

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20436**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT  
INTERRUPTERS AND PRODUCTS  
CONTAINING SAME**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-739**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW TWO INITIAL  
DETERMINATION FINDING CERTAIN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review two initial determinations (“IDs”) (Order Nos. 31 and 33) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding certain respondents in default.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on October 8, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Leviton Manufacturing Co. (“Leviton”) of Melville, NY. 75 *Fed. Reg.* 62420 (Oct. 8, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ground fault circuit interrupters and products containing the same by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 7,463,124; 7,737,809; and 7,764,151. The Commission’s notice of investigation named numerous respondents, including respondents Safety Plus Products, Inc. of McFarland, WI and Littman Brothers Energy Supplies, Inc. (“Littman Brothers”) of Schaumburg, IL.

On October 5, 2010, the Commission served the Complaint and Notice of Investigation upon all respondents, including Littman Brothers and Safety Plus Products, Inc. On October 8, 2010, Leviton filed a motion to amend the complaint and Notice of Investigation to correct and substitute Safety Plus, Inc. of Vernon, CA for named respondent Safety Products, Inc. Leviton's motion was granted on October 25, 2010, and on November 12, 2010, the Commission issued a Notice indicating that it would not review the Initial Determination. *75 Fed. Reg.* 70,289 (Nov. 17, 2010). The Commission served the Second Amended Complaint and the Notice of Investigation via UPS upon Safety Plus, Inc. on November 18, 2010.

On January 6, 2011, Leviton filed a motion seeking a determination that respondent Safety Plus, Inc. is in default based on its failure to respond to the amended complaint and notice. On January 20, 2011, Leviton filed a motion seeking a determination that respondent Littman Brothers is in default based on its failure to respond to the amended complaint and notice. On January 31, 2011, the ALJ issued Order No. 23 ordering Safety Plus, Inc. to show cause why it should not be found in default. No response was received from Safety Plus, Inc. On February 2, 2011, the ALJ issued Order No. 26 ordering Littman Brothers to show cause why it should not be found in default. No response was received from Littman Brothers. On March 1, 2011, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 31) granting Leviton's motion finding Safety Plus, Inc. in default. On March 2, 2011, the ALJ issued another ID (Order No. 33) granting Leviton's motion finding Littman Brothers in default. None of the parties petitioned for review of either ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

/s/  
James R. Holbein  
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: March 22, 2011