



Revised 3/16/09

# Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report

August 2008, NCJ 222180

## Characteristics of State Parole Supervising Agencies, 2006

Thomas P. Bonczar  
BJS Statistician

### Overview

State parole supervising agencies employed nearly 65,000 full-time and 2,900 part-time workers on June 30, 2006, according to findings from the 2006 Census of State Parole Supervising Agencies. The average caseload was 38 active parolees for each full-time equivalent (FTE) position devoted to parole supervision. About half of parole supervising agencies had a role in releasing prisoners to parole, setting the conditions of supervision, or conducting revocation hearings.

The census collected information from 52 state agencies which included 2,287 separate administrative, regional, and other offices (table 1). These agencies reported that they supervised 660,959 adult parolees or about 83% of the 798,202 parolees reported at yearend 2006 in the Annual Parole Survey. (See *Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006*, available at <<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/ppus06.htm>>.)

### Combined parole and probation agencies supervised 4 times as many offenders on probation as on parole

On June 30, 2006, 35 of the reporting state parole supervising agencies also supervised adults on probation. Parole is a period of conditional supervised release following a prison term. Criminal offenders sentenced to a period of correctional supervision in the community are on probation. These combined parole-probation supervision agencies supervised about 4 times as many offenders on probation (1,200,570) as on parole (269,416).

The 1.2 million probationers represented about a quarter of the estimated 4,237,023 adults on probation on December 31, 2006, as reported in the 2006 Annual Probation Survey. Among the agencies that provided information, 17 supervised paroled offenders only. These agencies had 503 offices—less than a quarter of the total number of offices—but they supervised more than half of the total parole population.

Detailed information is available in appendix tables in the online version of this report on the BJS website at <<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pub/pdf/cpsa06.pdf>>.

**Table 1. Number of state adult parole supervising agencies, offices, and adult parole and probation population, by type of agency, June 30, 2006**

Type of agency	Number of parole agencies	Number of parole agency offices <sup>a</sup>	Adult parole population		Adult probation population	
			Number	Percent	Number	Percent
<b>Agency administration<sup>b</sup></b>	52	2,287	660,959	100%	1,200,570	100%
Department of Corrections	38	1,804	454,387	69%	920,203	77%
Independent parole agency	11	369	162,329	25	190,021	16
Other <sup>c</sup>	3	114	44,243	7	90,346	8
<b>Population served<sup>b</sup></b>						
Parolees	17	503	391,543	59%	~	~
Parolees and probationers	35	1,784	269,416	41	1,200,570	100

Note: See appendix table 1 for state-level data and Explanatory notes for details on reporting.

~Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Parole offices that comprised the 52 agencies on June 30, 2006, including administrative offices, regional offices, and all separate sub-offices, such as field offices; includes estimates for Illinois, Wisconsin, and Virginia.

<sup>b</sup>Excludes local parole supervision agencies in Alabama and Pennsylvania.

<sup>c</sup>Includes the Arkansas Department of Community Corrections, the Nevada Department of Public Safety, and one response representing Oregon's county-based parole system.

Seven state agencies reported that they supervised juveniles on probation or parole in addition to adults; however, not all agencies reported the number of juveniles on supervision.

State parole supervising agencies located in a department of corrections supervised a smaller percentage of parolees (69%) than probationers (77%). In comparison, agencies that were independent of a department of corrections supervised a larger share of parolees (25%) than probationers (16%). Ten independent agencies were located in the executive branch of government; one (Alabama) was in the legislative branch. Other parole agencies supervised nearly an equal share of parolees (7%) and probationers (8%).

### Five agencies supervised half of the parole population

Five state agencies accounted for about half of the adults under parole supervision on June 30, 2006 (table 2). These five agencies include the Departments of Corrections in California (125,067 adults on parole);<sup>1</sup> Texas (101,175); and Illinois (33,354); and two independent agencies, New York (53,215) and Pennsylvania (24,956, excluding adults supervised by county parole offices). Pennsylvania also supervised adults on probation (3,777) at midyear 2006.

### State parole supervising agencies employed nearly 65,000 full-time and 2,900 part-time workers

Including payroll staff, nonpayroll staff, and contract staff, an estimated 65,000 full-time and 2,900 part-time workers were employed by the 52 state parole supervising agencies on June 30, 2006 (table 3). This number includes imputed estimates for parole supervising agencies in Illinois, Wisconsin, and Oregon that did not provide information on staffing in the census. Nonpayroll staff included those on the payroll of other government agencies, unpaid interns, and volunteers.

In the 49 state agencies that provided information, 82% of full-time employees worked for a department of corrections, 16% worked for an independent parole agency, and 1% for another type of agency. Nearly all part-time employees (96%) worked for a department of corrections. When viewed by type of population served, 66% of full-time workers and 81% of part-time workers were employed by an agency that supervised both parolees and probationers.

<sup>1</sup>An additional 67 parolees were under supervision by the California Juvenile Justice Division on June 30, 2006.

**Table 2. Characteristics of adult parole supervising agencies, June 30, 2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Agency administration	Number of parole agency offices <sup>a</sup>	Adult parole population <sup>b</sup>	Adult probation population
State total		2,287	660,959	1,200,570
<b>Northeast</b>		160	101,413	35,673
Connecticut	DOC	11	2,838	~
Maine	DOC	25	32	7,986
Massachusetts	Independent	12	3,362	~
New Hampshire	DOC	16	1,672	4,674
New Jersey	Independent	13	13,770	~
New York	Independent	39	53,215	~
Pennsylvania <sup>b</sup>	Independent	27	24,956	3,777
Rhode Island	DOC	5	512	11,267
Vermont	DOC	12	1,056	7,969
<b>Midwest</b>		483	123,870	325,087
Illinois <sup>c</sup>	DOC	**	33,354	~
Indiana	DOC	9	7,863	~
Iowa	DOC	46	3,973	22,318
Kansas	DOC	20	4,882	~
Michigan	DOC	109	16,267	54,178
Minnesota <sup>d</sup>	DOC	110	4,444	127,797
Missouri	DOC	59	17,089	51,498
Nebraska	DOC	7	697	~
North Dakota	DOC	18	380	4,096
Ohio	DOC	53	16,280	10,112
South Dakota	DOC	10	2,584	~
Wisconsin <sup>e</sup>	DOC	**	16,057	55,088
<b>South</b>		1,132	243,057	698,956
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	Independent	72	9,014	41,509
Arkansas	Other	49	18,092	32,220
Delaware <sup>e</sup>	DOC	13	634	18,333
District of Columbia <sup>e</sup>	Independent	12	5,135	7,009
Florida	DOC	156	4,832	183,855
Georgia	Independent	58	23,060	~
Kentucky	DOC	60	10,653	24,330
Louisiana	DOC	21	23,905	39,047
Maryland	Independent	43	14,132	61,558
Mississippi	DOC	81	2,003	24,612
North Carolina	DOC	228	3,311	112,416
Oklahoma <sup>e</sup>	DOC	79	3,506	25,173
South Carolina	Independent	51	4,413	33,437
Tennessee	Independent	36	9,148	42,731
Texas	DOC	77	101,175	~
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	DOC	**	8,609	52,726
West Virginia	DOC	35	1,435	~
<b>West</b>		512	192,619	140,854
Alaska <sup>e</sup>	DOC	17	1,009	5,888
Arizona	DOC	19	7,473	~
California <sup>b</sup>	DOC	95	125,067	~
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>b</sup>	DOC	17	67	~
Colorado	DOC	41	8,577	~
Hawaii	Independent	6	2,124	~
Idaho	DOC	28	2,549	12,741
Montana	DOC	23	999	9,079
Nevada	Other	12	3,856	13,320
New Mexico	DOC	50	2,964	11,384
Oregon <sup>f</sup>	Other	53	22,295	44,806
Utah	DOC	17	3,535	10,676
Washington	DOC	110	11,553	30,787
Wyoming	DOC	24	551	2,173

Note: DOC indicates Department of Corrections. Explanatory notes in the report's technical supplement offer further detail.

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Includes an estimated 103 offices for Illinois, Wisconsin, and Virginia.

<sup>b</sup>Data may differ from other BJS publications.

<sup>c</sup>Data are for December 31, 2007.

<sup>d</sup>Includes 3,066 adult parolees under active supervision in 16 Community Corrections Act agencies in 65 offices. The state provided direct parole supervision in the remaining counties.

<sup>e</sup>Some or all data estimated.

<sup>f</sup>County government agencies provided adult parole supervision in Oregon.

Among state agencies that provided information about their employees, nearly all full-time workers (94%) and about half of part-time workers (47%) were on the payroll. An equal percentage of the remaining full-time employees were nonpayroll staff and contract staff (3% each). Among part-time workers, 40% were nonpayroll staff and 13% were contractors.

Men (51%) and women (49%) made up nearly equal percentages of full-time employees. Women were 58% of part-time employees.

### Average caseload was 38 active parolees for each FTE devoted to supervision

Respondents were asked to report the portion of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff positions devoted to direct supervision of adult offenders on active parole on June 30, 2006. The census included directions for counting the time that full-time and part-time employees had available for supervising parolees. Respondents were also asked to count just that portion of time available for supervision of parolees among employees who divided their time between supervision of parolees and other responsibilities.

An estimated 14,000 FTE staff supervised about 528,000 adults active on parole on June 30, 2006 in the 52 agencies included in the census (table 4). Staff positions allocated to supervision of offenders on active parole amounted to about 1 in 5 of the estimated 65,000 full-time and 2,900 part-time staff members. This resulted in an average caseload at midyear 2006 of 38 persons on active parole supervision for each FTE staff position devoted to adult parole supervision.

An average of 49 parolees were on active supervision for each FTE position devoted to supervision in agencies that supervised only parolees (based on 16 agencies that provided information). Among agencies that had authority for both parolees and probationers, 28 parolees were on active supervision per FTE position (based on 25 agencies). These caseload calculations do not take into account differences in the offenses for which parolees had been incarcerated or differences in their required levels of supervision.

**Table 3. Full-time and part-time employees of state adult parole supervising agencies, by type of agency and staff, June 30, 2006**

Type of agency and staff	State parole supervising agency employees			
	Full-time		Part-time	
	Number	Percent of persons with a known status	Number	Percent of persons with a known status
Estimated total staff <sup>a</sup>	65,000		2,900	
<b>Agency administration</b>	56,935	100%	2,478	100%
Department of Corrections	46,918	82	2,384	96
Independent parole agency	9,215	16	86	3
Other	802	1	8	--
<b>Population served by agency</b>	56,935	100%	2,478	100%
Parolees	19,348	34	475	19
Parolees and probationers	37,587	66	2,003	81
<b>Type of employment<sup>b</sup></b>	56,935	100%	2,478	100%
Payroll	53,401	94	1,169	47
Nonpayroll	1,791	3	996	40
Contract	1,743	3	313	13
<b>Gender<sup>c</sup></b>	53,757	100%	1,729	100%
Male	27,436	51	731	42
Female	26,321	49	998	58

Note: Data may not sum to total because of rounding. Tables 15 and 16 give staffing data in greater detail.

--Less than 0.5%.

<sup>a</sup>Includes an estimated 8,065 full-time and 422 part-time staff members in Illinois, Oregon, and Wisconsin.

<sup>b</sup>For state specific data on adult parole supervising agency staff, see table 15.

<sup>c</sup>Among agencies that provided information, gender was not reported for 6% of full-time staff and 30% of part-time staff. For state specific data, see table 16.

**Table 4. Full-time equivalent (FTE) positions supervising active parolees, and average adult parolee caseload per FTE position, by type of agency, June 30, 2006**

Type of agency	Number of full-time FTE positions supervising active parolees	Average active parolees per FTE position
Estimated agency total*	14,000	38
<b>Agency administration</b>	11,089	39
Department of Corrections	7,236	41
Independent parole agency	3,008	40
Other	845	18
<b>Population served</b>	11,089	39
Parolees	5,806	49
Parolees and probationers	5,283	28

Note: Data may not sum to total because of rounding. See appendix table 2 for state-level data.

\*Includes an estimated 2,911 FTE positions in 11 agencies that did not report this information; average active parolees per FTE position estimated based on 528,000 parolees on active supervision.

## Two-thirds of paroled offenders were required to meet with a parole officer at least once a month

Two-thirds of adult offenders on parole were required to have face-to-face contact with a parole officer at least once a month, including 14% who were required to have weekly face-to-face contact (table 5). An additional 17% of paroled offenders were required to meet with their parole officers less than once a month or to maintain contact by mail, telephone, or other means. Thirteen percent of paroled offenders were no longer required to report on a regular basis. A reporting frequency had not yet been determined for 3% of paroled offenders. Nearly 8 in 10 adult offenders were on active parole supervision.

## Half of parole supervising agencies had a role in releasing prisoners to parole, setting the conditions of supervision, or conducting revocation hearings

Twenty-six of the 50 state agencies providing information reported that, as of June 30, 2006, they participated in releasing persons from prison to parole supervision, setting the terms or conditions of adult parole supervision, or conducting parole revocation hearings (table 6). Of the 26

Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	Adult parole population	Percent of parolees with a known status
Total number of adults on parole	660,959	
<b>Supervision level</b>	547,844	100%
Required number of face-to-face contacts with a parole officer		
At least once per week	74,877	14
At least once per month	294,246	54
Less than once per month <sup>b</sup>	90,958	17
Regular reporting no longer required	73,582	13
Reporting frequency not yet determined	14,181	3
<b>Status of supervision</b>	611,548	100%
Active <sup>c</sup>	483,791	79
Inactive	26,686	4
Absconder	61,733	10
Supervised out of state	27,455	4
Financial conditions remaining	66	--
Other	11,817	2

Note: Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. See appendix table 3 for state-level data.  
 -- Less than 0.5%.

<sup>a</sup>Each characteristic had persons of unknown status. Jurisdictions did not report data for 17% of supervision level and 7% of status of supervision.  
<sup>b</sup>May have included regular contact by mail, telephone, or other means.  
<sup>c</sup>An estimated 528,000 parolees were on active supervision on June 30, 2006, including agencies that did not report status of supervision.

agencies that performed at least one of these functions, 14 performed all 3 functions. The remaining 24 agencies that responded performed none of these functions. Two agencies did not provide information.

Nineteen of 50 parole supervising agencies reported at midyear 2006 that they considered prisoners for release. In the census, 13 parole supervising agencies reported that between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006, they considered 126,641 prisoners for release and released 57,850—a rate of 46 prisoners released per 100 considered. Some prisoners considered for release may have been released after this period, and some of those released may have been considered for release before the period.

On June 30, 2006, did the parole supervising agency—	Number of agencies	Percent of agencies with a known status
<b>Consider prisoners for release?</b>	50	100%
Yes <sup>a</sup>	19	38
No	31	62
<b>Set the terms or conditions of adult parole supervision?</b>		
Yes <sup>b</sup>	20	40%
No	30	60
Who performed the function? <sup>c</sup>		
Parole board	27	
Courts	2	
Other DOC agency	1	
Other independent agency	3	
<b>Have responsibility for conducting parole revocation hearings?</b>		
Yes <sup>d</sup>	18	36%
No	32	64
Who performed the function? <sup>c</sup>		
Parole board	30	
Other DOC agency	1	
Other independent agency	1	
<b>The number of functions performed by the parole supervising agency was—</b>		
None	24	48%
1	9	18
2	3	6
3	14	28

Note: See appendix tables 4 and 5 for state-level data on agencies considering prisoners for parole and those responsible for conducting parole revocation hearings.

<sup>a</sup>Between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006, 13 agencies that provided information considered 126,641 prisoners for release (some of whom may not have been released until after June 30, 2006) and released 57,850 (46 per 100 considered), some of whom may have been considered for release prior to July 1, 2005.  
<sup>b</sup>In 14 jurisdictions both the parole supervising agency and the parole board set the terms or conditions of supervision.  
<sup>c</sup>More than one other entity may have performed a function within a jurisdiction.  
<sup>d</sup>All 18 parole supervising agencies that conducted revocation hearings shared the responsibility with a parole board. Seventeen agencies that provided information conducted 67,534 parole revocation hearings between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006.

North Dakota released 76 prisoners per 100 considered for release and Connecticut released 71 (table 7). Arizona released 13 per 100. The census did not collect information on the characteristics of prisoners considered for release.

Paroled offenders are frequently required to abide by one or more conditions of supervision when released into the community. Such conditions may include payment of supervision fees, submission to drug testing, finding employment, and fulfilling requirements for treatment. Adult parole supervising agencies in 20 states reported that they set the terms or conditions of adult parole supervision. In 14 of these states, the parole board also had a role. The 30 parole supervising agencies reporting that they did not perform this function most frequently identified the parole board (27 jurisdictions) as the entity that set the terms or conditions of supervision.

Failure to abide by the terms or conditions of supervision may result in revocation of parole. Revocation can result in the return of the paroled offender to incarceration or lead to modification of the conditions of parole supervision.

Seventeen of the 18 agencies that had responsibility for conducting parole revocation hearings held 67,534 hearings between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006. Based on the number of adults on parole in these agencies during the year ending June 30, 2006, no more than one in five parolees had a revocation hearing.<sup>2</sup> This is because some parolees may have had more than one revocation hearing.

A total of 317,828 parolees were at risk of re-incarceration in these 17 agencies, including an estimated 203,125 adults on parole on June 30, 2005, plus an estimated 114,703 who entered parole supervision between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006 (not shown in table).

Each of the 18 agencies that conducted parole revocation hearings reported sharing this responsibility with a parole board. Thirty of the 32 supervising agencies that did not conduct revocation hearings identified the parole board as the authority performing this function.

### Up to 16% of at-risk parolees in some agencies were re-incarcerated for a failed drug test

All 50 parole supervising agencies that provided information reported testing paroled offenders for the use of illegal drugs during the year ending June 30, 2006. Eight agencies were able to report the number of parolees returned to incarceration between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006, due to a drug violation detected during agency testing. These agencies re-incarcerated between less than 0.5% and 16% of those estimated to have been at risk of re-incarceration (table 8). The population at risk of re-incarceration in these agencies included adults who were on parole on June 30, 2005, plus those who entered parole between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006.

<sup>2</sup>This was calculated by dividing 67,534 parole revocation hearings by an estimated 317,828 parolees at risk of re-incarceration.

**Table 7. States in which adult parole supervising agencies considered prisoners for release, June 30, 2006**

	Prisoners, July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006—		
	Considered for release <sup>a</sup>	Number released <sup>a</sup>	Number released per 100 considered
Jurisdictions reporting <sup>b</sup>	126,641	57,850	46
Alabama <sup>c</sup>	9,394	3,111	33
Arizona	411	55	13
California Juvenile Justice Division	**	**	**
Connecticut	3,503	2,470	71
Georgia	**	10,794	**
Hawaii	1,797	718	40
Massachusetts	**	**	**
Michigan	20,214	10,365	51
New Jersey	12,859	7,505	58
New York	24,731	10,946	44
North Carolina <sup>d</sup>	7,568	26,457	:
North Dakota	996	752	76
Ohio	12,503	5,793	46
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	19,644	10,368	53
Rhode Island	**	**	**
South Carolina	4,905	1,093	22
South Dakota	1,233	552	45
Tennessee	14,451	4,122	29
Virginia	5,522	**	**

Note: See appendix table 4 for state-level data.

\*\* Not known.

: Not calculated.

<sup>a</sup>Some prisoners considered for release between 7/1/2005 and 6/30/2006 may not have been released until after 6/30/2006, and some released during this period may have been considered for release prior to 7/1/2005.

<sup>b</sup>Excludes Georgia, North Carolina, and Virginia.

<sup>c</sup>Excludes local parole supervision agencies.

<sup>d</sup>Number considered for release restricted to supervised release cases. Number released includes all prison exits, including supervised releases.

**Table 8. Adults on parole returned to incarceration, July 1, 2005, to June 30, 2006, as a result of a drug violation detected during agency testing**

Region and jurisdiction	Total parole population at risk of re-incarceration, on 6/30/2006 <sup>a</sup>	Adult parolees returned to prison, between 7/1/2005 and 6/30/2006, as a result of a drug violation detected during agency testing <sup>b</sup>	
		Number	Percent
Florida	10,971	315	2.9%
Hawaii	2,923	284	9.7
Michigan	31,022	1,958	6.3
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	35,595	1,264	3.6
South Dakota	4,282	675	15.8
Tennessee	12,568	47	--
Utah	5,821	545	9.4
Wyoming	940	51	5.4

Note: See appendix table 6 for state-level data.

--Less than 0.5%.

<sup>a</sup>Includes estimates of the number of adults on parole on 6/30/2005, plus those who entered parole between 7/1/2005, and 6/30/2006.

<sup>b</sup>Some parolees returned to prison between 7/1/2005 and 6/30/2006, as a result of a drug violation may have had a drug test prior to 7/1/2005.

<sup>c</sup>Counts varied from those reported in other BJS publications.

Information about the number of paroled offenders tested and whether testing was done upon entry to supervision, randomly, or upon suspicion of use, was not obtained.

**Nearly all agencies report use of drug, sex offender, or mental health treatment programs**

On June 30, 2006, 47 of 49 parole supervising agencies reported having paroled offenders enrolled in a drug treatment program run by a formally trained professional (table 9). In the 21 agencies that provided enrollment counts, an average of 10.9% of all paroled offenders (28,084 of 258,652) were enrolled in such a program.

Nearly all agencies (46) also reported that paroled offenders were enrolled in a self-help or drug awareness program such as Narcotics Anonymous or Cocaine Anonymous. Seven of these agencies, supervising 26,333 parolees, reported that 4,510 parolees (17.1%) were in these programs on June 30, 2006. The other agencies were unable to provide counts.

Nearly all parole supervision agencies also reported having paroled adult offenders enrolled in a sex offender treatment program (46 agencies), or a mental health treatment program (47) (table 10). Twenty-six agencies reported that 3.7% of paroled offenders were enrolled in a sex offender treatment program, and 17 agencies reported that 9.0% of paroled offenders were enrolled in a mental health treatment program operated by a formally trained mental health professional. Among the agencies that provided informa-

**Table 9. Adult supervising agencies' use of drug treatment programs, by type of program, June 30, 2006**

On June 30, 2006, were any parolees enrolled in a—	Number of agencies	Percent of agencies with a known status
Drug treatment program run by a formally trained drug treatment professional?	49	100%
Yes <sup>a</sup>	47	96
No	2	4
Self-help or drug awareness program? <sup>b</sup>	49	100%
Yes <sup>c</sup>	46	94
No	3	6

Note: See appendix table 6 for state-level data. Excludes Illinois, Mississippi, and Wisconsin, for which no information was available.

<sup>a</sup>Of 258,652 parolees under supervision in 21 agencies that provided information, 28,084 (10.9%) were enrolled in a drug treatment program operated by a formally trained professional.

<sup>b</sup>Such as Narcotics Anonymous (NA) or Cocaine Anonymous (CA).

<sup>c</sup>Of 26,333 parolees under supervision in 7 agencies that provided information, 4,510 (17.1%) were enrolled in a self-help or drug awareness program like NA or CA.

tion, a greater percentage of paroled offenders were enrolled in drug treatment programs than in sex offender or mental health programs.

**2 in 5 parole supervising agencies operated or contracted a housing service for paroled offenders**

Respondents were asked whether their parole agency had a program that provided assistance to parolees in obtaining housing, beyond an occasional referral by a parole officer to an apartment building or landlord.

Among 50 state supervising agencies that provided information, 7 reported having a working relationship with a state or county housing agency, and 6 had a contract with a private rental agency to refer paroled offenders to landlords (table 11). Four agencies operated an in-house service to provide housing referrals to paroled offenders. Ten other agencies operated other types of programs.

Twenty of the 50 agencies that provided information indicated that as of June 30, 2006, they had some type of formal housing assistance program for paroled offenders (table 12). Four agencies offered two or more types of housing assistance programs.

**Table 10. Adult supervising agencies' use of sex offender and mental health treatment programs, by type of program, June 30, 2006**

On June 30, 2006, were any parolees enrolled in a—	Number of agencies	Percent of agencies with a known status
Sex offender treatment program?	47	100%
Yes <sup>a</sup>	46	98
No	1	2
Mental health treatment program run by a formally trained mental health professional?	49	100%
Yes <sup>b</sup>	47	96
No	2	4

Note: See appendix table 7 for state-level data. Excludes states for which no information was available, including Illinois, Mississippi, and Wisconsin for both types of treatment programs, and also Alabama and Maine for sex offender treatment programs.

<sup>a</sup>Of 409,543 parolees under supervision in 25 agencies that provided information, 14,966 (3.7%) were enrolled in a sex offender treatment program.

<sup>b</sup>Of 353,114 parolees under supervision in 17 agencies that provided information, 31,605 (9.0%) were enrolled in a mental health treatment program run by a formally trained mental health professional.

**Table 11. Housing and employment assistance programs provided by adult parole agencies, June 30, 2006**

Type of assistance	Number of agencies
<b>Housing assistance</b>	50
Parole agency—	
• operated a formal housing service that referred parolees to specific landlords or group homes with which the agency had a working relationship	4
• had a contract with a private rental housing agency (or agencies) that referred parolees to specific landlords	6
• had a formal working relationship with a state/county housing agency and regularly received reports on parolees from the agency	7
• offered some other type of program	10
<b>Employment assistance</b>	50
Parole agency —	
• operated a formal employment service that referred parolees to specific job openings or to employers with whom the agency had a working relationship	6
• had a contract with a private employment service that referred parolees to specific job openings or employers	8
• had a formal working relationship with a state or county employment agency and regularly received reports on parolees from the agency	17
• offered some other type of program	6

Note: See appendix tables 8 and 9 for state-level data. Excludes Illinois and Wisconsin for which no information was available.

### Half of parole supervising agencies offered some type of formal employment assistance

Other than an occasional referral by a parole officer to a job opening or to a particular employer, the most frequent type of formal employment assistance provided by parole supervising agencies involved a working relationship with a state or county employment agency (17 agencies). Nearly equal numbers of parole supervising agencies reported that paroled offenders received employment assistance through a contract with a private employment service (8 agencies), that the parole agency operated an in-house employment service for paroled offenders (6 agencies), or that some other type of employment assistance was provided (6 agencies).

Overall, 25 of the 50 adult parole supervising agencies that provided information had some type of organized program to provide employment assistance to paroled offenders at midyear 2006 (table 13). Seven agencies offered more than one type of employment assistance program.

**Table 12. Number of formal housing assistance programs offered by adult parole supervising agencies, June 30, 2006**

Number of formal housing assistance programs	Adult parole supervising agencies	
	Number	Percent
None	30	60%
1	16	32
2	1	2
3	3	6
Agencies providing information	50	100%

Note: See appendix table 8 for state-level data. Counts limited to state-level reporting. Excludes Illinois and Wisconsin for which no information was available.

**Table 13. Number of employment assistance programs offered by adult parole supervising agencies, June 30, 2006**

Number of employment programs	Adult parole supervising agencies	
	Number	Percent
None	25	50%
1	18	36
2	3	6
3	4	8
Agencies providing information	50	100%

Note: See appendix table 9 for state-level data. Counts limited to state-level reporting. Excludes Illinois and Wisconsin for which no information was available.

## Methodology

The 2006 Census of State Parole Supervising Agencies, with a reference date of June 30, 2006, was sent to 68 respondents, including 50 central state reporters, the California Juvenile Justice Division, and the District of Columbia (table 14). Sixteen local Minnesota Community Corrections Act agencies were asked to provide information on staffing and supervision not available from the state. The purpose of the census was to collect information about parole supervising organizations.

In contrast with the parole census, the 2006 Annual Parole Survey (APS), with a reference date of December 31, 2006, was sent to 54 respondents, including 54 central state reporters, the California Juvenile Justice Division, and 1 municipal agency. The APS collected summary counts of the number of adults on parole at the beginning and end of the year, the number of adults entering and exiting parole supervision during the year, and characteristics of the end of year parole population. The APS has been conducted annually since 1977.

Responses to the parole census included one summary response from a central respondent in the Oregon Department of Corrections based on summary data gathered from 36 county governments that independently administered all

adult parole supervision in the state. Illinois provided only counts of the adult parole population on December 31, 2007 for the state as a whole and by parole office. Wisconsin provided no data.

Virginia's report of 8,609 adults on parole supervision on June 30, 2006, included additional groups of offenders that were not previously reported. For the parole census, Virginia included all paroled offenders for whom the state has responsibility, paroled felons who are the responsibility of local jurisdictions in Virginia, and offenders whose parole was originally supervised by the courts that sentenced them. Restricting Virginia's parole count to the groups included in the 2006 Annual Parole Survey would result in an estimate of 4,239 adults on parole on June 30, 2006 — based on an average of the state's adult parole population on January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2006.

**Table 14. Comparison of 2006 Census of State Parole Supervising Agency and 2006 Annual Parole Survey data collections**

Topic	2006 Census of Adult Parole Supervising Agencies	2006 Annual Parole Survey
<b>Form</b>	CJ-36	CJ-7
<b>Reference date</b>	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2006
<b>Focus</b>	Parole agency	Parolees, summary counts
<b>Coverage</b>	68 respondents —50 states (excluding Pennsylvania counties) —California Juvenile Justice Division  —District of Columbia  —16 Minnesota Community Corrections Agencies (separate responses to collect staff and programmatic information)	54 respondents —50 states (including Pennsylvania counties) —California Juvenile Justice Division  —District of Columbia  —Federal parole  —Alabama (one municipality) —Minnesota Community Corrections Act agencies (included with state response)
<b>Non-respondents, imputation procedures</b>	Illinois provided adult parole population on December 31, 2007; used without alteration Wisconsin; parole population imputed from 2006 Annual Parole Survey	Illinois; adult parole population imputed from 2005 Annual Parole Survey
<b>Parole population</b>		
Unadjusted, different coverage and reference dates	660,959	798,202
Adjusted, national estimate, June 30, 2006	795,748	789,409
<b>Adjustment procedure</b>	Added in estimated average parole population on June 30, 2006 from 2006 Annual Parole Survey: —Federal parole —Pennsylvania counties —Alabama (one municipality)	Computed average of parole population on January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2006



**Table 15. Adult parole supervising agency staff, by type, June 30, 2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Number of staff employed by adult parole supervising agency							
	Total		Payroll		Nonpayroll		Contract	
	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time
<b>State total<sup>a,b</sup></b>	56,935	2,478	53,401	1,169	1,791	996	1,743	313
<b>Northeast</b>	4,503	40	4,488	33	13	4	2	3
Connecticut	186	3	181	3	5	0	0	0
Maine	25	0	25	0	0	0	0	0
Massachusetts	231	7	230	5	0	0	1	2
New Hampshire	103	2	103	2	**	0	~	~
New Jersey	740	4	732	2	8	2	~	~
New York	2,003	17	2,002	14	0	2	1	1
Pennsylvania <sup>b</sup>	1,001	7	1,001	7	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island <sup>c</sup>	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
Vermont	201	0	201	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Midwest</b>	16,877	1,101	15,044	581	723	483	1,110	37
Illinois	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Indiana	8,327	0	7,260	0	**	**	1,067	0
Iowa	1,077	75	1,077	75	0	0	0	0
Kansas	153	2	153	2	0	0	0	0
Michigan	1,096	0	1,096	0	**	**	0	0
Minnesota	3,479	788	2,735	268	723	483	21	37
Missouri	1,488	235	1,488	235	**	**	0	0
Nebraska	31	0	31	0	0	0	0	0
North Dakota	92	0	92	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio	1,073	0	1,051	0	0	0	22	0
South Dakota	61	1	61	1	0	0	0	0
Wisconsin	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	20,364	354	19,287	135	1,027	199	50	20
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	645	0	644	0	0	0	1	0
Arkansas	364	0	364	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	355	21	355	21	**	**	**	~
District of Columbia <sup>c</sup>	835	15	791	10	0	0	44	5
Florida	3,409	9	3,409	9	**	**	0	0
Georgia	725	8	725	8	0	0	0	0
Kentucky	544	0	505	0	38	0	1	0
Louisiana	737	~	737	~	~	~	~	~
Maryland	1,234	0	1,234	0	0	0	0	0
Mississippi	3,043	18	3,043	18	0	0	0	0
North Carolina	2,402	~	2,402	~	~	~	~	~
Oklahoma	353	0	353	0	0	0	0	0
South Carolina	758	10	754	0	0	10	4	0
Tennessee	993	16	4	0	989	16	0	0
Texas	2,604	174	2,604	1	0	173	0	0
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	1,319	68	1,319	68	0	0	0	0
West Virginia	44	15	44	0	0	0	0	15
<b>West</b>	15,191	983	14,582	420	28	310	581	253
Alaska <sup>d</sup>	106	~	106	~	0	~	0	~
Arizona	169	0	169	0	0	0	0	0
California <sup>b</sup>	3,651	236	3,101	36	0	0	550	200
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>b</sup>	121	5	121	2	0	3	**	**
Colorado	252	1	240	0	0	0	12	1
Hawaii	50	2	50	2	~	~	~	~
Idaho	1,537	377	1,491	34	28	302	18	41
Montana	182	22	182	8	0	3	0	11
Nevada	438	8	438	6	0	2	~	~
New Mexico	360	0	360	0	0	0	0	0
Oregon <sup>e</sup>	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Utah	554	12	554	12	0	0	0	0
Washington	7,614	308	7,614	308	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	157	12	156	12	0	0	1	0

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not reported.

<sup>a</sup>Excludes an estimated 8,065 full-time and 422 part-time staff in Illinois, Wisconsin, and Oregon.

<sup>b</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>c</sup>Some or all data are estimated.

<sup>d</sup>Includes the total number of staff members for the parole and probation agency.

<sup>e</sup>See Explanatory notes for more detail.

**Table 16. Adult parole supervising agency staff, by gender, June 30, 2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Number of staff employed by adult parole supervising agency							
	Total		Male		Female		Not reported	
	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time
<b>State total<sup>a,b</sup></b>	56,935	2,478	27,436	731	26,321	998	3,178	749
<b>Northeast</b>	4,503	40	2,046	13	2,045	24	412	3
Connecticut	186	3	**	**	**	**	186	3
Maine	25	0	**	0	**	0	25	0
Massachusetts <sup>c,d</sup>	231	7	112	4	119	3	0	0
New Hampshire	103	2	60	1	43	1	0	0
New Jersey	740	4	411	2	329	2	0	0
New York	2,003	17	903	4	1,100	13	0	0
Pennsylvania <sup>b</sup>	1,001	7	555	2	446	5	0	0
Rhode Island <sup>c</sup>	13	0	5	0	8	0	0	0
Vermont	201	0	**	0	**	0	201	0
<b>Midwest</b>	16,877	1,101	8,273	432	6,839	646	1,765	23
Illinois	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Indiana <sup>d</sup>	8,327	0	4,642	0	2,618	0	1,067	0
Iowa	1,077	75	526	23	551	52	0	0
Kansas	153	2	81	0	72	2	0	0
Michigan	1,096	0	515	0	581	0	0	0
Minnesota	3,479	788	1,358	301	1,445	464	676	23
Missouri	1,488	235	596	108	892	127	0	0
Nebraska	31	0	14	0	17	0	0	0
North Dakota	92	0	39	0	53	0	0	0
Ohio <sup>d</sup>	1,073	0	469	0	582	0	22	0
South Dakota	61	1	33	0	28	1	0	0
Wisconsin	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	20,364	354	8,544	70	11,776	106	44	178
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	645	0	312	0	333	0	0	0
Arkansas	364	0	169	0	195	0	0	0
Delaware	355	21	204	4	151	17	0	0
District of Columbia <sup>c,d</sup>	835	15	276	1	515	9	44	5
Florida	3,409	9	1,298	1	2,111	8	0	0
Georgia	725	8	343	4	382	4	0	0
Kentucky	544	0	305	0	239	0	0	0
Louisiana	737	~	381	~	356	~	0	~
Maryland	1,234	0	367	0	867	0	0	0
Mississippi	3,043	18	1,194	6	1,849	12	0	0
North Carolina	2,402	~	1,179	~	1,223	~	0	~
Oklahoma <sup>c</sup>	353	0	199	0	154	0	0	0
South Carolina	758	10	328	5	430	5	0	0
Tennessee	993	16	420	14	573	2	0	0
Texas <sup>d</sup>	2,604	174	935	0	1,669	1	0	173
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	1,319	68	611	28	708	40	0	0
West Virginia	44	15	23	7	21	8	0	0
<b>West</b>	15,191	983	8,573	216	5,661	222	957	545
Alaska <sup>e</sup>	106	~	47	~	59	~	0	~
Arizona	169	0	98	0	71	0	0	0
California <sup>b,d</sup>	3,651	236	1,698	23	1,403	13	550	200
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>b,c,d</sup>	121	5	74	2	47	3	0	0
Colorado	252	1	137	0	115	1	0	0
Hawaii	50	2	28	2	22	0	0	0
Idaho <sup>d</sup>	1,537	377	961	6	530	28	46	343
Montana	182	22	87	10	95	12	0	0
Nevada <sup>d</sup>	438	8	212	0	226	6	0	2
New Mexico	360	0	**	0	**	0	360	0
Oregon <sup>d</sup>	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Utah	554	12	353	6	201	6	0	0
Washington	7,614	308	4,822	160	2,792	148	0	0
Wyoming	157	12	56	7	100	5	1	0

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not reported.

<sup>a</sup>Excludes an estimated 8,065 full-time and 422 part-time staff in Illinois, Wisconsin, and Oregon.

<sup>b</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>c</sup>Some or all data are estimated.

<sup>d</sup>See Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>e</sup>Includes the total number of staff members for the parole and probation agency.



**For electronic versions of this report,  
visit the BJS website**

*<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs>*

To order paper copies of this or other BJS reports —

- Visit

*<http://www.ncjrs.gov/app/publications/bjspubs.aspx>*

- Call 1-800-851-3420

Download datasets and documentation from  
the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data —

*<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/NACJD/index.html>*

## **Keep current on criminal justice issues**

**Get notices and newsletters:**

### **JUSTSTATS**

E-mail notifications of new statistical materials from BJS, the FBI,  
and the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

To subscribe, see <http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/juststats.htm>

### **JUSTINFO**

A biweekly electronic newsletter from the National Criminal Justice Reference  
Service (NCJRS) with news from BJS, NCJRS, and the other agencies in the  
Office of Justice Programs.

To subscribe, see <http://www.ncjrs.gov/subreg.html>



Washington, DC 20531

Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use \$300

---

This report in portable document format ( and in ASCII and its related statistical data and tables are available at the BJS World Wide Web Internet site: <<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/cspsa06.htm>>.

**Office of Justice Programs**

*Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods*  
<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov>

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. Jeffrey L. Sedgwick is the director.

This Special Report was written by Thomas P. Bonczar, statistician, and verified by William J. Sabol and Todd D. Minton. Nicole S. Adolph, Garry L. Smith, and Adam E. Bacon, Governments Division, U.S. Census Bureau, carried out data collection and processing for the 2006 Census of Adult Parole Supervising Agencies, under the supervision of Latrice M. Brogdsdale-Davis and Charlene M. Sebold. Catherine Bird, Tina Dorsey, and Georgette Walsh produced and edited the report. Jayne Robinson prepared the report for final printing, under the supervision of Doris J. James.

August 2008 NCJ 222180

---

## Expanded Methodology

### *Imputation of parole population for non-reporting agency*

Wisconsin's adult parole population on June 30, 2006, was estimated as the average of the agency's parole population on January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2006, as reported to the BJS 2006 Annual Parole Survey (see *Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006*).

### *Estimating the total number of parole agency offices*

Agencies were asked to report the number of separate offices in their parole agency on June 30, 2006, including administrative offices, regional offices, and all separate sub-offices, such as field offices. The total of 2,287 parole agency offices among the parole agencies included in the Census includes an estimated 103 separate offices in Illinois, Wisconsin, and Virginia. The number of separate offices in Illinois and Virginia were estimated from lists of individual regional or district offices these states provided. The number of separate offices in Wisconsin was estimated from a list of regional offices on the agency's website on May 2, 2006 ([www.wi-doc.com/regional.htm](http://www.wi-doc.com/regional.htm)).

### *Estimating the total number of full-time and part-time staff*

The state total of 53,965 full-time and 2,478 part-time employees includes an estimated 8,065 full-time and 422 part-time employees in states that did not report this information. The number of employees in Illinois, Wisconsin, and Oregon was estimated based on the rate of employees per adult parolee among the remaining states. Estimates were made separately for full-time and part-time employees, and by type of agency (parole-only agencies—Illinois, and combined probation and parole agencies—Wisconsin and Oregon).

### *Estimating the average adult parole caseload per full-time equivalent (FTE) staff position*

The overall average caseload of 38 adult parolees on active supervision per full-time equivalent (FTE) staff position incorporates estimates of the number of FTE positions for 11 states (Maine, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Arkansas, Delaware, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Virginia, Alaska, and Washington). The method of estimation required that estimates first be obtained for the number of parolees on active supervision for two states which did not provide this information. Illinois and Wisconsin were estimated to have the same proportion of all parolees on active supervision on June 30, 2006, as they did when they last reported this information to the Annual Parole Survey (December 31, 2005, for Illinois; and December 31, 2006, for Wisconsin).

The 11 states that did not provide FTE counts were then estimated to have the same rate of FTE positions per parolee on active supervision as did similar types of agencies in the states that reported this information. Estimates of FTE positions were made separately for parole-only agencies (Illinois) and combined probation and parole agencies (the remaining 10 agencies).

### *Estimating the population at risk of return to incarceration*

For adult parole agencies that reported the number of revocation hearings conducted during the period July 1, 2005, to June 30, 2006, (page 5) or the number of parolees returned to incarceration as the result of a drug violation detected during agency testing, (table 8) the number of parolees at risk of re-incarceration was defined as the number of adults on parole on July 1, 2005, plus those released to parole supervision during the year ending June 30, 2006.

The number of adults on parole on July 1, 2005, was estimated as the average of the agency's parole population on January 1, 2005, and December 31, 2005, as reported in *Probation and Parole in the United States, 2005*.

The number released to a state agency's parole supervision between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006, was estimated as one-half of entries to parole supervision during 2005 (*Probation and Parole in the United States, 2005*), and one-half of entries to parole supervision during 2006 (*Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006*).

**Appendix table 1. Persons under supervision by adult parole supervising agencies, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Persons under community supervision, 6/30/2006	Adult parole population, 6/30/2006	Supervised adult probationers	Adult probation population, 6/30/06	Supervised juveniles on probation or parole/aftercare	Juvenile probation population, 6/30/06	Juvenile parole/aftercare population, 6/30/2006
<b>State total<sup>a</sup></b>	1,873,744	660,959	35	1,200,570	7	11,395	820
<b>Northeast</b>	137,250	101,413	5	35,673	2	~	164
Connecticut	2,838	2,838	~	~	~	~	~
Maine <sup>b</sup>	8,018	32	yes	7,986	yes	**	**
Massachusetts	3,362	3,362	~	~	~	~	~
New Hampshire	6,346	1,672	yes	4,674	~	~	~
New Jersey	13,770	13,770	~	~	~	~	~
New York	53,379	53,215	~	~	yes	~	164
Pennsylvania <sup>a</sup>	28,733	24,956	yes	3,777	~	~	~
Rhode Island	11,779	512	yes	11,267	~	~	~
Vermont	9,025	1,056	yes	7,969	~	~	~
<b>Midwest</b>	461,007	123,870	7	325,087	3	11,395	655
Illinois	33,354	33,354	~	~	**	**	**
Indiana	8,481	7,863	~	~	yes	~	618
Iowa	26,291	3,973	yes	22,318	~	~	~
Kansas	4,882	4,882	~	~	~	~	~
Michigan <sup>c</sup>	70,445	16,267	yes	54,178	yes	**	**
Minnesota	143,673	4,444	yes	127,797	yes	11,395	37
Missouri	68,587	17,089	yes	51,498	~	~	~
Nebraska	697	697	~	~	~	~	~
North Dakota	4,476	380	yes	4,096	~	~	~
Ohio	26,392	16,280	yes	10,112	~	~	~
South Dakota	2,584	2,584	~	~	~	~	~
Wisconsin <sup>b,d</sup>	71,145	16,057	yes	55,088	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	942,013	243,057	14	698,956	0	~	~
Alabama <sup>a</sup>	50,523	9,014	yes	41,509	~	~	~
Arkansas	50,312	18,092	yes	32,220	~	~	~
Delaware <sup>b</sup>	18,967	634	yes	18,333	~	~	~
District of Columbia <sup>b</sup>	12,144	5,135	yes	7,009	~	~	~
Florida	188,687	4,832	yes	183,855	~	~	~
Georgia	23,060	23,060	~	~	~	~	~
Kentucky	34,983	10,653	yes	24,330	~	~	~
Louisiana	62,952	23,905	yes	39,047	~	~	~
Maryland	75,690	14,132	yes	61,558	~	~	~
Mississippi	26,615	2,003	yes	24,612	~	~	~
North Carolina <sup>d</sup>	115,727	3,311	yes	112,416	~	~	~
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	28,679	3,506	yes	25,173	~	~	~
South Carolina	37,850	4,413	yes	33,437	~	~	~
Tennessee	51,879	9,148	yes	42,731	~	~	~
Texas <sup>d</sup>	101,175	101,175	~	~	~	~	~
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	61,335	8,609	yes	52,726	~	~	~
West Virginia	1,435	1,435	~	~	~	~	~
<b>West</b>	333,474	192,619	9	140,854	2	~	1
Alaska <sup>b</sup>	6,897	1,009	yes	5,888	~	~	~
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	7,474	7,473	~	~	yes	0	1
California <sup>a</sup>	125,067	125,067	~	~	~	~	~
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>a</sup>	67	67	~	~	yes	0	0
Colorado	8,577	8,577	~	~	~	~	~
Hawaii	2,124	2,124	~	~	~	~	~
Idaho	15,290	2,549	yes	12,741	~	~	~
Montana	10,078	999	yes	9,079	~	~	~
Nevada	17,176	3,856	yes	13,320	~	~	~
New Mexico	14,348	2,964	yes	11,384	~	~	~
Oregon	67,101	22,295	yes	44,806	~	~	~
Utah	14,211	3,535	yes	10,676	~	~	~
Washington	42,340	11,553	yes	30,787	~	~	~
Wyoming	2,724	551	yes	2,173	~	~	~

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>b</sup>Some or all data are estimated.

<sup>c</sup>Only supervises juveniles sentenced as an adult.

<sup>d</sup>See *Explanatory* notes for more detail.

**Appendix table 2. Adults on parole, by status of supervision, full-time equivalent employees, average active supervision caseload, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Adult parole population, 6/30/2006	Active	Only financial conditions	Inactive	Absconded	Out-of-State	Other	Unknown or not reported	Number of full-time equivalent positions supervising active parolees	Average active parolee caseload per FTE supervising position
<b>State total<sup>a,b</sup></b>	660,959	483,791	66	26,686	61,733	27,455	11,817	49,411	11,089	39
<b>Northeast</b>	101,413	73,250	0	4,903	8,123	5,293	9,844	0	1,885	39
Connecticut	2,838	2,585	0	0	0	253	0	0	116	22
Maine	32	25	0	0	0	7	0	0	**	**
Massachusetts	3,362	2,548	~	~	689	125	0	0	75	34
New Hampshire	1,672	1,332	0	**	92	248	0	0	30	44
New Jersey	13,770	11,179	~	~	1,207	632	752	0	426	26
New York <sup>c</sup>	53,215	38,004	~	8	4,705	1,406	9,092	0	798	48
Pennsylvania <sup>b</sup>	24,956	16,162	~	4,785	1,430	2,579	0	0	410	39
Rhode Island <sup>c,d</sup>	512	359	**	110	**	43	0	0	10	36
Vermont <sup>d</sup>	1,056	1,056	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	53
<b>Midwest</b>	123,870	68,532	0	0	1,544	4,383	0	49,411	1,726	40
Illinois	33,354	**	**	**	**	**	**	33,354	**	**
Indiana	7,863	6,830	**	**	604	429	0	0	113	60
Iowa	3,973	3,684	~	~	~	289	0	0	466	8
Kansas	4,882	3,978	0	0	0	904	0	0	90	44
Michigan <sup>c</sup>	16,267	15,655	~	0	0	612	0	0	**	**
Minnesota <sup>c</sup>	4,444	4,183	0	0	261	0	0	0	208	20
Missouri <sup>c,d</sup>	17,089	15,790	0	0	516	783	0	0	252	63
Nebraska	697	570	0	0	49	78	0	0	18	32
North Dakota	380	327	0	0	0	53	0	0	6	55
Ohio	16,280	15,392	0	0	0	888	0	0	539	29
South Dakota	2,584	2,123	0	0	114	347	0	0	34	62
Wisconsin	16,057	**	**	**	0	**	**	16,057	**	**
<b>South</b>	243,057	188,819	0	17,834	23,852	11,784	768	0	3,461	55
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	9,014	6,970	0	766	672	606	0	0	191	36
Arkansas <sup>c</sup>	18,092	11,758	0	2,579	2,701	645	409	0	**	**
Delaware <sup>e</sup>	634	544	**	**	50	40	**	0	**	**
District of Columbia <sup>c,d,e</sup>	5,135	3,126	0	1,371	595	30	13	0	338	9
Florida	4,832	2,785	**	1,126	691	230	0	0	78	36
Georgia	23,060	20,116	~	0	529	2,415	0	0	321	63
Kentucky	10,653	9,582	0	**	0	1,071	0	0	90	106
Louisiana	23,905	21,956	0	16	1,261	672	0	0	494	44
Maryland	14,132	9,071	0	1,245	3,270	546	0	0	304	30
Mississippi	2,003	1,876	0	0	0	0	127	0	235	8
North Carolina	3,311	3,054	~	~	175	82	0	0	**	**
Oklahoma <sup>d,e</sup>	3,506	2,329	0	570	174	214	219	0	**	**
South Carolina <sup>c,d</sup>	4,413	3,644	0	0	341	428	0	0	55	66
Tennessee <sup>d</sup>	9,148	8,056	~	~	582	510	0	0	57	141
Texas	101,175	75,400	0	10,161	12,467	3,147	0	0	1,260	60
Virginia <sup>b,c</sup>	8,609	7,410	**	0	284	915	0	0	**	**
West Virginia	1,435	1,142	0	0	60	233	0	0	38	30
<b>West</b>	192,619	153,190	66	3,949	28,214	5,995	1,205	0	4,017	38
Alaska <sup>c,e</sup>	1,009	873	**	0	**	136	0	0	**	**
Arizona <sup>d</sup>	7,473	6,221	~	**	936	316	0	0	99	63
California <sup>b</sup>	125,067	105,441	0	0	18,468	1,158	0	0	2,132	49
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>b,e</sup>	67	54	0	0	12	1	0	0	53	1
Colorado <sup>d</sup>	8,577	6,286	~	~	622	1,669	0	0	200	31
Hawaii	2,124	1,777	~	0	172	175	0	0	33	54
Idaho	2,549	1,971	**	~	0	578	0	0	209	9
Montana	999	796	0	0	16	127	60	0	15	53
Nevada	3,856	2,026	**	1,201	350	279	0	0	180	11
New Mexico	2,964	2,502	0	~	258	204	0	0	276	9
Oregon <sup>d</sup>	22,295	13,312	~	1,384	5,905	549	1,145	0	665	20
Utah	3,535	3,214	0	0	188	133	0	0	55	58
Washington	11,553	8,322	66	1,364	1,272	529	0	0	**	**
Wyoming	551	395	0	0	15	141	0	0	100	4

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not reported.

:Not calculated.

<sup>a</sup>Excludes an estimated 43,957 active on parole in two agencies that did not report this information, and 2,911 full-time equivalent staff who directly supervised adults who were active on parole in 11 agencies; see *Methodology*. Average active parolee caseload is based on states that reported both the number of parolees on active supervision and FTE positions supervising active parolees.

<sup>b</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Data are estimated for full-time equivalent positions supervising active parolees.

<sup>e</sup>Detailed data are estimated for supervision status.

**Appendix table 3. Adults on parole, by supervision level, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Adult parole population, 6/30/2006	Required number of face-to-face contacts with a parole officer			Regular reporting no longer required	Reporting frequency not yet determined	Unknown or not reported
		At least once per week	At least once per month	Less than once per month			
<b>State total<sup>a</sup></b>	660,959	74,877	294,246	90,958	73,582	14,181	113,115
<b>Northeast</b>	101,413	5,821	46,718	12,083	0	2,469	34,322
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	2,838	**	**	**	**	**	2,838
Maine	32	~	~	~	~	~	32
Massachusetts <sup>b</sup>	3,362	106	2,442	**	**	**	814
New Hampshire	1,672	**	**	**	**	**	1,672
New Jersey	13,770	1,013	6,965	5,792	~	~	0
New York <sup>b</sup>	53,215	4,437	26,883	~	~	~	21,895
Pennsylvania <sup>a,b</sup>	24,956	156	10,181	6,291	**	1,413	6,915
Rhode Island <sup>b,c</sup>	512	109	247	0	0	0	156
Vermont	1,056	~	~	~	0	1,056	0
<b>Midwest</b>	123,870	14,868	30,070	8,339	1,312	5,649	63,632
Illinois	33,354	**	**	**	**	**	33,354
Indiana	7,863	**	**	**	**	**	7,863
Iowa	3,973	1,306	695	1,498	~	474	0
Kansas	4,882	0	0	0	0	4,882	0
Michigan	16,267	3,662	9,203	80	1,312	0	2,010
Minnesota <sup>b</sup>	4,444	341	163	573	0	96	3,271
Missouri	17,089	3,865	7,709	5,515	0	0	0
Nebraska	697	**	**	**	**	**	697
North Dakota <sup>b</sup>	380	~	~	~	~	~	380
Ohio	16,280	5,548	10,027	508	0	197	0
South Dakota	2,584	146	2,273	165	0	0	0
Wisconsin	16,057	**	**	**	**	**	16,057
<b>South</b>	243,057	9,025	145,781	59,360	25,669	3,215	7
Alabama <sup>a</sup>	9,014	337	4,066	3,472	1,139	0	0
Arkansas	18,092	0	8,113	3,645	6,334	0	0
Delaware <sup>c</sup>	634	253	308	30	43	~	0
District of Columbia <sup>c</sup>	5,135	2,480	2,482	~	~	166	7
Florida	4,832	5	2,590	182	2,047	8	0
Georgia	23,060	0	8,279	14,781	0	0	0
Kentucky	10,653	1,598	7,138	1,917	0	0	0
Louisiana	23,905	203	5,039	18,647	16	0	0
Maryland	14,132	0	3,969	4,441	5,061	661	0
Mississippi	2,003	0	2,003	0	0	0	0
North Carolina	3,311	170	2,563	7	~	571	0
Oklahoma <sup>c</sup>	3,506	0	408	2,528	570	0	0
South Carolina	4,413	684	549	3,180	0	0	0
Tennessee	9,148	64	4,689	3,813	0	582	0
Texas	101,175	1,944	87,238	2,717	9,276	0	0
Virginia	8,609	1,193	5,006	**	1,183	1,227	0
West Virginia	1,435	94	1,341	0	0	0	0
<b>West</b>	192,619	45,163	71,677	11,176	46,601	2,848	15,154
Alaska <sup>c</sup>	1,009	**	**	**	0	0	1,009
Arizona	7,473	338	3,462	2,421	316	936	0
California <sup>a</sup>	125,067	29,320	55,391	0	40,356	~	0
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>a,c</sup>	67	8	33	26	0	0	0
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	8,577	~	~	~	~	~	8,577
Hawaii <sup>b</sup>	2,124	150	985	432	210	0	347
Idaho <sup>b</sup>	2,549	617	744	343	266	1	578
Montana <sup>c</sup>	999	69	754	176	0	0	0
Nevada	3,856	**	**	**	**	~	3,856
New Mexico	2,964	1,367	1,129	**	**	**	468
Oregon	22,295	7,098	5,742	6,732	1,152	1,571	0
Utah <sup>b</sup>	3,535	46	2,208	710	0	252	319
Washington	11,553	6,130	1,052	0	4,301	70	0
Wyoming	551	20	177	336	0	18	0

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>c</sup>Detailed data are estimated for supervision level.



**Appendix table 4. Agencies that consider prisoners for release, number of releases, and that set the terms/conditions of adult parole supervision**

Region and jurisdiction	Supervising agency considers prisoners for release	Prisoners, 7/1/05 to 6/30/06—			Sets terms/conditions of adult parole supervision				
		Considered for release <sup>a</sup>	Number released <sup>a</sup>	Number released per 100 considered	Supervising agency	If not supervising agency, then—			
						Parole board	Courts	Other DOC agency	Other independent agency
<b>State total<sup>b</sup></b>	19	126,641	57,850	46	20	27	2	1	3
<b>Northeast</b>	6	60,737	31,289	52	4	5	0	0	0
Connecticut <sup>c</sup>	yes	3,503	2,470	71		yes			
Maine		~	~	~		yes			
Massachusetts <sup>d</sup>	yes	**	**	**	yes				
New Hampshire		~	~	~		yes			
New Jersey <sup>d</sup>	yes	12,859	7,505	58	yes				
New York <sup>c,d</sup>	yes	24,731	10,946	44	yes				
Pennsylvania <sup>c,d,e</sup>	yes	19,644	10,368	53	yes				
Rhode Island	yes	**	**	**		yes			
Vermont		~	~	~		yes			
<b>Midwest</b>	4	34,946	17,462	50	5	4	0	1	0
Illinois	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Indiana <sup>d</sup>		~	~	~	yes				
Iowa		~	~	~		yes			
Kansas		~	~	~		yes			
Michigan <sup>d</sup>	yes	20,214	10,365	51	yes				
Minnesota		~	~	~				Hearings & release unit	
Missouri <sup>d</sup>		~	~	~	yes				
Nebraska		~	~	~		yes			
North Dakota <sup>c</sup>	yes	996	752	76		yes			
Ohio <sup>d</sup>	yes	12,503	5,793	46	yes				
South Dakota	yes	1,233	552	45	yes				
Wisconsin	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	6	41,840	45,577	29	7	9	1	0	3
Alabama <sup>d,e</sup>	yes	9,394	3,111	33	yes	yes			
Arkansas		~	~	~		yes			
Delaware <sup>c</sup>		~	~	~	yes				
District of Columbia		~	~	~					U.S. Parole Commission
Florida		~	~	~		yes			
Georgia	yes	**	10,794	**	yes				
Kentucky		~	~	~		yes			
Louisiana		~	~	~		yes			Louisiana Criminal Code
Maryland		~	~	~		yes			
Mississippi		~	~	~		yes			
North Carolina <sup>c,d</sup>	yes	7,568	26,457	:	yes				Governor
Oklahoma		~	~	~		yes			
South Carolina <sup>d</sup>	yes	4,905	1,093	22	yes				
Tennessee <sup>d</sup>	yes	14,451	4,122	29	yes				
Texas		~	~	~		yes			
Virginia <sup>c,e</sup>	yes	5,522	**	**		yes	yes		
West Virginia <sup>d</sup>		~	~	~	yes				
<b>West</b>	3	2,208	773	35	4	9	1	0	0
Alaska		~	~	~		yes			
Arizona <sup>c,d</sup>	yes	411	55	13	yes				
California <sup>e</sup>		~	~	~	yes				
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>e</sup>	yes	**	**	**		yes			
Colorado		~	~	~		yes			
Hawaii	yes	1,797	718	40	yes				
Idaho		~	~	~		yes			
Montana		~	~	~		yes			
Nevada		~	~	~			yes		
New Mexico		~	~	~		yes			
Oregon		~	~	~		yes			
Utah		~	~	~		yes			
Washington <sup>c</sup>		~	~	~	yes				
Wyoming		~	~	~		yes			

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not known.

: Not calculated.

<sup>a</sup>Some prisoners considered for release between 7/1/05 and 6/30/06 may not have been released until after 6/30/06, and some released during this period may have been considered for release prior to 7/1/05.

<sup>b</sup>Excludes Georgia, North Carolina, and Virginia.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Both the parole supervising agency and the parole board set the terms/conditions of supervision.

<sup>e</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

**Appendix table 5. Agency responsibility for conducting adult parole revocation hearings, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Adult parole population, 6/30/2006	Supervising agency		If not supervising agency—		
		Responsible for conducting adult parole revocation hearings	Number of revocation hearings, 7/1/05 to 6/30/06	Parole board	Other DOC agency	Other independent agency
<b>State total</b>	660,959	18	67,534	30	1	1
<b>Northeast</b>	101,413	5	41,742	4	0	0
Connecticut	2,838	~	~	yes		
Maine <sup>a</sup>	32	yes	0			
Massachusetts <sup>a</sup>	3,362	yes	359			
New Hampshire	1,672	~	~	yes		
New Jersey <sup>a</sup>	13,770	yes	3,474			
New York <sup>a,b</sup>	53,215	yes	26,969			
Pennsylvania <sup>a,b,c</sup>	24,956	yes	10,940			
Rhode Island	512	~	~	yes		
Vermont	1,056	~	~	yes		
<b>Midwest</b>	123,870	5	8,264	4	1	0
Illinois	33,354	**	**	**	**	**
Indiana	7,863	~	~	yes		
Iowa	3,973	~	~	yes		
Kansas	4,882	~	~	yes		
Michigan <sup>a,b</sup>	16,267	yes	3,721			
Minnesota	4,444	~	~		Hearings & release unit	
Missouri <sup>a</sup>	17,089	yes	**			
Nebraska	697	~	~	yes		
North Dakota <sup>a,b</sup>	380	yes	131			
Ohio <sup>a,c</sup>	16,280	yes	3,528			
South Dakota <sup>a</sup>	2,584	yes	884			
Wisconsin	16,057	**	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	243,057	5	4,543	11	0	1
Alabama <sup>a,c</sup>	9,014	yes	1,496			
Arkansas	18,092	~	~	yes		
Delaware	634	~	~	yes		
District of Columbia	5,135	~	~			U.S. Parole Commission
Florida <sup>b</sup>	4,832	~	~	yes		
Georgia <sup>a</sup>	23,060	yes	591			
Kentucky	10,653	~	~	yes		
Louisiana	23,905	~	~	yes		
Maryland	14,132	~	~	yes		
Mississippi	2,003	~	~	yes		
North Carolina <sup>a,b</sup>	3,311	yes	47			
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	3,506	~	~	yes		
South Carolina <sup>a</sup>	4,413	yes	294			
Tennessee <sup>a</sup>	9,148	yes	2,115			
Texas	101,175	~	~	yes		
Virginia <sup>b,c</sup>	8,609	~	~	yes		
West Virginia	1,435	~	~	yes		
<b>West</b>	192,619	3	12,985	11	0	0
Alaska	1,009	~	~	yes		
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	7,473	yes	2,418			
California <sup>c</sup>	125,067	~	~	yes		
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>c</sup>	67	~	~	yes		
Colorado	8,577	~	~	yes		
Hawaii <sup>a</sup>	2,124	yes	416			
Idaho	2,549	~	~	yes		
Montana	999	~	~	yes		
Nevada	3,856	~	~	yes		
New Mexico	2,964	~	~	yes		
Oregon	22,295	~	~	yes		
Utah	3,535	~	~	yes		
Washington <sup>a,b</sup>	11,553	yes	10,151			
Wyoming	551	~	~	yes		

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Parole supervising agency and the parole board conduct parole revocation hearings.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>c</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

**Appendix table 6. Drug abuse testing of adult parolees, returns to incarceration, and drug treatment programs, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Adult parole population, 6/30/2006	From 7/1/05 to 6/30/06		Drug treatment program run by a formally trained professional		Self-help or drug-awareness program (such as NA or CA)— <sup>a</sup>	
		Tested parolees for illegal drugs	Number of parolees returned to prison for drug violation detected in testing	Were any parolees enrolled in a program—	Number in program, 6/30/2006	Were any parolees enrolled in a program—	Number in program, 6/30/2006
<b>State total</b>	660,959	50	5,139	47	28,084	46	4,510
<b>Northeast</b>	101,413	9	1,264	7	700	8	3,000
Connecticut	2,838	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Maine	32	yes	0		~		~
Massachusetts	3,362	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
New Hampshire	1,672	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
New Jersey <sup>b</sup>	13,770	yes	**	yes	700	yes	3,000
New York	53,215	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	24,956	yes	1,264	yes	**	yes	**
Rhode Island	512	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Vermont	1,056	yes	**		~	yes	**
<b>Midwest</b>	123,870	10	2,633	10	4,716	10	651
Illinois	33,354	**	**	**	**	**	**
Indiana	7,863	yes	**	yes	850	yes	**
Iowa <sup>d</sup>	3,973	yes	**	yes	303	yes	651
Kansas	4,882	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Michigan	16,267	yes	1,958	yes	2,485	yes	**
Minnesota <sup>e</sup>	4,444	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Missouri	17,089	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Nebraska	697	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
North Dakota	380	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	16,280	yes	**	yes	278	yes	**
South Dakota	2,584	yes	675	yes	800	yes	**
Wisconsin	16,057	**	**	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	243,057	17	362	16	15,195	14	312
Alabama <sup>c</sup>	9,014	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Arkansas	18,092	yes	**	yes	229	yes	**
Delaware	634	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
District of Columbia <sup>b</sup>	5,135	yes	**	yes	219	yes	**
Florida	4,832	yes	315	yes	263		~
Georgia	23,060	yes	**	yes	1,298	yes	**
Kentucky	10,653	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Louisiana	23,905	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Maryland	14,132	yes	**	yes	4,728		~
Mississippi	2,003	yes	**	**	**	**	**
North Carolina	3,311	yes	**	yes	1,987	yes	**
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	3,506	yes	**	yes	386	yes	**
South Carolina	4,413	yes	**	yes	497	yes	9
Tennessee	9,148	yes	47	yes	**	yes	**
Texas <sup>d</sup>	101,175	yes	**	yes	2,937	yes	**
Virginia <sup>c</sup>	8,609	yes	**	yes	2,414	yes	**
West Virginia	1,435	yes	**	yes	237	yes	303
<b>West</b>	192,619	14	880	14	7,473	14	547
Alaska	1,009	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	7,473	yes	**	yes	6,221	yes	**
California <sup>c</sup>	125,067	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>c</sup>	67	yes	**	yes	14	yes	16
Colorado	8,577	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Hawaii	2,124	yes	284	yes	852	yes	255
Idaho	2,549	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Montana	999	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Nevada	3,856	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
New Mexico	2,964	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Oregon <sup>d</sup>	22,295	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Utah	3,535	yes	545	yes	**	yes	**
Washington	11,553	yes	**	yes	**	yes	**
Wyoming	551	yes	51	yes	386	yes	276

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>NA=Narcotics Anonymous; CA=Cocaine Anonymous.

<sup>b</sup>Detailed data are estimated for participation in a drug treatment program.

<sup>c</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>d</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>e</sup>Program participation information limited to state parole supervising agency.

**Appendix table 7. Adult parole agency use of sex offender and mental health treatment programs, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Adult parole population, 6/30/06	Sex offender treatment program		Mental health treatment program run by a formally trained professional	
		Were any parolees enrolled in a program—	Number in program, 6/30/06	Were any parolees enrolled in a program—	Number in program, 6/30/06
<b>State total</b>	660,959	46	14,966	47	31,605
<b>Northeast</b>	101,413	8	1,493	8	950
Connecticut	2,838	yes	160	yes	**
Maine	32	**	**		~
Massachusetts	3,362	yes	106	yes	**
New Hampshire	1,672	yes	**	yes	**
New Jersey <sup>a</sup>	13,770	yes	1,227	yes	950
New York	53,215	yes	**	yes	**
Pennsylvania <sup>b</sup>	24,956	yes	**	yes	**
Rhode Island	512	yes	**	yes	**
Vermont	1,056	yes	**	yes	**
<b>Midwest</b>	123,870	9	3,794	10	2,906
Illinois	33,354	**	**	**	**
Indiana	7,863	yes	**	yes	**
Iowa	3,973	yes	47	yes	202
Kansas	4,882	yes	760	yes	**
Michigan <sup>a,c</sup>	16,267	yes	780	yes	2,503
Minnesota <sup>d</sup>	4,444	yes	**	yes	**
Missouri	17,089	yes	**	yes	**
Nebraska	697	yes	**	yes	**
North Dakota	380		~	yes	**
Ohio <sup>a</sup>	16,280	yes	2,056	yes	201
South Dakota	2,584	yes	151	yes	**
Wisconsin	16,057	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	243,057	15	5,399	15	9,635
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	9,014	**	**	yes	**
Arkansas	18,092	yes	260	yes	5,512
Delaware	634	yes	**	yes	**
District of Columbia <sup>a,c</sup>	5,135	yes	398	yes	367
Florida	4,832	yes	60	yes	102
Georgia	23,060	yes	**	yes	**
Kentucky	10,653	yes	321	yes	**
Louisiana	23,905	yes	862	yes	**
Maryland	14,132	yes	**	yes	452
Mississippi	2,003	**	**	**	**
North Carolina	3,311	yes	360	yes	**
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup>	3,506	yes	64	yes	85
South Carolina	4,413	yes	132	yes	97
Tennessee	9,148	yes	**	yes	**
Texas	101,175	yes	2,854	yes	2,965
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	8,609	yes	**		~
West Virginia	1,435	yes	88	yes	55
<b>West</b>	192,619	14	4,280	14	18,114
Alaska	1,009	yes	**	yes	**
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	7,473	yes	277	yes	**
California <sup>b</sup>	125,067	yes	1,500	yes	17,103
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>d</sup>	67	yes	3	yes	3
Colorado	8,577	yes	**	yes	**
Hawaii	2,124	yes	90	yes	175
Idaho	2,549	yes	**	yes	**
Montana	999	yes	**	yes	**
Nevada	3,856	yes	**	yes	**
New Mexico	2,964	yes	**	yes	**
Oregon	22,295	yes	2,208	yes	668
Utah	3,535	yes	**	yes	**
Washington	11,553	yes	196	yes	**
Wyoming	551	yes	6	yes	165

~ Not applicable.

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Some or all data are estimated.

<sup>b</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Program participation information limited to state parole supervising agency.

**Appendix table 8. Adult parole agency use of housing assistance programs, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Adult parole population, 6/30/2006	Type of housing assistance to adult parolees, 6/30/06			
		Agency operated formal housing service	Agency contracted with private housing agency	Agency had formal relationship with state or county agency	Other
<b>State total</b>	660,959	4	6	7	10
<b>Northeast</b>	101,413	1	1	1	3
Connecticut <sup>a</sup>	2,838				yes
Maine	32				
Massachusetts	3,362	yes	yes	yes	
New Hampshire	1,672				
New Jersey <sup>a</sup>	13,770				yes
New York <sup>a</sup>	53,215				yes
Pennsylvania <sup>b</sup>	24,956				
Rhode Island	512				
Vermont	1,056				
<b>Midwest</b>	123,870	1	2	3	0
Illinois	33,354	**	**	**	**
Indiana	7,863				
Iowa	3,973			yes	
Kansas	4,882			yes	
Michigan	16,267				
Minnesota <sup>c</sup>	4,444	yes	yes	yes	
Missouri	17,089				
Nebraska	697				
North Dakota	380				
Ohio	16,280		yes		
South Dakota	2,584				
Wisconsin	16,057	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	243,057	1	0	1	5
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	9,014				
Arkansas <sup>a</sup>	18,092				yes
Delaware	634				
District of Columbia <sup>a</sup>	5,135				yes
Florida	4,832				
Georgia	23,060	yes			
Kentucky	10,653				
Louisiana <sup>a</sup>	23,905				yes
Maryland	14,132			yes	
Mississippi	2,003				
North Carolina	3,311				
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup>	3,506				yes
South Carolina	4,413				
Tennessee	9,148				
Texas <sup>a</sup>	101,175				yes
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	8,609				
West Virginia	1,435				
<b>West</b>	192,619	1	3	2	2
Alaska	1,009				
Arizona	7,473				
California <sup>b</sup>	125,067		yes	yes	
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>b</sup>	67		yes		
Colorado	8,577				
Hawaii	2,124				
Idaho <sup>a</sup>	2,549				yes
Montana	999				
Nevada	3,856				
New Mexico <sup>a</sup>	2,964				yes
Oregon	22,295	yes	yes	yes	
Utah	3,535				
Washington	11,553				
Wyoming	551				

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>b</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>c</sup>Program participation information limited to state parole supervising agency.

**Appendix table 9. Adult parole agency use of employment assistance programs, 6/30/2006**

Region and jurisdiction	Adult parole population, 6/30/06	Type of employment assistance to adult parolees, 6/30/06			
		Agency operated formal employment service	Agency contracted with private employment service	Agency had formal relationship with state or county agency	Other
<b>State total</b>	660,959	6	8	17	6
<b>Northeast</b>	101,413	1	2	5	1
Connecticut	2,838			yes	
Maine	32				
Massachusetts	3,362	yes	yes	yes	
New Hampshire	1,672				
New Jersey	13,770			yes	
New York <sup>a</sup>	53,215		yes	yes	yes
Pennsylvania <sup>b</sup>	24,956			yes	
Rhode Island	512				
Vermont	1,056				
<b>Midwest</b>	123,870	1	1	4	2
Illinois	33,354	**	**	**	**
Indiana	7,863				
Iowa	3,973			yes	
Kansas <sup>a</sup>	4,882	yes		yes	yes
Michigan	16,267		yes	yes	
Minnesota <sup>a,c</sup>	4,444			yes	yes
Missouri	17,089			yes	
Nebraska	697				
North Dakota	380				
Ohio	16,280				
South Dakota	2,584				
Wisconsin	16,057	**	**	**	**
<b>South</b>	243,057	2	0	4	1
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	9,014				
Arkansas	18,092				
Delaware	634				
District of Columbia	5,135	yes			
Florida	4,832				
Georgia	23,060			yes	
Kentucky	10,653				
Louisiana <sup>a</sup>	23,905				yes
Maryland	14,132			yes	
Mississippi	2,003				
North Carolina	3,311				
Oklahoma	3,506				
South Carolina	4,413			yes	
Tennessee	9,148				
Texas	101,175			yes	
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	8,609				
West Virginia	1,435	yes			
<b>West</b>	192,619	2	5	4	2
Alaska	1,009				
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	7,473	yes			yes
California <sup>b</sup>	125,067		yes	yes	
California Juvenile Justice Division <sup>b</sup>	67			yes	
Colorado <sup>a</sup>	8,577			yes	
Hawaii	2,124		yes		
Idaho	2,549				
Montana	999		yes		
Nevada	3,856				
New Mexico <sup>a</sup>	2,964				yes
Oregon	22,295	yes	yes	yes	
Utah	3,535				
Washington	11,553				
Wyoming	551		yes		

\*\* Not known.

<sup>a</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>b</sup>Data differ from those in other BJS publications.

<sup>c</sup>Program participation information limited to state parole supervising agency.

**Explanatory notes, by jurisdiction****Alaska**

All parolee counts are estimated.

A total of 71 staff in full-time equivalent positions supervised both parolees and probationers (appendix table 2).

**Arizona**

There were 411 prison inmates eligible for parole in 2006. Community corrections sets the conditions of supervision along with the parole supervising agency, courts, parole board, and Department of Corrections (appendix table 4).

Other employment assistance included three job developers who worked with offenders to assist in employment, networked in the community identifying potential employers and informing them about the benefits of hiring ex-offenders, and identified job placement centers and programs aimed at enhancing job skills (appendix table 9).

**Arkansas**

The other agency administration is the Arkansas Department of Community Corrections (table 2).

The other supervision status included non-reporting cases and parolees released to "detainers" (appendix table 2).

A total of 364 full-time equivalent positions supervised both parolees and probationers, but the proportion who supervised adults who were active on parole is unknown (appendix table 2).

Other housing assistance consisted of transitional housing grants for women on early release to allow for reunification with their children (appendix table 8).

**California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation**

Detailed data on gender were available for payroll staff only (table 16).

**California Juvenile Justice Division**

Data were estimated for gender of part-time employees (table 16).

**Colorado**

Colorado's parole supervision levels were determined by its classification system, statutory-driven provisions, parole conditions set by the parole board, and resource availability. Therefore, comparable data were not available (appendix table 3).

The parole agency had a formal working relationship with a state or county employment agency but did not regularly receive reports on parolees from the agency (appendix table 9).

**Connecticut**

Supervision levels on June 30, 2006, were available for a total of 3,016 adult parolees including 178 parolees from other states for whom information on level of supervision was not available. Of the 3,016 adults on parole supervision, 1,700 were required to meet with their parole officer weekly, 1,046 monthly, and 17 less frequently. Another 253 parolees had an unspecified level of contact because they were under supervision in another state (appendix table 3).

A total of 3,503 prisoners were considered for parole release and 2,915 were voted to parole. Fewer were released (2,470) for a variety of reasons, including the lack of a sponsor. The 2,470 released included persons whose parole was revoked and those re-paroled, but that total does not include persons discharged to special (mandatory) parole (appendix table 4).

Other housing assistance signified use of a federal grant program, Access to Recovery, to help offenders obtain housing (appendix table 8).

**Delaware**

All data for parolees and others under supervision were estimated.

The adult parole supervising agency set the conditions of adult parole supervision, but the Delaware Board of Parole could impose special conditions (appendix table 4).

**District of Columbia**

All data were estimated.

Detailed data on gender were reported for payroll staff only (table 16).

Absconders included parolees for whom warrants had been issued for technical violations and loss of contact. Other status of supervision includes five who were in monitored unsupervised status and eight who were in warrant executed status (appendix table 2).

All of the sex offenders received some type of in-house treatment from community supervision officers who have received specialized training. Additionally, these offenders are assessed and, as appropriate, placed in sex offender treatment obtained through contracts with outside services (appendix table 7).

Other housing assistance consisted of Faith-based Transitional Housing Program services (appendix table 8).

**Florida**

The parole board conducts adult parole revocation hearings only for prisoners who receive a discretionary release from prison. Courts make revocation decisions for prisoners who receive mandatory release (appendix table 5).

---

## Hawaii

The supervision level was unknown or not reported for 347 persons who were not on active supervision (appendix table 3).

## Idaho

Detailed data for gender were reported for payroll staff only (table 16).

The supervision level was unknown or not reported for 578 persons supervised out of state (appendix table 3).

Other housing assistance consisted of two formal contracts with housing providers (appendix table 8).

## Illinois

All data were for December 31, 2007.

## Indiana

Detailed data for gender were reported for payroll staff only (table 16).

## Iowa

The number of parolees in a drug treatment program run by a formally trained professional included those in programs for operating [a vehicle] while intoxicated. The number of parolees in a self-help or drug-awareness program included those in Alcoholics Anonymous (appendix table 6).

## Kansas

Other employment assistance was provided by an offender workforce development specialist on staff (appendix table 9).

## Louisiana

Other housing assistance and other employment assistance both consisted of referrals to a community resource agency (appendix tables 8 and 9).

## Maryland

The Division of Parole and Probation is located in the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (table 2).

## Massachusetts

Data are estimated for gender of part-time employees (table 16).

Supervision level was unknown or not reported for 814 persons not on active supervision (appendix table 3).

## Michigan

A total of 1,096 full-time equivalent staff positions supervised both parolees and probationers (appendix table 2).

The 3,721 revocation hearings held by the adult parole supervising agency were conducted during calendar year 2005 (appendix table 5).

The numbers of parolees reported as enrolled in a sex offender treatment program and in a mental health treatment program may be overestimated because of undocumented terminations of parolee participation (appendix table 7).

## Minnesota

Of the 4,444 adults on parole on June 30, 2006, there were 3,066 adults on active parole supervision in 16 Community Corrections Act (CCA) agencies serving 30 counties. The Minnesota State Department of Corrections provided direct parole supervision in the state's remaining counties (appendix table 2).

Detailed data for supervision level does not include parolees supervised by CCA agencies (locally supervised offenders released from state prison) due to data reporting differences (appendix table 3).

Other employment assistance provided by the state consisted of a reentry program operated by the Department of Corrections to prepare offenders seeking employment (appendix table 9).

## Missouri

The count of full-time equivalent positions is based on a work-hour formula and does not include parole cases that are interstate supervision only (appendix table 2).

## Nevada

Other agency administration is the State of Nevada Department of Public Safety (table 2).

Detailed data for gender reported for payroll staff only (table 16).

## New Mexico

Other housing assistance consisted of a halfway house and emergency financial assistance (appendix table 8).

Other employment assistance consisted of job development at re-entry (appendix table 9).

## New Jersey

The agency is administered by the New Jersey State Parole Board (table 2).



---

Other housing assistance consisted of routine referrals by parole officers to county and municipal services. Based on need, parole officers also granted parolees up to \$300 in financial assistance (appendix table 8).

### **New York**

The chairman of the New York State Board of Parole is also the director of the New York State Division of Parole (table 2).

Other supervision status includes 8,899 parolees in deportation status, and 193 incarcerated out of state (appendix table 2).

The unknown or not reported supervision level includes parolees not actively under supervision, including those in delinquent, absconder, and non-reporting status and parolees who were either supervised out of state or who have been deported (appendix table 3).

The number of prisoners considered for release excludes any parolee conditionally released or released by Presumptive Release under the authority of the Commissioner of the Department of Correctional Services. (Presumptive release refers to a law enacted in 2003, allowing selected inmates to be released to parole supervision at the time of their parole eligibility without a parole board appearance.) Presumptive release is available to inmates who are serving sentences for certain non-violent crimes, and who have no history of violence (appendix table 4.)

The 10,946 prisoners released were those *granted* release between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006, not the number actually released during that period (appendix table 4).

The parole board sets the conditions of supervision. Parole officers also have the discretion to impose conditions of parole (appendix table 4.)

The Parole Board delegates its authority to conduct nearly all parole revocation hearings to administrative law judges who have a law degree. The number of revocation hearings between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006, includes the total number of hearings conducted, completed, and adjourned (appendix table 5).

Other housing assistance includes the New York State Division of Parole establishing contracts for temporary housing resources, and regional program services specialists developing programmatic working relationships with local providers (appendix table 8).

Other employment assistance refers to specialists at regional program services developing local employment and vocational training opportunities for parolees (appendix table 9).

### **North Carolina**

The number of adults on probation includes 2,827 Interstate Compact cases (table 2).

The number of prisoners considered for release was restricted to supervised release cases. The number of prisoners released includes all prison exits that occurred between July 1, 2005, and June 30, 2006, including supervised releases (appendix table 4).

In addition to the parole supervising agency, the Post Release Supervision and Parole Commission sets the conditions of supervision (appendix table 4) and conducts parole revocation hearings (appendix table 5).

### **North Dakota**

North Dakota does not use contact standards (appendix table 3).

The parole supervising agency makes recommendations on the release of prisoners to the parole board (appendix table 4).

There are three levels of parole revocation hearings: The parole supervising agency conducts preliminary parole revocation hearings, the Office of Administrative Hearings conducts second level hearings, and the parole board conducts the final hearing (appendix table 5).

### **Ohio**

Detailed data on gender were available for payroll staff only (table 16).

In addition to the parole supervising agency, the parole board and the Adult Parole Authority conduct parole revocation hearings (appendix table 5).

### **Oklahoma**

All data are estimated.

The Governor of Oklahoma is the final decision maker for parole revocations (appendix table 5).

Other housing assistance consisted of an institutional re-entry program (appendix table 8).

### **Oregon**

Other agency administration refers to county governments. Oregon's 36 county governments independently administered all adult parole supervision in the state; a central respondent in the Oregon Department of Corrections provided summary data (table 2).

The parole staff are county employees: The state respondent did not have this information (tables 15 and 16).

A total of 5,428 parolees were enrolled in drug treatment, but the type of program was not specified (appendix table 6).

---

## Pennsylvania

The supervision level, reporting frequency not yet determined, included unclassified cases of which 125 were under supervision by a District Office, and 1,288 were the responsibility of the Central Office, but were supervised by another state. Unknown or not reported includes 6,915 detainees or absconders (appendix table 3).

The number of prisoners considered for release included 19,644 interviews held with 18,281 individual prisoners, some of whom were interviewed more than once during the year. The 10,368 prisoners released included 10,268 individual prisoners, some of whom were released more than once during the year (appendix table 4.)

Of the total number of parole revocation hearings, 10,940, there were 1,798 second-level revocation or revocation violation hearings (appendix table 5).

## Rhode Island

Offenders on inactive parole include adults paroled to Immigration and Customs Enforcement and parolees with active warrants. Absconders are included among those reported as inactive because they have an active warrant, but cannot be separately identified (appendix table 2).

The supervision level was unknown for 156 persons not on active supervision (appendix table 3).

## South Carolina

Parole and probation officers have mixed caseloads of no set proportions. The number of full-time equivalent staff positions supervising adult parolees (55) was estimated by multiplying the total agent staff (497) by the percentage of the supervision population represented by parolees (11.1%) (appendix table 2).

## Tennessee

The Tennessee Board of Probation and Parole administers parole supervision (table 2).

## Texas

Detailed data on gender were available for payroll staff only (table 16).

The Texas Department of Criminal Justice provided oversight of the adult probation system; however, adult probationers were supervised at the county level. There were 428,994 adults on probation on June 30, 2006 (table 2 and appendix table 1).

During 2005 there were 2,120 parolees returned to incarceration on a technical violation, some of whom were returned because of a positive drug test (appendix table 6).

Other housing assistance consisted of halfway houses (appendix table 8).

## Utah

The supervision level was unknown or not reported for 2 persons on active supervision and 317 persons under supervision in another state (appendix table 3).

## Virginia

Virginia's report of 8,609 adults on parole supervision on June 30, 2006, included additional groups of offenders that were not previously reported. For the parole census, Virginia included all paroled offenders for whom the state has responsibility, paroled felons who are the responsibility of local jurisdictions in Virginia, and offenders whose parole was originally supervised by the courts that sentenced them.

There were 566 full-time staff and 15 part-time staff who supervised both parolees and probationers. The proportion of time spent by each staff member to supervise parolees was unknown (appendix table 2).

The parole supervising agency makes recommendations on the release of prisoners to the parole board (appendix table 4).

Parole examiners in the Department of Corrections conduct interviews with parolees. Final revocation decisions are made by the parole board (appendix table 5).

## Washington

Washington has a determinate sentencing system. The Department of Corrections determines earned time credit to calculate a release date, but does not have a release board (appendix table 4).

Parole supervising agency community corrections officers can impose conditions of supervision in addition to court ordered conditions (appendix table 4).

The parole supervising agency conducts parole revocation hearings for offenders sentenced on or after July 1, 1984. The Indeterminate Sentence Review Board (parole board) also conducts parole revocation hearings (appendix table 5).

## Wisconsin

The adult parole probation was estimated as an average of the January 1, 2006, and December 31, 2006, parole population reported on the 2006 Annual Parole Survey; the adult probation population was similarly estimated from the 2006 Annual Probation Survey (table 2).

The number of parole agency offices was estimated from information reported on the Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Community Corrections website <[www.wi-doc.com/regional.htm](http://www.wi-doc.com/regional.htm)> on May 2, 2006 (table 2).