Appendix: Assessment Terms of Reference
TORs for SAW/SARC52 (June 6-10, 2011)
(file vers.: 12/17/2010)

A. Winter flounder (Southern New England Stock)

1. Estimate catch from all sources including landings and discards. Characterize the uncertainty in these sources of data.

2. Present survey data being considered and/or used in the assessment (e.g., regional indices of abundance, recruitment, state and other surveys, age-length data, etc.). Characterize uncertainty in these sources of data.

3. Estimate annual fishing mortality, recruitment and stock biomass (both total and spawning stock) for the time series (integrating results from TOR-5), and estimate their uncertainty. Include area-swept biomass estimates. Investigate if implied survey gear or catchability estimates are reasonable. Include a historical retrospective analysis to allow a comparison with previous assessment results.

4. Perform a sensitivity analysis which examines the impact of allocation of catch to stock areas on model performance (in TOR-3).

5. Examine the effects of incorporating environmental factors in models of population dynamics (e.g., spring water temperatures in an environmentally-explicit stock recruitment function).

6. State the existing stock status definitions for "overfished" and "overfishing". Then update or redefine biological reference points (BRPs; point estimates or proxies for B_{MSY}, $B_{THRESHOLD}$, and F_{MSY}) and provide estimates of their uncertainty. If analytic model-based estimates are unavailable, consider recommending alternative measurable proxies for BRPs. Comment on the scientific adequacy of existing BRPs and the "new" (i.e., updated, redefined, or alternative) BRPs.

7. Evaluate stock status (overfished and overfishing) with respect to the "new" BRPs (from TOR 6), and with respect to the existing BRPs (from a previous accepted peer review) whose values have been updated.

8. Develop and apply analytical approaches and data that can be used for conducting single and multi-year stock projections and for computing candidate ABCs (Acceptable Biological Catch; see Appendix to the TORs) under a set of alternative harvest scenarios. If the stock needs to be rebuilt, take that into account in these projections.
   a. Provide numerical short-term projections (3-5 yrs, or through the end of the rebuilding period, as appropriate). Each projection should estimate and report annual probabilities of exceeding threshold BRPs for F, and probabilities of falling below threshold BRPs for biomass. In carrying out projections, consider a range of assumptions about the most important uncertainties in the assessment (e.g., terminal year abundance, variability in recruitment).
   b. Take into consideration uncertainties in the assessment and the species biology to describe this stock's vulnerability (see "Appendix to the SAW TORs") to becoming or remaining overfished, and how this could affect the choice of ABC.
   c. Develop plausible hypotheses (e.g., mixing among the three stocks) which might explain any conflicting trends in the data and undertake scenario analyses to evaluate the consequences of these alternate hypotheses on ABC determination.

9. Review, evaluate and report on the status of the SARC and Working Group research recommendations listed in recent SARC reviewed assessments and review panel reports. Identify new research recommendations.

B. Winter flounder (Georges Bank Stock)

1. Estimate catch from all sources including landings and discards. Characterize the uncertainty in these sources of data.

2. Present survey data being considered and/or used in the assessment (e.g., regional indices of abundance, recruitment, state and other surveys, age-length data, etc.). Characterize uncertainty in these sources of data.

3. Estimate annual fishing mortality, recruitment and stock biomass (both total and spawning stock) for the time series (integrating results from TOR-5), and estimate their uncertainty. Include area-swept biomass estimates. Investigate if implied survey gear or catchability estimates are reasonable. Include a historical retrospective analysis to allow a comparison with previous assessment results.

4. Perform a sensitivity analysis which examines the impact of allocation of catch to stock areas on model performance (in TOR-3).

5. Examine the effects of incorporating environmental factors in models of population dynamics (e.g., spring water temperatures in an environmentally-explicit stock recruitment function).

6. State the existing stock status definitions for "overfished" and "overfishing". Then update or redefine biological reference points (BRPs; point estimates or proxies for B_{MSY}, $B_{THRESHOLD}$, and F_{MSY}) and provide estimates of their uncertainty. If analytic model-based estimates are unavailable, consider recommending alternative measurable proxies for BRPs. Comment on the scientific adequacy of existing BRPs and the "new" (i.e., updated, redefined, or alternative) BRPs.

7. Evaluate stock status (overfished and overfishing) with respect to the "new" BRPs (from TOR 6), and with respect to the existing BRPs (from a previous accepted peer review) whose values have been updated.

8. Develop and apply analytical approaches and data that can be used for conducting single and multi-year stock projections and for computing candidate ABCs (Acceptable Biological Catch; see Appendix to the TORs) under a set of alternative harvest scenarios. If the stock needs to be rebuilt, take that into account in these projections.
    a. Provide numerical short-term projections (3-5 yrs, or through the end of the rebuilding period, as appropriate). Each projection should estimate and report annual probabilities of exceeding threshold BRPs for F, and probabilities of falling below threshold BRPs for biomass. In carrying out projections, consider a range of assumptions about the most important uncertainties in the assessment (e.g., terminal year abundance, variability in recruitment).
    b. Take into consideration uncertainties in the assessment and the species biology to describe this stock's vulnerability (see "Appendix to the SAW TORs") to becoming or remaining overfished, and how this could affect the choice of ABC.

c. Develop plausible hypotheses (e.g., mixing among the three stocks) which might explain any conflicting trends in the data and undertake scenario analyses to evaluate the consequences of these alternate hypotheses on ABC determination.

9. Review, evaluate and report on the status of the SARC and Working Group research recommendations listed in recent SARC reviewed assessments and review panel reports. Identify new research recommendations.

C. Winter flounder (Gulf of Maine Stock)

1. Estimate catch from all sources including landings and discards. Characterize the uncertainty in these sources of data.

2. Present survey data being considered and/or used in the assessment (e.g., regional indices of abundance, recruitment, state and other surveys, age-length data, etc.). Characterize uncertainty in these sources of data.

3. Estimate annual fishing mortality, recruitment and stock biomass (both total and spawning stock) for the time series (integrating results from TOR-5), and estimate their uncertainty. Include area-swept biomass estimates. Investigate if implied survey gear or catchability estimates are reasonable. Include a historical retrospective analysis to allow a comparison with previous assessment results.

4. Perform a sensitivity analysis which examines the impact of allocation of catch to stock areas on model performance (in TOR-3).

5. Examine the effects of incorporating environmental factors in models of population dynamics (e.g., spring water temperatures in an environmentally-explicit stock recruitment function).

6. State the existing stock status definitions for "overfished" and "overfishing". Then update or redefine biological reference points (BRPs; point estimates or proxies for B_{MSY}, $B_{THRESHOLD}$, and F_{MSY}) and provide estimates of their uncertainty. If analytic model-based estimates are unavailable, consider recommending alternative measurable proxies for BRPs. Comment on the scientific adequacy of existing BRPs and the "new" (i.e., updated, redefined, or alternative) BRPs.

7. Evaluate stock status (overfished and overfishing) with respect to the "new" BRPs (from TOR 6), and with respect to the existing BRPs (from a previous accepted peer review) whose values have been updated.

8. Develop and apply analytical approaches and data that can be used for conducting single and multi-year stock projections and for computing candidate ABCs (Acceptable Biological Catch; see Appendix to the TORs) under a set of alternative harvest scenarios. If the stock needs to be rebuilt, take that into account in these projections.
   a. Provide numerical short-term projections (3-5 yrs, or through the end of the rebuilding period, as appropriate). Each projection should estimate and report annual probabilities of exceeding threshold BRPs for F, and probabilities of falling below threshold BRPs for biomass. In carrying out projections, consider a

range of assumptions about the most important uncertainties in the assessment (e.g., terminal year abundance, variability in recruitment).

    b. Take into consideration uncertainties in the assessment and the species biology to describe this stock's vulnerability (see "Appendix to the SAW TORs") to becoming or remaining overfished, and how this could affect the choice of ABC.

    c. Develop plausible hypotheses (e.g., mixing among the three stocks) which might explain any conflicting trends in the data and undertake scenario analyses to evaluate the consequences of these alternate hypotheses on ABC determination.

9. Review, evaluate and report on the status of the SARC and Working Group research recommendations listed in recent SARC reviewed assessments and review panel reports. Identify new research recommendations.

Appendix to the SAW TORs:

Clarification of Terms
used in the SAW/SARC Terms of Reference

(The text below is from DOC National Standard Guidelines, Federal Register, vol. 74, no. 11, January 16, 2009)

On "Acceptable Biological Catch":

Acceptable biological catch (ABC) is a level of a stock or stock complex's annual catch that accounts for the scientific uncertainty in the estimate of [overfishing limit] OFL and any other scientific uncertainty…" *(p. 3208) [In other words, OFL ≥ ABC.]*

ABC for overfished stocks. For overfished stocks and stock complexes, a rebuilding ABC must be set to reflect the annual catch that is consistent with the schedule of fishing mortality rates in the rebuilding plan. *(p. 3209)*

NMFS expects that in most cases ABC will be reduced from OFL to reduce the probability that overfishing might occur in a year. (p. 3180)

ABC refers to a level of ''catch'' that is ''acceptable'' given the ''biological'' characteristics of the stock or stock complex. As such, [optimal yield] OY does not equate with ABC. The specification of OY is required to consider a variety of factors, including social and economic factors, and the protection of marine ecosystems, which are not part of the ABC concept. (p. 3189)

On "Vulnerability":

"Vulnerability. A stock's vulnerability is a combination of its productivity, which depends upon its life history characteristics, and its susceptibility to the fishery. Productivity refers to the capacity of the stock to produce MSY and to recover if the population is depleted, and susceptibility is the potential for the stock to be impacted by the fishery, which includes direct captures, as well as indirect impacts to the fishery (e.g., loss of habitat quality)." (p. 3205)