



Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection

Downloaded from <http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines> on 2/21/2013 EST.

Visit the *AIDSinfo* website to access the most up-to-date guideline.

Register for e-mail notification of guideline updates at <http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/e-news>.

Discontinuation or Interruption of Therapy (Last updated November 1, 2012; last reviewed November 1, 2012)

General

Discontinuation of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) may be indicated in some situations, including serious treatment-related toxicity, acute illnesses or planned surgeries that preclude oral intake, lack of available medication, or patient or parent request. Observational studies of children and youth with unplanned or non-prescribed treatment interruptions suggest that interruptions are common, most patients will experience immunologic decline during the treatment interruption, and most restart therapy.¹⁻³ Although events precipitating ART interruptions are usually unplanned, planned discontinuation of therapy was considered as a potential strategy to reduce toxicity, costs, and drug-related failure associated with ART.

While one trial of children randomized to structured treatment interruptions (STI) with CD4-guided resumption of cART reported no serious clinical outcomes,⁴ adult trials have demonstrated significantly higher morbidity and mortality in adults randomized to STI compared with continuous cART.⁵ Long-term STI as a drug-sparing strategy or to give patients “drug holidays” is not recommended for children or adults outside of a clinical trial. The discussion below provides more detailed guidance for interruption of cART and the risks and benefits in specific situations.

Short-Term Therapy Interruption

In children, short-term therapy interruptions are most often necessitated by acute illnesses that limit oral intake. These illnesses are often infectious diseases that result in vomiting and/or diarrhea. A clinician has no choice but to stop all therapy at the same time. Planned short-term interruption of therapy may also be required in the event of surgery or sedation for procedures; however, when possible, patients should be allowed to continue regular cART with minimal fluid intake. For a prolonged period of restricted oral intake, all drugs in an ARV regimen should be stopped at the same time if the medications have similar half-lives. In the case of serious or life-threatening ARV drug toxicity, all drugs should be stopped immediately.

Efavirenz and nevirapine have very long half-lives and can be detected for 21 days or longer after discontinuation.⁶⁻⁹ As the other drugs with shorter half-lives are cleared, only nevirapine or efavirenz may persist, resulting in functional monotherapy, which can increase risk of selection of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI)-resistant mutations. Certain genetic polymorphisms that are more common in certain racial and ethnic groups (i.e., African Americans, Hispanics) may result in a slower rate of drug clearance.^{8,9} To prevent this functional monotherapy, some experts recommend stopping the NNRTI first and continuing the other ARV drugs (NRTI backbone or protease inhibitor [PI]) for a period of time.⁷ An alternative is to substitute a PI for the NNRTI up to 4 weeks before interrupting all drugs; however, there are no data to support this practice. Studies are ongoing in adults to help determine an effective strategy, but information in children is unavailable and, because the PKs of these agents are different in children, the recommendations for adults may not be applicable.¹⁰⁻¹²

An additional consideration is reintroduction of nevirapine. Currently, a 2-week, half-dose escalation is recommended in patients who are started on nevirapine. Dose escalation is used because nevirapine induces its own metabolism by inducing CYP3A4 metabolic liver enzymes; thus, initial administration of the full therapeutic dose will result in elevated drug levels until metabolic enzyme induction has occurred. Lower rates of rash toxicity have been observed with the 2-week dose escalation.¹⁰ In cases where nevirapine has been discontinued for more than 2 weeks, another 2-week dose escalation is recommended when the drug is reintroduced.

Long-Term Structured Treatment Interruptions

Strategies for STI for long periods of time traditionally have been proposed with the aim of reducing toxicities and costs associated with long-term cART.

In adults, two large, randomized clinical trials have demonstrated increased morbidity when CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4 cell) count was used as an indication to stop and start therapy. The Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) trial stopped cART when the CD4 cell count was >350 cells/mm³ and reintroduced therapy when the count was <250 cells/mm³. Compared with the group receiving continuous cART, the STI group had an increased risk of disease progression and death.⁵ Interruption of cART was also associated with elevations in biomarkers of inflammation that were predictive of morbidity and mortality independent of CD4 cell count.¹³ Similarly, in the TRIVICAN trials, which used the same CD4 cell count triggers to stop and restart therapy, STI was shown to be inferior.¹⁴ Studies in adults using a CD4 cell count <350 cells/mm³ as a trigger to restart therapy did not report significant differences in serious disease progression or death.^{15,16} However, another large cohort study in Italy showed an increased risk of disease progression after interruption of first-line therapy.¹⁷ In light of these data, the current Department of Health and Human Services guidelines for adults recommend against planned long-term STI in adults (see [Adult and Adolescent Treatment Guidelines](#)).

In children, there have been fewer studies of long-term STI. In one study, children with controlled viral load (HIV RNA <400 copies/mL for >12 months) were subjected to increasing intervals of treatment interruption. Of 14 children studied, 4 maintained undetectable viral loads with interruptions of up to 27 days. It has been hypothesized that enhanced HIV-specific immune responses may play a role in the viral suppression.¹⁸ However, new drug-resistance mutations were detected in 3 of 14 children in the STI study. In the **European (PENTA)** trial, 109 children with virologic suppression on cART were randomized to continuous therapy (CT) versus treatment interruption with CD4-guided re-initiation of cART. On average, CD4 values decreased sharply in the first 10 weeks after STI. However, most children in the STI arm (almost 60%) did not reach CD4 criteria to restart therapy over 48 weeks. Children in the STI arm spent significantly less time on cART than children in the CT arm.⁴ None of the children in the trial experienced serious clinical illnesses or events, and the appearance of new drug-resistance mutations did not differ between the two arms.⁴

In some **populations of children, STI has been more specifically considered**. In the United States and other developed countries, most HIV-infected children begin cART during infancy.^{19,20} Many of them have had controlled viral replication for many years and are growing and developing normally. **One trial was designed to answer whether infants who initiated cART early** could safely discontinue therapy at some point and reinitiate treatment based on CD4 cell decline. **The CHER study in South Africa assessed outcomes in infants randomized to deferred cART (initiation driven by CDC stage and CD4 status), immediate cART with interruption after 40 weeks, or immediate cART with interruption after 96 weeks.**^{21,22} While the two arms of interrupted therapy led to better outcomes compared to the deferred arms, up to 80% of infants had to restart therapy by the end of follow-up. The long-term outcomes in children after this interruption remain unknown and it is unclear if the short period of time on cART saved by most children merits the potential risks **associated with cessation**. Another scenario often raised involves patients who have limited treatment options and who cannot achieve an undetectable viral load despite aggressive cART. **In such cases, continuation of non-suppressive therapy is recommended because, despite detectable viral replication, immunologic benefit has been well documented.**²³⁻²⁶

Given the increased availability of medications with less toxicity, the potential benefits of long-term STI may be decreasing. Current data do not support use of long-term STI in clinical care of HIV-infected children.

References

1. Gibb DM, Duong T, Leclezio VA, et al. Immunologic changes during unplanned treatment interruptions of highly active antiretroviral therapy in children with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. May 2004;23(5):446-450. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15131469>.
2. Saitoh A, Foca M, Viani RM, et al. Clinical outcomes after an unstructured treatment interruption in children and

adolescents with perinatally acquired HIV infection. *Pediatrics*. Mar 2008;121(3):e513-521. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18310171>.

3. Siberry GK, Patel K, Van Dyke RB, et al. CD4 + lymphocyte-based immunologic outcomes of perinatally HIV-infected children during antiretroviral therapy interruption. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Jul 1 2011;57(3):223-229. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21423022>.
4. Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS. Response to planned treatment interruptions in HIV infection varies across childhood. *AIDS*. Jan 16 2010;24(2):231-241. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20010073>.
5. Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy Study G, El-Sadr WM, Lundgren JD, et al. CD4 + count-guided interruption of antiretroviral treatment. *N Engl J Med*. Nov 30 2006;355(22):2283-2296. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17135583>.
6. Cressey TR, Jourdain G, Lallemand MJ, et al. Persistence of nevirapine exposure during the postpartum period after intrapartum single-dose nevirapine in addition to zidovudine prophylaxis for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Mar 1 2005;38(3):283-288. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15735445>.
7. Mackie NE, Fidler S, Tamm N, et al. Clinical implications of stopping nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy: relative pharmacokinetics and avoidance of drug resistance. *HIV Med*. May 2004;5(3):180-184. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15139985>.
8. Nolan D, Phillips E, Mallal S. Efavirenz and CYP2B6 polymorphism: Implications for drug toxicity and resistance. *Clin Infect Dis*. Feb 1 2006;42(3):408-410. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16392090>.
9. Ribaldo HJ, Haas DW, Tierney C, et al. Pharmacogenetics of plasma efavirenz exposure after treatment discontinuation: An Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study. *Clin Infect Dis*. Feb 1 2006;42(3):401-407. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16392089>.
10. Luzuriaga K, Bryson Y, McSherry G, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and activity of nevirapine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children. *J Infect Dis*. Oct 1996;174(4):713-721. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8843207>.
11. Starr SE, Fletcher CV, Spector SA, et al. Combination therapy with efavirenz, nelfinavir, and nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 382 Team. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 16 1999;341(25):1874-1881. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10601506>.
12. Starr SE, Fletcher CV, Spector SA, et al. Efavirenz liquid formulation in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. Jul 2002;21(7):659-663. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12237599>.
13. Kuller LH, Tracy R, Belloso W, et al. Inflammatory and coagulation biomarkers and mortality in patients with HIV infection. *PLoS Med*. Oct 21 2008;5(10):e203. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18942885>.
14. Danel C, Moh R, Minga A, et al. CD4-guided structured antiretroviral treatment interruption strategy in HIV-infected adults in west Africa (Trivacan ANRS 1269 trial): A randomised trial. *Lancet*. Jun 17 2006;367(9527):1981-1989. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16782488>.
15. Ananworanich J, Gayet-Ageron A, Le Braz M, et al. CD4 guided scheduled treatment interruptions compared to continuous therapy: Results of the staccato trial. Abstract 102. Paper presented at: 13th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 5-8, 2006; Denver, CO. Available at <http://www.retroconference.org/2006/Abstracts/26596.htm>.
16. Marchou B, Tangre P, Charreau I, et al. Structured treatment interruptions in HIV-infected patients with high CD4 cell counts and virologic suppression: Results of a prospective, randomized, open-label trial (window-anrs 106). Abstract 104. Paper presented at: 13th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 5-8, 2006; Denver, CO. Available at <http://www.retroconference.org/2006/Abstracts/26544.htm>.

17. d'Arminio Monforte A, Cozzi-Lepri A, Phillips A, et al. Interruption of highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV clinical practice: results from the Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naive Patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Apr 1 2005;38(4):407-416. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15764957>.
18. Borkowsky W, McFarland E, Yogev R, et al. Repeated supervised treatment interruption with progressive increases in "Off treatment" Duration results in enhanced virologic control in a subset of pediatric individuals. Abstract 19. Paper presented at: 13th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 5-8, 2006; Denver, CO. Available at <http://www.retroconference.org/2006/Abstracts/27140.htm>.
19. Brogly S, Williams P, Seage GR 3rd, et al. Antiretroviral treatment in pediatric HIV infection in the United States: from clinical trials to clinical practice. *JAMA*. May 11 2005;293(18):2213-2220. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15886376>.
20. Liu KL, Peters V, Weedon J, Thomas P, Dominguez K. Sex differences in morbidity and mortality among children with perinatally acquired human immunodeficiency virus infection in New York City. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. Dec 2004;158(12):1187-1188. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15583107>.
21. Violari A, Cotton MF, Gibb DM, et al. Early antiretroviral therapy and mortality among HIV-infected infants. *N Engl J Med*. Nov 20 2008;359(21):2233-2244. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19020325>.
22. Cotton M, Violari A, Gibb D, et al. Early ART followed by interruption is safe and is associated with better outcomes than deferred ART in HIV+ Infants: Final results from the 6-year randomized CHER trial, South Africa. Abstract 28LB. Paper presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) 2012; March 5-8, 2012, 2012; Seattle, WA. Available at <http://www.retroconference.org/2012b/Abstracts/45459.htm>.
23. Deeks SG, Barbour JD, Martin JN, Swanson MS, Grant RM. Sustained CD4+ T cell response after virologic failure of protease inhibitor-based regimens in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *J Infect Dis*. Mar 2000;181(3):946-953. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10720517>.
24. Flynn PM, Rudy BJ, Douglas SD, et al. Virologic and immunologic outcomes after 24 weeks in HIV type 1-infected adolescents receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *J Infect Dis*. Jul 15 2004;190(2):271-279. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15216461>.
25. Piketty C, Weiss L, Thomas F, Mohamed AS, Belec L, Kazatchkine MD. Long-term clinical outcome of human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients with discordant immunologic and virologic responses to a protease inhibitor-containing regimen. *J Infect Dis*. May 1 2001;183(9):1328-1335. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11294663>.
26. Sufka SA, Ferrari G, Grysowka VE, et al. Prolonged CD4+ cell/virus load discordance during treatment with protease inhibitor-based highly active antiretroviral therapy: immune response and viral control. *J Infect Dis*. Apr 1 2003;187(7):1027-1037. Available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12660916>.