



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD, SUITE 2533
FT. BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221

IN REPLY
REFER TO DLMSO


JAN 10 2002

MEMORANDUM FOR: SUPPLY PRC MEMBERS

SUBJECT: Approved DLMS Change 50, Revision to DLMS Supplement (DS) 869A,
Requisition Inquiry/Supply Assistance Request (Supply)

This change to the DoD 4000.25-M, Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS), reflects an administrative change to the DS 869A, required to support continued logistics operations in a DLMS/DLSS environment. A corresponding change to the Federal IC is required prior to implementation of this revision. The DS will be posted to the DLMSO web site (<http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms/ICs/Default.htm>) immediately upon coordination/ approval from the DoD Logistics Functional Working Group, the DoD Electronic Data Interchange Standards Management Committee (EDISMC), and the Federal EDI Standards Management Coordinating Committee (FESMCC).

Addressees may direct questions to the DLMSO points of contact, Ms. Ellen Hilert, Co-Chair, Supply Process Review Committee, 703-767-0676, DSN 427-0676, or email: ellen_hilert@hq.dla.mil or Ms. Vermella Saváge, DoD MILSTRIP System Administrator, 703-767-0674, DSN 427-0674, or e-mail: vermella_savage@hq.dla.mil. Others must contact their Service or Agency designated representative.


JAMES A. JOHNSON
Director
Defense Logistics Management
Standards Office

Attachment

cc:
ADUSD(L)SCI
LMI

Approved Change 50
Revision to DLMS Supplement (DS)
869A, Requisition Inquiry/Supply Assistance Request

1. ORIGINATOR:

a. Sponsor: Supply Process Review Committee, Ellen Hilert, Co-Chair, DLA J673, Defense Logistics Management Standards Office (DLMSO), 703-767-0676 (DSN 427), ellen_hilert@hq.dla.mil

2. FUNCTIONAL AREA: Supply

3. REQUESTED CHANGE:

a. Title: Revision to DS 869A, Requisition Inquiry/Supply Assistance Request.

b. Description of Change: This change modifies the DS to remove an incorrect data requirement that may cause valid requisition inquiry transactions to fail. Additional changes are included to reinsert streamlined data requirements to ensure smooth transition for legacy systems. The specific revision is identified under procedures.

c. Procedures: Revise DLMS Supplements as indicated.

#	Location	Revision	Reason	Federal IC
1	2/REF/105	<u>Remove “must use” designation at segment level.</u>	The IC/DS incorrectly indicates that the REF is a “must use” segment. This is not the intent and is not consistent with the notes. By intent, the REF is not required for the HL address loop, which is the first iteration of the HL.	Remove “must use” designation. Requires a Federal IC change prior to DS update.
2	2/QTY01/80	<u>Add qualifier and DLMS note:</u> 01 Discrete Quantity DLMS Note: 1. Use in requisition inquiry to indicate the quantity requisitioned. 2. Future streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 4c.	Previously streamlined out data is reinserted for legacy system processes.	Requires a Federal IC change prior to DS update.

3	2/N101/110	<p><u>Add qualifiers and DLMS notes:</u></p> <p>BS Bill and Ship To</p> <p>DLMS Note: 1. Use either this code, or both codes BT and ST, for reimbursable material. Use in requisition inquiry to identify the organization which receives both the material and the billing for the material.</p> <p>2. Future streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 4c.</p> <p>BT Bill-To-Party</p> <p>DLMS Note: 1. Use with code ST for reimbursable material. Use in requisition inquiry to identify the organization to receive the billing for the material when different from the organization receiving the material. Use precludes the use of code BS.</p> <p>2. Future streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 4c.</p> <p>ST Ship To</p> <p>DLMS Note: 1. Use with code BT for reimbursable material. Use in requisition inquiry to identify the organization to receive the material, when different from the activity being billed for the material. Use precludes the use of code BS.</p> <p>2. Future streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 4c.</p>	Previously streamlined out data is reinserted for legacy system processes.	Federal IC change not required prior to DS update.
4	2/LQ01/180	<p><u>Add qualifiers and DLMS notes:</u></p> <p>78 Project Code</p> <p>DLMS Note: Future streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 4c.</p> <p>79 Priority Designator Code</p> <p>DLMS Note: Future streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 4c.</p> <p>80 Advice Code</p> <p>DLMS Note: Future streamlined data; see introductory DLMS note 4c.</p>	Previously streamlined out data is reinserted for legacy system processes.	Federal IC change not required prior to DS update.

d. **Alternatives:** None identified.

4. REASON FOR CHANGE: The current notes explain proper use of the REF segment in association with transaction information and unique item tracking information carried in specific hierarchical loops. The REF segment is not required when the hierarchical loop relates address information. However, the guidance inadvertently assigns a “must use” designation for the segment level indicating it is always required.

Other changes were requested by DLA and Army to accommodate transition period by retaining data elements currently used in MILSTRIP requisition follow-up transactions (AF1-5).

5. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES:

- a. Advantages:** Supports DLMS implementation.
- b. Disadvantages:** None identified.

6. IMPACT:

- a. Publications.** Corresponding Federal IC.
- b. DAAS DLMS/DLSS conversion maps** are being updated to incorporate the above revision.