

# Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection

Downloaded from <a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines</a> on 2/20/2013 EST.

Visit the AIDS*info* website to access the most up-to-date guideline.

Register for e-mail notification of guideline updates at <a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/e-news">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/e-news</a>.

# Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children (Last updated

November 1, 2012; last reviewed November 1, 2012)

#### **Panel's Recommendations**

- Virologic assays that directly detect HIV must be used to diagnose HIV infection in infants younger than 18 months (AII).
- Virologic diagnostic testing in infants with known perinatal HIV exposure is recommended at ages 14 to 21 days, 1 to 2 months, and 4 to 6 months (AII).
- Virologic diagnostic testing at birth should be considered for infants at high risk of HIV infection (BIII).
- HIV DNA polymerase chain reaction and HIV RNA assays are recommended as preferred virologic assays (AII).
- A positive virologic test should be confirmed as soon as possible by a repeat virologic test on a second specimen (All).
- Definitive exclusion of HIV infection in nonbreastfed infants is based on two or more negative virologic tests, with one
  obtained at ≥1 month of age and one at ≥4 months of age, or two negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens
  obtained at ≥6 months of age (AII).
- Some experts confirm the absence of HIV infection at 12 to 18 months of age in infants with prior negative virologic tests by performing an antibody test to document loss of maternal HIV antibodies (BIII).
- HIV antibody assays alone can be used for diagnosis of HIV infection in children with perinatal exposure who are ≥18 months of age and in children with non-perinatal exposure (see text for exceptions) (AII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials in children† with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I\* = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children† from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children† with long-term outcomes; II\* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children† from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = expert opinion

† Studies that include children or children and adolescents but not studies limited to postpubertal adolescents

## Diagnostic Testing in Infants with Perinatal HIV-1 (HIV) Exposure

HIV infection can be definitively diagnosed through use of virologic assays in most nonbreastfed HIV-exposed infants by 1 month of age and in virtually all infected infants by 4 months of age. Tests for antibodies to HIV, including newer rapid tests, do not establish the presence of HIV infection in infants because of transplacental transfer of maternal antibodies to HIV; therefore a virologic test should be used.<sup>1,2</sup> A positive virologic test (that is, detection of HIV by DNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or RNA assays) indicates likely HIV infection. The first test result should be confirmed as soon as possible by a repeat virologic test on a second specimen because false-positive results can occur with both RNA and DNA assays.

HIV culture is not used for routine HIV diagnostic testing, although it has a sensitivity similar to that of HIV DNA PCR.<sup>3</sup> It is more complex and expensive to perform than DNA PCR or RNA assays and may require 2 to 4 weeks for definitive results; it is generally not available outside of research laboratories. Use of the currently approved HIV p24 antigen assay is not recommended for infant diagnosis in the United States because the sensitivity and specificity of the assay in the first months of life are less than that of other HIV

virologic tests.4,5

An infant who is found to have positive HIV antibody on screening but whose mother's HIV status is unknown (see Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure), should be assumed to be HIV-exposed and undergo the HIV diagnostic testing described here.

#### HIV DNA PCR

HIV DNA PCR is a sensitive technique used to detect specific HIV viral DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). The specificity of the HIV DNA PCR is 99.8% at birth and 100% at 1, 3, and 6 months. The sensitivity of the test performed at birth is 55% but increases to more than 90% by 2 to 4 weeks of age, and 100% at 3 months and 6 months of age. 6-8

#### HIV RNA Assays

HIV quantitative RNA assays detect extracellular viral RNA in the plasma. Their specificity (for results ≥5,000 copies/mL) has been shown to be 100% at birth, 1, 3, and 6 months of age and is comparable to HIV DNA PCR.8 HIV RNA levels <5,000 copies/mL may not be reproducible and should be repeated before they are interpreted as documenting HIV infection in an infant. The sensitivity of HIV RNA assays has been shown to be 25% to 58% during the first weeks of life, 89% at 1 month of age, and increases to 90% to 100% by 2 to 3 months of age. 6-11 HIV RNA assays are as sensitive as HIV DNA PCR for early diagnosis of HIV infection in HIV-exposed infants. An HIV RNA assay can be used as the confirmatory test for infants who have an initial positive HIV DNA PCR test. In addition to providing virologic confirmation of infection status, the expense of repeat HIV DNA PCR testing is spared and an HIV RNA measurement is available to assess baseline viral load. HIV RNA assays may be more sensitive than HIV DNA PCR for detecting HIV non-subtype B (see HIV subtype section below). It is established that HIV DNA PCR remains positive even in individuals receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). However, RNA assays can be affected by maternal antenatal therapy with combination antiretroviral (ARV) drugs and/or infant ARV prophylaxis. Among a group of 47 infants who received zidovudine prophylaxis, HIV RNA levels were lower at 1 month of age compared with levels at 3 months of age (median of 5.1 vs. 5.6 logs) and among 9 infants who received combination ARV prophylaxis, the median was 2.5 logs at 1 month of age. However, prenatal and neonatal combination ARV regimens did not affect the sensitivity of the assay to detect the presence of HIV.8

The HIV qualitative RNA assay (APTIMA HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay) is an alternative diagnostic test that can be used for infant testing. 13-17

## Issues Related to Diagnosis of Non-Subtype B HIV-1 Infections

Although HIV-1 subtype B is the predominant viral subtype found in the United States, non-subtype B viruses predominate in some other parts of the world, such as subtype C in regions of Africa and India and subtype CRF01 in much of Southeast Asia. 18-20 Currently available HIV DNA PCR tests have decreased sensitivity for detection of non-subtype B HIV, and false-negative HIV DNA PCR test results have been reported in infants infected with non-subtype B HIV. 21-24 In an evaluation of perinatally infected infants diagnosed in New York State in 2001–2002, 16.7% of infants were infected with a non-subtype B strain of HIV, compared with 4.4% of infants diagnosed between 1998 and 1999. 25

Some currently available HIV RNA assays have improved sensitivity for detection of non-subtype B HIV infection, <sup>26-31</sup> although even these assays may not detect or properly quantify some non-B subtypes, particularly the more uncommon group O HIV subtypes. <sup>28, 32, 33</sup>

When evaluating an infant whose mother or father (or both) comes from an area endemic for non-subtype B HIV, such as Africa and Southeast Asia, clinicians should consider conducting initial testing using one of the

assays more sensitive for non-subtype B virus.<sup>28, 34</sup> In addition, when non-subtype B perinatal exposure is suspected in infants with negative HIV DNA PCR results, repeat testing using one of the newer RNA assays is recommended. In these situations, the clinician should consult with an expert in pediatric HIV infection. The child should undergo close clinical monitoring and HIV serologic testing at age 18 months to definitively rule out HIV infection.

## **Issues Related to Diagnosis of HIV-2 Infections**

HIV-2 infection is endemic in Angola; Mozambique; West African countries including Cape Verde, Ivory Coast, Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Mauritania, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome, Senegal, and Togo; and in parts of India. <sup>35, 36</sup> It also occurs in countries such as France and Portugal, which have large numbers of immigrants from these regions; <sup>37</sup> HIV-2 is rare in the United States. HIV-2 infection should be suspected in pregnant women who are from—or who have partners from—countries in which the disease is endemic, who are HIV-1 antibody positive on an initial enzyme-linked immunoassay screening test, and who have repeatedly indeterminate results on HIV-1 Western blot and HIV-1 RNA viral loads at or below the limit of detection. <sup>38</sup> This pattern of HIV testing can also be seen in patients who have a false-positive HIV-1 test. HIV-1 and HIV-2 coinfections may also occur further complicating the diagnosis.

The majority of commercially available HIV screening antibody tests can detect both HIV-1 and HIV-2 but cannot distinguish between the two viruses. The only Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antibody test that distinguishes between HIV-1 and HIV-2 is the Bio-Rad Laboratories Multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 test. If HIV-2 is suspected, infection can be confirmed using a supplemental test such as an HIV-2 immunoblot or HIV-2-specific Western blot. HIV-2 immunoblots are available through commercial labs; however, none are FDA-approved for HIV-2 diagnosis. All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department, which can arrange for additional confirmatory testing for HIV-2 by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Infants born to HIV-2-infected mothers should be tested for HIV-2 infection with HIV-2-specific virologic assays (HIV-2 DNA PCR testing) at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing. HIV-2 virologic assays are not commercially available, but the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) can provide a list of sites that perform this testing. Clinicians should consult with an expert in pediatric HIV infection if caring for infants with suspected or known exposure to HIV-2.<sup>36, 39, 40</sup>

# Timing of Diagnostic Testing in Infants with Known Perinatal HIV Exposure

Virologic diagnostic testing of the HIV-exposed infant should be performed at age 14 to 21 days, at age 1 to 2 months, and at age 4 to 6 months. Virologic diagnostic testing at birth should be considered for infants at high risk of HIV infection (see below).

Confirmation of HIV infection should be based on two positive virologic tests from separate blood samples, regardless of a child's age. A positive HIV antibody test with confirmatory Western blot (or immunofluorescent antibody [IFA] assay) at age ≥18 months confirms HIV infection, except in rare late seroreverters (see Diagnostic Testing in Exceptional Situations section below).¹

HIV infection can be *presumptively* excluded in non-breastfed infants with two or more negative virologic tests, with one test obtained at  $\geq$ 14 days of age and one obtained at  $\geq$ 4 weeks of age, or one negative virologic test obtained at  $\geq$ 8 weeks of age, or one negative HIV antibody test obtained at  $\geq$ 6 months of age. <sup>1,41</sup> *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (PCP) prophylaxis is recommended for infants with indeterminate HIV infection status starting at 4 to 6 weeks of age until they are determined to be HIV uninfected or *presumptively* uninfected with HIV. <sup>42,43</sup> Thus, initiation of PCP prophylaxis can be avoided or, if prophylaxis was initiated, can be stopped, if an infant has negative virologic tests at 2 weeks of age and at  $\geq$ 4 weeks of age, or if virologic

testing is negative at  $\geq 8$  weeks of age.

Definitive exclusion of HIV infection in a non-breastfed infant is based on 2 or more negative virologic tests, with one obtained at ≥1 month of age and one at ≥4 months of age, or 2 negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens obtained at ≥6 months of age. For both *presumptive* and *definitive* exclusion of HIV infection, a child must have no other laboratory (meaning, no positive virologic test results or low CD4 T lymphocyte [CD4 cell] count/percent) or clinical evidence of HIV infection and not be breastfeeding. Many experts confirm the absence of HIV infection in infants with negative virologic tests by performing an antibody test at 12 to 18 months of age to document seroreversion to HIV antibody negative status.

## Virologic Testing at Birth (Optional)

Virologic testing at birth can be considered for newborns at high risk of HIV infection, such as infants born to HIV-infected mothers who did not receive prenatal care or prenatal antiretroviral therapy (ART), were diagnosed with acute HIV infection during pregnancy, or who had HIV viral loads >1,000 copies/mL close to the time of delivery. As many as 30% to 40% of HIV-infected infants can be identified by 48 hours of age.<sup>7</sup> Blood samples from the umbilical cord should not be used for diagnostic evaluations because of the potential for contamination with maternal blood. Working definitions have been proposed to differentiate acquisition of HIV infection during the intrauterine period from the intrapartum period. Infants who have a positive virologic test at or before age 48 hours are considered to have early (that is, intrauterine) infection, whereas infants who have a negative virologic test during the first week of life and subsequent positive tests are considered to have late (that is, intrapartum) infection.<sup>44</sup> Some researchers have proposed that infants with early infection may have more rapid disease progression than those with late infection and, therefore, should receive more aggressive therapy. 44, 45 However, data from prospective cohort studies have demonstrated that although early differences in HIV RNA levels were present between infants with a positive HIV culture within 48 hours of birth and those with a first positive culture after 7 days of age, these differences were no longer statistically significant after 2 months of age. 46 HIV RNA levels after the first month of life were more predictive of rapid disease progression than the time at which HIV culture tests were positive. 46

## Virologic Testing at Age 14 Days to 21 Days

The diagnostic sensitivity of virologic testing increases rapidly by age 2 weeks,<sup>7</sup> and early identification of infection would permit discontinuation of neonatal ARV prophylaxis and further evaluation for initiation of combination ART (see When to Initiate Therapy in Antiretroviral-Naive HIV-Infected Infants Younger than 12 Months and Table 7).

## Virologic Testing at Age 1 to 2 Months

Infants with negative virologic tests before 1 month of age should be retested at 1 to 2 months of age. Most HIV-exposed neonates will receive 6 weeks of neonatal ARV prophylaxis. Although ARV agents, in theory, could affect the predictive value of HIV virologic testing in neonates, use of prenatal/intrapartum/neonatal zidovudine single-drug prophylaxis did not delay detection of HIV by culture in infants in Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) protocol 076 and has not decreased the sensitivity and predictive values of many virologic assays.  $^{9-11, 41, 47, 48}$  In one study, prenatal and neonatal combination ARV regimens lowered HIV RNA levels for HIV-exposed infected infants but did not affect the assay's sensitivity for detecting the presence of HIV (that is, HIV RNA levels remained detectable). Further studies are necessary to confirm this finding. An infant with two negative virologic tests, one at  $\geq 14$  days and one at  $\geq 1$  month of age, can be viewed as *presumptively* uninfected and would not need PCP prophylaxis, assuming the child has no laboratory (such as, no positive virologic test results or low CD4 cell count) or clinical evidence of HIV infection.

## Virologic Testing at Age 4 to 6 Months

HIV-exposed children who have had negative virologic assays at 14 to 21 days of age and at 1 to 2 months of age, have no clinical evidence of HIV infection, and are not breastfed should be retested at 4 to 6 months of age for *definitive* exclusion of HIV infection.

## Antibody Testing at Age 6 Months or Older

Two or more negative HIV antibody tests performed in non-breastfed infants at  $\geq 6$  months of age can also be used to *definitively* exclude HIV infection in HIV-exposed children with no clinical or virologic laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

## Antibody Testing at Age 12 to 18 Months to Document Seroreversion

If there has not been previous confirmation of two negative antibody tests, many experts confirm the absence of HIV infection in infants with negative virologic tests by repeat serologic testing between 12 and 18 months of age to confirm that maternal HIV antibodies transferred *in utero* have disappeared. The proportion of infants who serorevert by 15 to 18 months of age is close to 100%, with as many as 95% seroreverting by 12 months of age. Factors that might influence the time to seroreversion include maternal disease stage and assay sensitivity. 1, 49-52

## Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Exceptional Situations

- Late seroreversion up to 24 months of age
- Postnatal HIV infection in HIV-exposed children with prior negative virologic tests for whom there are additional HIV transmission risks
- HIV-2 and non-subtype B HIV-1

On rare occasions, non-breastfed perinatally HIV-exposed infants with no other HIV transmission risk and no clinical or virologic laboratory evidence of HIV infection may have residual HIV antibodies for up to 24 months (these infants are called late seroreverters). These children may have positive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (EIA) results but indeterminate confirmatory antibody tests (Western Blot or IFA). In such cases, repeat antibody testing at a later time would document seroreversion.

In contrast to late seroreverters, in rare situations, postnatal HIV infections have been reported in HIV-exposed infants who had prior negative HIV virologic tests. This occurs in infants who become infected through an additional risk after completion of testing (see <u>Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure</u> section below). If a confirmatory HIV antibody test is positive at 18 months of age, repeated virologic testing will distinguish between residual antibodies in uninfected, late seroreverting children and true infection.

Postnatal HIV exposure can occur if an HIV-infected mother breastfeeds her infant. Typical scenarios in the US include women who have not been adequately counseled about infant feeding, women who breastfeed despite being counseled not to do so, and women who learn of their HIV diagnosis only after initiating breastfeeding. Diagnostic testing to rule out acquisition of HIV through breast milk will only be accurate after breastfeeding has completely ceased. The timing of testing in such situations is discussed below in Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure.

Another example where there can be postnatal HIV exposure is when an HIV-infected caregiver premasticates or prechews solid food before feeding it to an infant. This practice has been documented to result in HIV transmission.<sup>53, 54</sup> In such exposed children, both screening EIA and confirmatory antibody tests (EIA, Western Blot or IFA) may be positive at 18 months. Another study documented very rare cases of late postnatal infection without identified risk factors, suggesting the possibility of intrafamilial HIV transmission.<sup>55</sup>

Children with non-subtype B HIV-1 infection and children with HIV-2 infection may have persistent positive EIA tests and indeterminate confirmatory antibody tests. <sup>21-24</sup> Situations in which such infections may be suspected and the diagnostic approach to them are discussed above in the sections <u>Issues Related to Diagnosis of Non-Subtype B Infection</u> and <u>Issues Related to Diagnosis of HIV-2 Infection</u>.

## Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure

Breastfeeding is a known route of HIV transmission. Infants who are breastfed by an HIV-infected woman, including those diagnosed with acute HIV infection during breastfeeding or who breastfed before knowing their HIV diagnosis, should undergo immediate HIV virologic testing and breastfeeding should be discontinued. Follow-up virologic testing should be performed at 4 to 6 weeks, 3 and 6 months after breastfeeding cessation if the initial tests are negative. Fe HIV antibody testing of an infant to assess for HIV exposure would not be helpful if the mother acquired HIV infection after giving birth. In that situation, an infant would be HIV antibody-negative but still at risk of acquiring HIV infection through breastfeeding and counseling to cease breastfeeding should be provided.

Perinatal HIV acquisition accounts for the majority of HIV infections in children, but providers may need to evaluate children exposed to HIV through other routes, such as sexual abuse, or because they were adopted from countries in which parenteral exposure to HIV via contaminated blood products is a possibility. In such cases, maternal HIV status may be negative or unknown. Receipt of solid food premasticated or prechewed by an HIV-infected caregiver also has been documented to be associated with risk of HIV transmission.<sup>53, 54</sup> Finally, acquisition of HIV is possible through accidental needle sticks or behavioral risks, such as sexual activity or injection drug use in older children.

HIV antibody testing should be performed on children who are suspected to have HIV infection because of clinical or laboratory findings consistent with HIV. Additional virologic testing may be necessary if acute HIV infection or end-stage AIDS is suspected because antibody testing can be negative in these situations.

#### References

- 1. Schneider E, Whitmore S, Glynn KM, et al. Revised surveillance case definitions for HIV infection among adults, adolescents, and children aged <18 months and for HIV infection and AIDS among children aged 18 months to <13 years—United States, 2008. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* Dec 5 2008;57(RR-10):1-12. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19052530">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19052530</a>.
- 2. Donovan M, Palumbo P. Diagnosis of HIV: challenges and strategies for HIV prevention and detection among pregnant women and their infants. *Clin Perinatol*. Dec 2010;37(4):751-763, viii. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078448">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078448</a>.
- 3. McIntosh K, Pitt J, Brambilla D, et al. Blood culture in the first 6 months of life for the diagnosis of vertically transmitted human immunodeficiency virus infection. The Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *J Infect Dis*. Oct 1994;170(4):996-1000. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7930747">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7930747</a>.
- Fiscus SA, Wiener J, Abrams EJ, Bulterys M, Cachafeiro A, Respess RA. Ultrasensitive p24 antigen assay for diagnosis
  of perinatal human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *J Clin Microbiol*. Jul 2007;45(7):2274-2277. Available at
  <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17475763">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17475763</a>.
- 5. Tamhane M, Gautney B, Shiu C, et al. Analysis of the optimal cut-point for HIV-p24 antigen testing to diagnose HIV infection in HIV-exposed children from resource-constrained settings. *J Clin Virol*. Apr 2011;50(4):338-341. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21330193.
- 6. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric A. HIV testing and prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *Pediatrics*. Nov 2008;122(5):1127-1134. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995</a>.

- Havens PL, Mofenson LM, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric A. Evaluation and management of the infant exposed to HIV-1 in the United States. *Pediatrics*. Jan 2009;123(1):175-187. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19117880">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19117880</a>.
- 8. Burgard M, Blanche S, Jasseron C, et al. Performance of HIV-1 DNA or HIV-1 RNA tests for early diagnosis of perinatal HIV-1 infection during anti-retroviral prophylaxis. *J Pediatr*. Jan 2012;160(1):60-66 e61. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21868029">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21868029</a>.
- 9. Lambert JS, Harris DR, Stiehm ER, et al. Performance characteristics of HIV-1 culture and HIV-1 DNA and RNA amplification assays for early diagnosis of perinatal HIV-1 infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Dec 15 2003;34(5):512-519. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14657763">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14657763</a>.
- 10. Nesheim S, Palumbo P, Sullivan K, et al. Quantitative RNA testing for diagnosis of HIV-infected infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Feb 1 2003;32(2):192-195. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12571529">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12571529</a>.
- 11. Young NL, Shaffer N, Chaowanachan T, et al. Early diagnosis of HIV-1-infected infants in Thailand using RNA and DNA PCR assays sensitive to non-B subtypes. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Aug 15 2000;24(5):401-407. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11035610">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11035610</a>.
- 12. Saitoh A, Hsia K, Fenton T, et al. Persistence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 DNA in peripheral blood despite prolonged suppression of plasma HIV-1 RNA in children. *J Infect Dis*. May 15 2002;185(10):1409-1416. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11992275">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11992275</a>.
- 13. Kerr RJ, Player G, Fiscus SA, Nelson JA. Qualitative human immunodeficiency virus RNA analysis of dried blood spots for diagnosis of infections in infants. *J Clin Microbiol*. Jan 2009;47(1):220-222. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19005148">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19005148</a>.
- 14. Stevens WS, Noble L, Berrie L, Sarang S, Scott LE. Ultra-high-throughput, automated nucleic acid detection of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) for infant infection diagnosis using the Gen-Probe Aptima HIV-1 screening assay. *J Clin Microbiol*. Aug 2009;47(8):2465-2469. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474266">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474266</a>.
- 15. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. APTIMA HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay. 2009; <a href="http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/ApprovedProducts/LicensedProductsBLAs/BloodDonorScreening/InfectiousDisease/ucm149922.htm">http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/ApprovedProducts/LicensedProductsBLAs/BloodDonorScreening/InfectiousDisease/ucm149922.htm</a>.
- 16. Walter J, Kuhn L, Semrau K, et al. Detection of low levels of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) may be critical for early diagnosis of pediatric HIV infection by use of dried blood spots. *J Clin Microbiol*. Sep 2009;47(9):2989-2991. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19625479.
- 17. Pierce VM, Neide B, Hodinka RL. Evaluation of the Gen-Probe Aptima HIV-1 RNA qualitative assay as an alternative to Western blot analysis for confirmation of HIV infection. *J Clin Microbiol*. Apr 2011;49(4):1642-1645. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21346052">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21346052</a>.
- 18. Osmanov S, Pattou C, Walker N, et al. Estimated global distribution and regional spread of HIV-1 genetic subtypes in the year 2000. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Feb 1 2002;29(2):184-190. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11832690">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11832690</a>.
- 19. Auwanit W, Isarangkura-Na-Ayuthaya P, Kasornpikul D, Ikuta K, Sawanpanyalert P, Kameoka M. Detection of drug resistance-associated and background mutations in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 CRF01\_AE protease and reverse transcriptase derived from drug treatment-naive patients residing in central Thailand. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. Jun 2009;25(6):625-631. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19500016">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19500016</a>.
- 20. Deshpande A, Jauvin V, Pinson P, Jeannot AC, Fleury HJ. Phylogenetic analysis of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase sequences from 382 patients recruited in JJ Hospital of Mumbai, India, between 2002 and 2008. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. Jun 2009;25(6):633-635. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19534630">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19534630</a>.
- 21. Haas J, Geiss M, Bohler T. False-negative polymerase chain reaction-based diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 in children infected with HIV strains of African origin. *J Infect Dis.* Jul 1996;174(1):244-245. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8656008.

- 22. Kline NE, Schwarzwald H, Kline MW. False negative DNA polymerase chain reaction in an infant with subtype C human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* Sep 2002;21(9):885-886. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12380591">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12380591</a>.
- 23. Obaro SK, Losikoff P, Harwell J, Pugatch D. Failure of serial human immunodeficiency virus type 1 DNA polymerase chain reactions to identify human immunodeficiency virus type 1 clade A/G. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. Feb 2005;24(2):183-184. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15702052">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15702052</a>.
- 24. Zaman MM, Recco RA, Haag R. Infection with non-B subtype HIV type 1 complicates management of established infection in adult patients and diagnosis of infection in newborn infants. *Clin Infect Dis.* Feb 1 2002;34(3):417-418. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11774090">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11774090</a>.
- 25. Karchava M, Pulver W, Smith L, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistance mutations and non-subtype B strains among HIV-infected infants from New York State. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Aug 15 2006;42(5):614-619. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498</a>.
- 26. Antunes R, Figueiredo S, Bartolo I, et al. Evaluation of the clinical sensitivities of three viral load assays with plasma samples from a pediatric population predominantly infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 subtype G and BG recombinant forms. *J Clin Microbiol*. Jul 2003;41(7):3361-3367. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12843094">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12843094</a>.
- 27. Plantier JC, Gueudin M, Damond F, Braun J, Mauclere P, Simon F. Plasma RNA quantification and HIV-1 divergent strains. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. May 1 2003;33(1):1-7. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12792348">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12792348</a>.
- 28. Swanson P, de Mendoza C, Joshi Y, et al. Impact of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) genetic diversity on performance of four commercial viral load assays: LCx HIV RNA Quantitative, AMPLICOR HIV-1 MONITOR v1.5, VERSANT HIV-1 RNA 3.0, and NucliSens HIV-1 QT. *J Clin Microbiol*. Aug 2005;43(8):3860-3868. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16081923">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16081923</a>.
- 29. Rouet F, Foulongne V, Viljoen J, et al. Comparison of the Generic HIV Viral Load assay with the Amplicor HIV-1 monitor v1.5 and Nuclisens HIV-1 EasyQ v1.2 techniques for plasma HIV-1 RNA quantitation of non-B subtypes: the Kesho Bora preparatory study. *J Virol Methods*. Feb 2010;163(2):253-257. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19837114">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19837114</a>.
- 30. Katsoulidou A, Rokka C, Issaris C, et al. Comparative evaluation of the performance of the Abbott RealTime HIV-1 assay for measurement of HIV-1 plasma viral load on genetically diverse samples from Greece. *Virol J.* 2011;8:10. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21219667">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21219667</a>.
- 31. Church D, Gregson D, Lloyd T, et al. Comparison of the RealTime HIV-1, COBAS TaqMan 48 v1.0, Easy Q v1.2, and Versant v3.0 assays for determination of HIV-1 viral loads in a cohort of Canadian patients with diverse HIV subtype infections. *J Clin Microbiol*. Jan 2011;49(1):118-124. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21084515">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21084515</a>.
- 32. Geelen S, Lange J, Borleffs J, Wolfs T, Weersink A, Schuurman R. Failure to detect a non-B HIV-1 subtype by the HIV-1 Amplicor Monitor test, version 1.5: a case of unexpected vertical transmission. *AIDS*. Mar 28 2003;17(5):781-782. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12646812">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12646812</a>.
- 33. Krogstad P, Eshleman SH, Geng Y, et al. Mother-to-child transmission in the United States of subtypes D and A/G human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. Apr 10 2002;18(6):413-417. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11958684">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11958684</a>.
- 34. Rouet F, Montcho C, Rouzioux C, et al. Early diagnosis of paediatric HIV-1 infection among African breast-fed children using a quantitative plasma HIV RNA assay. *AIDS*. Sep 28 2001;15(14):1849-1856. Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11579248.
- 35. Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. Mar 15 2011;52(6):780-787. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21367732">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21367732</a>.

- 36. Torian LV, Eavey JJ, Punsalang AP, et al. HIV type 2 in New York City, 2000-2008. *Clin Infect Dis*. Dec 1 2010;51(11):1334-1342. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21039219">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21039219</a>.
- 37. Barin F, Cazein F, Lot F, et al. Prevalence of HIV-2 and HIV-1 group O infections among new HIV diagnoses in France: 2003-2006. *AIDS*. Nov 12 2007;21(17):2351-2353. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18090288">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18090288</a>.
- 38. O'Brien TR, George JR, Epstein JS, Holmberg SD, Schochetman G. Testing for antibodies to human immunodeficiency virus type 2 in the United States. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* Jul 17 1992;41(RR-12):1-9. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1324395">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1324395</a>.
- 39. Burgard M, Jasseron C, Matheron S, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-2 infection from 1986 to 2007 in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort EPF-CO1. *Clin Infect Dis.* Oct 1 2010;51(7):833-843. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413</a>.
- 40. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. HIV-2 Infection Surveillance—United States, 1987-2009. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. Jul 29 2011;60(29):985-988. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21796096">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21796096</a>.
- 41. Read JS, Committee on Pediatric Aids AAoP. Diagnosis of HIV-1 infection in children younger than 18 months in the United States. *Pediatrics*. Dec 2007;120(6):e1547-1562. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18055670">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18055670</a>.
- 42. Mofenson LM, Brady MT, Danner SP, et al. Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Opportunistic Infections among HIV-exposed and HIV-infected children: recommendations from CDC, the National Institutes of Health, the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, and the American Academy of Pediatrics. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* Sep 4 2009;58(RR-11):1-166. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730409">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730409</a>.
- 43. Robinson LG, Fernandez AD. Clinical care of the exposed infants of HIV-infected mothers. *Clin Perinatol*. Dec 2010;37(4):863-872, x-xi. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078455">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078455</a>.
- 44. Bryson YJ, Luzuriaga K, Sullivan JL, Wara DW. Proposed definitions for in utero versus intrapartum transmission of HIV-1. *N Engl J Med*. Oct 22 1992;327(17):1246-1247. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1406816">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1406816</a>.
- 45. Mayaux MJ, Burgard M, Teglas JP, et al. Neonatal characteristics in rapidly progressive perinatally acquired HIV-1 disease. The French Pediatric HIV Infection Study Group. *JAMA*. Feb 28 1996;275(8):606-610. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8594241">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8594241</a>.
- 46. Shearer WT, Quinn TC, LaRussa P, et al. Viral load and disease progression in infants infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. May 8 1997;336(19):1337-1342. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134873">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134873</a>.
- 47. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. Nov 3 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654</a>.
- 48. Kovacs A, Xu J, Rasheed S, et al. Comparison of a rapid nonisotopic polymerase chain reaction assay with four commonly used methods for the early diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection in neonates and children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* Nov 1995;14(11):948-954. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8584360">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8584360</a>.
- Alcantara KC, Pereira GA, Albuquerque M, Stefani MM. Seroreversion in children born to HIV-positive and AIDS mothers from Central West Brazil. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. Jun 2009;103(6):620-626. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19339030">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19339030</a>.
- 50. Gulia J, Kumwenda N, Li Q, Taha TE. HIV seroreversion time in HIV-1-uninfected children born to HIV-1-infected mothers in Malawi. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Nov 1 2007;46(3):332-337. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17786126">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17786126</a>.
- 51. Moodley D, Bobat RA, Coutsoudis A, Coovadia HM. Predicting perinatal human immunodeficiency virus infection by antibody patterns. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. Oct 1995;14(10):850-852. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8584310">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8584310</a>.

- 52. Sohn AH, Thanh TC, Thinh le Q, et al. Failure of human immunodeficiency virus enzyme immunoassay to rule out infection among polymerase chain reaction-negative Vietnamese infants at 12 months of age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* Apr 2009;28(4):273-276. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19289981">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19289981</a>.
- 53. Gaur AH, Freimanis-Hance L, Dominguez K, et al. Knowledge and practice of prechewing/prewarming food by HIV-infected women. *Pediatrics*. May 2011;127(5):e1206-1211. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21482608">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21482608</a>.
- 54. Hafeez S, Salami O, Alvarado M, Maldonado M, Purswani M, Hagmann S. Infant feeding practice of premastication: an anonymous survey among human immunodeficiency virus-infected mothers. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* Jan 2011;165(1):92-93. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21199989">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21199989</a>.
- 55. Frange P, Burgard M, Lachassinne E, et al. Late postnatal HIV infection in children born to HIV-1-infected mothers in a high-income country. *AIDS*. Jul 17 2010;24(11):1771-1776. Available at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20479638">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20479638</a>.
- 56. Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission. Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant HIV-1-Infected Women for Maternal Health and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States. Available at <a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/PerinatalGL.pdf">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/PerinatalGL.pdf</a>. Accessed on August 17, 2012.