? (Sheldon Gelman, PhD)	
	Does Instruction on Authorship Criteria Influence Students' Decisions and Justifications About Authorship Dilemmas? ( <i>Darko Hren, BS</i> )	
	Quantification of Authors' Contributions and Eligibility for Authorship: Randomized Trial in a General Medical Journal (Ana Marušic, MD, PhD)	
	Research Integrity in the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association: Scientific Publication Practices (Sharon Moss, PhD and Janis Ingham, PhD)	
	Track Three – Moral Considerations	East Room



Agenda 2006

	Session Chair: David Resnik, JD, PhD	
	Paying Research Participants: Attitudes and Practices of Investigators ( <i>Betsy Ripley, MD</i> )	
	Deception, Cheating, and the Normative Legitimacy of IRBs ( <i>Thomas May, PhD</i> )	
	To Tell or Not to Tell? – The Ethical Dilemma of the Would-be Whistleblower ( <i>Janet Malek</i> , <i>PhD</i> )	
	Deception in Social Psychology Research: Contextual Factors and Stakeholder Perceptions ( <i>Camille Nebeker</i> , <i>MS</i> )	
3:30pm – 3:45pm	Break	Palm Lobby
3:45pm – 4:45pm	Plenary Sessions: Prevalence Session Chair: Mark Frankel, PhD	Baranoff Ballroom
	Actual Occurrences of Scientific Misconduct: A National Survey of Research Coordinators ( <i>Barbara Habermann</i> , <i>PhD</i> )	
	Can We Trust Research? – Reports from Two Empirical Studies in Norway ( <i>Matthias Kaiser</i> , <i>PhD</i> )	
4:45pm – 6:00pm	Panel: International Efforts to Foster Integrity Nanyan Cao, MA – China Matthias Kaiser, PhD – Norway Ana Marušic, MD, PhD – Croatia Nandula Raghuram, PhD – India Andrew Stainthorpe, PhD – England	Baranoff Ballroom
6:00pm	Free Evening	

Saturday night = store AV from Baranoff overnight in East Room Sunday early morning = re-set AV in Baranoff

Sunday, December 3, 2006		
TIME	SESSION / EVENT	ROOM
7:30am – 8:30am	Registration & Continental Breakfast	Palm Lobby / Four Springs Ballroom
8:30am – 10:00am	Concurrent Sessions (CHOOSE ONE TRACK)	
	Track One – Climate Session Chair: Leslie Alexander, PhD	Amphitheater
	Power, Integrity, and the Institutional Construction of Norms in University Research Administration ( <i>Timothy Atkinson, EdD</i> )	
	Entrepreneurial Professors and Secrecy in Science: Variations and	



	Impacts (Karen Seashore Louis, PhD and Eric Campbell, PhD)	
	Organizational Culture for Research Integrity in Academic Health Centers ( <i>James Vander Putten, PhD and Carol R. Thrush, EdD</i> )	
	Track Two – Issues in the Design and Conduct of Clinical Trials Session Chair: Deb DeBruin, PhD	Bayshore ABC
	Panel: Re-thinking Clinical Research Ethics: From Protocol Design to Everyday Practice ( <i>Deb DeBruin, PhD, Joan Liaschenko, PhD, and Anastasia Fisher, RN, DNSc</i> )	
	Track Three – Questionable Research Practices Session Chair: Sharon Moss, PhD	East Room
	Research Environments and the Research Report Card (Brenda Jeffers, PhD)	
	Questionable Research Practices (QRP): A Difficult But Important Research Area (Nanyan Cao, MA)	
	Questionable Research Practices: The Initial Development of a Measure (Kelly L. Wester, PhD)	
10:00am – 10:15am	Break	Palm Lobby
10:15am – 12:15pm	Plenary Sessions: Impacts and Policy Implications Session Chair: Nicholas H. Steneck, PhD	Baranoff Ballroom
	Conflicts of Interest in the Evaluation of "Model" School-Based Drug and Violence Prevention Programs ( <i>Dennis M. Gorman, PhD</i> )	
	Unrealistic Expectations of Treatment Effects May Hamper Advancements in Medicine: A Review of Randomized Trials Conducted by 3 NCI Cooperative Groups ( <i>Ambuj Kumar, MD, Benjamin Djulbegovic, MD, PhD, and Heloisa P. Soares, MD</i> )	
	Competing Commitments in Clinical Trials Research (Chuck Lidz, PhD, Steven Joffe, MD, MPH, Jill Rosenbaum, BA)	
	Lack of Reporting Harms in the NCI-Sponsored Phase III Hemotological Malignancies Trials Leads to Outcomes Reporting Bias ( <i>Heloisa P. Soares, MD, Ambuj Kumar, MD, and Benjamin Djulbegovic, MD, PhD</i> )	
12:15pm	Closing Discussion, Comments, and Boxed Lunch (Nicholas Steneck, PhD)	Baranoff Ballroom / History Hall