



Final MANAGEMENT PLAN and Environmental Assessment

STELLWAGEN BANK
NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY



June 2010

In Memoriam



Gerry E. Studds
1937–2006

Gerry Eastman Studds, former Congressman from the Massachusetts 10th District (1973–1996) and tenacious advocate for the ocean. Congressman Studds authored the National Marine Sanctuaries Reauthorization and Improvement Act of 1992, which officially designated the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary. His legacy lives on in the sanctuary's research, education and conservation efforts, as well as in the vast array of marine legislation that he eloquently supported. In honor of his dedication to marine issues, Congress renamed the sanctuary the Gerry E. Studds Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary during the 1996 reauthorization of the Sanctuaries Act.



Atlantic cod, *Cadus morhua*, is a keystone predator species of major ecological importance within the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary. It is better known as a popular species for commercial and recreational fishing. While cod often graces the tables of homes and restaurants as the “center-of-the-plate-special,” it needs also to be recognized and appreciated as a functionally significant component of the sanctuary’s wildlife.

Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary

175 Edward Foster Rd.

Scituate, MA 02066

(781) 545-8026

stellwagen@noaa.gov

ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

This document is the revised final management plan and environmental assessment for the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary. The plan's primary goal is the protection of sanctuary resources, including the conservation of marine biodiversity within the sanctuary. The attendant sub-goals include protecting the sanctuary's ecological integrity while ensuring sustained provision of the sanctuary's environmental services. Befitting sanctuary status, the plan advocates a standard for conservation that is higher than may apply broadly throughout the whole Gulf of Maine.

The management plan is the product of an extensive public process begun in 2000 that resulted in a total of more than 45,500 comments provided during the public scoping and draft management plan review periods, and that relied on the efforts of more than 300 individuals participating in scoping meetings and over 200 people participating on eleven working groups. Comments on the draft management plan came from all 50 states, two U.S. Territories and 48 countries attesting to the sanctuary's national significance. The vast majority of these comments urged that more be done to restore and protect the sanctuary's resources and indicated that the existence value (i.e., non-market value) of the sanctuary's resources is highly regarded.

In addition to core research and analyses originating for the preparation of this document, the management plan draws upon information and rationales provided in more than 840 scientific and professional papers and reports, the great majority being peer-reviewed journal articles. The management plan was extensively peer reviewed by scientists and managers within NOAA and was offered for critical review and comment to numerous related federal and state agencies.

This final management plan serves as a non-regulatory policy framework for addressing the issues facing the sanctuary over the next five years. It identifies the need and lays the foundation for restoring and protecting the sanctuary's ecosystem. It provides strategic guidance for management actions and focuses those actions on four priority programmatic areas: capacity building, ecosystem protection, marine mammal protection and maritime heritage management.

Craig D. MacDonald, Ph.D.

Superintendent

Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary

175 Edward Foster Rd.

Scituate, MA 02066

(781) 545-8026

stellwagen@noaa.gov

Recommended citation:

U.S. Department of Commerce. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Office of National Marine Sanctuaries. 2010. Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary Final Management Plan and Environmental Assessment. Silver Spring, MD.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OVERVIEW

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary (SBNMS or sanctuary) stretches between Cape Ann and Cape Cod at the mouth of Massachusetts Bay in the southwestern corner of the Gulf of Maine (GoM). Nearly the size of the state of Rhode Island and located wholly within federal waters, sanctuary boundaries include the submerged lands of Stellwagen Bank, all of Tillies Bank and Basin, and the southern portions of Jeffrey's Ledge. The sanctuary protects 842 square miles (638 square nautical miles) of open ocean, overlaying a diverse seafloor topography and array of benthic and pelagic habitats that support biological communities broadly representative of the GoM.

The sanctuary's mission is to conserve, protect and enhance the biological diversity, ecological integrity and cultural legacy of the sanctuary while facilitating uses that are compatible with the primary goal of resource protection. When Congress designated the sanctuary in 1992, it did so to recognize the nationally significant conservation and aesthetic qualities of the site. Congress directed that the sanctuary be managed to maintain the habitats and ecological services of the natural assemblage of living resources of the area, as well as its maritime heritage resources. The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary is the only federal entity mandated to conserve biological diversity and protect maritime heritage resources in the offshore waters of the GoM.

The management plan review process was a public collaboration of immense proportion largely begun in 2000 that resulted in a total of over 45,500 comments provided during both the public scoping and draft management plan review periods, and that relied on the efforts of more than 300 individuals participating in scoping meetings and over 200 people participating on eleven issue-driven working groups. During the draft management plan review period alone, 25,529 comments came from all 50 states, two U.S. Territories and 48 countries attesting to the sanctuary's national significance. The vast majority of these comments urged that more be done to restore and protect the sanctuary's resources and indicated that the existence value (i.e. non-market value) of the sanctuary's resources is highly regarded. The entire process was coordinated with, and reviewed by, the 45 members and alternates on the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Advisory Council holding appointments principally during 2002-2006 and offering representation from Connecticut to Maine.

The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary was designated for a multitude of reasons, not the least of which was its long history of human use and its high natural productivity and resource diversity. The historic exploitation of the whales and fish on Stellwagen Bank and vicinity helped forge a cultural tradition that is difficult to perpetuate today as a result of overfishing, habitat destruction and rapid transformation of the region's economy. The modern appreciation for these resources requires that they be protected for their intrinsic

value, multiple ecosystem services, and recreational and ecotourism importance, while facilitating uses (including appropriate fish production) that are environmentally sustainable and compatible with the widely recognized need and Congressional mandate for resource protection.

The environmental condition of the sanctuary is subject to major alterations that are largely due to the effects of human activities. The basic diversity of marine life and the patterns and processes that control the distribution and abundance of marine organisms in the sanctuary is still not well understood. Yet conserving this biodiversity is central to the implementation of ecosystem-based sanctuary management, an evolving approach that stresses the management of the entire sanctuary ecosystem including all biological communities, habitats and species populations, together with all compatible uses. Comprehending the great importance of marine biodiversity and the need to maintain ecological complexity and resiliency in the sanctuary, this management plan is based on the principal concept of managing marine resources for biodiversity conservation.

KEY FINDINGS

Primary production (production of new organic matter principally by photosynthesis) at Stellwagen Bank is comparatively high, being three times greater than the GoM in general and twice as high as at Georges Bank. There are well over 575 known species of animals in the sanctuary and the list is largely incomplete. Living landscapes (anemone forests, sponge gardens, hydroid meadows, worm tube beds) carpet the seafloor and the associated marine communities support benthic and pelagic species that are dependent upon them. The number of invertebrate species that constitute these landscape features remains to be adequately counted. Water column and seafloor habitats sustain over 80 species of fish and provide important feeding and nursery grounds for 22 marine mammal species, including the endangered humpback, fin and sei whales and the critically endangered North Atlantic right whale. The area supports foraging activity by 53 species of seabirds, dominated by gulls, storm petrels, gannets, auks (alcids), sea ducks and shearwaters. Four species of endangered or threatened sea turtles are known to frequent the area. Numerous shipwrecks occur throughout the sanctuary, encapsulating the rich maritime history of the place. Of the 35 historic shipwrecks located thus far, five shipwrecks at four sites are listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

The sanctuary is a hotspot for prey abundance, which is what ultimately attracts the whales, sustains the fish, seabirds and other wildlife, and supports the economic and recreational viability of most current uses in the sanctuary. Key prey species include sand lance (small semi-pelagic fish), herring and planktonic copepods. Sand lance numbers in the sanctuary are the highest and most concentrated anywhere in the southern GoM, and the sanctuary is in an area of high relative abundance of herring. Accordingly, the sanctuary is one of the most intensively used whale habitats in the northeast continental region of the U.S. The World Wildlife

Fund and *USA TODAY* named Stellwagen Bank and vicinity one of the top ten premiere places in the world to watch whales. The readers of *Offshore* magazine voted Stellwagen Bank the best place to watch wildlife and the number three favorite recreational fishing spot in the northeastern U.S. As the U.S. partner of BirdLife International, the Massachusetts Audubon Society (Mass Audubon) has designated Stellwagen Bank an Important Bird Area (IBA) because of its exceptional habitat.

However, fishing—especially commercial fishing—impacts and pressures every resource state in the sanctuary. On an annual basis, virtually every square kilometer of the sanctuary is physically disturbed by fishing. Fishing has removed almost all of the big old growth individuals among biologically important fish populations, and reshaped biological communities and habitats in the process. Commercial fishing lands 17.0 million pounds to 18.4 million pounds of fish and crustaceans from the sanctuary each year on average (1996–2005), yet discards approximately 23% of the total catch as bycatch (based on 2002/2003 estimates). The part of the catch from the sanctuary that actually is landed amounts to 1.9%–2.8% of the total New England landings value for all northeast fisheries. Fishing removes 3,200 metric tons of herring from the sanctuary each year on average, an amount that raises concern over the ecological sufficiency of the forage base for whales and other sanctuary wildlife. The area in and around the sanctuary is a high use area for fixed gear vessels and is a hotspot for observations of fishing gear entanglements with whales in the GoM. While this distinction makes the sanctuary an ideal location to focus disentanglement efforts for large whales, the high relative frequency of sighted entanglements is not in keeping with the sense of the term “sanctuary.” Additionally, fishing gear has impacted nearly all historic shipwreck sites that have been investigated in the sanctuary.

The sanctuary receives more commercial shipping traffic than any other location within U.S. jurisdiction in the GoM and approximately ten percent of the vessel/whale collisions recorded world-wide is reported from the sanctuary area. The annual mean and maximum operating speeds of whale watch boats in the sanctuary doubled between 1980–1987 and 1998–2004, as did their annual rate of whale strikes. The overall level of non-compliance with NOAA whale watch guidelines, based on the distance traveled by the whale watch boats, was 78%. The sanctuary may be prone to biological invasion by exotic species. This is based on factors associated with community maturity and niche opportunities created by a history of lowered species diversity and extensive chronic habitat disturbance by fishing, together with the sanctuary’s location amid extensive commercial shipping traffic that can serve as primary vectors for the introduction of exotics from hull bottoms and ballast water. Harmful algal blooms and degraded water quality continue to be concerns with expanding coastal development and increasing urbanization in the region, coupled with unrelenting population growth and commensurate waste management needs. Creeping offshore industrialization along the western boundary of the sanctuary in the

form of deepwater LNG ports may lead to chronic underwater noise affecting sanctuary resources in virtual perpetuity. Over half of all resource condition categories (10 of 17) evaluated for the sanctuary had fair through poor ratings. The general trend for habitat and living resources appears to be static and in need of improvement.

MANAGEMENT PLAN

This document provides the basis to consider how things should be done differently to improve the resource conditions of the sanctuary, since that is what the findings indicate is needed. The Sanctuary Advisory Council provides a vision for the future that contrasts the current conditions in the sanctuary:

“The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine life, supported by diverse, healthy habitats in clean ocean waters. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is protected and fully restored for current and future generations. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining natural and cultural resources.”

The management plan represents the first step toward achieving this vision.

This management plan serves as a non-regulatory policy framework for addressing the issues facing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over the next five years. It lays the foundation for restoring and protecting the sanctuary’s ecosystem. It details the human pressures that threaten the qualities and resources of the sanctuary. It recommends actions that should be taken now, and some that should be considered in the near future, for restoring and protecting this special place.

At this time, NOAA is not proposing any regulations or changes to the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary designation document. However, several regulatory initiatives that derive from the strategies presented in the management plan ultimately could be considered for action prior to the next management plan review nominally scheduled for 2015. These include: management of whale watching, maritime heritage resources management, preventing local depletion of key forage species, and instituting requirements for habitat zoning and compatibility analysis. These initiatives may necessitate that the designation document be amended.

This document provides strategic guidance for management actions and focuses those actions on four priority programmatic areas: capacity building, ecosystem protection, marine mammal protection and maritime heritage management. NOAA is focusing on these priority areas because they will significantly contribute to achieving the vision and mission of the sanctuary. The eleven action plans in this document address issues relative to these four areas and are based extensively on the advice of working groups established by the Sanctuary Advisory Council.

Copies of the final management plan and environmental assessment can be obtained by writing to Dr. Craig MacDonald, Sanctuary Superintendent, Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary, 175 Edward Foster Rd., Scituate, MA 02066 or by facsimile to (781) 545-8036 or please call (781) 545-8026 or send an email to stellwagen@noaa.gov. Copies of this document may be downloaded from the internet at <http://stellwagen.noaa.gov/management>.

ORGANIZATION OF THIS DOCUMENT

The management plan is organized into eleven principal sections.

Section I provides background information on the national marine sanctuaries and the management plan review process.

Section II is an overview of the institutional setting within which the sanctuary operates.

Section III presents the sanctuary setting. This section is divided into three sub-sections: biodiversity conservation; physical setting, including geography, geology, and oceanography; and primary producers and decomposers.

Section IV describes the resource states of the sanctuary and provides context and foundation for the action plans in Section VII. This section is divided into eight sub-sections: seafloor and water column habitats, benthic invertebrates, fishes, seabirds, sea turtles, marine mammals, and maritime heritage resources.

Section V discusses the kinds and status of human use and the economic value where available.

Section VI is a summation of the effects of human uses on sanctuary resources including a discussion of cumulative impacts.

Section VII contains the action plans, which detail the management actions the sanctuary will take to address priority issues and meet the purposes and policies of the National Marine Sanctuaries Act.

Section VIII provides an environmental assessment of the two alternatives considered: no action and revising the management plan.

Section IX lists the sources and literature cited in this document.

Section X presents the results of the public comment process including a numerical and geographic analysis of the findings. It provides general responses to comments and questions and summarizes the revisions made.

Section XI includes a number of appendices, which provide supporting information on various aspects of the management plan.

The sanctuary management objectives, included in this management plan, are organized by priority programmatic area and their respective action plan in the list that follows.

Capacity Building

Administrative Capacity and Infrastructure Action Plan

ADMIN.1 Improve Site Staffing and Support Capabilities for SBNMS Programs

ADMIN.2 Maintain and Enhance the Infrastructure of the Site

ADMIN.3 Develop a SBNMS Volunteer Organization to Support Sanctuary Programs and Enhance Site Visibility

Interagency Cooperation Action Plan

IC.1 Facilitate Cooperation and Coordination between Agencies

IC.2 Establish Mechanisms for Improving Information Sharing

Public Outreach and Education Action Plan

POE.1 Improve Outreach and Education Capacity to Increase Sanctuary Visibility, Awareness, and Stewardship

POE.2 Improve Capacity for Formal and Informal Education Programs that Support Management Goals

Compatibility Determination Action Plan

CD.1 Develop a Framework for Sanctuary Compatibility Determination

Ecosystem Protection

Ecosystem-Based Sanctuary Management Action Plan

EBSM.1 Establish a Science Review Protocol

EBSM.2 Establish an Information Management System

EBSM.3 Understand Ecosystem Structure and Function

EBSM.4 Protect Ecological Integrity

EBSM.5 Evaluate the Need and Feasibility of Modifying the Sanctuary Boundary

Ecosystem Alteration Action Plan

EA.1 Reduce Impacts of Laying Cables and Pipelines

EA.2 Reduce Alteration of Benthic Habitat by Mobile Fishing

EA.3 Reduce Impacts of Biomass Removal by Fishing Activity

Water Quality Action Plan

WQ.1 Assess Water Quality and Circulation

WQ.2 Reduce Pollutant Discharges and Waste Streams that May Affect the Sanctuary

Marine Mammal Protection

Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance Action Plan

MMBD.1 Reduce Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance by Vessels

MMBD.2 Reduce Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance by Noise

MMBD.3 Reduce Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance by Aircraft

Marine Mammal Vessel Strike Action Plan

MMVS.1 Reduce the Risk of Vessel Strike between Large Commercial Ships and Whales

MMVS.2 Reduce the Risk of Vessel Strike through Speed Restrictions

MMVS.3 Support and Develop Research Programs to Reduce the Risk of Vessel Strikes

Marine Mammal Entanglement Action Plan

MME.1 Aid Disentanglement Efforts

MME.2 Reduce Marine Mammal Interaction with the Trap/Pot Fishery

MME.3 Reduce Marine Mammal Interaction with the Gillnet Fishery

Maritime Heritage Management

Maritime Heritage Management Action Plan

MH.1 Establish a Maritime Heritage Program

MH.2 Inventory, Assess and Characterize Historical Resources

MH.3 Protect and Manage Historical Resources

MH.4 Develop and Implement a MH Outreach and Education Program

MH.5 Assess Shipwrecks and Other Submerged Objects for Potential Hazards

MH.6 Facilitate Access to Modern Shipwrecks

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This management plan was written and compiled by:
Craig D. MacDonald, Ph.D., Sanctuary Superintendent
Benjamin Cowie-Haskell, Management Plan Coordinator
Nathalie Ward, Ph.D., External Affairs Coordinator

With contributions by:

Peter Auster, Ph.D., University of Connecticut at Avery Point

Les Kaufman, Ph.D., Boston University

Jesse Schwartz, Ph.D., Boston University

Additionally:

GIS analyses were conducted by Michael A. Thompson.

Technical editing and source citations were prepared by Elizabeth E. Stokes.

Response to comments and questions was assisted by Paul Ticco, Ph.D.

Technical support was provided by Perot Systems Government Services, notably Timothy Feehan, Ayhan Ergul and Ted Racine.

Document design and layout was by Marla Laubisch.

Staff of the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary, the sanctuary program's Northeast and Great Lakes Region (NE&GL), and the Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS) are acknowledged for their contributions in the development of this management plan.

From 2002-2006, the Sanctuary Advisory Council was instrumental in the development of this management plan. NOAA acknowledges and thanks the Advisory Council representatives for their individual and collective contributions to this process. The following members of the Advisory Council are acknowledged for chairing the working groups that led to development of the action plans in this document: Regina Asmutis-Silvia, Susan Farady, Alan (Jerry) Hill, Porter Hoagland, Ph.D., Judith Pederson, Ph.D., Mason Weinrich, Richard Wheeler, John Williamson and Sally Yozell. NOAA also acknowledges and thanks the many members of these working groups. The early foundation for this management plan review was laid during 1998-1999 by Brad Barr, former Sanctuary Superintendent, and the previous Advisory Council (1996-2000), and is recognized.

The ONMS gratefully acknowledges the enormous assistance provided by the NOAA Fisheries Service Northeast Regional Office and Northeast Fisheries Science Center for access to the numerous and extensive databases that underlay many of the analyses in this management plan, for the many agency scientists and managers who devoted considerable time serving on the working groups as members and technical advisors as well as for providing critical comment and review of the draft management plan, and for collaboration with sanctuary staff on several key research projects conducted to inform sanctuary management. Related assistance was provided by local and State agency partners, which also is acknowledged. The ONMS's collaboration with NOAA's National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science yielded substantial information to characterize the sanctuary's ecological setting, and is acknowledged.

PHOTOGRAPHY AND ART CREDITS

COVER. Feeding humpback whales, Teapot and Tectonic, during a tagging cruise—Credit: WCNE and SBNMS. Photo taken under NOAA Fisheries Permit #981-1707. Sea star and steam release pipe from coastal steamship *Portland*—Credit: NURC-UConn, SBNMS and The Science Channel. Northern red anemone on boulder ridge—Credit: USGS. Wolffish prowls along the sanctuary seafloor—Credit: NURC-UConn.

FIRST PAGE. Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, is a keystone predator species of major ecological importance within the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary—Credit: Douglas Costa

Section I. Captain Henry S. Stellwagen—Courtesy of the Stellwagen Family

Section II. Smooth sunstar on seafloor—Credit: USGS

Section III. Northern red anemone and American lobster—Credit: USGS

Section IV. Squid and starfish on mud habitat—Credit: USGS

Section V. Sand lance over gravel, shells and coarse sand—Credit: USGS

Section VI. Frilled anemones on a boulder—Credit: USGS

Section VII. Burrowing anemone with pink shrimp—Credit: USGS

Section VIII. Northern sea stars in a muddy basin—Credit: USGS

Section IX. Shell pile in the trough between sand waves—Credit: USGS

Section X. Horse star over pebbly substratum—Credit: USGS

Section XI. Gravel with encrusting coralline algae and sponges—Credit: USGS

Page 26 “Inside the anemone forest” painting—Credit: Joline Putnam, RI School of Design¹

Page 36. “Exploring the deep boulder reef” painting—Credit: Mary Jane Brush, UConn²

Page 43. Diatoms (*Chaetoceros affinis*, *Coscinodiscus* sp., *Chaetoceros debilis*)—Credit: Paul Hargraves, Univ. RI

Page 48. Feeding humpbacks and seabirds—Credit: Ari Friedlander, Duke Univ/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707)

Page 49. American lobster, cunner and benthic invertebrates—Credit: Matthew Lawrence, SBNMS

Page 58. Hydromedusae—Credit: Norman Despres

Page 68. Field of sand dollars—Credit: USGS

Page 74. Northern puffer—Credit: Norman Despres

Page 80. Greater shearwater—Credit: Glen Tepke

Page 88. Leatherback turtle—Credit: Glen Tepke

Page 90. Humpback whale calf fluke—Credit: Kate Sardi, WCNE/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707)

Page 116. “Evening Shipping on Boston Bay, 1898” painting—Credit: William G. Muller

Page 130. Various human uses during a summer day on the SBNMS—Credit: Regina Asmutis-Silvia

Page 130. Commercial Fishing section—Credit: SBNMS/NOAA

Page 142. Recreational Fishing section—Credit: SBNMS/NOAA

Page 152. Whale Watching section—Credit: Regina Asmutis-Silvia

Page 153. Other Recreation and Tourism section—Credit: Deborah Marx, SBNMS

Page 154. Maritime Transportation section—Credit: SBNMS

Page 164. Cunner and invertebrates—Credit: Tane Casserley

Page 182. Whale tagging research boat—Credit: WCNE/SBNMS; Humpback and NOAA Ship Nancy Foster—Credit: WCNE/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707); Sanctuary exhibit at Gloucester Maritime Heritage Center—Credit: Anne Smrcina, SBNMS; SBNMS facilities—Credit: Anne Smrcina, SBNMS

Page 205. Haddock—Credit: NURC-UConn; Greater Shearwater—Credit: WCNE/SBNMS; Atlantic herring—Credit: Jon Witman, Brown Univ.; Sea Scallop—Credit: USGS

Page 225. Breaching humpback whale—Credit: Ari Friedlander, Duke Univ/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707); Recreational boat and humpback whales—Credit: Kate Sardi, Duke Univ./SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707); Dead right whale with propeller marks—Credit: PCCS; Entangled humpback whale—Credit: PCCS (NOAA Permit 932-1489)

Page 244. *Portland's* steam release pipe—Credit: NURC-UConn, The Science Channel and SBNMS; *Portland's* bits with encrusting invertebrates—Credit: NURC-UConn, The Science Channel and SBNMS; Teacups in *Portland's* galley—Credit: NURC-UConn/SBNMS; Pipes and mug on *Portland's* deck—Credit: NURC-UConn, The Science Channel and SBNMS.

LAST PAGE. Whales and birds feeding at sunset in the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary—Credit: Cara Pekarcik, WCNE/SBNMS (NOAA Permit 981-1707)

^{1,2}Both paintings are scientifically accurate portrayals of characteristic seafloor landscapes based on the artists' examination of over a hundred hours of underwater video made by remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) in the sanctuary. Both artists are formally trained scientific illustrators.

CONTENTS

About This Document.....	i
Executive Summary	ii
Overview	ii
Key Findings.....	ii
Management Plan	iii
Organization of this Document.....	iv
Acknowledgements	vi
Photography and Art Credits	vii
I. Introduction to the Document.....	1
Overview of the Office of National Marine Sanctuaries.....	2
Overview of the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary	4
Sanctuary Management Plan Review.....	4
The Management Plan.....	7
II. Institutional Setting	9
Human Resources	10
Sanctuary Superintendent.....	10
Sanctuary Staff.....	10
Infrastructure	10
Site Facilities	10
Vessels	11
Sanctuary Advisory Council.....	11
Relationship with Other Agencies and Authorities	12
NOAA Offices.....	12
Other Federal Agencies	14
Regional Authorities	15
State Agencies	15
Local Government Agencies.....	16
Tools for Formalizing Relationships	16
Sanctuary Funding.....	17
Appropriations	17
Additional Sources of Support.....	17
Research and Monitoring.....	17
Education and Outreach.....	19
Intramural	19
Extramural.....	19
Collaborative.....	20
Enforcement and Permitting.....	20
Enforcement.....	20
Permitting.....	20
III. Sanctuary Setting.....	25
Biodiversity Conservation	26
Emphasis on Community Ecology and Conservation Biology.....	26
Use of Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning	27
Managing for Biodiversity Conservation	28
Physical Setting	40
Geography	40
Geology	40

Oceanography	42
Primary Producers and Decomposers	47
Prokaryotes	47
Protists	48
Fungi.....	50
IV. Resource States	51
Context.....	52
Seafloor as Habitat	53
Water Column as Habitat	63
Benthic Invertebrates.....	74
Fishes.....	80
Seabirds	90
Sea Turtles	98
Marine Mammals	99
Maritime Heritage Resources.....	132
V. Status of Human Use	143
Context.....	144
Commercial Fishing	145
Recreational Fishing.....	157
Whale Watching	166
Other Recreation and Tourism.....	168
Maritime Transportation	169
Prohibited Uses.....	173
VI. Summation	177
Context.....	178
Historic Importance.....	178
Status Today	179
Current Challenges.....	180
Compatible Uses.....	182
VII. Action Plans	189
Introduction to Action Plans	190
What are Action Plans?	190
What is their origin?	190
How are they prioritized?.....	190
How are they evaluated?.....	190
How are they organized?.....	192
What are the costs?	192
How are they implemented?.....	193
Explanation of Vision and Mission	194
Vision:.....	194
Mission:	194
‘Unpacking’ the Vision	194
Capacity Building.....	196
Administrative Capacity and Infrastructure Action Plan	197
Issue Statement	197
Goal	197
Objectives.....	197
Interagency Cooperation Action Plan	204
Issue Statement	204
Goal	204

Objectives.....	204
Public Outreach and Education Action Plan	209
Issue Statement	209
Goal	209
Objectives.....	209
Compatibility Determination Action Plan	215
Issue Statement	215
Goal	215
Objectives.....	215
Ecosystem Protection.....	218
Ecosystem-Based Sanctuary Management Action Plan.....	219
Issue Statement	219
Goal	219
Objectives.....	219
Ecosystem Alteration Action Plan	226
Issue Statement	226
Goal	226
Objectives.....	226
Water Quality Action Plan.....	234
Issue Statement	234
Goal	234
Objectives.....	234
Marine Mammal Protection.....	239
Marine Mammal Behavioral Disturbance Action Plan	240
Issue Statement	240
Goal	240
Objectives.....	240
Marine Mammal Vessel Strike Action Plan.....	247
Issue Statement	247
Goal	247
Objectives.....	247
Marine Mammal Entanglement Action Plan.....	252
Issue Statement	252
Goal	252
Objectives.....	252
Maritime Heritage Management	258
Maritime Heritage Action Plan	259
Issue Statement	259
Goal	259
Objectives.....	259
VIII. Environmental Assessment	267
Purpose and Need.....	268
Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives	268
Affected Environment.....	270
Environmental Consequences.....	271
IX. Sources Cited.....	279
X. Public Comments on Draft Management Plan	307
Background and Analysis	308
Responses to Comments and Questions	309
Summary of Revisions	326

XI. Appendices	329
Appendix A. National Marine Sanctuaries Act	330
Appendix B. Designation Document for the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary	342
Appendix C. Key Topics and Issues Identified during Public Scoping for Revision of the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Management Plan.	345
Appendix D. List of Current and Former Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Advisory Council Members (2001-2006).....	346
Appendix E. List of Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Advisory Council Meetings Relating to Management Plan Review	349
Appendix F. List of Working Group Members	350
Appendix G. Existing Federal and State Authorities Relevant to Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Protection and Management	358
Appendix H. Questions and Answers Regarding Regulatory Coordination on Fishing between the National Marine Sanctuary Program and Federal Fishery Management Agencies	365
Appendix I. Regulations	367
Appendix J. Preliminary Species List for the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary.....	370
Appendix K. Description of Typical Waste Discharges in the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary.....	383
Appendix L. Cetacean and Pinniped Species Descriptions.....	385
Appendix M. Northeast Region Whale Watch Guidelines Including the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary.....	389
Appendix N. Federal Regulations on Approach to Endangered North Atlantic Right Whales	391
Appendix O. Prioritized Strategy Implementation Based on Funding Scenarios.....	392
Appendix P. Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Annex to Area Contingency Plan	
Appendix Q. Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Cooperative Enforcement Plan	406
Appendix R. Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary Zoning Working Group Charge and List of Members	409
Appendix S. Existing Marine Resource Management Zones that Overlap the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary	411
Appendix T. List of Acronyms.....	414
Appendix U. Glossary	416
Appendix V. Metric Conversion Table	419

FIGURES

Figure 1. The system of National Marine Sanctuaries.	2
Figure 2. Illustration of the Proposed Management Continuum for the Stellwagen Bank Sanctuary.	7
Figure 3. Organizational chart for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary at onset of Management Plan revision.....	10
Figure 4. Oblique aerial photograph showing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary buildings (red roofs), pier and docks on Scituate Harbor in 2003 during facilities renovation.....	11
Figure 5. The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary's 50-foot research vessel R/V <i>Auk</i>	11
Figure 6. Explorer John Smith's <i>Map of New England</i> , 1616, with Stellwagen Bank and the sanctuary area (shaded blue) superimposed.....	33
Figure 7. Species and trophic interactions of the northwest Atlantic food web.	35
Figure 8. Trophic cascades in kelp forests along the coast of Maine.	36
Figure 9. Mean Trophic Index (MTI) based on U.S. Commission of Fish and Fisheries statistical bulletin landings for Stellwagen Bank (1893-1935) and the GoM (1902-1935).....	37
Figure 10. Multi-beam sonar image of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary area showing (a) sun-illuminated seafloor topography and (b) backscatter intensity of sediments.	39
Figure 11. The Stellwagen Bank sanctuary in relation to adjacent land and associated geographic places.	41
Figure 12. Generalized diagram of the counter-clockwise circulation patterns in the GoM.	42
Figure 13. Generalized diagram of the various water circulation patterns in the upper layers that exist within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during stratified conditions.	43
Figure 14. Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) image of internal wave events in Massachusetts Bay on August 7, 2003.	44
Figure 15. Selected tracks of telemetered drifter buoys depicting generalized current flow in the vicinity of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	46
Figure 16. Example of a microhabitat formed within a mud habitat by burrowing anemones.	53
Figure 17. Map depicting the WGoMCA (cross-hatched) and its overlap with the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	55
Figure 18. Location of long-term sampling sites for the Seafloor Habitat Recovery Monitoring Project.....	58
Figure 19. Images illustrating differences in community composition and abundance for hard bottom habitats in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary where fishing is either restricted or allowed.	59
Figure 20. Side-scan sonar image of bottom otter trawl tracks over the mud habitat of Gloucester Basin in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	60
Figure 21. Two conceptual models of pattern shifts in community state due to disturbance.	62
Figure 22. Location of water column stations, including the additional Stellwagen Bank sanctuary stations sampled in August and October 2001-2005.....	64
Figure 23. Annual mean ammonium (top) and nitrate (bottom) concentrations in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, the nearfield and Cape Cod Bay relative to the outfall startup.	65
Figure 24. Top: annual mean total dissolved nitrogen (TDN); Middle: dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN); Bottom: total nitrogen (TN) in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, the nearfield and Cape Cod Bay relative to the outfall startup.	65
Figure 25. Annual mean chlorophyll in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and other regions relative to the outfall startup.	66
Figure 26. Benthic community parameters at stations (FF05, FF04) in or (FF14, FF11) near Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1992-2005) relative to the outfall startup.	66
Figure 27. Location of the NOAA NS&T BE sampling sites (2004) within Massachusetts Bay including the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	67
Figure 28. Concentration of contaminants, select metals (Cd [cadmium] and Pb [lead]) and organic compounds (total PCBs [Polychlorinated Biphenyls] and DDT [pesticide]), in sediments within Massachusetts Bay including the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	67
Figure 29. Location of sewer outfalls, the MWRA outfall, industrial discharge sites and dumping/disposal sites within Massachusetts Bay.	71
Figure 30. Annual disposal volumes at the Massachusetts Bay Disposal Site for the period 1982–2003.	72
Figure 31. Representative species of sponges in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	76

Figure 32. Representative species of cnidarians in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	77
Figure 33. Representative species of anemones in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	78
Figure 34. Empty ocean quohog shells (<i>Arctica islandica</i>) serve as habitat for a variety of fish such as the ocean pout shown here.	79
Figure 35. Representative species of tunicates in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	79
Figure 36. Seasonal mean fish species diversity (species richness) across the GoM for the period 1975–2005.	81
Figure 37. Geographic strata of similar bathymetric profile used to compare diversity indices with the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	81
Figure 38. Comparison of fish species diversity (species richness) between the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and other similar strata within the GoM for the period 1975–2005.	82
Figure 39. Annual per capita egg production (in millions of eggs) for cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) as a function of age (and by implication size).	83
Figure 40. Population composition by percent biomass of GoM cod 1983-2007.	84
Figure 41. Equilibrium age composition by percent biomass of GoM cod exploited at the fishing mortality rate (F_{MSY}) projected to achieve 40% maximum spawning potential.	84
Figure 42. Decrease in maximum length of white hake sampled in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by NOAA Fisheries Service standardized trawl surveys over the period 1963–2000.	85
Figure 43. Reduction in maximum length of 15 species of ecologically and commercially important fish over a 38-year period (1963–2000) within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	85
Figure 44. Change in maximum length of a subset of fish species sampled in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1990–2005.....	86
Figure 45. Observed average weight (kg) at age (years) for GoM cod for three five-year stanzas: 1983–1987; 1993–1997; and 2003-2007.....	87
Figure 46. Relative seasonal abundance of seabirds within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary for the calendar year July 1994–June 1995.	93
Figure 47. Part 1. Spatial distribution and density of seabirds in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	94
Figure 47. Part 2. Spatial distribution and density of seabirds in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	95
Figure 48. Demonstrated high seasonal and inter-annual variability in the relative abundance of seabird species frequenting the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary based on standardized survey sightings data for the period July 1994–August 1995.	96
Figure 49. Illustration of the great auk.	97
Figure 50. Spatial distribution and density of key prey species for piscivorous cetaceans in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM.	102
Figure 51. Overlay of spatial distribution of North Atlantic right whale relative abundance (sightings-per-unit effort: SPUE) on spatial distribution of <i>Calanus</i> copepods for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM.	102
Figure 52a. Spatial distribution and relative abundance of key cetacean species in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM based on interpolation of SPUE for the period 1970–2005.	104
Figure 52b. Spatial distribution and relative abundance of key cetacean species in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM based on interpolation of SPUE for the period 1970–2005.	105
Figure 53. Seasonal patterns of interpolated SPUE data for all baleen whale species in spring, summer, fall and winter and all seasons combined for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM (1970–2005).	106
Figure 54. Seasonal patterns of interpolated SPUE data for all dolphins and porpoises in spring, summer, fall, winter and all seasons combined for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and the southern GoM (1970–2005).	107
Figure 55. Relative occurrence of fin, humpback, minke and right whales in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	109
Figure 56. Relative occurrence of harbor porpoise, white-sided dolphins and pilot whales in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	109
Figure 57. Frequency of Cetacean Sightings within Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by month. Data are from standardized surveys from July 2001–June 2002.	109
Figure 58. Comparison of the spatial distribution of baleen whales within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary from whale watch and standardized survey data.	110

Figure 59. A three-dimensional visualization of the spatial distribution of baleen whales within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1979–2004).	111
Figure 60. A time/depth plot of the diving behavior of a tagged humpback whale in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over a 15-hour period in July of 2006.	112
Figure 61. Visualization showing the NOAA Ship <i>Nancy Foster</i> acoustically mapping sand lance prey fields in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	113
Figure 62. GPS tracks of 36 commercial whale watching trips from six major whale watching ports in Massachusetts that were monitored by onboard observers during the summer and fall of 2003.	114
Figure 63. Comparison of a vessel's maximum recorded trip speed and its maximum recorded zone 1 speed for 46 commercial whale watching trips representing 12 companies operating in and around the Stellwagen Sanctuary in 2003 and 2004.	115
Figure 64. Co-occurrence of baleen whales and tuna fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 2001–June 2002.	117
Figure 65. Photograph of a hooked humpback whale in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary trailing tuna fishing tackle. .	117
Figure 66. Approximate location of ship strikes to baleen whales along the eastern seaboard of the U.S. including the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary from 1979–2002.	117
Figure 67. Historical trends (1980–2004) in the cruising speed (annual minimum, maximum and mean) of commercial whale watch vessels operating within and around the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	118
Figure 68. Maximum and average speed in knots for all (156) tracked commercial vessels transiting the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during the months of April and May 2006 using the USCG's AIS.	118
Figure 69. Mandatory ship reporting system (MSRS) data from 1999–2002 showing tracks of large commercial vessels traversing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	120
Figure 70. Ship tracks in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and western GoM for the months of April and May 2006 derived from the USCG AIS.	120
Figure 71. Spatial distribution of commercial vessel types transiting the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary in 2006.	121
Figure 72. Sighting locations of whales reported entangled in fishing gear in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and GoM between 1985 and 2006.	122
Figure 73. Distribution and density of number of active fixed gear fishing vessels (gillnet, lobster, and other trap/pot fisheries) from Virginia to Maine during 2004.	122
Figure 74. Relative Interaction Potential (RIP) index showing the potential for interaction between baleen whales and fixed fishing gear in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, by 5-minute square area.	123
Figure 75. Three-dimensional ribbon track of a tagged humpback whale showing extensive interdependent use of seafloor and water column during foraging along the bottom.	125
Figure 76. Spatial distribution of commercial herring fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005. ...	126
Figure 77. Herring landings in pounds by fishing gear type and year from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	126
Figure 78. Seasonal distribution of Atlantic herring catch in the northeast region during the 2005 fishing year.	127
Figure 79. Realignment of the shipping lanes (TSS) into the Port of Boston by the International Maritime Organization to reduce the risk of ship strikes to baleen whales in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	129
Figure 80. Location of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary relative to Area 1A in the herring fishery management plan. ...	131
Figure 81. Historic photograph of the steamship <i>Portland</i> from 1891. The <i>Portland</i> sank with all hands during the Portland Gale in November 1898.....	134
Figure 82. The steamship <i>Portland's</i> location in the sanctuary was confirmed by NOAA scientists in 2002.	135
Figure 83. Fragile teacups and dishware in the galley survived the <i>Portland's</i> plummet to seafloor in 1898.	135
Figure 84. Historical photograph of the 4-masted coal schooner <i>Frank A Palmer</i>	135
Figure 85. Historical photograph of the 5-masted coal schooner <i>Louise B Crary</i>	135
Figure 86. NOAA scientists confirmed the location of the schooners <i>Frank A. Palmer</i> and <i>Louise B. Crary</i> in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary in 2002.	136
Figure 87. The <i>Frank A. Palmer's</i> stern cabin contains the remains of the captain's sink and toilet.	136
Figure 88. Historical postcard of the 5-masted coal schooner <i>Paul Palmer</i> offloading coal in New Hampshire.	136

Figure 89. The <i>Paul Palmer</i> rests on top of Stellwagen Bank with its wooden frames and hull planking protruding up from the sand.	136
Figure 90. Artifacts, such as the brass hand bell and ceramic dishes seen here, are well preserved on this wooden hulled shipwreck with a coal cargo.	137
Figure 91. The coal cargo depicted in this photograph covers the remains of a shipwreck.	137
Figure 92. This shipwreck's granite block cargo was destined for use in the construction of sidewalks and sewer systems.	137
Figure 93. The eastern rig dragger <i>Joffre</i> exemplifies the many changes in fishing techniques and technology that occurred during the 20th century.	138
Figure 94. Wire rope associated with a trawl net cuts into the steamship <i>Portland's</i> bow.	139
Figure 95. This large trawl net was once wrapped around the schooner <i>Paul Palmer's</i> windlass, where it was a hazard to SCUBA divers and marine life.	140
Figure 96. Gillnets cover the schooner <i>Louise B. Crary's</i> bow.	140
Figure 97. Jigs are evidence of hook and line fishing activity on the schooner <i>Paul Palmer</i>	141
Figure 98. Braided and monofilament fishing line is caught around the <i>Frank A. Palmer's</i> steering wheel.	141
Figure 99. Spatial density patterns based on fishing trips for two types of bottom mobile gear (otter trawls and dredges combined) in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary are compared using standardized survey data (a) and Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data (b) over the same time period (July 2001–June 2002).	146
Figure 100. Spatial density patterns based on fishing trips using fixed gear (e.g., lobster traps, sink gillnets and longlines) in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary are compared using standardized survey data (a) and Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data (b) over the same time period (July 2001–June 2002).	147
Figure 101. Comparison of the density and distribution of surface buoys within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over two survey periods: from May 1994 through August 1995 and from July 2001 through June 2002.	150
Figure 102. Comparison of the density and distribution of mobile fishing vessels (stern dragger, eastern dragger and scallop dredge) within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary over two survey periods: from May 1994 through August 1995 and from July 2001 through June 2002.	151
Figure 103. Size and location of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary relative to State of Massachusetts Offshore Area 19 for reporting lobster landings and NOAA Fishing Area 4 for reporting bluefin tuna landings.	152
Figure 104. Trends in value (2005\$) of annual commercial fishery landings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary for the period 1996–2005.	155
Figure 105. Trends in annual commercial fishery landings in pounds from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary for the period 1996–2005.	155
Figure 106. Distribution of commercial fishery landings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by county landed based on total landings value for the period 1996–2005.	155
Figure 107. Spatial density patterns based on fishing trips for party boat (a) and charter boat (b) fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 2001–June 2002.	158
Figure 108. Trend in number of party and charter boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	160
Figure 109. Distribution of (a) party boat and (b) charter boat landings (number of fish) from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by county landed for the period 1996–2005.	160
Figure 110. Trends in number of anglers and trips by party boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	162
Figure 111. Trends in number of anglers and trips by charter boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	162
Figure 112. Trends in party boat and charter boat landings (quantity) from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	162
Figure 113. Sanctuary map showing that almost 15% or 126 square miles of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary is within the recreational dive limit of 130 feet.	168
Figure 114. Three-dimensional representation of large commercial vessel traffic (156 ships) crossing the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary based on USCG AIS data for April–May 2006.	170
Figure 115. Number of commercial deep draft vessel transits to/from the Port of Boston by month for the years 2001–2003.	172

Figure 116. Location of two separate Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) deepwater ports, Northeast Gateway and Neptune, proposed adjacent to the western boundary (inserts) of the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary. Each port would have at least two offshore installations indicated by the buoy locations.	175
Figure 117. Spatial density patterns based on trips for all fishing recorded in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 2001–June 2002 based on Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data.	181
Figure 118. Cumulative impacts caused by fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary, mediated through directed mortality and collateral impacts affecting community interactions, leading to altered ecological integrity.	183
Figure 119. Effects on marine mammals caused by the cumulative impacts of human activities in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary that could alter their role as a functional element of the sanctuary ecosystem.....	184
Figure 120. Effects on maritime heritage resources in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary caused by cumulative impacts and leading to diminished archaeological integrity.	185
Figure 121. ONMS performance evaluation logic model.	191
Figure 122. Total five-year management plan costs by programmatic area.	193
Figure 123. Yearly management plan costs by programmatic area.....	193
Figure 124. Total five year management plan costs by action plan.	194
Figure 125. Organizational chart for the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary at onset of Management Plan revision.....	198
Figure 126. Organizational Chart—proposed.	199
Figure 127. Hypothetical application of S-CAP process.	217
Figure 128. Frequency distribution of comments by state and source category across all 50 states and two U.S. Territories.	310
Figure 129. Geographic analysis by state and zip code of number of comments from across the United States.....	311
Figure 130. Geographic analysis by state and source category of number of comments from across the United States.	312
Figure 131. Geographic analysis by zip code of comments from the New England states.	313
Figure 132. Geographic analysis by zip code of number of comments from Massachusetts.	314
Figure 133. Frequency distribution of total comments by source category.....	315
Figure 134. Frequency distribution of comments from New England by state and source category.....	316

TABLES

Table 1. Summary of current research and monitoring projects in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.	18
Table 2. Summary of representative education and outreach products and programs developed by the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary or through collaboration with its partners.	21
Table 3. Comparison of intensity and severity of various sources of physical disturbance to the seafloor (based on Hall (1994) and Watling and Norse (1998)).	57
Table 4. Inventory of known invasive species to the Gulf of Maine region.	70
Table 5. Time taken for objects to dissolve at sea.	73
Table 6. Sightings totaling 5,825 seabirds of 34 species in nine families recorded in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during July 1994–August 1995.	92
Table 7. Conservation status of sea turtles found in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary and GoM region.	98
Table 8. Conservation status of 22 species of marine mammals sighted in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary.....	100
Table 9. The level of non-compliance with the speed portion of the NOAA whale watching guidelines based on the monitoring of 46 commercial whale watching trips operating in and around the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 2003–2004.	114
Table 10. Herring landings (millions of pounds) from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by gear type (1996–2005).....	125
Table 11. Principal gear types fished in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	148
Table 12. Commercial vessels fishing within the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state of homeport.....	151

Table 13. Landings value (2005\$) by commercial fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state and county landed (1996-2005). Table is based on VTR data with adjustments made for Area 19 and Area 4 landings.	153
Table 14. Landings in pounds by commercial fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state and county landed (1996-2005). Table is based on VTR data with adjustments made for Area 19 and Area 4 landings.	154
Table 15. Top ten species landed and top ten commercial fishing gear types used in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1996–2005) based on landed value (2005\$) and volume (lbs.).	156
Table 16. Comparison of ex-vessel value (2005\$) of commercial fishery landings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary (1996–2005) by New England state landed relative to total value of fishery landings in those states from all sources.	156
Table 17. Number of (a) party boats and (b) charter boats by state of home port that landed fish from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005.	159
Table 18. Number of vessels, trips and anglers fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by (a) party boats and (b) charter boats during 1996–2005.	159
Table 19. Quantity of fish landed by (a) party boats and (b) charter boats fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary by state and county landed (1996–2005).	161
Table 20. Top ten species caught by (a) party boat and (b) charter boat fishing in the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary during 1996–2005 based on number of fish landed.	163
Table 21. Landings (pounds) by species in the federal offshore waters of Massachusetts by (a) private/rental boats and (b) party/charter boats during 1996–2005 based on the NOAA Survey Query data. Adjustments were made as detailed in the text.	164
Table 22. Annual shipping transits of commercial deep draft vessels to/from the Port of Boston (2000–2005).	171
Table 23. Characteristics of commercial deep draft vessels and other maritime traffic entering/leaving the Port of Boston. Number of transits indicated is for 2005.	171
Table 24. Revised summary of findings from the Stellwagen Bank sanctuary <i>Condition Report</i> (2006) compared to the assessment of sanctuary resource conditions ca. 1900 (Claesson and Rosenberg, 2009)	187
Table 25. Estimated Annual Costs for Action Plan Implementation.	192
Table 26. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for ADMIN action plan.	198
Table 27. Estimated costs for ADMIN action plan.	202
Table 28. Performance measures for ADMIN action plan.	203
Table 29. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for IC action plan.	205
Table 30. Estimated costs for IC action plan.	207
Table 31. Performance measures for IC action plan.	208
Table 32. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for POE action plan.	210
Table 33. Estimated costs for POE action plan.	213
Table 34. Performance measures for POE action plan.	214
Table 35. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for CD action plan.	216
Table 36. Estimated costs for CD action plan.	217
Table 37. Performance measures for CD action plan.	217
Table 38. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for EBSM action plan.	220
Table 39. Estimated costs for EBSM action plan.	224
Table 40. Performance measures for EBSM action plan.	225
Table 41. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for EA action plan.	227
Table 42. Estimated costs for EA action plan.	233
Table 43. Performance measures for EA action plan.	233
Table 44. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for WQ action plan.	235
Table 45. Estimated costs for WQ action plan.	238
Table 46. Performance measures for WQ action plan.	238
Table 47. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MMBD action plan.	241
Table 48. Estimated costs for MMBD action plan.	245

Table 49. Performance measures for MMBD action plan. 246

Table 50. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MMVS action plan. 248

Table 51. Estimated costs for MMVS action plan..... 250

Table 52. Performance measures for MMVS action plan. 251

Table 53. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MME action plan. 253

Table 54. Estimated costs for MME action plan. 256

Table 55. Performance measures for MME action plan..... 257

Table 56. Objectives, associated strategies, and priorities for MH action plan..... 260

Table 57. Estimated costs for MH action plan. 264

Table 58. Performance measures for MH action plan..... 265

Table 59. List of the 48 countries and two U.S. territories from which comments were received. 309