NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION Presidential Libraries Withdrawal Sheet

WITHDRAWAL ID 018072

REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL National security restriction
TYPE OF MATERIAL Memorandum of Conversation
TITLE Ford, Kissinger, President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board
CREATION DATE
VOLUME 5 pages
COLLECTION/SERIES/FOLDER ID . 031400642 COLLECTION TITLE National Security Adviser. Memoranda of Conversations BOX NUMBER
DATE WITHDRAWN

REDACTED

7/13/12

7

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

TOP SECRET

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

PARTICIPANTS:

President Ford

Members of President's Foreign Intelligence

Advisory Board (see attached list)

Dr. Henry A. Kissinger, Secretary of State and Assistant to the President for National Security

Affairs

Lt. General Brent Scowcroft, Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs

DATE AND TIME:

Friday, August 8, 1975

4:00 p.m.

PLACE:

Cabinet Room White House

Adm. Anderson: The unofficial job of the Board is to comment on intelligence estimates.

We had problems with the '74 strategic estimate. Here is a paper, Mr. President, that is unanimously approved by the Board.

Foster: I would like to make a claim about the estimate, support that claim, and make a recommendation about what can be done.

We read it last November and were struck by statements on almost every page. [He reads a paragraph on long judgments about Soviet unlikelihood of first strike.] In our view this is misleading. It gives the reader an unwarranted complacency. It may be right, but it overstates a single point of view. It makes judgments based on damn few facts. The data is frequently flimsy, conflicting, or nonexistent.

People make decisions on force levels. The Congress makes decisions on the budget. When this document doesn't agree with the Secretary of Defense's testimony, it's like shooting ourselves in the foot.

CLASSIFIED BY HENRY A. KISSINGER
EXEMPT FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION
SCHEDULE OF ENECUTIVE ORDER 11652
EXEMPTION CATEGORY: 5 (b) (3)
AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED ON Imp. to Det.

TOP SECRET

DECLASSIFIED w/ portions exempted

E.O. 43526 (as amended) SEC 3.3

08-91: #30 NSC-12 7/13/12 05D2275/11/09: CIA-22 3/17/10: NSA-22 8/13/10: FBLUS 4/9/11

By del NARA, Date 11/7/12



TOP SECRET

I can give three examples which make one suspicious:	(l) On the
SS-18 and 19, there are no facts on accuracy.	
	There are
two ways to do it: average the data, or the data could come fr	
ments that are deliberately offset.	Com made a-
ments that are deliberately offset.	

Second, we hadn't worried too much about Minuteman vulnerability because of Polaris and our feeling is that it's invulnerable. Last summer the Soviets had exercises in the Philippine Sea. They are now using a combination of techniques. One is to take advantage of the fact that a submarine has to loiter in home port. They practiced some sort of tracking techniques. And when one of our substransited the area, they picked it up also.

The Soviets have staffed a lab with people who were new to the field.

I think the sub is getting hemmed in. We don't think it is fair to say there is no way for them to get hold of the Polaris force for 10 years.

Third, on bombers, the estimate doesn't deal with the fact that the bombers are on bases that are subject to interdiction by subs. It is nip and tuck whether the bombers would get off, and if they used cruise missiles we might not ever see them. The estimate also doesn't deal with the bomber problem as they transit the oceans and can be picked up. On penetration, the average number of Soviet exercises against low level bomber attacks are about 3%, after '74, 30% were below 1500 feet. We have to deal with a variety of air defense systems, including mobile ones which can be moved in to fill gaps. They appear still to be trying hard to ensure high attrition -- and it may be even worse in 10 years.

What are the difficulties? First, they do it on the basis of not much evidence, and second, pressure to say what the analyst knows leads him to insert judgments where facts are lacking. Mostly they are very good, but as they get carried forward, it gradually gains the status of fact.

What the decision maker needs from intelligence is what is fact and what is judgment and what is the range of uncertainty.

Kissinger: To what extent are the judgments the product of service bias?

Foster: Strongly. The Navy has especially a problem. It doesn't want ASW information to get to the intelligence community.

TOP SECRET



TOP SECRET 3.

We have complained about the estimate and can't seem to get through. They are not honestly trying to distort.

I have some suggestions: On important questions, the community should have two teams doing independent, competitive analysis. The DIA and CIA are not competitors.

President: But 10 years ago we put all of them together to get a single viewpoint.

Foster: Yes, but this would apply just to key issues.

Next, we need closer interaction between intelligence and user. Perhaps the user needs to ask questions differently so that the information is focused on his decision. The intelligence community should be asked to build the best case both for and against the decision.

Third, how to avoid the appearance of a net assessment, which is what this tends to include.

The right process maybe is to do an NIE, with the improvements of the kind we suggest, then a net assessment, then conduct a critique. When we have done net assessments in the past, we have never critiqued them.

We have tried to persuade the intelligence community to accept these critiques, but they don't see anything wrong with what they do now. They think their judgments are right.

<u>Kissinger:</u> We have found it very difficult to get the intelligence community to put forth competing views. The tendency is to waffle over disputes rather than sharpen them. Second, it is very hard to overcome Service bias. Third, they have a vested interest to support their previous judgments.

Land: Maybe we should institutionalize the process and have competing analyses presented as a matter of course.

Kissinger: If you ask for two views, that will become stylized and compound the problem. For 85% of the issues, nothing difficult is necessary. But for the few cases where they start with different points of view, those should be amplified and fully presented.

TOP SECRET



TOP SECRET 4.

Teller: How do you get alternate evaluations? I don't know, but one way would be to get an experienced man -- like Foster -- to do it. He would have to have access to all the material.

<u>Foster</u>: Maybe you just have to try it - just tell the intelligence community you want a competitive estimate.

President: I doubt you can get that kind of competitive judgment in-house.

Kissinger: But no one outside has the knowledge to make the judgments.

Land: There are people outside who have had access over the years.

Kissinger: I have great sympathy for the problem. The solution is not so obvious.

Anderson: I would favor a directive to the DCI making it clear this is the kind of change which has to be made in this area. It should come directly from the National Security Council. They can do it for this year's estimate.

Kissinger: Why don't you draft one?

Anderson: We must make the intelligence community work the way it should.

President: Draft a directive.

TOP SECRET



TOP SECRET		5.
Cherne:		
strong feeling that	t matter, the most recent pol the U.S. had to have a strong citizen likes to read about th control.	national intelligence
Foster: One ironic	point.	
	•	

President: I thank you very much. We have some tough decisions to make and you are very helpful.

(FO)

Attendance confirmed by Mildred Zayac, PFIAB/
--all present

MEETING WITH THE PRESIDENT'S FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY BOARD (PFIAB)

The Cabinet Room August 8, 1975

The President

Members of the PFIAB

- George W. Anderson, Jr., Chairman of the PFIAB, former Chief of Naval Operations; former U.S. Ambassador to Portugal
- William O. Baker, Member, PFIAB; Member of the National Academy of Sciences
- Leo Cherne, Member, PFIAB; Executive Director of the Research Institute of America, Inc.; Member of the U.S. Advisory Commission on International Educational and Cultural Affairs; member of the Board of Advisors of hte Industrial College of the Armed Forces
- John S. Foster, Jr., Member, PFIAB; physicist,; Vice President for Energy Research and Development, TRW, Inc.
- Robert W. Galvin, Member, PFIAB; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Motorola, Inc.; Director of Harris Trust and Savings Bank;
 Director and past President of the Electronic Industries Association
- Gordon Gray, Member, PFIAB; publisher; Director of R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company
- Edwin H. Land, Member, PFIAB; inventor of the Land Polaroid camera; Chairman of the Board of Polaroid Corporation; member of the National Academy of Sciences
- George P. Shultz, Member, PFIAB; President of Bechtel Corporation
- Edward Teller, Member, PFIAB; physicist; University Professor of Physics and Associate Director of the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, University of California
- ----(Clare Boothe Luce, Member, PFIAB, did not attend)

continued on next page . .

PFIAB Staff

Wheaton B. Byers, Executive Secretary of the PFIAB

Lionel H. Olmer, Special Assistant to the Executive Secretary, PFIAB

Henry A. Kissinger, Secretary of State (did not remaine for entire meeting)

Donald H. Rumsfeld, Assistant

Lt. Gen. Brent Scowcroft, Deputy Assistant for National Security Affairs

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION Presidential Libraries Withdrawal Sheet

WITHDRAWAL ID 018073

REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL National security restriction
TYPE OF MATERIAL Note
DESCRIPTION Brent Scowcroft's handwritten notes for memcon
CREATION DATE
VOLUME 5 pages
COLLECTION/SERIES/FOLDER ID . 031400642 COLLECTION TITLE National Security Adviser. Memoranda of Conversations BOX NUMBER
DATE WITHDRAWN