Administrative Review Board 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210



In the Matter of:

BERNARD BRADBERRY,

COMPLAINANT,

ARB CASE NO. 09-083

ALJ CASE NO. 2009-STA-015

v.

DATE: May 29, 2009

STAN KOCH & SONS TRUCKING, INC.,

RESPONDENT.

BEFORE: THE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD

Appearances:

For the Complainant: Paul O. Taylor, Esq., Truckers Justice Center, Burnsville, Minnesota

For the Respondent: David A. Schooler, Esq., Briggs and Morgan, Minneapolis, Minnesota

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

Bernard Bradberry (Bradberry) complained that Stan Koch & Sons Trucking (Koch) violated the employee protection provisions of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (STAA),¹ and its implementing regulations,² when it terminated

¹ 49 U.S.C.A. § 31105 (West 2008), as amended by the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, P.L. 110-53, 121 Stat. 266 (Aug. 3, 2007). Section 405 of the STAA provides protection from discrimination to employees who report violations of commercial motor vehicle safety rules or who refuse to operate a vehicle when such operation would violate those rules.

² 29 C.F.R. Part 1978 (2007).

his employment in retaliation for his refusal to drive due to hazardous weather conditions. Following an investigation of this complaint, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) concluded that there was no reasonable cause to believe that Koch violated the STAA when it terminated Bradberry's employment. Accordingly, OSHA dismissed the complaint.

Bradberry objected to OSHA's findings and requested a hearing before a Department of Labor (DOL) Administrative Law Judge (ALJ).³ The ALJ assigned to the case scheduled a hearing, but before the hearing took place, the parties reached a settlement agreement. The parties submitted the settlement agreement to the ALJ, and he issued an order recommending approval of the settlement agreement and dismissing the case on April 20, 2009.

The case is now before the ARB pursuant to the STAA's automatic review provisions.⁴ The ARB "shall issue the final decision and order based on the record and the decision and order of the administrative law judge."⁵

The ARB issued a Notice of Review and Briefing Schedule reminding the parties of their right to submit briefs in support of or in opposition to the ALJ's order. Both parties responded indicating their intent not to file a brief with the Board.

Under the regulations implementing the STAA, the parties may settle a case at any time after filing objections to OSHA's preliminary findings, and before those findings become final, "if the participating parties agree to a settlement and such settlement is approved by the Administrative Review Board [ARB]"⁶ Accordingly, we review the settlement to determine whether the settlement agreement constitutes a fair, adequate, and reasonable settlement of Bradberry's STAA complaint.

Initially we note that the settlement agreement may encompass the settlement of matters under laws other than the STAA.⁷ The Board's authority over settlement agreements is limited to the statutes that are within the Board's jurisdiction as defined by the applicable statute. Furthermore, it is limited to cases over which we have jurisdiction.

- ⁶ 29 C.F.R. § 1978.111(d)(2).
- ⁷ Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims, para. E.

³ See 29 C.F.R. § 1978.105.

⁴ 49 U.S.C.A. § 31105(b)(2)(C); *see* 29 C.F.R. § 1978.109(c)(1).

⁵ 29 C.F.R. § 1978.109(c); *Monroe v. Cumberland Transp. Corp.*, ARB No. 01-101, ALJ No. 2000-STA-050, slip op. at 2 (ARB Sept. 26, 2001).

Therefore, we approve only the terms of the agreement pertaining to Bradberry's current STAA case.⁸

With this reservation limiting our approval to the settlement of Bradberry's STAA claim, we find the agreement to be a fair, adequate, and reasonable settlement of Bradberry's STAA complaint. Accordingly, we **APPROVE** the settlement and **DISMISS** the complaint with prejudice.

SO ORDERED.

OLIVER M. TRANSUE Administrative Appeals Judge

WAYNE C. BEYER Chief Administrative Appeals Judge

⁸ Fish v. H & R Transfer, ARB No. 01-071, ALJ No. 2000-STA-056, slip op. at 2 (ARB Apr. 30, 2003).