

# CATCH AND BYCATCH IN U.S. SOUTHEAST GILLNET FISHERIES, 2009. BY MICHELLE S. PASSEROTTI JOHN K. CARLSON SIMON J.B. GULAK



# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service Southeast Fisheries Science Center Panama City Laboratory 3500 Delwood Beach Rd. Panama City, FL 32408

February 2010



## CATCH AND BYCATCH IN U.S. SOUTHEAST GILLNET FISHERIES, 2009 BY MICHELLE S. DASSEDOTTI

MICHELLE S. PASSEROTTI JOHN K. CARLSON SIMON J.B. GULAK

National Marine Fisheries Service Southeast Fisheries Science Center Panama City Laboratory 3500 Delwood Beach Rd. Panama City, FL 32408

### U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Gary Locke, Secretary

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Jane Lubchenco, Administrator

National Marine Fisheries Service Eric Schwaab, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

#### February 2010

This Technical Memorandum series is used for documentation and timely communication of preliminary results, interim reports, or similar special-purpose information. Although the memoranda are not subject to complete formal review, editorial control, or detailed editing, they are expected to reflect sound professional work.

#### **NOTICE**

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) does not approve, recommend or endorse any proprietary product or material mentioned in this publication. No reference shall be made to NMFS or to this publication furnished by NMFS, in any advertising or sales promotion which would imply that NMFS approves, recommends, or endorses any proprietary product or proprietary material mentioned herein which has as its purpose any intent to cause directly or indirectly the advertised product to be used or purchased because of this NMFS publication.

This report should be cited as follows:

Passerotti, M.S., J.K. Carlson, S.J.B. Gulak. 2010. Catch and Bycatch in U.S. Southeast Gillnet Fisheries, 2009. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-600. 20 p.

This report will be posted on the SEFSC Miami and Panama City Laboratory websites at URL: http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/home.jsp http://www.sefscpanamalab.noaa.gov/shark/publications.htm

Copies may be obtained by writing:

John Carlson, Ph.D.
Research Fishery Biologist
National Marine Fisheries Service
Panama City Laboratory
3500 Delwood Beach Rd.
Panama City, FL 32408
Voice: 850-234-6541 ext. 221
FAX: 850-235-3559

OR

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 Voice: 800-553-6847 or 703-605-6000

#### Introduction

Observer coverage of the Florida-Georgia shark gillnet fishery began in 1992, and has since documented the many changes to effort, gear characteristics, and target species the fishery has undergone following the implementation of multiple fisheries regulations (e.g. Carlson and Bethea 2007 and references therein, Passerotti and Carlson 2009). Most recently, the directed large coastal shark (LCS) gillnet fishery has been significantly reduced since the implementation of Amendment 2 to the Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan (NMFS 2007). The 33-head LCS trip limit implemented by Amendment 2 has essentially ended the strike net fishery and limited the number of fishers targeting LCS with drift gillnet gear. This regulation has also limited the small coastal shark gillnet fishery. Currently, there are a total of 222 directed and 276 incidental shark permits issued to fishers in the US Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico, of which only a small portion use gillnet gear. Many gillnet fishers have now begun targeting teleost species such as Spanish mackerel Scomberomorous maculatus, king mackerel Scomberomorous cavalla, and bluefish Pomatomus saltatrix, with varying types of gillnet gear. As such, the southeast gillnet observer program currently covers all anchored (sink, stab, set), strike, or drift gillnet fishing by vessels that fish from Florida to North Carolina and in the Gulf of Mexico year-round.

Herein, we summarize fishing effort, catch and bycatch in these fisheries during January 2009 - December 2009, collectively referred to as '2009'.

#### Methods

Observer protocol

Vessels were selected on a quarterly basis (January, April, July, and September) randomly from a pool of vessels that had either a current directed or incidental shark fishing permit and reported fishing with gillnet gear during the previous year. Selection letters notifying permit holders of required observer coverage were issued via U.S. Certified mail approximately one month prior to the upcoming selection period. Receipt of selection letters was confirmed via signature upon acceptance by the permit holder or their proxy. Once the permit holder received the selection letter, he or she was required to make contact with the observer coordinator and indicate intent to fish during the upcoming selection period. Contact was usually made by phone, and the observer coordinator gathered information concerning the vessel's name, captain, contact persons and phone numbers, communications and safety equipment available aboard the vessel, and information about the vessel's location, dates, and times of departure and return. Additional information collected included whether the vessel was active in another fishery, under repair, or no longer fishing. Upon notification of the intention to fish, the observer coordinator deployed an observer to the reported port of departure of permit holder's vessel. As trips are generally daily, the observer remained assigned to the vessel for up to 14 days to attain a sufficient level of coverage.

Observations were made as the net was hauled aboard. The observer remained on the deck of the vessel in a position with an unobstructed view and recorded species and numbers caught. When species identification was questionable, the crew stopped hauling so that the observer could examine the animal(s) for positive identification. Disposition of each species brought onboard was recorded as kept, discarded alive, or discarded dead. When time permitted after the haulback was complete, observers randomly measured 10 individuals from each species caught while the vessel was returning to port. Fork length (FL, measured on a straight line) in

cm and sex (sharks only) were determined when possible. Biological samples (e.g. otoliths, vertebrae, reproductive organs, stomach) were removed and placed on ice after collection. Data were submitted to the NMFS Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC), Panama City staff on a weekly basis. The data were entered and proofed by SEFSC staff, examined by NMFS/SEFSC Sustainable Fisheries Division staff, and reviewed with observer contract staff to resolve any questions.

#### **Results**

A total of 421 sets comprising various gillnet fisheries were observed in 2009. Set locations ranged from North Carolina to the Florida Keys in the Atlantic Ocean, as well as in the northern Gulf of Mexico (Figures 1-3). However, location-specific reports of trips cannot be documented herein due to vessel confidentiality laws, therefore observations will be summarized by gear type.

#### Drift gillnet fishery

A total of 12 drift gillnet vessels were observed making 225 sets on 43 trips in 2009. Vessels targeted one or more of the following species: Spanish mackerel, king mackerel, or small coastal shark species including blacknose *Carcharhinus acronotus*, Atlantic sharpnose *Rhizoprionodon terraenovae*, and finetooth *Carcharhinus isodon*, sharks. Refinement of the data by target species was not possible due to violation of vessel confidentiality. The spatial distribution of observed drift gillnet fishing effort is illustrated in Figure 1. The lengths of the nets on drift net vessels for all targets ranged from 274 – 2103 m (900-6900 ft), with net depths of 2.4 – 11.0 m (8 – 36 ft). Mesh sizes ranged from 7.9 – 22.9 cm (3.1 – 9.0 in). The average set

time was 0.10 hr (0.09 S.D.), and haul time was 0.52 hr (0.54 S.D.). The total process, from the time that the net went in the water until the haul back was completed, averaged 2.15 hr (3.39 S.D.).

#### Observed drift gillnet catches

Total observed catch composition for all drift sets was 92.4 % teleosts, 6.8 % shark, and 0.5 % non-shark elasmobranchs (Table 1). Three interactions with protected resources accounted for 0.01% of the catch. Three species of sharks made up 85.4 % (by number) of the total observed shark catch: Atlantic sharpnose shark (33.5 %), blacknose shark (28.5 %) and smooth dogfish, *Mustelus canis* (23.4 %). Composition of shark catch by weight was similar, composed of blacknose shark (41.1 %), followed by smooth dogfish (22.1 %), and Atlantic sharpnose shark (13.7 %). Four species of teleosts made up approximately 91 % by number of the overall teleost catch. These species were Spanish mackerel (65.2 %), butterfish *Peprilus triacanthus* (9.8 %), Atlantic menhaden *Brevoortia tyrannus* (8.7 %), and bluefish (7.7%).

#### Strike gillnet fishery

Three vessels making a total of 4 trips and 6 sets were observed fishing gillnets in a strike fashion in 2009. Target species included king mackerel, Spanish mackerel, and blacktip shark *Carcharhinus limbatus*. Refinement of the data by target species was not possible due to violation of vessel confidentiality. Historically, strike netting for sharks occurs predominately in winter when the vessels target schools of blacktip sharks off the east coast of Florida (Carlson and Bethea, 2007 and references therein). Shark-directed strike effort in 2009 was low mainly because of the reduced trip limits for LCS imposed by Amendment 2 to the Consolidated

Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan (NMFS 2007), as fishers found the practice to be cost-prohibitive given the trip limits (J. Parks, A. de Ron Santiago, personal communications).

Areas of observed strike gillnet fishing effort are illustrated in Figure 2. Nets used for striking ranged in length from 365.8 - 548.6 m (1200 - 1800 ft) with net depths of 18.3 - 27.4 m (60 - 90 ft) and mesh sizes of 11.4 - 17.8 cm (4.5 - 7 in). Average set time was 0.12 hr (0.07 S.D.) and average haul time was 0.96 hr (0.76 S.D.). Total time for the entire process, from start of set to end of haulback, averaged 2.13 hr (2.15 S.D.).

#### Observed strike gillnet catches

Total observed catch composition for all strike sets was 99.5 % teleosts and 0.5 % sharks. There were no interactions with protected resources. Teleost catch was almost exclusively king mackerel (99.9 %) by number (Table 2). Shark catch was small and was made up of blacktip shark (60 %), Atlantic sharpnose shark (33.3 %) and bull shark, *Carcharhinus leucas* (6.7 %) by number. By weight, blacktip shark made up 71.5 % of the shark catch, while bull sharks comprised 21.9 % and Atlantic sharpnose shark made up 6.7 %.

#### Sink gillnet fishery

A total of 38 trips making 190 sink net sets on 14 vessels were observed in 2009. Trips were made targeting one or more of the following species: shark, Spanish mackerel, king mackerel, Southern kingfish, *Menticirrhus americanus*, Atlantic croaker *Micropogonias undulatus*, bluefish, weakfish *Cynoscion regalis*, blacknose shark, and finetooth shark.

Refinement of the data by target species was not possible due to violation of vessel confidentiality. Areas of observed sink gillnet fishing effort are illustrated in Figure 3.

For all targets, sink gillnet vessels fished with nets ranging 22.9 – 914.4 m (75 - 3000 ft) long, net depths of 2.7 – 8.5 m (9 – 28 ft) and stretched mesh sizes 6.4 – 20.3 cm (2. 5 - 8 in). Set duration averaged 0.14 hr (0.48 S.D.). Hauls averaged 0.64 hr (0.60 S.D.). The entire fishing process (time net was first set until time haul back was completed) averaged 1.09 hr (3.56 S.D.). Sets were made in waters averaging 21.4 m (14.9 S.D.) deep.

#### Observed sink gillnet catches

Catch composition by number of all sets for all targets was 85.8 % teleosts, 13.4 % shark, 0.75 % invertebrates and 0.3 % non-shark elasmobranchs (Table 3). One interaction with a protected resource totaled 0.002 % of the total catch. By number, shark catch was primarily spiny dogfish *Squalus acanthias* (29.9 %), Atlantic sharpnose shark (25.7 %), smooth dogfish (15.3 %), and finetooth shark (12.9 %). By weight the shark catch was similar and made up mostly of smooth dogfish (25.2 %), followed by spiny dogfish (23.3 %), Atlantic sharpnose shark (13.6 %), and finetooth shark (10.8 %). Atlantic croaker made up 27.7 % of the teleost catch by number, followed by Spanish mackerel (19.3 %), bluefish (12.7 %), Atlantic bumper *Chloroscombrus chrysurus* (12.1 %), blue runner *Caranx chrysos* (9.4 %), and spot *Leiostomus xanthurus* (9.2 %).

#### Average size

The average (S.D.) lengths of sharks measured by gear type can be found in Table 4.

Average (S.D.) fork lengths of sharks caught in the drift gillnet fishery ranged from 62.1 cm

(18.3) for Atlantic sharpnose sharks to 99.6 cm (8.7) for smooth hammerhead sharks, *Sphyrna zygaena*. Observed strike sets resulted in measurements ranging from 71.2 cm (6.6) for Atlantic sharpnose shark to 179 cm (0.0) for bull shark. Average (S.D.) fork lengths of sharks caught in the sink gillnet fishery ranged from 62.3 cm (8.3) for sandbar sharks *Carcharhinus plumbeus* to 94.5 cm (9.9) for smooth dogfish.

Average (S.D.) lengths of teleosts (n > 5) measured by gear type can be found in Table 5. Average (S.D.) fork lengths of teleosts caught in the drift gillnet fishery ranged from 14.4 cm (2.2) for banded drum *Larimus fasciatus* to 94.8 cm (13.6 S.D.) for black drum *Pogonias cromis*. King mackerel were also the only species measured in the strike gillnet fishery, with average fork length of 82.0 cm (18.8). Average (S.D.) fork lengths of teleosts caught in the sink gillnet fishery ranged from 13.9 cm (2.0) for silver porgy *Diplodus argenteus* to 86.0 cm (9.4) for king mackerel.

#### Protected resources interactions

A total of four interactions with protected resources were documented over 421 sets observed in 2009, comprising 0.006% of the catch. One loggerhead turtle *Caretta caretta*, one Kemp's ridley turtle *Lepidochelys kempii*, and one bottlenose dolphin *Turciops truncatus*, were caught on three separate vessels fishing with drift gillnet gear. Additionally, one dovekie *Alle alle*, was caught on a vessel fishing with sink gillnet gear. The bird and two turtles were released alive and the dolphin was released dead (Table 6).

#### **Discussion**

Amendment 2 to the Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery

Management Plan (NMFS 2007) has had a large impact on the shark gillnet fishery. There was a drastic reduction in the number of directed shark trips observed in 2009 as compared with years past, as most fishers found the overhead costs were too high given the 33-head LCS trip limit.

More effort was instead concentrated in the small coastal shark fishery, as well as those targeting teleost species (Spanish mackerel, bluefish, etc.). Further reduction of shark gillnet effort could occur if the preferred alternative proposed in Amendment 3 to the HMS FMP is adopted.

Landing of small coastal sharks is currently prohibited pending final legislation on Amendment 3, which will likely have significant impacts on shark gillnet effort for 2010 as well.

Despite reductions in shark targeted gillnet effort, observations of the southeast US gillnet fishery were nearly doubled in 2009 over previous years. The scope of observer coverage will continue to change in response to the dynamics of the gillnet fishery, regardless of target.

Continued fisheries monitoring will contribute to a better understanding of the impacts on all marine resources.

#### Acknowledgments

The following observers were responsible for collection of data for this report: B. Doughtie, S. Gulak, W. Kolkmeyer, J. Parks, and A. de Ron Santiago.

#### References

Carlson, J.K. and D.M. Bethea. 2007. Catch and bycatch in the shark gillnet fishery: 2005-2006. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-552, 26 p.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2007. Amendment 2 to the Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan. NOAA/NMFS, Office of

Sustainable Fisheries, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, Silver Spring, MD. 726 p.

Passerotti, M. and J.K. Carlson. 2009. Catch and Bycatch in U.S. Southeast Gillnet Fisheries, 2008. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-583, 18 p.

Table 1. Total drift gillnet catch by species and species disposition in order of decreasing abundance for all observed trips, 2009. Catch disposition is by percent kept (Kept %), percent discarded alive (D.A. %), and percent discarded dead (D.D. %).

		Total	**	<b>.</b>	
g :	C N	Number	Kept	D.A.	D.D.
Species	Common Name	Caught	(%)	(%)	(%)
Scomberomorus maculatus	Spanish mackerel	12637	98.9	0.0	1.1
Peprilus triacanthus	Butterfish	1906	98.6	0.2	1.3
Brevoortia tyrannus	Atlantic menhaden	1679	25.1	6.6	68.3
Pomatomus saltatrix	Bluefish	1499	79.6	1.3	19.1
Peprilus alepidotus	Harvestfish	549	97.3	0.5	2.2
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae	Atlantic sharpnose shark	481	19.1	72.1	8.7
Carcharhinus acronotus	Blacknose shark	408	91.7	8.3	0.0
Mustelus canis	Smooth dogfish	336	37.8	60.1	2.1
Opisthonema oglinum	Atlantic thread herring	264	0.0	0.4	99.6
Brevoortia patronus	Gulf menhaden	136	95.6	0.0	4.4
Scomberomorus cavalla	King mackerel	132	81.8	0.0	18.2
Rachycentron canadum	Cobia	113	18.6	47.8	33.6
Carcharhinus plumbeus	Sandbar shark	107	0.0	81.3	18.7
Auxis thaza	Frigate mackerel	83	98.8	0.0	1.2
Larimus fasciatus	Banded drum	70	0.0	8.6	91.4
Carcharhinus limbatus	Blacktip shark	52	13.5	40.4	46.2
Callinectes sapidus	Blue crab	51	0.0	88.2	11.8
Cynoscion regalis	Weakfish	45	13.3	2.2	84.4
Rhinoptera bonasus	Cownose ray	43	0.0	97.7	2.3
Euthynnus alletteratus	Little tunny	35	100.0	0.0	0.0
Chaetodipturus faber	Spadefish	34	11.8	67.6	20.6
Paralichthys sp.	Flounder	34	2.9	79.4	17.6
Raja eglanteria	Clearnose skate	34	0.0	100.0	0.0
Selene vomer	Lookdown	28	0.0	46.4	53.6
Trachinotus carolinus	Florida pompano	26	92.3	0.0	7.7
Paralichthys dentatus	Summer flounder	18	5.6	88.9	5.6
Caranx hippos	Crevalle jack	16	87.5	0.0	12.5
Scyphozoa	Jellyfish family	16	0.0	0.0	100.0
Elops saurus	Ladyfish	15	6.7	0.0	93.3
1	Smooth hammerhead				
Sphyrna zygaena	shark	14	71.4	28.6	0.0
Sphyrna tiburo	Bonnethead shark	13	76.9	15.4	7.7
Micropogonias undulatus	Atlantic croaker	12	16.7	33.3	50.0
Carcharhinus brevipinna	Spinner shark	10	40.0	50.0	10.0
Pogonias cromis	Black drum	9	100.0	0.0	0.0

Dasyatis centroura	Roughtail stingray	7	0.0	100.0	0.0
Manta birostris	Manta ray	6	0.0	100.0	0.0
Sarda sarda	Bonito	6	100.0	0.0	0.0
	Scalloped hammerhead				
Sphyrna lewini	shark	6	100.0	0.0	0.0
Menticirrhus sp.	Kingfish	4	0.0	0.0	100.0
Prionotus sp.	Sea robin	4	0.0	100.0	0.0
Sciaenops ocellatus	Red drum	4	0.0	100.0	0.0
Dasyatis americana	Southern stingray	3	0.0	100.0	0.0
Dasyatis sabina	Atlantic stingray	3	0.0	100.0	0.0
Leiostomus xanthurus	Spot	3	33.3	0.0	66.7
Limulus polyphemus	Horseshoe crab	3	0.0	100.0	0.0
Remora remora	Remora	3	0.0	100.0	0.0
Synodus foetens	Inshore lizardfish	3	0.0	0.0	100.0
Alopias vulpinus	Common thresher shark	3	100.0	0.0	0.0
Gymnura sp.	Butterfly ray	2	0.0	100.0	0.0
Lepisosteidae	Gar family	2	50.0	50.0	0.0
Paralichthys lethostigma	Southern flounder	2	0.0	100.0	0.0
Penaeidae	Penaeid shrimp family	2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Archosargus					
probatocephalus	Sheepshead	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Carangidae	Jack family	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Catherines macrocerus	Whitespotted filefish	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Atlantic bumper	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Diodontidae	Spiny puffer family	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Lobotes surinamensis	Tripletail	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Menticirrhus americanus	Southern kingfish	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Menticirrhus saxatilis	Northern kingfish	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Myliobatis freminvillei	Bullnose ray	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Sphyraena barracuda	Great barracuda	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Tetraodontidae	Puffer family	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Trachinocephalus myops	Snakefish	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Tylosurus crocodilus	Houndfish	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Carcharhinus isodon	Finetooth shark	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Carcharhinus obscurus	Dusky shark	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Carcharias taurus	Sand tiger shark	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Squatina dumeril	Atlantic angel shark	1	0.0	100.0	0.0

Table 2. Total strike gillnet catch by species and species disposition in order of decreasing abundance for all observed trips, 2009. Catch disposition is by percent kept (Kept %), percent discarded alive (D.A. %), and percent discarded dead (D.D. %).

		Total			
		Number	Kept	D.A.	D.D.
Species	Common Name	Caught	(%)	(%)	(%)
Scomberomorus cavalla	King mackerel	2972	100	0	0
Carcharhinus limbatus	Blacktip shark	9	100	0	0
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae	Atlantic sharpnose shark	5	0	0	100
Scomberomorus maculatus	Spanish mackerel	2	100	0	0
Carcharhinus leucas	Bull shark	1	100	0	0
Paralichthys sp.	Flounder	1	0	100	0
Menticirrhus sp.	Kingfish	1	100	0	0

Table 3. Total sink gillnet catch by species and species disposition in order of decreasing abundance for all observed trips, 2009. Catch disposition is by percent kept (Kept %), percent discard alive (D.A. %), and percent discard dead (D.D. %).

	`	Total			
		Number	Kept	D.A.	D.D.
Species	Common Name	Caught	(%)	(%)	(%)
Micropogonias undulatus	Atlantic croaker	10060	10056	4	0
Scomberomorus maculatus	Spanish mackerel	7003	6903	0	100
Pomatomus saltatrix	Bluefish	4597	4491	15	91
Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Atlantic bumper	4383	3154	538	691
Caranx chrysos	Blue runner	3422	3380	0	42
Leiostomus xanthurus	Spot	3353	3287	4	62
Squalus acanthias	Spiny dogfish	1693	0	1693	0
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae	Atlantic sharpnose shark	1456	964	212	280
Mustelus canis	Smooth dogfish	865	862	3	0
Menticirrhus americanus	Southern kingfish	853	840	0	13
Carcharhinus isodon	Finetooth shark	731	729	2	0
Larimus fasciatus	Banded drum	359	0	44	315
Sphyrna tiburo	Bonnethead shark	323	225	60	38
Scyphozoa	Jellyfish family	300	0	0	300
Selene setapinnis	Atlantic moonfish	286	268	1	17
Cynoscion sp	Seatrout	268	256	1	11
Equetus umbrosus	Cubbyu	249	0	0	249
Brevoortia tyrannus	Atlantic menhaden	223	60	0	163
Carcharhinus acronotus	Blacknose shark	222	217	5	0
Trichiurus lepturus	Atlantic cutlassfish	172	172	0	0
Carcharhinus limbatus	Blacktip shark	160	20	32	108
Sciaenops ocellatus	Red drum	130	0	116	14
Scomberomorus cavalla	King mackerel	119	63	4	52
Peprilus triacanthus	Butterfish	117	63	0	54
Carcharhinus plumbeus	Sandbar shark	95	0	60	35
Caranx hippos	Crevalle jack	93	93	0	0
Sphyrna lewini	Scalloped hammerhead	90	67	8	15
Rachycentron canadum	Cobia	82	2	51	29
Menticirrhus sp.	Kingfish	53	53	0	0
Bagre marinus	Gafftopsail catfish	51	2	34	15
Brevoortia smithi	Yellowfin menhaden	51	0	8	43
Euthynnus alletteratus	Little tunny	42	42	0	0
Archosargus					
probatocephalus	Sheepshead	37	37	0	0
Brevoortia patronus	Gulf menhaden	36	35	0	1
Menticirrhus littoralis	Gulf kingfish	27	27	0	0
Peprilus alepidotus	Harvestfish	26	25	0	1
Sarda sarda	Bonito	26	26	0	0
Trachinotus falcatus	Permit	23	0	23	0
Lutjanus campechanus	Red snapper	22	0	8	14

Carcharhinus brevipinna	Spinner shark	18	18	0	0
Brevoortia sp	Menhaden	17	14	0	3
Pogonias cromis	Black drum	16	16	0	0
Diplodus argenteus	Silver porgy	11	6	0	5
Asteroridea	Sea star family	9	0	7	2
Balistidae	Leatherjacket family	9	0	9	0
Sphyrna mokarran	Great hammerhead shark	8	0	1	7
Chaetodipturus faber	Spadefish	8	0	3	5
Arius felis	Heardhead catfish	7	0	1	6
Elops saurus	Ladyfish	6	6	0	0
Sparidae	Porgy family	6	5	1	0
Myliobatis freminvillei	Bullnose ray	5	0	5	0
Trachinotus carolinus	Florida pompano	5	1	4	0
Clupeidae	Herring family	4	1	0	3
Paralichthys sp.	Flounder	4	2	2	0
Synodus foetens	Inshore lizardfish	4	0	1	3
Alopias vulpinus	Common thresher shark	3	1	1	1
Carcharhinus leucas	Bull shark	3	3	0	0
Squatina dumeril	Angel shark	3	0	3	0
Calappa flammea	Flame box crab	3	0	3	0
Cynoscion regalis	Weakfish	3	3	0	0
	Remora family	3	0	1	2
Lutjanus griseus	Gray snapper	3	0	0	3
Prionotus sp.	Sea robin	3	0	2	1
Remora remora	Remora	3	0	3	0
Centropristis striata	Black seabass	2	2	0	0
Cynoscion nothus	Silver seatrout	2	2	0	0
Mugil curema	Silver mullet	2	2	0	0
Paralichthys dentatus	Summer flounder	2	0	2	0
Raja eglanteria	Clearnose skate	2	0	2	0
Rhinoptera bonasus	Cownose ray	2	0	2	0
Baslistes capriscus	Gray triggerfish	1	0	1	0
Bramidae	Pomfret family	1	0	1	0
Calamus nodosus	Knobbed porgy	1	1	0	0
Dasyatis centroura	Roughtail stingray	1	0	1	0
Limulus polyphemus	Horseshoe crab	1	0	1	0
Majidae	Spider crab	1	0	1	0
Mollusca	Mollusc	1	0	1	0
Rajiformes	Skates and rays	1	0	1	0
Synodontidae	Lizardfish family	1	0	1	0

Table 4. Average size (fork length, FL) and standard deviation (S.D.) of sharks measured for all observed trips by gear type, 2009.

Gear	Species	Common Name	n	Avg FL (cm)	S.D.
	Rhizoprionodon terraenovae	Atlantic sharpnose			
Drift gillnet		shark	192	62.1	18.3
	Mustelus canis	Smooth dogfish	87	93.3	20.5
	Carcharhinus plumbeus	Sandbar shark	22	62.9	3.7
	Sphyrna zygaena	Smooth			
		hammerhead shark	13	99.6	8.7
	Carcharhinus limbatus	Blacktip shark	9	89.9	12.3
	Sphyrna lewini	Scalloped	_	0.1.2	10.6
		hammerhead shark	6	91.3	18.6
	Carcharhinus acronotus	Blacknose shark	6	88.3	5.4
	Carcharhinus brevipinna	Spinner shark	5	74.8	13.2
	Sphyrna tiburo	Bonnethead shark	4	75.3	5.4
	Alopias vulpinus	Common thresher		24.0	4.0
		shark	3	84.0	12.8
	Carcharhinus obscurus	Dusky shark	1	82.0	
	Carcharhinus isodon	Finetooth shark	1	97.0	
Strike gillnet	Carcharhinus limbatus	Blacktip shark	9	126	9.6
	Rhizoprionodon terraenovae	Atlantic sharpnose			
		shark	5	71.2	6.6
	Carcharhinus leucas	Bull shark	1	179	
	Rhizoprionodon terraenovae	Atlantic sharpnose			
Sink gillnet		shark	190	72.1	11.3
	Mustelus canis	Smooth dogfish	120	94.5	9.9
	Carcharhinus isodon	Finetooth shark	103	78.9	16.3
	Carcharhinus acronotus	Blacknose shark	74	87.6	6.7
	Squalus acanthias	Spiny dogfish	67	76.3	8.5
	Sphyrna lewini	Scalloped			
		hammerhead shark	56	86.3	12.3
	Sphyrna tiburo	Bonnethead shark	56	74.3	9.6
	Carcharhinus limbatus	Blacktip shark	5	79.4	14.2
	Alopias vulpinus	Common thresher			
		shark	3	82.0	3.6
	Carcharhinus leucas	Bull shark	3	79.7	1.5
	Carcharhinus plumbeus	Sandbar shark	3	62.3	8.3
	Carcharhinus brevipinna	Spinner shark	1	66.0	

Table 5. Average size (fork length, FL) and standard deviation (S.D.) of non-sharks measured for all observed trips by gear type, 2009, where sample size is greater than 5.

Gear	Species Species	Common Name	n	Avg FL (cm)	S.D.
Drift gillnet	Scomberomorus maculatus	Spanish mackerel	1413	45.2	5.7
	Peprilus triacanthus	Butterfish	410	17.3	2.5
	Pomatomus saltatrix	Bluefish	316	36.5	5.5
	Brevoortia tyrannus	Atlantic menhaden	270	24.0	7.7
	Scomberomorus cavalla	King mackerel	121	80.9	10.7
	Opisthonema oglinum	Atlantic thread	82	15.2	1.6
	Rachycentron canadum	herring Cobia	73	69.0	1.0
	Peprilus alepidotus	Harvestfish	59	16.7	1.6
	Euthynnus alletteratus	Little tunny	35	57.2	4.2
	Auxis thaza	Frigate mackerel	25	32.9	1.9
	Larimus fasciatus	Banded drum	16	14.4	2.2
	Caranx hippos	Crevalle jack	13	26.9	1.8
	Elops saurus	Ladyfish	11	58.0	4.3
	Pogonias cromis	Black drum	9	94.8	13.6
	Selene vomer	Lookdown	9	21.7	1.8
	Trachinotus carolinus	Florida pompano	8	21.8	0.7
Strike gillnet	Scomberomorus cavalla	King mackerel	10	82.0	18.8
Sink gillnet	Pomatomus saltatrix	Bluefish	493	51.7	17.7
C	Scomberomorus maculatus	Spanish mackerel	447	44.7	7.7
	Caranx chrysos	Blue runner	264	27.6	3.4
	Menticirrhus americanus	Southern kingfish	167	31.9	4.0
	Micropogonias undulatus	Atlantic croaker	108	31.6	3.4
	Leiostomus xanthurus	Spot	64	19.7	3.6
	Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Atlantic bumper	60	17.8	1.8
	Scomberomorus cavalla	King mackerel	42	86.0	9.4
	Euthynnus alletteratus	Little tunny	30	56.0	2.7
	Equetus umbrosus	Cubbyu	20	19.8	2.0
	Selene setapinnis	Atlantic moonfish	15	16.9	2.0
	Diplodus argenteus	Silver porgy	11	13.9	2.0
	Caranx hippos	Crevalle jack	10	21.5	6.0
	Cynoscion sp	Seatrout	10	33.3	7.0
	Peprilus triacanthus	Butterfish	9	16.6	3.0
	Pogonias cromis	Black drum	8	61.0	4.6
	Menticirrhus sp.	Kingfish	6	25.5	3.6

Table 6. Protected species interactions in the southeast US gillnet fishery for all observed trips, 2009. Target species are listed as bluefish (BLU), shark (SHX), or Spanish mackerel (SMK).

	Landing	N	W			Target
Species	Date	Latitude	Longitude	Disposition	Gear	Species
Alle alle	2/9/2009	3536.444	7504.155	Alive, uninjured	Sink gillnet	BLU
Lepidochelys kempii	3/12/2009	3011.103	8813.575	Alive, uninjured	Drift gillnet	SHX
Caretta caretta	6/8/2009	3509.718	7549.501	Alive, uninjured	Drift gillnet	SMK
Tursiops truncatus	10/3/2009	3551.25	7533.224	Dead, fresh	Drift gillnet	SMK

Figure 1. Distribution of observed drift gillnets sets, 2009.




Figure 2. Distribution of observed strike gillnets sets, 2009.




Figure 3. Distribution of observed sink gillnets sets, 2009.

